

Legislative Assembly

Tuesday, 17 May 2011

THE SPEAKER (Mr G.A. Woodhams) took the chair at 2.00 pm, and read prayers.

JOHN BIASE D'ORAZIO

Condolence Motion

MR C.J. BARNETT (Cottesloe — Premier) [2.01 pm] — without notice: I move —

That the house records its sincere regret at the death of John Biase D'Orazio and tenders its deep sympathy to his family.

The sudden passing of John D'Orazio on 11 April 2011 will have come as a shock to many in the community, including members of this house. Many members here today will have their own memories of a larger-than-life character, whose determination was most on display when advocating those issues he believed in. John D'Orazio was a tireless worker for his community, demonstrated through his roles at the City of Bayswater and as a member of the Legislative Assembly. His humour, generosity and willingness to get things done left a lasting impression.

John D'Orazio was born in Mt Lawley to Italian parents on 5 September 1955. His father had migrated to Australia in 1949, and, two years later, was joined by John's mother. As new arrivals to Australia, they faced considerable challenges with communication and custom but persevered and ultimately embraced the opportunities in Western Australia. John's parents settled in Bayswater, developing a market garden and a small home to house the extended D'Orazio family. John's approach to life was clearly influenced by his parents' work ethic as the family sought to establish itself.

After attending Christian Brothers College in Highgate, John D'Orazio welcomed the opportunity to train and work as a pharmacist at the Western Australian Institute of Technology, now Curtin University. He was a keen sportsman, who played grade cricket for WAIT and was subsequently captain of the All-Australian Gaelic Football Team. He earned the accolade of sportsman of the year at WAIT in 1978, and won fairest and best awards from the Gaelic Football Association.

John was keen to start his own business but encountered local council resistance to his plans to open a chemist shop. John credited this moment as a cornerstone to his decision to enter public life as a member of local government and later as a parliamentarian. Those who knew John D'Orazio would not be surprised to hear that he contested the town planner's decision to impose a setback requirement on his 13-metre-wide block. They also would not be surprised to learn that he eventually prevailed upon appeal to the minister. At 24 years of age, John commenced his extensive and notable contribution to local government. The following year, he became Deputy Mayor of the City of Bayswater, and by the age of 27 he had been elected mayor, and he served in that capacity for the next 17 years. There were significant achievements during his term as Mayor of the City of Bayswater: the city was the first local authority in Western Australia to be debt free. There was also the introduction of the first integrated recycling system, including green waste; the provision of community security patrols in support of WA Police; the redevelopment of the Galleria Shopping Centre; Western Australia's first wave pool, Bayswater Waves, an aquatic and leisure centre; and the foundation of the Bayswater Community Bank.

In 1995 John D'Orazio received the highest honour possible for an elected member of council when he was acknowledged as a Freeman of the City of Bayswater. The council also recognised his contribution by establishing John D'Orazio Park at the corner of Guildford and Garratt Roads, in Bayswater.

John was a planning commissioner for eight years and served as Deputy Chairman and Chairman of the Western Australian Planning Commission.

With this background, it was not surprising that John D'Orazio decided to seek election to the state Parliament. He first contested the newly created seat of Ballajura in 1996, and despite polling well he was defeated by Rhonda Parker by just 44 votes. The result made Ballajura the state's most marginal seat. The outcome was reversed in 2001 when John again contested the seat against Rhonda Parker, this time winning by some 2 400 votes. John D'Orazio consolidated his position when re-elected in the 2005 election, achieving a swing of nine per cent and extending his margin to 6 300 votes. This was the highest two-party swing achieved by any candidate of the Australian Labor Party at that election.

In his first term in Parliament, John served as Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee, which undertook a number of high-profile inquiries, such as the engagement of visiting medical practitioners in the Western Australian health system, processes surrounding the operation of hospital trust accounts, the Port Coogee

development and, reflecting his interest in planning, contributions by developers for land development costs. John D’Orazio also served as a member of the Parliamentary Services Committee.

Following the 2005 election, John D’Orazio was appointed as Minister for Justice; Small Business. He also served as Minister for Police and Emergency Services; Justice; Community Safety from February to May 2006.

While John D’Orazio’s political career had many successes, it also served as a reminder of how quickly things can unravel. A series of events forced his resignation as a minister and, later, references to John in investigations by the Corruption and Crime Commission led to his resignation from the Labor Party. Notwithstanding his mixed fortunes during this period, he remained a popular member on both sides of the house. As an Independent he co-sponsored the 2006 bill for a major trial of daylight saving. It should be noted that John was cleared of any wrongdoing with respect to the investigations through the CCC and his party membership was able to be reinstated.

Nevertheless, John’s differences with the Parliamentary Labor Party contributed to his becoming an Independent Labor member in August 2006. He eventually chose to contest the general election in 2008 against the nominated Labor candidate. There does not seem any doubt that his impact in that seat and the overall election, as a consequence, was significant. His strong personal following in Ballajura was reflected in the results and ultimately helped deliver the seat to the current member for Morley.

Following his departure from Parliament, John returned to his pharmaceutical business.

The passing of John D’Orazio represents a great loss to the community, but his many achievements remain as testament to his significant contribution. He certainly will be missed. John D’Orazio had immense impact on his community as a pharmacist and through his extensive public roles at local and state government levels. On behalf of all members, I extend my sincere condolences to his wife Ailsa, his son Greg, his daughter Jessica, his grandson Jaxon, his family and his many friends. It is always a particularly sad moment when we have a condolence motion for a member of Parliament whom most of us would have served with and known well. It is a very sad event and I again restate the great sympathy from me and the Liberal Party to John’s wife and family.

MR E.S. RIPPER (Belmont — Leader of the Opposition) [2.08 pm]: On behalf of the state Parliamentary Labor Party I join with the Premier to pay tribute to John D’Orazio, a man who has touched the lives of so many and whose death on 12 April 2011 at age 55 is being mourned today by people on both sides of this house. John D’Orazio was born on 5 September 1955 in Perth. With an immensely proud history as the son of Italian migrants, John understood personally the trials and tribulations that migrants to Australia faced and overcame. His parents had emigrated to Australia from Abruzzi in 1949 and 1951. John’s family developed a market garden in Bayswater, and whilst living amongst extended family John and his brothers were taught about hard work and making a difference in their community. John decided early on that market gardening might not be for him. As is so often important to migrant families, John’s father told him that he had opportunities in this country that he himself had never had and that John should take them and make the most of them. John went on to become a pharmacist, working solidly for three years and then opening his own chemist store.

John’s first foray into local government came when he challenged the planning precedents that applied to his first pharmacy shop. Finding the planning precedents unreasonable for his situation, and after attending a local council meeting at which little interest from representatives was afforded to his planning application, John’s 17-year local government career was hatched. John’s hard work, commitment and dedication to the City of Bayswater over 17 years will remain his strong legacy. Seventeen years serving the City of Bayswater and its local people left John widely known and respected. When he was elected to this local government in 1981, John was aged only 24 years, and at the time was the youngest sitting councillor. He would go on to become Mayor of the City of Bayswater in 1984 at the age of 27. He successfully delivered many community programs, including embracing the “garden city” concept, recycling schemes and Security Watch. John was not merely a leader in Bayswater; he was a genuine leader amongst his peers in local government.

John contested the state seat of Ballajura in 1996 in a difficult election for Labor, but he was defeated by Rhonda Parker by a mere 44 votes. His commitment to public office did not waiver. He contested the seat again in 2001. I remember that period well. There was a challenge to his preselection, and such was Geoff Gallop’s confidence in John D’Orazio that he staked his leadership on the successful outcome of that preselection for John. John was elected to the thirty-sixth Parliament for the seat of Ballajura on 10 February 2001. He defeated a sitting member, and thus was an important part of Labor’s victory in that election. In only his first term in Parliament, John was appointed Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee, and was also a member of the Parliamentary Services Committee from August 2001. Throughout, he was an influential voice in our caucus.

John was re-elected in 2005 when the Gallop government was returned to office. His re-election again was an important part of our victory. John’s contributions and skills were recognised when he was appointed Minister for Justice; Small Business from March 2005, and then Minister for Police and Emergency Services; Justice; Community Safety from February 2006 to May 2006. During his time as minister, John oversaw a dramatic

increase in the standards of management of community-based sentences and, in 2005, the lowest road toll in Western Australia for 40 years. As small business minister, he restructured the network of small business centres. Although he was a very hardworking and effective minister, following controversy he left the ministry. Later events showed that much of this controversy was based on unfounded and/or exaggerated assertions. Ultimately, in 2008 he stood as an Independent candidate for his seat. Many Labor people deeply regret the breach that opened between John D'Orazio and our party. In time that breach may well have been healed in a formal as well as an informal sense. It is cruel that John was denied time for not only that, but also so much else that his contribution to our community warranted.

John's career was shaped by his tireless dedication and work, representing first the people of Bayswater, and then those of the seat of Ballajura. He indisputably listened to his father when he was first told to go after every opportunity in this country. His commitment to the community and others is something I sincerely hope will always be remembered. As the Premier has said, the sad loss of John D'Orazio so suddenly, and at a relatively young age, touches us all in a way that is above party politics.

On behalf of the Parliamentary Labor Party, I would again like to offer Ailsa and all of John's family my sincere condolences.

MR T.K. WALDRON (Wagin — Deputy Leader of the National Party) [2.14 pm]: I rise to support this condolence motion personally, and on behalf of the Parliamentary National Party. As previous speakers have indicated, John D'Orazio was a popular and highly respected member of this place, and his passing last month was indeed a shock to us all. John will be forever remembered as a passionate advocate for, and tireless contributor to, his local community. As the Premier mentioned, not many of us can lay claim to such dedication to public life. John became Mayor of the City of Bayswater at a very young age—27—and remained mayor for 17 years. The first two speakers on this motion covered a lot of that part of John's life.

John was also an outstanding sportsman. He and I became parliamentarians at the same time in 2001, and I have many fond memories of John around this place; having cups of coffee and chats—all the usual things we do around here. I remember that so well. I remember him also on the cricket field, playing for the parliamentary team, and afterwards enjoying a quiet drink. On quite a few occasions, he gave me great assistance when he was a minister, and I am sure that he offered similar assistance to other members of this place.

I also really valued John's personal friendship; he was a good bloke. John D'Orazio's passing is a tremendous loss to the Western Australian community. His service in both local and state politics, and in many other parts of society, is not lost on the National Party and is well recognised.

On behalf of the parliamentary National Party, and personally, I express our condolences to his wife, Ailsa, and to his family and friends.

MRS M.H. ROBERTS (Midland) [2.16 pm]: John D'Orazio was my friend. I first met him when he was Mayor of Bayswater and was also performing other roles, such as chair of the Western Australian Planning Commission. John was first and foremost a servant of his local community. He was Mayor of Bayswater at the young age of 27, and he served his community with dedication and distinction. Much of his contribution in that respect has already been placed on the record by the Premier, and I know it will be commented on by other members during this condolence motion.

In December 1996, he was the state Australian Labor Party's candidate for Ballajura and, as the Leader of the Opposition has pointed out, he lost that election by only 44 votes. I remember that election well; it was an election in which the Labor Party lost a few members from this house, and our vote actually went backwards. It was in that environment that John achieved a considerable swing to Labor in Ballajura and very nearly took a seat from the then government. That in itself was a remarkable achievement.

John persisted in state politics and again sought preselection for the 2001 election. Again, that is a period I recall very well. The Leader of the Opposition has already reflected on the fact that that was a hotly contested preselection battle. I remember it particularly well for the reason that my youngest daughter was born in July 1999. At one point I remember saying to John, "Look, I'm not sure I'm going to be able to keep coming to all these meetings to plan things with you because I've got the baby," and he would always just say, "Bring her too! We like having babies!" We had a lot of meetings to which I took my youngest daughter during that time, while we worked firstly on his preselection and then on his election campaign.

It was a remarkable campaign and, as the Leader of the Opposition has commented, John certainly was a very important part of that victory for Labor in 2001. He defeated a sitting member who was well regarded and well resourced, and who had also run a very, very good campaign. John emerged the victor in that campaign, and it was testament to his local popularity and the general esteem in which he was held in the local community that he won his place in this Parliament.

John was a member of this place for some seven and a half years. He served as a backbencher, a committee member, a minister and a cabinet member. He sat on the government side as a member of the Labor government

and then on the crossbenches as an Independent Labor member. One of the many great sadnesses I felt at John's funeral was just how little reference was made to his time in this place; it was as if John's life had gone into a kind of hiatus between February 2001 and August 2008. This was not because John had ever done anything of which he should have been ashamed; it was because the Labor Party stood by and let John be treated shamefully. John was not expelled; he was prevailed upon by the then parliamentary leader to resign. I am not aware of any other similar circumstances in which anyone has been asked by either major party to resign when they have not been found guilty of anything. Indeed, John was not even charged with anything. I hope that that kind of thing will never happen in the Labor Party again. What John did in a number of instances was neither illegal nor corrupt. At worst, some of the things could be described as inappropriate or unwise. What compounded this scandal, though, was the shameful and cruel depiction of John in the print media as some kind of "Godfather". In an instant his reputation, decency, probity and public service was sacrificed at the altar of the cheap media shot, the easy headline or the glib front page. I am certainly proud through all that time to have been able to call John D'Orazio my friend—a good and loyal friend—who I know had I been in a similar circumstance would have stood by me. That does not mean that we always agreed on everything; we certainly did not. Daylight saving was one clear example on which we absolutely did not agree.

One thing one could rely upon John to do was to fight for his own views and his own principles with tenacity and with a totally undiminished will to win. John never gave up; he fought for his community, he fought his way back into the Labor Party that had put him aside, and he fought the cruel disease that ultimately took his life. If there was a benefit in John leaving this house, it was certainly to his family, who, in recent times, had had him back at least for a time. Losing his parliamentary seat meant that John could again do some of those things that he really was very, very good at: be a neighbourhood chemist, a husband, a father, a grandfather and a friend. I certainly want to place on record my condolences to his wife, Ailsa; his children, Greg and Jessica; his brothers, Tom and Rocco; his former wife, Roz; and all the very many family members who were close to John. I will certainly miss him. I will miss his great heart, his determination, his grin, his infectious cheerfulness, his optimism, his honesty and his integrity. The community really has lost a champion. This house has lost a gifted and generous alumnus. I have certainly lost a friend.

Before I conclude, I also want to say a few words on behalf of the former member for Swan Hills, Jaye Radisich, who also has been dealing with some health issues and did not contest the last election. Members may be aware that Jaye shared an office in this house with John. These words are from Jaye —

John D'Orazio was a colleague who became a friend, and his friendship endured beyond our two terms in parliament. I could not have asked for a better roommate during our time in office. We both experienced many personal and political highs and lows during that period and to John's credit he always remained calm in the face of adversity. I was lucky that John was a very patient office-mate who always knew when to leave me be, or when to offer sage advice or a Cherry Ripe from his secret stash.

Despite the mostly unfounded criticism that John faced over time, he maintained an amazing ability to distinguish the wheat from the chaff. He remained optimistic when lesser men could easily have become negative and bitter about the sort of treatment John and his family were subjected to. This strength and belief in what was really important stayed with John to the end.

My last conversation with John was very circumspect. We spent a long time swapping notes about our respective medical conditions and varying quality of the medical treatment that we were receiving. We joked that we might have even caught something from our shared room at Parliament House. But most of all, I remember coming away from my last conversation with John with a strong sense that he was content and at peace, despite the injustice of his one-in-a-million medical condition. Few people could have maintained such dignity in the face of the fate that he had been dealt.

I will always be grateful for having known John and to have had him as a friend and colleague. I will remember him dancing with his wife Ailsa with a spring in his step and a twinkle in his eye. I will always think of John whenever I see crayfish mornay or oysters kilpatrick on a menu. And far from being the "godfather" that he was portrayed as, he was a loving father and grandfather.

I extend my deep sympathy to Ailsa, Jessica, Greg and all the D'Orazio family. John's family, many friends and supporters will miss him a great deal, but we can all be thankful that he made an abiding difference to the communities that he worked in, and for, over his lifetime.

Mr Speaker, I will conclude my remarks. We have all lost a great person from the community and he will be missed by very many people.

MS M.M. QUIRK (Girrawheen) [2.25 pm]: The passing of our valued colleague John D'Orazio at the age of 55 years was untimely. I extend my heartfelt condolences to his wife, Ailsa; his children Greg and Jessica; and to the extended family, including his brothers, Rocco and Tom D'Orazio. I acknowledge his family and supporters and friends in the gallery.

As his close friend and successor as Mayor of Bayswater, Lou Magro, observed at John's funeral, it was ironic that it was John's generous heart that ultimately failed him.

As we have heard today, John's legacy is substantial. He has a remarkable history of public service both in local government and in state Parliament. He was a warm and charismatic person of action, passion and enthusiasm. As we have also heard, John was proud to be the first member of Parliament in Australia from an Abruzzese heritage. His achievements and leadership in local government were impressive. The most impressive aspect of his leadership is that it was sustained over a long period. He served first as a councillor and then as Mayor of the City of Bayswater. He served as mayor for 17 consecutive years, resigning only to contest the parliamentary election in 2001. We have also heard that as a testament to that great service, the City of Bayswater named a park after him on the corner of Guildford and Garratt Roads, Bayswater. He was also made a Freeman of the City of Bayswater in recognition of his commitment and dedication to the city and its community.

John was a great strategic thinker and a man of vision. The innovative programs that he drove at the City of Bayswater, and more importantly delivered, were among many firsts in local councils. Bayswater council had the distinction of being debt free; the first amongst its peers. Other services were delivered, such as Security Watch, recycling and one of John's particular passions, the setting up of a community bank. He also presided over many major projects, including the construction of Centro Galleria, Bayswater Waves aquatic centre and Morley Sport and Recreation Centre. These will of course be living memorials to John's diligence, enthusiasm and commitment to his local community. It is also important to remember John's eight years as a planning commissioner. He was also Deputy Chairman and Chairman of the Western Australian Planning Commission. I have never seen anyone who was quite as enthusiastic about planning issues as John; it is a rare talent, I think.

John was also a community activist. In 1999 he led a campaign to stop aircraft noise affecting the suburbs within the City of Bayswater. That ultimately resulted in a deed of agreement being entered into between Perth Airport and municipalities groups.

A tribute issued to the media by the City of Bayswater at the time of John's passing described him as an inspirational and visionary civic leader who worked tirelessly to improve the quality of life for the Bayswater community.

In February 2001, John was elected to state Parliament as the member for Ballajura as part of the distinguished class of 2001. I, too, share the member for Midland's concern that not much was said at John's funeral about his state parliamentary career. In his time in Parliament, John was Chair of the Public Accounts Committee from 2001 to 2005, and, during that time, the committee was extremely active at John's instigation. It is always very interesting when one is Chair of the Public Accounts Committee and one is in government; that is a fine line to tread. The Public Accounts Committee released a number of reports within that period, including reports on contracts entered into between Consolidated Constructions Pty Ltd and Main Roads WA and the Public Transport Authority; developer contributions for infrastructure costs associated with land development; hospital trust accounts, which has had reverberations for some time since; the Port Coogee development; the review of the role of the Public Accounts Committee; ensuring public sector accountability in the twenty-first century; and the use of visiting medical practitioners in the WA hospital system.

In 2005 John was appointed Minister for Justice and Minister for Small Business. He was then given the portfolios of police, emergency services and community safety in 2006. Under the justice portfolio, it was John's responsibility to implement the recommendations of the Mahoney inquiry into the prison system. This included securing \$100 million funding from Treasury—no mean feat. He also established the Department of Corrective Services. In that capacity, he announced the 10-year reform program, Restoring the Balance, to deal with the management of offenders in custody and in the community. Under this program, corrections staff were given more support and more resources to do their jobs. These increased resources included a 24 per cent increase in the number of permanent community corrections officers, a 33 per cent increase in the number of new permanent juvenile justice officers, and a \$19 million boost for prison staffing, including at least 70 new positions and funds for training. Very importantly, under this program a set of professional standards to provide clear guidelines and procedures for offender management was put in place across the service. The program also aimed for better integration of custodial and community-based offender management, intelligence gathering and performance measuring. Finally, new measures were put in place for the classification of prisoners so that prisoners were placed appropriately, and to increase offender participation in work and training.

As small business minister, John exercised considerable political courage in undertaking a review of the business enterprise centre network. As part of the review, less productive and less efficient centres became targeted for closure. John did not demur, because he had the goal firmly in his sight to ensure that the small business centres gave the best service for their local communities. I believe it was his leadership that pushed the review through, and we now have more efficient small business centres placed strategically, despite the strident criticism at the time.

As I succeeded John in both these portfolios, it became apparent to me that senior bureaucrats of both departments held him in very high regard. John also took on the role of police minister in February 2006, which was one I believe he relished and had aspired to for some time. He was extremely active, and he presided over significant amendments to the Pawnbrokers and Second-hand Dealers Act. At first blush, this might not seem that important, but it closed loopholes to significantly restrict stolen goods from being traded through those outlets. Over time this has greatly assisted in the decline of burglary rates.

Despite high office and its many demands, John always remained in close contact with those who elected him. As a local member, he was extremely active and highly regarded. I was told that he was loved by seniors and that he was generous and kind to everyone. I am told also that he paid more than one electricity bill of a constituent who had got himself into financial difficulty. As a local member, John fought hard for and was successful in maintaining the continued operation of the Ballajura Police Station. Many in the area—the member for West Swan will attest to this—believe that the incidences of crime in the area have escalated since the closure of that station by the current government. John also worked closely with principal Steffan Silcox of Ballajura Community College, Councillor Maria Haynes from the City of Swan and RSL President Bill Gaynor to establish the Peace Park in the grounds of Ballajura Community College so that the local community has a focal point for its Anzac Day commemorations. Until then, the school hall was used, which was simply too small and became too crowded.

In John's latter years as an Independent member, he was not content to sit back and just work his electorate; he still wanted to make a contribution. In that context he and the then member for Kalgoorlie, Matt Birney, MLA, introduced a private member's bill on daylight saving. The bill was nothing if not polarising. It kept everyone, irrespective of their party, on their toes defending their individual positions with interest groups and their constituents.

I wistfully thought of John on Sunday during the football derby. He was an avid Eagles supporter. As we know, in recent years that loyalty has been sorely tested. He regarded Dockers supporters such as me as misguided, and did not pull his punches in telling us so. He loved going on fishing trips with friends to the Abrolhos and I recall him lobbying me when I was the Whip to let him off a day or so early so that he could go on one such trip. My steely resolve was sorely tested.

In his latter years, John's parliamentary career was marked with controversy, as the media likes to put it. I want to briefly reflect on those issues because I believe they provide valuable lessons and they are a part of John's legacy. During hearings at the Corruption and Crime Commission, counsel assisting used the word "godfather" when referring to Mr D'Orazio. That was deeply offensive on several levels. Firstly, it was an ethnic slur that offended the many thousands of Western Australians of Italian descent and, secondly, as someone from Abruzzi, he was used to hearing such allegations more usually made about the Camorra from Naples, the 'Ndrangheta from Calabria or the Mafia from Sicily. That would have been particularly galling for John. More seriously, the allegations had no basis in fact and were calculated to titillate and attract media attention. With power comes responsibility, and this allegation was irresponsible. This instance, I think, brings into focus whether public hearings of the CCC are always appropriate. It is necessary to weigh up the public interest of destroying the reputation of a high-profile individual against some illusory forensic advantage. Many other analogous commissions do not hold public hearings, yet are able to achieve results and even convictions. I worked for a little under 10 years at one such organisation. I believe that some threshold criteria should be mandated before a decision is made to hold a public inquiry. It is noteworthy, however, that since the shameful incident regarding John D'Orazio, fewer hearings seem to have been held in recent years.

The final issue I want to raise is the hounding of Mr D'Orazio from the Australian Labor Party. It does not reflect well on our party. Within the party we pride ourselves on having rules and observing due process. We vociferously defend in this place the need for due process and an individual's right to natural justice, yet when things got a little rough, the pressure was exerted on Mr D'Orazio to resign from the party. It was nothing short of constructive dismissal. Leadership, I think, can be most accurately judged and assessed by how things are handled in challenging times. The lack of loyalty and the craven actions of our so-called leader at the time do him no credit. I hope this episode will embed into the corporate memory of our party so that in the future we will act in a way that more favourably reflects our values and principles.

John was a hardworking, kind, gregarious, fun-loving people's person. As my former colleague the former member for Geraldton, Shane Hill, said to me the other day, John was larger than life. He remained in touch with the community that he served, and he was a can-do person. He believed that no obstacle was too great to overcome. As others have already observed, he was a person of boundless optimism.

It was a credit to John that after Parliament he got on with growing his new business, a pharmacy in Alexander Heights. He had always been in the people business, and this gave him the opportunity to continue to help people. He enthusiastically embraced his third phase of life without any bitterness or rancour. He very much

enjoyed being out of the public eye for the first time in 30 years and was looking forward to the future and spending more time with his beloved Ailsa and family.

DR K.D. HAMES (Dawesville — Deputy Premier) [2.40 pm]: It is great to have this opportunity to say something about John, because I regarded John as one of my closest friends. I congratulate all those who have spoken before me for providing an excellent history of John's life, his election and subsequent components. I particularly commend the comments about the way he was treated. They are very self-reflective comments. Perhaps not every person in the opposition agrees with those comments, but I personally wholeheartedly support them.

I start by apologising to Ailsa and the family for not being able to attend John's funeral. I was in the Kimberley at the time with the Minister for Regional Development and the federal Special Minister of State Hon Gary Gray. A large number of meetings had been committed to for some time. I deeply regret not being able to attend the funeral to say goodbye to John. To me it is fantastic to have this opportunity to make some comments.

I first met John under circumstances similar to what he went through when he was elected to the City of Bayswater—namely, a dispute with the council. I was in a practice at the time. The shopping centre wanted to buy land that the council had for sale across the road. When I went and made that application, the council changed its mind and withdrew it from sale. I lobbied all of the councillors at the time and ended up in John's pharmacy on Guildford Road in Bayswater. I had a long and very interesting discussion with John, who then said, "Look, if you feel that strongly, why don't you run for council and we'll support you." That was the start of a very long association with John.

There was a factional group at Bayswater council. It was not political. In fact, there were two factions that contained Labor members, one of whom was working as secretary for one of the ministers at the time. The other faction contained John and subsequently had Hon Adele Farina as one of its members. I was in that particular faction. I can tell members that John had no political allegiances at that time. In fact, he previously helped one of the federal Liberal members during an election campaign. I got to know John through that process. In fact, at one stage I was about to become deputy mayor under John and was supported by him, but Hon Adele Farina decided that that was the job for her. Because we were all mates and did not want to break up the faction, I stepped aside and Adele became deputy mayor, which was a role that I took on later. It was an interesting time.

John was Bayswater council; John was the driving force behind everything we did. While he had been in council for a short time before my arrival—just a few years when the previous council had been sacked—he was the driving force behind things such as the "garden city" concept and the development of the rubbish collection system through Cleanaway. We still argued with the City of Stirling over who was the first to start the no-loans program, but we swore it was us. It was John in particular who started the no-loans program that in the end created millions of dollars to spend on infrastructure. We both tried to claim credit for the Morley Sport and Recreation Centre. John and I, while being good mates, were fairly fiercely competitive along the way. We both regarded that as our project, as we did the Altone Park development that was built in conjunction with the City of Swan.

What was really interesting at that time was John's age. John is roughly the same age as my wife; he is only a few months younger. John always had the great skill of looking older than he was while most people said that I tended to look a little younger than I was. I remember going to the RSL opposite the park on Grand Prom and one of the old chaps asking John if I was his son. John never forgot it. He always remembered that particular comment with great outrage that anyone could think that he looked that old.

Towards the end of our time in Parliament, John and I went on these legendary fishing trips. As I said, we had become good mates. I am pleased and proud to have been the one who suggested that a park be named after John D'Orazio. He did not like the idea. I have subsequently criticised the City of Stirling for naming everything after sitting members but, in retrospect, I am extremely happy I did that because that was a great outcome, thinking back to what has happened.

I also had a hand in John becoming the planning commissioner. I remember getting a call from Richard Lewis, who was the minister at the time, saying, "We've had this nomination from John D'Orazio, putting himself up as the state planning commissioner. What do you think? I've heard whispers that he's Labor." I said, "No, no, John's not Labor." In fact, I can tell members that he was a member of the Liberal Party. I am not sure if anyone opposite knows that, but John was a member of the Dianella branch of the Liberal Party and he was supporting me when I was trying to get preselection. Even amongst us, branch stacking was alive and well!

Mr E.S. Ripper: Were you ever a member of the Labor Party?

Dr K.D. HAMES: No, I was not. John had no particular allegiances; in fact, his philosophy was pretty much between Liberal and Labor. It is like being an ex-smoker; I have never seen anyone convert so much as John. John became a fanatical Labor member in terms of his philosophy and views on life once he became a member of the Labor Party. The sad thing about that was that all these Italian people in the Morley region, whom we

were all mates with and had regular meetings with, particularly about fundraising for local government election campaigns, subsequently ended up helping him win seats for the Labor Party. I think we lost a lot of good Liberal supporters through that process.

Our group used to go fishing. The two Charlies were probably John's best mates, aside from his close family, of course; that is, Charlie Tricoli, who owns the store on the corner of Beechboro and Walter Roads, and Charlie Fazio. Charlie Fazio was Barry's father-in-law. Charlie Fazio was a long-term Labor supporter who came in behind John and gave him support. He was the fishing coordinator. He would ring us every year. They went to the Abrolhos Islands every year. They went before my time, during my time and after my time. I went about eight or 10 times. I was the token skip, not the skipper but the skip Australian. They liked me there because I was a doctor. I would hand out all the seasick tablets and the like. We had Charlie Fazio, Basil Ricciardello, Vito Prestiani, Pino Gangemi and Frank and Larry, whose surname I can never remember.

Mr M.J. Cowper: Pantaleo.

Dr K.D. HAMES: That is right. Larry runs the Pinjarra bakery, which is in the member for Murray–Wellington's electorate. We were all part of the group that went fishing. I think I mentioned everyone; I apologise if I missed anyone. We had a great time. Charlie and Larry were the cooks. We would have pasta all the time, and capretto. We played briscola. We had this great competition playing briscola every time we went away. I remember John for this game that he and Charlie used to play. If one were Italian, one would know it. It is sort of like a hammer, nail, scissors thing. We would be ready to go fishing at six o'clock in the morning with Charlie and John. It is like a one–two game, but they would call out a number like tre or quattro. I heard earlier today the name of this game, but it was never-ending—they used to drive us crazy playing that stupid game. Of course, once we got fishing we were fanatical fishers. John and I would be on opposite sides of the boat, watching each other like hawks to see who could catch the most fish and who could catch the biggest fish. Sadly, he always caught the most dhuiies, but I caught the most fish so I guess in some ways we were even.

I will just talk briefly about what happened. It is interesting; I think me being in Parliament was a stimulus for John to get in himself because we were so competitive. I got into Parliament first but I lost in 2001 when John was elected, so for four years he was in this place on his own. I came back to Parliament when he was a minister, but we still got on very well and talked often. I just want to talk briefly about individual events without being critical of what happened. Remember, one event was driving without a licence. Subsequently, it was discovered that the police had sent the licence renewal to the wrong address although they had his actual address. I think there was a court case, but the police apologised for what happened. Therefore, John never in effect drove without a licence.

The second event was the issue of superannuation. I know John copped a lot of criticism for not having paid superannuation to his staff. However, we in fact discussed that before the proverbial hit the fan because one of his staff had come to him and said that they had not been paid their superannuation. At the time John was working hard as a minister, he had someone else running his practice. John said to the accountant, "Go through all the books. Find out who's been paid and who hasn't." They found that some staff had not been paid superannuation, some staff had been paid too much superannuation and some staff had not been paid enough superannuation. When John went to make the payment to fix it all up, the tax office said that he could not do that; there had to be a review and it had to be paid as a lump sum and that was what was going to happen. In between that, the girl at the heart of the story went to the media and suddenly it became a major press story.

The last issue involved the Corruption and Crime Commission with regard to Mr Minniti. I know Mr Minniti very well; his children attended the same school as my kids, as in fact did John's kids—they all went to the same school over the years. Members need to know Mr Minniti to know what Mr Minniti was like, but if he rang John to say, "You need to come to my house", he would not ring once; he would ring a number of times and he would not stop below insisting that John came. If someone wants their local member to go to their house, generally the member goes, particularly if the member is Italian, part of that community and as community-minded as John was; they have to go. So John went to Mr Minniti's house. There was a suggestion that because Mr Minniti was being investigated and subsequently, I think, found guilty of doing some deals with the police to have people let off their speeding fines, there was a connection between that and John's issue with the police, which had nothing to do with that; it was about a licence fine—a totally different issue. Quite obviously after that, John was found not guilty.

As a result of that whole sequence of events, whereby John had either not done anything wrong or there was a simple error not of his doing, he ended up being kicked out of the party, and we all know the events that followed that particular occasion.

John D'Orazio was one of the most honest guys I have ever known, one of the most dedicated guys I have ever known and one of the most family-oriented guys I have ever known. He was absolutely totally dedicated to his electorate, to his supporters and to his party colleagues, who were part of his group in particular. John is a person of whom everyone who was associated with him was proud. He was dynamic and he was intelligent. He always

had to be a minister; we could not have had someone who was that smart and that good at getting things done not being a minister in the end. Throughout it all, John was a very loyal friend. To his family, it is great to see you here today, great to see you again, and I wish you all the best.

MR J.C. KOBELKE (Balcatta) [2.54 pm]: In speaking to the condolence motion for John D’Orazio, it is almost impossible to do him justice in terms of the contribution he made at the state and local government level, and to the community, which he served as a pharmacist and in many unofficial ways.

A number of members have already mentioned much of his history and I therefore do not wish to go over the same ground and repeat that history. However, I need to put on the record my sincere condolences to his wife, Ailsa, his children, Greg and Jessica, and to the other members of his family and his many friends; particularly those who are here in the gallery today.

My first contact with John D’Orazio was as the member for Nollamara. Many years ago, part of the Nollamara electorate coincided with the City of Bayswater. I knew John not only as the mayor that he was for many years, but also as another boy who grew up in Bayswater. John was a Bayswater boy through and through. He grew up there and he continued to live there; Bayswater was John D’Orazio. John D’Orazio belonged to Bayswater and he never wavered from his commitment to that community and the people in it; taking his responsibilities well beyond that community as he moved into various other roles.

Members have already commented on his leadership skills as the Mayor of Bayswater for some 17 years. The fact is that the City of Bayswater was innovative and a leader in local government and, as the Minister for Health has already mentioned, John was the driving force behind that. He had so much energy, so much enthusiasm and was so friendly. People warmed to him because they saw in John D’Orazio someone they could trust, someone who was going to work for them, and someone who was very good at delivering positive outcomes. I think we see many areas in which John D’Orazio had a positive impact on the people he lived with and next to in the community that he genuinely wanted to serve.

He worked energetically for his community for many years; thereby earning fantastic respect and support from that community. Members have already reflected on some aspects of that. As the member for that area when John was the mayor, I now want to mention a number of things that members have not alluded to.

Members of the large and growing Jewish community in Noranda found it quite a distance to travel to the Mt Lawley synagogue—particularly if they were orthodox Jews. John was instrumental in getting the land on which to build a schule in Noranda to serve that community.

I also have some very good friends in the Filipino community; another very important and growing community in the Ballajura area. That community’s club headquarters are now in Bedford in the City of Bayswater; again, through the efforts of John D’Orazio who saw the importance of a local headquarters to enable Filipino community members to support each other and to build connections with the local community, and become a very important part of our community.

Another personal connection I shared with John was his fantastic knowledge of town planning. He served on the then State Planning Commission in a range of areas. He understood planning and was a great advocate of good planning. However, he was also aware of the economic realities of getting developments to go ahead. When I was shadow Minister for Planning in the 1990s, I relied on his sage advice and wealth of experience in planning matters.

It is clear to me from members’ contributions and from the things many people have said to me that John had an incredible political or leadership ability to understand what people wanted, to see the opportunities, and to put in the energy and drive needed to achieve positive outcomes for the people he sought to help. We see that in the many areas to which people have alluded, including the things that he did as the Mayor of Bayswater, the things he did as a member of Parliament, when he joined us in 2001, and the things I saw him do as a ministerial colleague following the 2005 election. However, it was also clear that when, because of the problems we experienced in the Labor Party, John began to serve as an Independent member, his enthusiasm, drive and commitment remained. It was evidenced by what he did in the daylight saving trial. Coming from a business background, believing that there was a real need to make a change, he saw the opportunity, and teamed up with then member Matt Birney to put legislation through the Parliament. I give that as one of the many examples one can raise of John D’Orazio’s ability to judge an issue, to see that a group wanted something done and to have the drive, energy and real political nous to deliver it. I think his contribution to local government and this place is something that needs to be admired and respected, and is something for which we should all be very thankful. When John left politics following his loss in 2008 when he ran as an Independent candidate, he went back to pharmacy; that enthusiasm was still there to serve the community. I will give another example to highlight that. Janine Freeman, the member for Nollamara, whose electorate covers Alexander Heights, has expressed to me the feedback she has received from local constituents who went to his pharmacy. Those people acknowledge that John D’Orazio was not only a pharmacist, but also someone who was interested in people and was there to serve

and help them. In his most recent career, going back to pharmacy, he was still the same John D’Orazio who worked for people and tried to achieve outcomes for them and look after them. We are certainly very much the worse for his passing. Again, my condolences go to Ailsa and all the family, who have suffered a great loss with John’s early passing.

MR G.M. CASTRILLI (Bunbury — Minister for Local Government) [3.01 pm]: I also wish to pay tribute to the former Mayor of Bayswater and fellow parliamentarian, Mr John D’Orazio, who passed away in April this year. John was born in Mt Lawley and raised in Bayswater. He attended Christian Brothers College in Highgate. His family has a long association with and history in the area after emigrating from Abruzzi, Italy, in 1949 and 1951. John entered local government in 1981 at the age of 24 and, as said, he was elected to the west ward in the City of Bayswater, which made him the youngest sitting councillor at the time. At the age of 27 he was elected to the position of mayor and served as Mayor of the City of Bayswater for 17 consecutive years before being elected into state Parliament in 2001 as the member for Ballajura. John’s 17 consecutive years as Mayor of the City of Bayswater reflects his commitment and dedication to the community. His ability to guide the council and drive the delivery of significant programs and projects signified his ability as a leader. In his early years as mayor, John showed great leadership in supporting the “garden city” concept, which has been highly acclaimed and acknowledged by the community, neighbouring councils and local government.

Amongst his many attributes was his ability to champion the community’s needs in areas such as recycling, Security Watch, community banking, aged care and child care. One of John’s great concerns was the environment and he was instrumental in the introduction of the first co-mingled kerbside recycling scheme and of wheelie bins. The City of Bayswater acknowledged former Mayor D’Orazio’s contribution to the city by naming a park after him. In 1999 John was awarded the highest honour that any local authority can bestow on an elected member and was made a freeman of the city in recognition of his commitment to the city and its community.

John chaired planning committees at both local and state levels and ventured into other fields of government and community interest, sitting on a wide variety of committees and boards. He was a chairperson of the Perth Airports Municipalities Group in Western Australia and vice-president of the Australian Mayoral Aviation Council. In 1999 he led a campaign to stop aircraft noise affecting the suburbs within the City of Bayswater. Many people thought that this was an impossible task, but not John; he got there because he had the strength, determination and willpower to succeed. John D’Orazio will be remembered for his commitment to and tireless efforts for the local government sector in general and to the community of the City of Bayswater in particular.

May I say that several people have actually mistaken me for John; I am not sure why! Maybe it is because he is Abruzzese and I am Molisano. He always used to say to me, “He is from Abruzzi,” and I would say, “No, John, I am from Molise.” He would say, “No, you are Abruzzese. Do not worry about it. You are an Abruzzese. Come with us. You are one of us.” I have had the pleasure on many occasions of being mistaken for John and I have had to correct many people over the years.

John’s passing at what I consider to be a young age really shocked me and many other people whom I know. John was very well respected and a very loyal person to his friends and family. That loyalty and respect was well reciprocated and he is deeply missed. I convey my sincere condolences to John D’Orazio’s family, including his wife, Ailsa; daughter, Jessica; son, Greg; brothers, Rocco and Tom; and extended family.

MR M.P. WHITELEY (Bassendean) [3.05 pm]: I cannot claim to have known John D’Orazio as well as many members of this place, but I am probably one of those who have known him the longest, if not longer than anybody, with the possible exception of the Deputy Premier. I first met John 28 years ago when John was the coach of the Morley Gaelic Football Club and I was the coach of the County O’Como Gaelic Football Club. John was an excellent Gaelic footballer. I think John played colts for East Perth and went on to set up a Gaelic football club, the Morley Gaelic Football Club. I think John won the best and fairest award for Gaelic football at least three times, possibly four times, in Western Australia. He was certainly a member of the victorious 1978 Western Australian Gaelic football team that won the Australasian carnival. I think he was captain—I may be wrong—of the Australian team that toured Ireland in 1978.

I did not start playing Gaelic football until 1983 and by that stage John was 26, although we thought he looked a lot older; we thought John was probably about 30. John was still a very good Gaelic footballer, but his knees had gone a little, so he was not quite the force that he was previously. Nonetheless, he managed to assemble a fearsome team in Morley. County O’Como was known as the Australian team. John’s team, Morley, was known as the Italian team and the other team that was a bit of a force in the competition was St Finbarrs Gaelic Football Club, which was the Irish team. Come the end of the season, my team played off in the second last game of the season and won that game. Morley was playing St Finbarrs in the last game of the season. Had St Finbarrs, which was coming third but was a powerful team, beaten Morley in the final game of the season, County O’Como would have won the league, because it was a points-based system. We won our game and had a shower and got a few beers and were lined up on the sidelines and were willing to cheer on St Finbarrs. St Finbarrs

seemed to dislike Morley even more than they disliked us, so they were going to try to give them a walloping. John displayed a skill that I came to understand a lot better later in life; John had enhanced the ability of the Morley team by recruiting half the Subiaco league side for the final game. They ran out on the field and, needless to say, they went on to thump St Finbarrs and win the league. We were all a bit ratty at John for what we considered stacking of the Morley team at the time, but I have to be honest; I would have done exactly the same thing had I had the opportunity and the connections that John obviously had.

John was a very competitive person, particularly on the sporting field, but I cannot be critical of him because, if anything, I am probably more competitive in my nature than John is. In fact, it is probably time for me to apologise to John for blaming him for running me out in the parliamentarians versus press cricket match of 2008. I hit the ball past Peter Kennedy to one of the journalists, took off for a single and forgot to see if John was coming. When I got halfway down the pitch I had a look at John and there he was, sitting in his crease on his bat with no intention of moving. I blamed John. In fact, I said some quite uncomplimentary things about John. At the time he was not a member of the Labor Party and I made some quite uncomplimentary comments about his prospects of returning to the Labor Party. People thought I was joking, but those who know me well know that I am very competitive, particularly in sport. John, I have to concede today that there was not a run in it and your call was quite correct and you did the right thing.

I did not see John from the 1980s, when I played Gaelic football with him, through to 1996 when I became a member of the Labor Party. I obviously ran into John through the Labor Party. It is funny how things turn out. The events around John's preselection in 1999 and the shift in the balance of power in the party at the time delivered me preselection for Roleystone by a margin of two votes. If John had not been around at the time, I would not be a member of Parliament, so I guess I need to thank him for that.

The most I had to do with John in his parliamentary career was when he was Chair of the Public Accounts Committee. John was a very fierce chair of a committee of inquiry. He was not so worried about being polite; he was more worried about getting to the truth of a situation. He really thought the duty of committees of inquiry was to inquire and to ask the difficult questions. I respected the role that he played in that. I particularly remember a few inquiries we did into visiting medical officers and hospital trust accounts. John was a real bulldog when it came to uncovering the truth. I liked his style. John was a doer; a person who was full of energy. He was interested in outcomes, sometimes at the expense of process. He certainly was someone who liked to get things done. He made a valuable contribution to the state and to his local community. Unfortunately I could not be at John's funeral because I was in the United States at the time. I would have liked to have been there but I would certainly like to take this opportunity to pass on my deepest sympathy to Ailsa and John's family.

DR G.G. JACOBS (Eyre) [3.11 pm]: I will not spend a lot of time speaking about John D'Orazio. I want to give my condolences to the family and, from an opposition member's perspective—as I was in 2005—I will share some fond memories of John D'Orazio and some of the interesting debates we had. I particularly recall the Pharmacy Bill debate on the corporatisation of pharmacies. From my perspective, the debate was that the corporatisation of medical practices had happened many years ago so why should it not be the same for pharmacists. We talked about ownership of pharmacies only by pharmacists. There was a pharmacist–medical practitioner debate across the chamber for about three and a half hours. Of course John D'Orazio won the debate because the Labor Party was in government. He got his way. I will share with members what happened afterwards in the corridor. John came up to me with a great smile on his face, put out his hand and said, "Member for Roe"—as I was then—"great speech. I agreed with everything you said!" He was not only serious and hardworking but there was a human part of John D'Orazio. He could laugh at himself. Obviously today is testament to his dedication and the work that he has done. He was a great community advocate. I think that life events had not been kind to him, but I am sure all of us recognise his resolve, his ability to get up, and his ability to carry on and represent the community and community interests. He had a heart for us all. I pass on my condolences to the family. I did not know John intimately, but that was my human experience of him. I am sure we are all better people for knowing John D'Orazio.

MR R.F. JOHNSON (Hillarys — Leader of the House) [3.13 pm]: I would like to say a few words about John D'Orazio and endorse the very complimentary comments that have been made so far by members on both sides of the house.

I feel very fortunate in life to have had so many Italian friends, both in the United Kingdom before I emigrated here, and ever since I came to Western Australia 23 years ago. In fact the first friends I made were Italian Western Australians. The love that my family has for the Italians in the community both here and in the UK has resulted in my having three Anglo–Italian–Australian grandchildren—Antonio, Sophia and Lorenzo.

I enjoyed John's company on many occasions, not just in this Parliament but also at many Italian community functions. Indeed I have enjoyed the company of Ailsa and John at a private dinner. One evening I was invited to dinner by a leading Italian–Western Australian, Vince Scurria, at Vince's home. When I arrived, John and Ailsa were getting out of their car. There were about eight of us there. We enjoyed a very good evening.

There were times in this Parliament when I was John's opposite number. He was the Minister for Police; Justice and I was the shadow Minister for Police; Justice. We had to do whatever we had to do in this chamber. We appeared on *Stateline* on two occasions with Rebecca Carmody. John and I would do battle on *Stateline* and both come out claiming victory! The beauty of it was that, as we went into the studio, John and I were, I like to think, good friends. As we came out, we remained good friends. I have to confess that some people think I have some very right-wing views in society on law and order. John had very often told me privately, "I share the same views as you, Rob; don't worry about it." But of course he was a member of the Labor Party and some of its views were not the same as those of the Liberal Party. John was a very decent person. He was a good person in the community. He was very, very well respected not only by the Italian community, which is a huge community in Western Australia, but also by the wider community.

I first met John about 20 years ago when I was the Mayor of Wanneroo and John was the Mayor of Bayswater. I would occasionally meet him over the years and in the ensuing years when John came into Parliament. I think John made a very good contribution to this Parliament. He was a great advocate for not only the people in his electorate but also the wider community. John is somebody I respected. We might have shared the same views but we expressed them differently. John was respected by so many people. It was a loss to this Parliament when he did not retain the seat of Morley. He will be greatly missed by members on both sides of the house who knew him and knew him well. There are many members who knew him better than I did, obviously, but he is somebody I grew to respect and liked very much as a person. He had a good sense of humour; he always had a grin on his face and a cheery word to say. I pass on my personal condolences to Ailsa and the family.

MR I.M. BRITZA (Morley) [3.17 pm]: I take it as a responsibility and a privilege to rise and give my thoughts on the man from whom I tend to feel I inherited my seat—John D'Orazio. I have always felt that it was a great weakness of character to force someone to inherit an "offence" with another person. I was fortunate never to listen to anyone who said what they ever said about John D'Orazio, which meant that when I first met him I was able to meet him on a first-time basis. I met him for the first time a week before the last election, with the member for Eyre. John told me not to be upset or disappointed because "I wasn't in this race". He said, "Just relax, mate, and enjoy the run." I said, "Everyone has been telling me that, so I will." I did not see him again until the day of the election at around one o'clock. We both came from a particular polling booth. John shook my hand and said, "Ian, I believe you've got this one in the bag." I said, "You'd know better than me, John!" Of course history speaks for itself. I never had a great bearing on or understanding of the controversy surrounding John because I was not in this state when it occurred. I have often wondered why disloyalty is so destructive and bitter for someone to accept. I have come to believe it is because disloyalty can only come from someone who has already proven themselves loyal. That is why it hurts so much. However, John never shared his presumed bitterness or disappointment with me, for which I was very grateful.

After I went to the funeral and heard all these wonderful things that were said about John, I thought to myself: it is really true; he epitomises this wonderful phrase that only those who can see the invisible can do the impossible. To me, this statement epitomised John, because he always seemed to see the answer long before the majority even saw the problem. This was the manner in which he led his life, and I am so honoured to have been with him.

In 2008, I won the election in Morley, due in no small measure to the preferences of this man, and that started an extraordinary but wonderful friendship with a man who seemed in some ways to divide public opinion right down the middle. Over the past two years, he had become more of a friend than the opposition. He visited me in my office several times, and he allowed me to throw plenty of questions at him in an effort to gain a better understanding of the electorate that I had inherited from him. Up until the moment when he left us, I regarded him as my primary political mentor. I am sure that will come as a surprise to some people in this house. I do not ever recall John being flattering to me. However, he was completely honest and open about what he thought about me, the electorate and the people whom it is now my responsibility to serve.

I had only two private meetings with John, but I had several meetings with him and other people. I remember that at the first private meeting that we had, we had been talking for about 10 minutes and he stood and said to me, "Stand up", and I said, "Yes, sir!" When I stood up, he ran his hands up and down my backbone, and I said "Are you feeling me up, mate?", to which he responded, "No. I just want to make sure you've got a backbone so that we can strengthen it". I then realised that he was giving me little tests to prove that I was listening to his counsel. When a story appeared in the local newspaper about an issue that he had directed my attention to, he would ring me up and say, "Well done, mate, but for heaven's sake, can you be a bit more aggressive!", to which I used to say, "Watch out, mate; I'm older than you!" I have no doubt that being absent from Parliament allowed him to be less circumspect and more open and retrospective about his approach to public office. I found John to be an extraordinarily forthright and truthful man. He was always respectful, courteous and considerate towards me, and he always wanted to assist me, in every way possible, to hold on to an electorate that is deserving of someone who genuinely wants to serve the community.

Although our friendship was very private and confidential, I am honoured, privileged and grateful to have spent some very valuable time with a man who gave so much of himself to a community that sometimes did not always respond in a manner that reflected his heart for the people he served. His political mentorship, counsel, advice and guidance were immeasurable, and I shall genuinely miss his presence. Penny and I want Ailsa and the family to know that our prayers and thoughts have been with them over these past couple of weeks and they shall continue to be with them as they deal with the physical vacuum left by a man with a great deal of compassion and vision.

The SPEAKER: Members, I ask you to stand in support of this motion and share a minute's silence.

Question passed; members standing.

VICK EVANS

Statement by Minister for Police

MR R.F. JOHNSON (Hillarys — Minister for Police) [3.24 pm]: I, along with other members of this house, was devastated last month to hear of the passing of the former chief executive officer of the Constable Care program, Vick Evans. Vick lost his battle with motor neurone disease, leaving behind his very devoted wife of 40 years, Mary, and their four children, Michael, Sarah, Lisa and Daniel, as well as three grandchildren.

Vick was the CEO of Constable Care for 14 years, building up the program to become a major crime prevention and early intervention strategy for children aged between two and 12. More than 180 000 school-aged children are taught Constable Care "respect and responsibility" messages each year in Western Australia to help shape their attitudes on a range of social issues as they grow into adulthood. Vick was the heart and soul of the organisation and was responsible for bringing the program into the modern age and making it viable by gaining corporate support and reducing debt. Vick was thrilled last year when I announced that the state government would increase the organisation's budget to \$3 million over four years, thus enabling its expansion, particularly in regional Western Australia. It was the start of an exciting chapter in the organisation's history that would enable Constable Care to deliver its effective programs to an additional 28 regional locations.

Sadly, however, just over two months later, upon his return from an overseas holiday, Vick was diagnosed with motor neurone disease, a terminal condition that affects body movement. Four months later, he was confined to a wheelchair. He had lost the use of his arms and legs, had difficulty swallowing, and needed 24-hour-a-day care. Vick was determined not to let the illness affect his life, and he continued to work from home for the next few months, being assisted by his daughters and his wife to communicate with Constable Care colleagues via Skype and email. However, it was evident that his illness was extremely aggressive, and at the age of 59, Vick lost his battle, and he passed away in April, surrounded by his loving family.

Vick's achievements in expanding the role that Constable Care plays in Western Australia, particularly with regard to the protection of young children, will not be forgotten, and he will be sadly missed by many.

ORGAN DONATION — DISCUSSION PAPER

Statement by Minister for Health

DR K.D. HAMES (Dawesville — Minister for Health) [3.26 pm]: On 7 April this year, I received a grievance in this place from the member for North West about the lack of organ donation in Western Australia. The member for North West, along with the member for Jandakot and the member for Bassendean, have long been advocates for consideration and debate of an opt-out system to increase Western Australia's organ donation rate. As I said during that grievance, Western Australia has the lowest organ donation rate of all the states and territories in Australia. Only the Northern Territory has a lower donation rate. Our donation rate in Western Australia is 9.8 per cent, compared with a rate in Tasmania of 13 per cent or 14 per cent. Despite significant funding from the federal government to enable this state to do more to promote organ donation, we have in fact been going backwards. Our rate of increase in organ donation is less than our population growth.

During the grievance debate, I explained that in response to the call from those three members for further debate on this matter, I had asked the Department of Health to prepare a discussion paper that goes through the pros and cons of the various options. That discussion paper was finalised in February, and, after seeking further advice on the paper, I can advise the house that the discussion paper is now ready for tabling. I would remind the house that this discussion paper does not reflect the views of the state government. I have not taken it to cabinet, and I have not taken it to my party room. It has been produced in response to calls from members from both sides of the floor and from three different parties represented in this house. The discussion paper is provided to enable all members to give careful and considered thought to what action this Parliament should take to improve the organ donation rate in this state. To get to that point, I would suggest that the member for North West, the member for Jandakot and the member for Bassendean canvass the views of their party colleagues through their own processes. I am sure that everyone in this house wants to see an improved organ donation rate in Western

Australia, and I have outlined a way forward to achieve that outcome. I table the discussion paper and recommend it to the house.

[See paper 3336.]

COCKBURN CEMENT LTD — LICENCE APPEALS

Statement by Minister for Environment

MR W.R. MARMION (Nedlands — Minister for Environment) [3.28 pm]: Today I determined appeals on Cockburn Cement's licence to manufacture lime and cement. Members have heard me speak a number of times on this matter and will be aware of the longstanding concerns of the community. Members would also be aware that over the seven years of the previous government, urban development came closer to the plant, and the eight new licences that applied to Cockburn Cement did not offer additional protection to the community.

On 20 December 2010, the Department of Environment and Conservation issued an amended licence to Cockburn Cement. That amended licence included a requirement for new equipment to be installed on kiln 6 to dramatically reduce dust emissions. This condition led to Cockburn Cement announcing a \$23 million investment to install a baghouse filter by February 2011.

In my consideration of appeals I visited Cockburn Cement, and I also met with residents. My visit to the site gave me a very clear perspective of the land-use conflict that has arisen due to urban encroachment into the proximity of industrial emissions. The Department of Health also released results from its investigation that showed that dust emissions from kiln 5 also pose a problem, and within the community odour emissions continue to attract a high number of complaints. Given this background, I have extended the requirement for Cockburn Cement to install and operate new pollution control equipment for kiln 6 to kiln 5. To protect the community while the new equipment is being commissioned, I have required Cockburn Cement to stop the feed of any raw materials to any kiln that exceeds dust targets until Cockburn Cement has rectified the problem.

In relation to odour, Cockburn Cement will now be responsible for monitoring and reporting odour emissions. I have also asked the Department of Environment and Conservation to investigate an independent odour assessment, funded by Cockburn Cement, to help determine the nature of the odour in the immediate area and provide a basis for action.

This has been a difficult appeal determination. Cockburn Cement has been operating in the area since 1953. It provides over 300 jobs and valuable resources to the economy. However, there has been ongoing concern about the impact the facility is having on local amenity and public health. In determining the appeals, I consider that additional conditions applied to the licence provide a basis upon which Cockburn Cement can continue to operate at the same time as protecting community health and amenity. I have not been able to undo decisions of the past that led to the conflict, but in determining the appeal I believe I have set contemporary standards of control that properly reflect community expectations.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE — BUDGET PRESENTATION

Statement by Speaker

THE SPEAKER (Mr G.A. Woodhams): Members, I advise that, in accordance with our standard practice, the government has requested that the budget be presented at two o'clock on Thursday afternoon. Accordingly, on Thursday I will call for members' 90-second statements at 12.20 pm and questions without notice at 12.30 pm, and I will leave the chair at 1.00 pm for the lunchbreak.

NATURAL DISASTERS — QUEENSLAND AND WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Condolence Resolution — Letter in Reply — Statement by Speaker

THE SPEAKER (Mr G.A. Woodhams): I take this opportunity to provide members with some information about the response to the Queensland floods condolence motion that was moved in this place. On 15 February 2011, this house recorded its sincere regret at the lives and properties lost and the number of communities affected as a result of the significant flooding in many areas of Queensland. I forwarded a copy of that resolution to the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of Queensland, which he in turn forwarded to the Premier of that state. On 27 April this year, I received a letter from the Premier, Anna Bligh, MP, in which she expressed gratitude for the depth and breadth of people's warmth and generosity in response to the flood and cyclone disasters. The Premier noted that Queenslanders have been shocked and saddened by the devastation that occurred in Western Australia last summer, in particular the bushfires at Lake Clifton, Kelmscott and Roleystone, the floods in Carnarvon and the damage in the wake of cyclone Bianca. She noted, however, that although the summer will be remembered as a story of devastation and horror, and of fragility and vulnerability, it is also a story of hope and inspiration.

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE**FREMANTLE PORT — IRON ORE EXPORTS****256. Mrs M.H. ROBERTS to the Minister for Transport:**

In reference to the minister's decision to block Cazaly Resources Ltd exporting iron ore through Fremantle, I note that section 32 of the Port Authorities Act states that, subject to any direction given by the minister, a port authority has exclusive control of the port, and that section 72 states that the minister may give directions in writing to a port authority with respect to the performance of its functions. Therefore, I ask —

- (1) Has the minister given any direction to the Fremantle Port Authority about the shipment of iron ore; if so, on what date did he give that direction; and, if he has not, does he intend to give one?
- (2) Did the minister discuss his decision to prevent iron ore shipments with the Premier before publicly announcing his decision; and, if so, when and where?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

(1)–(2) I will answer the second part first. I discussed the matter with the Premier yesterday, and also one time earlier in the past week. In addition, staff from my office had been in conversation with members of the Premier's office about that issue.

In relation to the first part of the question, I have not issued a formal direction to the Fremantle Port Authority; I do not expect that I will have to. I do not think the Fremantle Port Authority will move ahead in engaging, in this particular case, with Cazaly iron ore on its plan for the bulk export of iron ore through the inner harbour of Fremantle. In my view and from my experience as the Minister for Transport, it is not unusual for me to have conversations with port authorities about what goes through their ports. I have done it in the past; I suspect I will do it in the future. Let me give the house two examples. The port of Albany made some inquiries of me about live sheep exports through Albany. It was my view, on reflection, that that would not be an acceptable outcome for the people of Albany, nor for the port of Albany, and it did not happen. The port of Bunbury approached me about the export of up to two million tonnes of wheat through the port of Bunbury. I informed the port, not by direction but through conversation, that the export of two million tonnes of wheat through the port of Bunbury was not consistent with the state government's investment in road and rail infrastructure to move grain around Western Australia, and that I would —

Mrs M.H. Roberts: It is under no obligation to take into account your point of view. If you looked at section 71 —

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: Funnily enough, it has not happened. I had a meeting last week —

Mrs M.H. Roberts: If you actually want to prevent it, you'll have to issue a direction; otherwise it will be obliged to consider Cazaly's proposal.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: Perhaps when the member is in government the port will listen to her, but I will give her the tip: I do not expect that the port of Fremantle will entertain this proposal from Cazaly.

Mrs M.H. Roberts: So you think it has no obligation to do it.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: I expect and anticipate that this will cease. The comment has been made today that we have attempted to work around a long-established process. I think the Leader of the Opposition said that we have the Environmental Protection Authority; it should make these determinations. The fact is that the ports are owned by the state, and we make decisions at a high level strategically about what will go through those ports. We have in the past and will continue to do so. The EPA has no role in that. The EPA does not run the ports of Western Australia. It has a role to play, subsequent to decisions being made about what will happen in the ports, to assess those activities on their merits and, if required, apply licensing. I believe very strongly that the government is well within its rights to outline to the port of Fremantle what we expect from it in the export of iron ore. I repeat—I will be interested in the opposition's position—that we do not see the Fremantle inner harbour as being suitable for the export of bulk iron ore. That is why we have been working hard in the Fremantle outer harbour, down at Kwinana, to free up capacity for the export of bulk iron ore. That is why a couple of weeks ago a firm —

Mr E.S. Ripper: You've failed to find a slot at Kwinana, haven't you?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: No; we have just allocated three and a half million tonnes at Kwinana to a company called MRL—Mineral Resources Ltd. We are also working on freeing up additional capacity at Kwinana. The Leader of the Opposition might not be aware of this; he was the Treasurer for only a while. The port of Fremantle has an opportunity to export through Kwinana significant increases in tonnage over the three million to five million tonnes that are currently approved. The point of constriction in our capacity to activate that volume is the metropolitan rail network, and we are currently working on identifying the total volume —

Mr R.H. Cook: And the roads.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: Rail, my friend, because iron ore will come by rail. It is not the best product to have on the roads. It will come by rail, and ultimately the total volume that will go through Kwinana will be determined by the capacity of the rail network to carry it.

The last point I will make is about iron ore producers in the Yilgarn. If the former government had not effectively ran the port of Esperance into the ground and left it incapable of making decisions and functioning as an appropriate port, there would be additional capacity today for companies such as Cazaly to export through Esperance. Esperance has been stuck at 10 million tonnes per annum for a long, long time. The former government's neglect of Esperance is one of the main reasons that at the moment we are under pressure to provide port access to companies such as Cazaly. I want to ask the Leader of the Opposition's opinion on this. He made a very strong argument that the EPA should be the ultimate determiner of what goes through our ports.

Mr E.S. Ripper: I haven't made that argument.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: He made that argument several times yesterday. It is reported in black and white.

Mr E.S. Ripper: I haven't made that argument.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: That is what the Leader of the Opposition said. Let me put it this way: if he were to abrogate his responsibility to the EPA, what would happen if it approved uranium mining and uranium exports through Fremantle, Geraldton or Albany? What would he do? Would he stand up and play the proper role of government, when the proper role of government is at an overarching level to actively participate with our ports in considering what goes through those ports?

FREMANTLE PORT — IRON ORE EXPORTS

257. Mrs M.H. ROBERTS to the Minister for Transport:

I have a supplementary question. Did the minister discuss this matter in any shape or form with the member for Fremantle prior to his announcement; and, if so, when, where and in what context?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

I discussed this matter with both local members who would be impacted by it. The one who will be perhaps the most significantly impacted is the member for Cottesloe, and the other is the member for Fremantle. The member for Fremantle, as I recall, drew to my attention —

Mrs M.H. Roberts interjected.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: She drew to my attention Cazaly's public announcement last week and indicated to me that she would seek further advice from the port on Monday. That was the sum totality of the conversation.

Mr M. McGowan interjected.

JAMES PRICE POINT — AGREEMENT WITH TRADITIONAL OWNERS

258. Mr V.A. CATANIA to the Premier:

Mr Speaker —

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Take a seat, member for North West.

Mrs M.H. Roberts interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Midland, I formally call you to order for the first time today along with the member for Rockingham and the member for Cannington. I would like to hear your question, member for North West.

Mr V.A. CATANIA: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

Since the Liberal-National government came to office it has been working hard to realise the development of the Browse Basin natural gas for the benefit of the state and of the Aboriginal people of the Kimberley. Will the Premier outline to the house the significance of the agreement reached earlier this month with the traditional owners of the land at James Price Point and explain the next steps in getting the project up and running?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

I thank the member for North West for that question. Indeed, I think the decisive vote by the Aboriginal representatives in favour of the LNG precinct was a historic moment for not only the Kimberley and Western Australia but also the nation generally. It was important that a photo of Wayne Bergman, CEO of the Kimberley Land Council, was on the front page of *The Australian*. He deserved to have his photo there. Although this

agreement has taken some time to achieve—it was always my desire and the government's desire to reach agreement by consent—we initiated a process according to the Native Title Act of compulsory acquisition, and they ran parallel. However, it is to the great credit of the Aboriginal people that they held their vote; they had the integrity of their vote and they made their own decision. I am pleased about that, and I think everyone should be pleased about that. That is perhaps one of the most important, if not the most important, acts of self-determination by Aboriginal people in this country. The settlement will involve a mere three and a half thousand hectares—not a lot of land in the context of the Kimberley—over which native title claims will be relinquished over the period of the project. In return, as part of that, something like one and a half billion dollars in benefits of various sorts will come from the initial proponent, Woodside Petroleum, and from the state government. The commonwealth has not contributed to this at this point, but I hope it will. The state government contribution has a value of around \$250 million over the life of the project, and it will be delivered collectively across both Woodside and the state with cash components. There will be also training, employment, housing, education, health services and land grants. It will be a substantial package. This has been a great opportunity for the Aboriginal people of that area to make their own decision and achieve genuine economic independence.

As to what happens now, I guess in every sense the real work begins. It will not be easy to achieve what I think everyone will want to see come out of this agreement. In anticipation, the state will start to deliver some of the components of that agreement. Woodside will get to a final investment decision about June or July next year and I think that will be positive. But we will move forward with the Aboriginal people and Woodside in delivering some of the benefits.

That will be the only LNG precinct in the Kimberley. That is important. It will be a multi-user site. The land is currently unallocated crown land and will not belong to Woodside. It will remain with the state government and sites will be leased to Woodside and any other proponent.

I think the Aboriginal people showed a great deal of courage; they had serious divisions within their communities and they endured an extreme amount of pressure from the media. Anti-development groups, which are entitled to their opinion, did not want to see any development of the Kimberley and put great pressure on the Aboriginal people and tried to intimidate them even up to the last day when they took their vote. I think that was very unfair, very ungracious and very un-Australian. I congratulate the Aboriginal people on that decision. It is now up to this government and successive governments over the life of this project to make sure those benefits are genuine and realised.

FABRICATING INDUSTRIES — JOB LOSSES

259. Mr E.S. RIPPER to the Premier:

I preface my question by congratulating the Kimberley Land Council on the deal that has been done on the Browse Basin gas proposal and say that now the challenge for the state is to deliver benefits to Western Australians from that project. That brings me to my question.

Last week I visited Fitti Steel Fabrications in Yangebup. At that time this company had 20 employees, but it averages 50 employees and has a peak capacity of 80. Since I visited last week, two more workers have gone due to a lack of work.

- (1) Given that job losses in our fabricating industries are real and tangible, what real and tangible actions is the Premier taking to save jobs within our local workshops?
- (2) Just today, on the front steps of this Parliament, workers from AGC told me that their company's workforce is down from more than 700 at the peak of the last boom to approximately 140 today. When can AGC and these workers expect to see real and tangible government action on local content?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

- (1)–(2) I am pleased the Leader of the Opposition congratulated the KLC, but he omitted to congratulate the government on the achievement at James Price Point. History will record that the Leader of the Opposition gave Aboriginal people a veto, he could not negotiate an outcome, he could not get to the point we got to, he did not have the goodwill, he could not sit down with them and he could not act in good faith. His failure is in stark contrast to what has been achieved by this side of politics.

Mr M. McGowan: It's un-Australian to say that.

The SPEAKER: Take a seat Premier. It has taken a long time to get to question time today.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: This government is achieving jobs —

Mr M.P. Whitely interjected.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: Did the member for Bassendean make reference to Botswana?

Mr M.P. Whitely: I said it is un-Botswanian to say what you just said!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: That is unbelievable.

The agreed consent agreement on the James Price Point site means there is a future for employment of Aboriginal people.

Mr E.S. Ripper interjected.

The SPEAKER: Leader of the Opposition!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: There is a future for employment of Aboriginal people and of all people in the Kimberley. A large amount of work and a large number of jobs will flow into the metropolitan area.

Mr E.S. Ripper: It has not happened before.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: The Leader of the Opposition continually talks about the mining boom and I continually tell him that there is not a mining boom, as shown in the very examples he gives.

Mr E.S. Ripper: \$106 billion dollars worth of projects and \$115 billion planned.

The SPEAKER: Leader of the Opposition, I formally call you to order for the first time today. I note that you are interested in getting an answer to this question. Some of the members to my left might not necessarily be interested in getting an answer. I give the call back to the Premier and I expect a little bit more quiet.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: Yes, into the near future there will be an extraordinary array of very large projects that this government is working hard for. Members opposite seem to think these projects just walk in the door and that they are easy to acquire. I can assure them—I think I have had more experience with big projects than anyone in this house —

Several members interjected.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: I think I have. They are all difficult to acquire; they take real effort and real work. The first thing we need to do is get some of these larger projects happening. That is happening under this government—that is step one. Before we talk about who does the work, let us first get the project.

The Leader of the Opposition talked about a company that has lost employees. That is regrettable, and there are others. But there are companies that are increasing their workforces quite dramatically. A few weeks ago, I opened the new Civmec Construction and Engineering Pty Ltd facility at the Australian Marine Complex. I went there early in January when it was operating at about three per cent capacity. Admittedly, it was still finishing off the shed. It is now well above that. Did I get any sense of apprehension about the future from the workers or the managers? No, I did not, because work from Gorgon was starting to accumulate; in fact, it had spilled outside the shed. It was busy. Mining services companies in this state are flat out, and there is a lot of activity and work coming. Again, I could find companies that are in decline, but, equally, I could find more companies growing and expanding. I stress that the projects, as dramatic, exciting and big as they are, are still prospective. Gorgon, which is the biggest of all of them to this point, is still in the preliminary stage of construction; it is still building accommodation for workers. It has not yet reached the steel fabrication stage. Other projects—for example, the Browse project—are yet to make a final investment decision. We are probably going to have a debate about this issue tomorrow, and that will be interesting. We will be able to look at the Labor Party's record. The project that probably gets cited the most for a poor performance on local content is CITIC Pacific. Who set up the agreement for that?

Mr E.S. Ripper: Who administers it?

Mr C.J. BARNETT: It was well into construction by the time of the 2008 election. That is the project that imports footpaths from China, as the union movement likes to say. It is not a perfect world, but we will be doing a number of things. If the Leader of the Opposition brings on his bill tomorrow, we will listen to the points and we will respond, but we will also give examples of some spectacular failures, and some of them might just have been during his time in government.

FABRICATING INDUSTRIES — JOB LOSSES

260. Mr E.S. RIPPER to the Premier:

I have a supplementary question. Yes, the bill will come on for debate tomorrow. Will the Premier support Labor's Skilled Local Jobs Bill?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

I will listen to the debate. Persuade me.

Mr E.S. Ripper: I have given the speech. Will you support it? Surely the government has made a decision on this.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: We will listen to the debate. I hope members opposite argue the case, because members on this side will also have a view. When the Leader of the Opposition brings it on, and if we have time to respond, we will tell him what we will do.

LIQUOR CONTROL ACT — BARRING NOTICES

261. Mr M.W. SUTHERLAND to the Minister for Racing and Gaming:

The issue of antisocial behaviour in our entertainment precincts continues to be a major concern for the government and the wider community. A feature of recent amendments to the Liquor Control Act was the introduction of police barring notices as a means to reduce antisocial behaviour on licensed premises. Can the minister please update the house on whether this is working?

Mr T.K. WALDRON replied:

I thank the member for the question. I know that he has a great interest in this matter, and he has chatted to me about these things before.

I acknowledge the first part of the question. There is no doubt that antisocial behaviour in our entertainment precincts is a big issue in the community. Unfortunately, we have seen some terrible incidents recently. There are many different views across the community.

This morning I addressed some of these issues at a breakfast function. It was really interesting to listen to people's questions and comments and to talk to them afterwards about their different views. When we debated the Liquor Control Amendment Bill in this house last year, there was a range of views. There are lots of different views. Is it the licensees not meeting their responsibilities? Is it a lack of personal responsibility? Is it drugs? Is it about resourcing, as the member opposite mentioned? Is the culture of youth changing? The truth is that it is probably a combination of these and many other factors. But two things are certain: there is an unacceptable level of antisocial behaviour, and alcohol has a lot to do with that. It was mentioned this morning by a former colleague of the member opposite, the former minister, Hon Alannah MacTiernan, who spoke to me about the issue of drugs and alcohol. She made some really good points. Although drugs is a big issue and plays a part, alcohol has a lot to do with this antisocial behaviour. The comments made by Judge Denis Reynolds yesterday, which were reported in the paper this morning, certainly reflected that.

The important point is how we as a community are going to deal with this as time goes on. I have stated on the record over the past three years that my preference is to try to target the troublemakers. Most people go out to have a good night and behave responsibly, but there are troublemakers who make up a small percentage. I welcome the introduction of prohibition orders; they have certainly helped. At the moment, there are some 90 prohibition orders, nearly half of which are for serious glassing assaults. The Director of Liquor Licensing issues a show-cause notice for the prohibition orders that target the upper level of criminal and bad behaviour, so there is quite a time to get the prohibition orders in place. I have been keen to implement an alternative process to work with those prohibition orders that target the less serious offences of antisocial behaviour. We need to make sure that these orders are targeted for the protection of people and other community members and to assist the police and licensees. We debated the barring notices in this house and we got support for them, which I am grateful for. The aim is to target troublemakers to give them time on the bench so that they can reflect on their behaviour. Hopefully, it will turn a lot of these people around. It will not work with everyone.

Just before the weekend, 62 barring notices had been issued and there had been three appeals, one successful appeal, one conviction of breaching a barring notice and one conviction of a bar manager knowingly allowing a barred person to stay on the premises. There has been criticism. The member opposite has made some criticism about the webpage, and I acknowledge that criticism. But the member needs to understand what barring notices are all about. The webpage will certainly add to the process, but it is not the be all and end all. The whole idea of a barring notice is that there has been no criminal offence; there is no criminal record. A barring notice is the first stage to try to get the person out of the situation. Firstly, it is for their own good; secondly, it is for the protection of the public; and, thirdly, it is to support the police and licensees. There is no doubt that we are achieving that. If a barred person returns to the premises and is detected, that person will face a fine of \$10 000 and a police record. If the person returns to the premises, they know that they are taking a huge risk. I certainly do not encourage people to return to the premises; once they have been barred, they should stay out, serve their time and hopefully come back as better people. But if they return and are not recognised, I guarantee that they will be quiet and well behaved, because if they do not behave, they will be in big strife. In that way, the barring notice will still achieve what we are trying to achieve. Either way, the outcome is being achieved. Barring notices are a great deterrent. I am sure that members will agree that the police are finding barring notices to be one tool with which to address this problem. Barring notices are providing great assistance to police and licensees. I am confident that a combination of the measures we have in place, working with the industry and some of the recent accords will produce positive results for the community.

FREMANTLE PORT — IRON ORE EXPORTS

262. Mrs M.H. ROBERTS to the Minister for Transport:

I refer to the minister's failure to answer my supplementary question asked earlier during question time. I specifically ask —

- (1) On what date and at what time did the member for Fremantle raise the Cazaly matter with the minister?
- (2) What was the context and the venue for the discussion?
- (3) Did the minister advise the member for Fremantle of his intentions in this matter?
- (4) Did he advise the member for Fremantle that he would raise the matter with the Premier?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

(1)–(4) The shots were fired from the school book repository, not the grassy knoll! There is no great conspiracy here that the member is about to uncover. I note with interest her fixation with this matter.

Mrs M.H. Roberts: Why don't you just answer the question? Then there would be no fixation. If there's nothing to it, give us the details.

Mr M. McGowan: If there's no problem, answer it.

The SPEAKER: Thank you, members!

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: Let me advise in answer to the third and fourth parts of the question that the answers are no and no. In relation to the first two parts of the question about the time and the context, it was Saturday and it was two adult people having a conversation; that is, one person talks for a little while and one listens, and then the other responds and talks back, and then they move on and talk about other stuff.

FREMANTLE PORT — IRON ORE EXPORTS

263. Mrs M.H. ROBERTS to the Minister for Transport:

As a supplementary question, when did the minister advise the Fremantle Port Authority of his decision and whom did he advise?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

My office advised the Fremantle Port Authority yesterday. I expect that that conversation would have been with the CEO of the port authority, Mr Chris Leatt-Hayter, although I would have to confirm that to the nth degree. I suspect that that is whom my chief of staff would have communicated with. I say again that we need to understand the principle here. In my view it is entirely appropriate for the government to make strategic decisions about the use of its port infrastructure and communicate those views to the ports. I think it is entirely appropriate.

Mrs M.H. Roberts: You are required to have a strategic plan under the act. It is supposed to be signed off by you and the Treasurer.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: I can tell the member about the strategic plans that her government continually endorsed for ports. They have seen ports in Western Australia such as the Port Hedland Port Authority, the busiest port in Australia, trade at a loss. That is the sort of thing that was left for me. The port of Esperance was trading at a loss. We are fixing it up. We are heavily engaged with the ports sector in Western Australia in delivering better outcomes. I repeat that it is entirely appropriate for the government, as the owner of the port, to make its views known to the port authority in relation to activities in that port —

Mrs M.H. Roberts: And there is a prescribed way of doing it under the act.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: I must admit that I find a conversation works pretty well. It worked pretty well in Albany, it worked pretty well in Bunbury, and I think it will work pretty well at Fremantle. Perhaps that is an indication of a more mature relationship. But if I have to fall back on the technicalities of the act and the —

Mrs M.H. Roberts: You are required to have a strategic plan and you are required to—

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: I am more than entitled—

Mr E.S. Ripper: It is about whether you are accountable for your directions. That is why they have to be tabled. That is why they have to be in writing.

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: How about we get the Leader of the Opposition to conduct an inquiry like he did into the Mulvey case? Why do we not get the Leader of the Opposition to do that sort of thing? Why do we not inquire into the Huntly Consulting issue. I am interested in why the Leader of the Opposition is not asking these questions, because they are interesting things that we on this side of the house could explore further. Of course, we would not.

Mr E.S. Ripper: So now you are going to bully!

Mr T.R. BUSWELL: Heaven forbid! Bully you? I do not have to bully. The member is being bullied by the Campbell Newman of Western Australian politics, Alannah MacTiernan. She is out there circling, and the Leader of the Opposition is nervous. In answer to the question, I believe my office would have spoken to the CEO of Fremantle Ports. It is entirely appropriate. I have done it in the past, and I will do it again.

INDEPENDENT PUBLIC SCHOOLS

264. Mr P.T. MILES to the Minister for Education:

As members know, schools in my electorate of Wanneroo have been very successful applicants for the Liberal-National government's independent public schools program. Could the Minister for Education update the house about the continued rollout of a genuine education revolution and the number of schools that have applied for this round?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

I thank the member for Wanneroo for his question. I know that he has a great interest in this matter and a very interesting cluster of schools in his electorate that form an independent public school cluster. Applications for expressions of interest for the third-round intake for independent public schools closed last Thursday, and a massive 195 applications came forward. In the first intake, in 2009, 34 schools were chosen out of 104. In the second intake, in 2010, 64 schools were chosen out of 134. Now 195 schools are putting up their hands and applying to become independent public schools.

Of those 195 schools, 183 have applied individually, and 35 have applied in clusters. About 24 schools have applied both as individual applicants and part of clusters of schools. Altogether there are 13 clusters of schools. They are working extremely well. In the Mid West, the three schools at Perenjori, Coorow and Three Springs have formed a cluster of small primary schools working closely together. They have teamed up with Beaumaris Primary School, which is a very large metropolitan school they are working with. All sorts of relationships are developing between schools.

When we look at the breakdown of the third intake applications, 38 per cent of expressions of interest have come from country schools and 62 per cent have come from metropolitan schools, which is about as expected. Amongst those, there are four agricultural colleges, 10 district high schools, two senior colleges, 127 primary schools, 10 education support centres, three high schools and 38 senior high schools, so they are pretty well spread around the different configurations of schools. They have come from all regions except from the Kimberley. It is not surprising that the Kimberley has yet to attract independent public schools, given the number of small remote schools within the Kimberley.

Perhaps the best measure of success of the independent public school initiative is that so many schools want to be part of this program. One hundred and ninety-five is a huge number. The selection process is carried out by a group of people who are independent of the department but who are given some support from the department as well. They will have a huge task this year going through those applications and selecting and recommending to the department the schools that they think are ready to become independent public schools.

Principals have said that autonomy is something that they want. It is about school communities wanting to be more involved in their schools, it is about the value of decisions on schools being made locally and it is about empowering schools, as was our election commitment that schools would be empowered to make decisions at the local level. It is working, because people are putting their hands up and volunteering. They are making their own decisions. This is not something that is being imposed on schools, but schools are able to take their time and to put together their applications in this way.

The independent public schools initiative has provided flexibility to schools to make their own decisions in staffing and in budgeting with their one-line budgets. They are really enjoying their freedom from central support. I would just like to sum up by citing a principal of a senior high school, who said that IPS has been the best initiative he has been involved with since becoming a principal. I think that sums up the sentiment of many principals. That is why so many people are working with their school communities to put forward their expressions of interest.

KRONIC — SYNTHETIC CANNABINOID DRUG

265. Ms M.M. QUIRK to the Minister for Police:

I refer to the alarming prevalence of the synthetic cannabinoid drug Kronic and its effects, including paranoia, short-term fatigue, agitation and anxiety, and the latest report by the Australian Crime Commission that these drugs will continue to pose challenges to health and law enforcement authorities.

- (1) When was the minister first made aware of the prevalence of Kronic?
- (2) What actions has the minister taken to stop its escalation?

(3) Will the minister be scheduling it as an illicit drug; and, if not, why not?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

(1)–(3) I thank the member for the question. When did I become aware of it? About a month or so ago I became aware that it was becoming a problem not only in Western Australia but in fact throughout the world, as I am told. It is something that I have discussed with the Minister for Mental Health, Hon Helen Morton. Obviously I have also been discussing this with the Commissioner of Police. I have not had a meeting with him this week yet.

Ms M.M. Quirk: Sorry, minister. Who are you discussing it with?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: I have discussed it with Hon Helen Morton. I will be discussing it with the commissioner. I have not had a meeting with him as yet this week, but I am hoping to have one before the week is out. It is certainly on my agenda to discuss that. We would do whatever is necessary to try to prevent the harm that that particular —

Ms M.M. Quirk: It is too late. This is everywhere. You are doing nothing about it.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: The member says it is too late. Hers was the government that allowed people to grow two plants per person per household to smoke themselves to death with cannabis. That is what the opposition was doing. God said unto them, “Come unto me with clean hands”. The member should wash her hands, because she and the rest of her colleagues allowed people to drink and to smoke cannabis to their hearts’ content. Members opposite should be ashamed of themselves. What am I doing? I will tell members —

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: The member for Girrawheen has asked a question that she would like to have an answer to. I think a lot of people in this place would like information about this. I am hearing the minister endeavouring to answer it. There are people in this place who apparently have better answers to provide. There will be only one answer, and that is going to come from the Minister for Police.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: As I said, this government takes drugs very seriously—more seriously than members opposite do!

Several members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Obviously by that I mean that we take seriously those people who take drugs and that we will deal with not only people who take drugs, but also people who manufacture them and people who sell them.

Mr M. McGowan: That explains a lot!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: As opposed to that lot over there who are soft on drugs and soft on crime, we are the complete reverse. Let me assure members of that. I think the people of WA appreciate that.

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Girrawheen, if you want to ask a supplementary question, I will endeavour to give you an opportunity to do that. There are members in this place who keep on drowning out the minister. The minister is endeavouring to answer the question.

Mr M.P. Whitely interjected.

The SPEAKER: I formally call you to order for the first time, member for Bassendean.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: I will conclude my answer because I am sure that there will be a supplementary question. My final remarks are that we will take seriously any actions that we need to put in place to deal with that new —

Mr E.S. Ripper: No policy yet!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: What a stupid comment from a very —

Several members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: What a dopey comment! What a dopey, dopey comment! I will not say any more. We will deal very seriously with any problems that there are with any new drugs that come on the market.

KRONIC — SYNTHETIC CANNABINOID DRUG

266. Ms M.M. QUIRK to the Minister for Police:

I have a supplementary question. Can the minister indicate the time frame for concluding his deliberations and his consultations?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

Let me see: how many hours, how many days, how many minutes, how many seconds—what a stupid question! What a stupid, stupid question; it really is. We will deal with it —

Several members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Let me just say this: we will deal with it a lot quicker than members opposite would ever have dealt with it.

Several members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: A lot quicker than they would ever have dealt with it! Members opposite were encouraging people to grow their own, roll their own and smoke their own. We have a very, very different attitude from what they had!

Ms M.M. Quirk: You've got no laws about this!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Even from the member for Girrawheen! We will deal with it as expeditiously as we possibly can, I assure members, because we do not want to see —

Ms M.M. Quirk: What does that mean—next year?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Look it up in the dictionary. The member is a very intelligent person most of the time —

Ms M.M. Quirk: I know you've been on holidays, minister, but get on with it!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Get on with it! I love you; you really are lovely, you know. You really do —

Several members interjected.

GOLDFIELDS EARTHQUAKE RESTORATION FUND

267. Dr G.G. JACOBS to the Minister for Heritage:

This government responded magnificently to the earthquake that significantly affected Boulder in April last year, getting heritage people on the ground within days—and, indeed, the Premier and the minister himself very soon after—to help owners. There was \$5 million made available to help with the cost of repairs to heritage buildings. Can the Minister for Heritage provide an update on what response there has been to the \$5 million Goldfields earthquake restoration fund?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

I thank the member for Eyre for his question and for his strong advocacy of and interest in safeguarding heritage in his electorate. I have the great pleasure of announcing the release of a further \$1.24 million from this fund to assist owners of heritage properties within the Burt Street area precinct with their conservation works. That will assist owners with re-roofing, the treatment of rising damp, drainage and other urgent conservation works. Owners receiving grants under the conservation improvement program are expected to contribute 20 per cent of the total cost of the works. The Metropole Hotel has received the maximum grant of \$250 000. Conservation work has been funded on a range of properties, including six hotels, three churches, the Palace Theatre, shops, offices and other accommodation. Eighteen premises will have work completed on them to ensure good conservation outcomes. As members in this place know, the state government established the Goldfields earthquake restoration fund of \$5 million to assist owners of earthquake-affected properties with the cost of repairs and conservation work. What I have announced is just one tranche of this fund. I will quickly go through the grants that have already been made. There have been 10 grants totalling \$61 529 from the emergency relief fund. Grants for 29 properties totalling \$443 147 have been made from the restoration grants fund and more are under consideration. One grant of \$25 000 was made under the preferred works grant fund, and \$151 665 has been paid out for expert heritage services, such as advice to owners from heritage architects and structural engineers. The Office of Heritage is still working with the owners of 36 other properties to determine whether financial assistance is required from the fund and applications have been submitted for two other properties, which are currently being assessed. Owners of 14 other properties are still working with their insurance companies trying to assess the scope of the works and what the cost of restoration might be. Work has been progressing well in the area. I thank the member for Eyre for his support for the recovery of this important part of our heritage.

ROLEYSTONE–KELMSCOTT BUSHFIRES — DRAFT MAJOR INCIDENT REVIEW

268. Ms M.M. QUIRK to the Minister for Emergency Services:

I refer to the so-called draft major incident review of the Roleystone–Kelmescott fires being conducted by Stuart Ellis on behalf of the Fire and Emergency Services Authority.

(1) Has the minister received a copy of the report and has he read it?

- (2) When will the minister release the report?
- (3) If the report is a draft, who has the authority to amend the report and will those changes be made with Mr Ellis's consent?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

(1)–(3) I have not received the report, so obviously I have not read the report. I have heard that a draft has been concluded.

Ms M.M. Quirk: It's been in your office for two weeks, apparently.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: I —

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Thank you, members!

Ms M.M. Quirk: Because I'm doing my job, unlike him!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: The member obviously knows more than I do, but I assure her —

Several members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: In relation to what happens in my office, so I am very intrigued; I am very interested to know that. I have not seen the report. I have not read the report —

Mr M. McGowan: Are you still in love?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Not with you! No, I have just fallen out of love, I am afraid.

I hope to see it very soon. I believe it has been completed, if what the member says is true. I can never take what the member says as being the truth. That is the problem I have with the member because she has said things before which have not been truthful.

Ms M.M. Quirk: Such as?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Many times—there are too many to name. I cannot take for granted that what the member is saying is the truth today, but I will certainly inquire. If the report has been received in my office, I will certainly find out when it was received and when I am likely to be given it. But in relation to the last two parts of the member's question —

Ms M.M. Quirk: If it's a draft, who has the authority to amend it and will those changes be made with Mr Ellis's consent?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: I suggest that any changes made would have to be with the agreement of Mr Ellis. He would be the author of the report, he was the person who was paid to come in and carry out this review, and we do not ask somebody to do a job and then just ignore them and change their report. If there are other stakeholders who have to be consulted, which is very often the case, which happened with the Toodyay review, that obviously makes up components of the final report, so it is the final report that is the most important one to have. If the draft report has been done already, as I say, those processes will take place.

ROLEYSTONE–KELMSCOTT BUSHFIRES — DRAFT MAJOR INCIDENT REVIEW

269. Ms M.M. QUIRK to the Minister for Emergency Services:

I have a supplementary question. Can the minister explain whether he has instructed the Fire and Emergency Services Authority to give Mr Keelty full cooperation in his inquiry; and, if so, why is it that Mr Keelty has had to summons from FESA a copy of the Stuart Ellis report?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

I have not instructed FESA to do anything —

Opposition members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Don't be stupid—I have not given them an instruction. If I give them an instruction, I have to table it in Parliament. I have not instructed them to do something. FESA behaves very responsibly.

Opposition members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Is all this a joke to you? It obviously is.

As far as any cooperation with Mr Keelty, I am aware that FESA is more than happy to cooperate with Mr Keelty, and will do so.

Ms M.M. Quirk: Why did he have to summons FESA to get a copy of the Ellis report?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: FESA had to get board approval for that to happen before the final report. As far as I understand, a summons was issued. I only found out yesterday that he issued a summons, I assume, for the draft report.

Ms M.M. Quirk: I do not know—it is in your office, not mine!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: It must be the draft report. That would be —

Mr E.S. Ripper: It is pretty extraordinary that a summons had to be issued.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: The Leader of the Opposition does not know all the history. When a board has certain authority, it is up to the board members whether they provide that draft report. He summonsed it, from details I received yesterday, and he would have that report by now.

**GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES —
ATTRACTION AND RETENTION ALLOWANCES**

Question on Notice 3533 — Correction of Answer

DR K.D. HAMES (Dawesville — Minister for Health) [4.21 pm]: It has been brought to my attention that I gave an incorrect answer to a question on notice by the Leader of the Opposition. I would like to table a correction to that answer.

[See paper 3337.]

STATE FLEET — MOTOR VEHICLE DISPOSAL

Question on Notice 4879 — Answer Advice

MR C.J. TALLENTIRE (Gosnells) [4.21 pm]: Under standing order 80(2) I wish to bring the house's attention to the government's failure to respond to question on notice 4879 asked on 16 March 2011 regarding the writing-off of state government vehicles following minor car accidents.

**PRISON POPULATION — OFFENDER STATISTICS
PRISON POPULATION — JUVENILE STATISTICS
PRISONERS — EDUCATION AND REHABILITATION PROGRAMS**

Questions on Notice 4884, 4885 and 4886 — Answer Advice

MR F.M. LOGAN (Cockburn) [4.21 pm]: I rise under standing order 80 to notify the Minister for Corrective Services that questions on notice 4884 to 4886 are overdue. They were asked on 16 March 2011. I would like to know exactly when they will be answered.

MR D.T. REDMAN (Blackwood–Stirling — Minister for Corrective Services) [4.22 pm]: I thank the member for bringing that to my attention. I have signed off on questions 4884, 4885 and 4886. They will be submitted this afternoon.

ROAD TRAUMA VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICE

Petition

MS M.M. QUIRK (Girrawheen) [4.22 pm]: I table a petition bearing 1 308 signatures, certified as correct by the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, and couched in the following terms —

To the Honourable the Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We, the undersigned, say that those seriously and personally affected by road trauma are unable to access adequate advice, support and counselling on a range of concerns compounding the tragic consequences of road fatalities and serious injuries on Western Australian roads.

Now we ask the Legislative Assembly to consider the establishment of a road trauma victim support service without further delay and to ensure mechanisms for funding that service will be sustainable and available to Western Australians throughout the State.

[See petition 395.]

A similar petition was presented by **Mr J.C. Kobelke** (23 signatures).

[See petition 402.]

LANGLEY PARK — INCLUSION ON HERITAGE REGISTER

Petition

MR J.N. HYDE (Perth) [4.23 pm]: I submit the following petition, which has 7 890 signatures. It has been authorised as meeting the requirements of the standing orders of the Legislative Assembly. It states —

To the Honourable the Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled. - We, the undersigned, say that we are concerned about the gradual depletion in the number of sporting fields and open parks in the Perth City foreshore area. We agree with our forefathers who created Langley Park, that the people of Perth deserve a substantial recreational park and public open space that is close to both the Central Business District and the Swan River. - **Now we ask that Langley Park be included on the Register of Heritage Places for Western Australia. We also ask the Legislative Assembly to do all things within its power to protect Langley Park from any future development and preserve it as a place to be visited, used and enjoyed by present and future generations.**

I acknowledge the support of local members of HELP, Heritage Entitlement Langley Park, who are in the gallery today.

[See petition 396.]

ARMADALE LINE — TRAIN OVERCROWDING

Petition

DR A.D. BUTI (Armadale) [4.24 pm]: I have a petition from 211 petitioners, certified by the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly as being in accordance with proper procedures. It states —

To the Honourable the Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We, the undersigned, are opposed to the Barnett Government's decision to ignore the train overcrowding occurring on the Armadale line by refusing to order any more train carriages. Commuters are struggling to get to work and appointments on time.

Now we ask the Legislative Assembly to ensure the Barnett Government immediately order at least thirty additional train carriages.

[See petition 397.]

NOLLAMARA AVENUE — SCHOOL ZONE

Petition

MS J.M. FREEMAN (Nollamara) [4.25 pm]: I have a petition conforming to the standing orders of the Legislative Assembly, bearing 73 signatures, which reads —

To the Honourable the Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We, the undersigned, wish to request that a section of Nollamara Avenue, between Wanneroo Road and Carcoola Street, be zoned as a 40km per hour school zone area.

We, the undersigned also wish to request the installation of flashing "40" traffic signals on Nollamara Avenue.

During the hours of 8am till 9.30 and 2.30pm till 3.30pm there are many parents and children on the footpath from Nollamara Primary School and the West Coast Steiner School and we feel it is only a matter of time before someone is seriously hurt.

Now we ask the Legislative Assembly to request that the Barnett Government create a 40km per hour zone and install flashing "40" traffic signals to indicate the school zone on Nollamara Avenue.

[See petition 398.]

SKILLED LOCAL JOBS BILL 2011

Petition

MR E.S. RIPPER (Belmont — Leader of the Opposition) [4.25 pm]: I have a petition bearing 65 signatures that conforms to the standing orders of the Legislative Assembly. It is couched in the following terms —

To the Honourable the Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We, the undersigned, say the WA Parliament should pass laws that ensure a greater share of skilled engineering and fabrication work for our major resources projects is performed in Western Australia.

Our major resources projects are increasingly sending their skilled work offshore. Many of Western Australia's fabrication workshops are almost empty and our engineers have to go overseas if they want to help design our LNG projects.

Our natural resources can only be used once and we should use the current resources construction boom to provide training and apprenticeships for our young people, so that they can have a future after the boom.

Now we ask the Legislative Assembly to urge all Members to support the *Skilled Local Jobs Bill 2011* with the objective of ensuring a greater share of skilled work for our major resources projects is performed in Western Australia.

[See petition 403.]

PINJARRA–MANDURAH BUS SERVICE

Petition

MR M.J. COWPER (Murray–Wellington — Parliamentary Secretary) [4.26 pm]: I have a petition bearing 97 signatures for a bus service between the Pinjarra and Mandurah train stations. The petition conforms to the standing orders and is couched in the following terms —

To the Honourable the Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled:

We the undersigned say that: **The Murray Shire is growing at 6.5% pa and this year alone 150 new jobs have been created in the Pinjarra Industrial Estate, a new 200 place apprentice training facility has opened, a new swimming pool will open soon in Pinjarra and indigenous training at Fairbridge is continuing to be an outstanding success.**

Additionally a new bus service will service those travelling to and from the Pinjarra Paceway and Race Club, new sub-divisions, schools, shopping centres, aged care and medical facilities.

Residents of the Murray District, who travel to Perth for work, study, medical appointments or recreation are compelled to drive their cars and when they choose to use public transport are compelled to compete for limited parking at the Mandurah Train Station.

The dual lane Pinjarra road is now WA's busiest provincial road outside of the Perth Metropolitan area and carries large volumes of traffic to and from Alcoa's Pinjarra and Wagerup Operations.

Fuel prices are now making Public Transport a necessity in the Murray District, and those outlying towns such as Dwellingup, Waroona and surrounds will be able to park and ride at Pinjarra, taking further pressure off parking at Mandurah Train Station.

Now we ask that the Legislative Assembly to support our campaign for the Government to **provide a regular bus service between Pinjarra and Mandurah.**

Your petitioners therefore humbly pray that you will give this matter your earnest consideration and your petitioners, as is duty bound, will ever pray.

[See petition 399.]

JOONDALUP LINE — TRAIN OVERCROWDING

Petition

MR J.C. KOBELKE (Balcatta) [4.28 pm]: I have a petition that conforms to the standing orders of the Legislative Assembly containing 139 signatures. It states —

To the Honourable the Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We, the undersigned, call on the Barnett Government to address the serious overcrowding on trains from Stirling Station caused by its refusal to order any more train carriages. As a result commuters are struggling to get to work and appointments on time.

Now we ask the Legislative Assembly to ensure the Barnett Government immediately order at least thirty additional train carriages.

[See petition 401.]

URANIUM MINING — BAN

Petition

MS A.S. CARLES (Fremantle) [4.30 pm]: I present a petition containing 575 signatures and conforming with the standing orders of the Legislative Assembly couched in the following terms —

To the Honourable the Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We, the undersigned residents of Western Australia are opposed to uranium mining.

We ask the Legislative Assembly to recognise the unacceptable risk to the community and the environment posed by uranium mining and to immediately reinstate the ban on uranium mining in Western Australia.

Your petitioners as in duty bound, will ever pray.

[See petition 400.]

PAPERS TABLED

Papers were tabled and ordered to lie upon the table of the house.

BILLS

Notice of Motion to Introduce

1. Curriculum Council Amendment Bill 2011.

Notice of motion given by **Dr E. Constable (Minister for Education)**.

2. Residential Tenancies Amendment Bill 2011.

Notice of motion given by **Mr T.R. Buswell (Minister for Transport)**.

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY (MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT) AMENDMENT BILL 2010 STATE BUDGET 2010–11 — COSTS AND ESTIMATES

Removal of Orders — Statement by Acting Speaker

THE ACTING SPEAKER (Ms A.R. Mitchell): I advise members that, in accordance with standing order 144A, the orders of the day that appeared on the previous notice paper as 1 and 2 under private members' business, being the Equal Opportunity (Members of Parliament) Amendment Bill 2010 and the "State Budget — Costs and Estimates" motion, have not been debated for more than 12 calendar months and have been removed from the notice paper. For members' information, I advise that a bill removed under this standing order may be restored by motion to the point it had reached prior to its removal.

FISH RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AMENDMENT (FEES) BILL 2010 FISH RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AMENDMENT (FEES) BILL (NO. 2) 2010

Cognate Debate — Motion

On motion by **Mr W.R. Marmion (Minister for Environment)**, resolved —

That leave be granted for the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 and the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010 to be dealt with cognately, and for the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 to be the principal bill.

Second Reading — Cognate Debate

Resumed from 24 November 2010.

MR M.P. MURRAY (Collie–Preston) [4.34 pm]: I rise to speak to the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 and the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No 2) 2010. The Fish Resources Management Act gives authority to the Department of Fisheries to raise money for commercial access to the state's fisheries for the purpose of managing the fishery. Significantly, the money can be used for almost any management strategy or activity within the fishery; it is not restricted to a particular fishery. For example, fees from rock lobster fishing can be used for shark fishery research. This arrangement was originally agreed to by industry and government through the Cole–House agreement. These fees contribute about half the Department of Fisheries' budget, or approximately \$21 million—significant fees for any industry. Having said that, over the years various individuals and stakeholder bodies have queried whether the fees are allowable; that is, they may not have to pay these fees. The idea of these two bills is to make sure that any discrepancies are removed. Although the State Solicitor's Office has said that its view on the fee is quite different from industry's view, it has been seen fit to bring this bill into the house to make sure that all the discrepancies are removed and people have a clear view of the purpose of the bills and are aware that the fees are for more than just cost recovery. Some people are saying that the fees paid by, say, crayfishermen are going into other industries and, therefore, the fees collected are over and above cost recovery. Some parts of the industry are being supported to have research done at the cost of other parts of the industry. Some people see that as very unfair because the money is not targeted at what it was originally targeted at—that is, a fee to enter the industry. The stakeholder bodies have queried whether the fees are allowable as a licence fee, whether expenditure of the fees levied should be restricted to investment in individual fisheries and whether the fees are a tax and, therefore, beyond the scope of the act. Overall, the industry has now agreed to this bill, as has the opposition. The bills have been

before Parliament for some time, and the shadow Minister for Fisheries in the upper house, who I am representing, and the Labor Party are of the view that the bills should be brought before the house and supported.

This is quite different from the fee management scheme that we have for the amateur fishers, which is seen as an outright tax on ordinary people. The difference here is quite significant and in this case the Labor Party certainly supports both bills. We do not intend to simply say, as in other areas, that it is just another tax. It is cost recovery and we are looking at how we can sustainably manage the fishing industry for all Western Australians, including within the new national park and marine park systems. There will be quite significant changes within the system and within the fisheries department. We need research and work done and we need the fees that come in to pay for that. As I said, \$21 million is a quite significant amount. Only a couple of million dollars comes in from the WA families who pay their licensing fees, and a lot of that is used for enforcement and not so much for research and working out what areas should be made into marine parks. It is simply another tax on ordinary families. Something that really, really bothers me is when young kids do not have the ability, or their parents do not have the will, to pay a fee so that they can go fishing; they go fishing and are in breach of the law or they do not go fishing and they take up other pursuits that are not always healthy. We should be supporting young people to get into these outdoor pursuits. We should be supporting these young people to get off the streets and away from their computer games and to be out into the fresh air and participating in our great Australian pastimes of fishing, sports and those sorts of things. Why should we tax young people who want to fish? It is deplorable that we would have a system under which 16-year-olds will be taxed if they want to go fishing.

The opposition will support these bills. The money for research will be extremely valuable in enabling us to work out how we should run our fishing system in the future. The bills will also clarify the problem that we have had about whether these fees are legal.

MR D.A. TEMPLEMAN (Mandurah) [4.40 pm]: I too would like to make some comments on the two bills that are before us cognately, the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 and the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010. I would also like to highlight a couple of issues that affect the fishing industry in my electorate. As the member for Collie–Preston has clearly outlined, the opposition will support the passage of these two bills through this place. As is outlined in the second reading speech, there has been some debate about whether the fisheries fees that have been levied in this state over a period of time—some \$21 million from the commercial fishing industry—are a tax or a fee. Obviously the State Solicitor has given advice about that matter. These bills will essentially clarify the capacity of the state to levy these fees. However, the fact that these bills have been described as clarifying bills may be seen as an acceptance by the government that there is a grey area that might be challengeable in a court. I would, therefore, be interested if the Minister for Environment could tell us in his response whether anyone in the fishing industry, or any other stakeholder, has ever challenged the status quo by taking, or threatening to take, legal action on this matter, and about whether the passage of these bills will avoid the potential for any such legal action to be taken in the future.

I am very pleased that the Minister for Environment is handling this bill. I say that because in the very near future a report from the Environmental Protection Authority on a proposed marina development at Point Grey will go across the minister's table. I have been following the debate on this proposed marina very closely. The proposed marina will require the dredging of a 2.5 kilometre-long channel, some 15 metres wide and three metres deep, from the Dawesville channel to Point Grey. As I have said publicly, and as I said in my submission to the EPA only a couple of weeks ago, it is my view, and the view of many in the community of Mandurah–Peel, that this will have a significant impact on the commercial and recreational fisheries in the Peel–Harvey system. That view is supported by not only scientific evidence, but also evidence from the holders of the 11 commercial fishing licences—not all of them active—in the Peel–Harvey estuary.

A recent report from the Department of Fisheries contains an interesting diagram that shows that the overwhelming number of pots that are dropped by the commercial fishers in the estuary, for crabs in particular, are put smack bang in the middle of where this proposed estuarine channel is to be created. Damien Bell, president of the Mandurah Licensed Professional Fishermen's Association, is a passionate opponent of the proposed marina at Point Grey. Scientific evidence shows that the Peel–Harvey estuary is now facing the greatest threat that it has ever faced. Urban development in the Peel–Harvey area is increasing the nutrient levels in the estuary and causing algal blooms, and this is having a detrimental effect on the water quality.

In the 24 years in which I have lived in the Peel–Mandurah area, I have never seen a report that has said that the condition of the Peel–Harvey estuary is improving. A report that was released recently by the Peel–Harvey Catchment Council, funded by Regional Development Australia, indicates clearly that on all the criteria, the water quality is deteriorating. This is against the background that two of the three rivers that feed into that system are already under great stress. In fact, a 2009 report describes the Serpentine River as being biologically dead. For anyone who lives in the Peel region, as I do, that is dynamite. That is absolutely appalling and concerning. Murray River has also been described as being under great strain and on all indicators as likely to

deteriorate. The Harvey estuary further south, which feeds into the bottom part of the Peel–Harvey system, is also under stress. That has traditionally been a river that is affected very much by agriculture.

Another problem is the lack of longitudinal studies post the development of the Dawesville channel in 1993. It is clear from evidence that many of the studies into the health and wellbeing of the Peel–Harvey system, and into the health of the fishery, have been piecemeal. A major longitudinal study needs to be done. If this report on the Peel–Harvey system is coupled with the government’s intention to see a significant proportion of the Perth metropolitan area’s population housed in Peel, for me, and hopefully for the Minister for Environment; Water—this issue canvases both those portfolio areas—this is absolutely red flagging.

I am not opposed to sensible, sustainable development around the Peel–Harvey system, but when we have under great stress the major asset—the absolute jewel in the crown—that is our waterways system, which has historically been the major attractant for people to both live in and visit the region, it is simply madness that the

government would even consider at this time supporting a major change to the estuary; that is, a proposed channel from the Dawesville Channel to Point Grey. I do not have anything against the developer. To be honest with the house, the developer has some good examples of development in and around the Dawesville Cut. I do not have a gripe with the developer as such. My concern is essentially the major change that will be brought about by this proposed marina development.

Some people will say that we need to keep providing access for boats in the Peel–Harvey system. We know that people in the Peel region now have the highest percentage of boat ownership. However, this proposal is essentially aimed at accommodating those very large boats. That is why the draft of three metres is required, and that is why the proposed length of the channel is more than 2.5 kilometres, with a 50-metre width. It is not really to cater for the husband and wife pottering about in their tinnie; it is essentially to cater for the owners of those larger boats who will be attracted to moorings in a marina over at Point Grey, and perhaps some of the apartments might be attractive then also. I do not have a problem with the developer; I have a problem with the development. I have a major problem with the development and, as a person who has now lived in my community for more than 23 years, I have to stand up when I think something is wrong. I hope the Minister for Environment will stand up when this proposal comes before him, because I know that his party will be lobbied very heavily by the proponent, as is its right. It will lobby the minister very heavily. But I hope he will look beyond that lobbying, and maybe even beyond any donations that might come to the Liberal Party in the lead-up to an election, or elections, and think about the views of the people who live in my electorate—the people who do not want to see that estuary go backwards, as major scientific reports now indicate it will. Not one criterion in the report that I read from the Peel–Harvey Catchment Council that was released earlier this year shows that there has been any improvement, whether it is algal blooms, nutrient levels, run-off or water quality. When we hear a damning statement that the Serpentine River is effectively biologically dead, we must also factor in the impact of such a proposal. It is not just the current government that is proposing it; Labor has also supported to varying degrees a proposal to build a development to cater for a population of 90 000 that will be plonked in the upper reaches of the Serpentine River at Keralup. That is still on the cards. The Minister for Environment is not the minister responsible for the decision, but he will have a responsibility because he is Minister for Water and Minister for Environment. If that proposal is progressed by the Minister for Housing and other relevant ministers, it will allow a development on the upper reaches of the Serpentine to cater for up to 90 000 people when we know that the Serpentine is a biologically dead river and that we have a major issue, and will have into the future, with mosquito-borne disease and mosquito-borne activity, and when we know that urban development is now the number one contributor to issues of water quality. Historically it has been agriculture, but we now know that it is in fact urban development that is having an impact.

I use this opportunity in debate on these bills to highlight that issue to the minister. If that channel and that marina are allowed to be built in the way that is proposed, the minister will not only have the community up in arms, but also have, and be responsible for, the ongoing deterioration of a system that will cost, if it collapses, governments now and into the future billions to fix. The minister was at the community cabinet meeting in Mandurah a month or two months ago when one member asked the Premier whether he was aware of some of the reports and the concerns. The Premier said, “We won’t let the system collapse.” The indicators are all there now that we face a major tipping point with the health and wellbeing of the system. That health and wellbeing of the Peel–Harvey system is linked directly to the sustainability of every household in Mandurah–Peel, because if we have a catastrophic collapse, all the families throughout Peel, no matter whether they live on a canal and their house is worth \$1.5 million, \$2.5 million or \$3.5 million, or whether they live in the areas of my electorate such as Greenfields, Coodanup, Furnissdale, Barragup, Madora Bay, Riverside Gardens, central Mandurah, Dudley Park, Silver Sands, San Remo or Lakelands—no matter what suburbs they are—will be impacted. I am pleading with the minister. When that report comes before the minister—I know that the minister gets lots of reports, as I did when I was environment minister—I ask him to think about the things that I have said in this place this afternoon. I might need a slight extension.

[Member’s time extended.]

The ACTING SPEAKER (Ms A.R. Mitchell): Member, I will grant that extension, but I suggest you stick closer to the bill.

Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN: I am coming to that right now. Thank you, Madam Acting Speaker.

In regard to fisheries and the fees that the commercial fishers in Mandurah pay to the Department of Fisheries—they have been doing so under the current regime and will do so when this bill has been debated and is ultimately passed by Parliament—those fishers are telling the government very clearly that the change proposed by that development will impact on their livelihoods and will be detrimental. Therefore, when we debate bills such as this, and we recognise that we derive significant dollars from the commercial fishing industry, as the member for Collie–Preston well put it, the industry wants to be reassured that those dollars are spent in the right way. I think the member touched on a very important issue, and that is research. There is a major problem and a major issue with research into fisheries in Western Australia. Not enough longitudinal studies have been done. The crab fishery in Mandurah is a classic example. A major recreational catch study has been concluded only recently. By the way, I have seen a few leaked results. I will not tell the minister where I got them from, but I have seen them, and they support what I have just been saying about the marina. That report —

Mr W.R. Marmion interjected.

Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN: My secret crabbing spot? I have a few of those, but I would not tell the minister about them. I do not want people from Perth coming down and catching more of our crabs!

The president of the Mandurah Licensed Professional Fisherman’s Association and his members have always been accountable and said, “Let’s get more research there so that we can ensure that the Peel–Harvey system continues to provide sustainable commercial fishing.” I want to see commercial fishing continue in the Peel–Harvey system. Traditionally, fantastic product has come out of the Peel–Harvey system. I want to see that continue as a sustainable commercial fishery. It is also, of course, a significant recreational fishery. The fees and charges or taxes—whatever we like to call them—that are derived, in this case from commercial fishers, need to be spent in ways that ensure that sustainability continues into the future so that my kids, grandkids and great-grandkids can enjoy it. I know it is a cliché, but it is real. I want to take my soon-to-be three boys and a girl fishing. My dad took me fishing. A lot of people want to continue to do that in their own family context. But we must make sure that our resource is sustainable based on good, factual science. The factual science I am telling the minister about now is that the indicators of water quality, the pressures on fishing stocks in Peel–Harvey et cetera ain’t good. In the 23-odd years I have been living in Mandurah, I have not seen a report that shows improvement. All I have seen, unfortunately, is a decline and deterioration.

That is the wake-up call. I hope the red flag has been waved in front of the minister’s eyes. I am looking forward to the minister’s response to the proposed marina at Point Grey and to any development proposed in and around the estuary and the upper reaches of the three significant rivers that feed it. If we ignore these signs, the impact will be measured in not only dramatic environmental catastrophe but also literally billions of dollars worth of claims and in the impact on the economic prosperity of my region and my city.

MS J.M. FREEMAN (Nollamara) [5.02 pm]: I too rise to speak on the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 and the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010, particularly as a member of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation from which these two bills have emanated. It is important for us to state at the outset that these are taxing bills. They are about establishing the capacity to tax. The delegated legislation committee was concerned not about the fact that the Department of Fisheries was funding organisations to undertake research into fisheries but that it was using fees imposed on licence holders to pay for it. It is something of an indictment on our community when something as important as the member for Mandurah outlined—research to ensure this limited but self-replenishing resource, which is what we want to see continue—is in such a parlous state that we must utilise the licence holders’ fees, when they are really meant to pay just for access to the sites. Those fees reflect cost recovery for that access. They should not reflect policy aspects such as determining future conservation and future management of the fisheries. That should come from either consolidated revenue or a taxing system, as this bill seeks to do, and say to industry, “Well, if you want to take this resource, we need to tax you for it because it is a public resource, and with that goes the responsibility to pay for the public good of that resource.”

I think it is important that I go through the report because I was somewhat dismayed when one of my colleagues stood and said that this bill arose due to concern from industry. It made me realise that the role of the delegated legislation committee in this bill may not be appreciated. As the “Overview of the Bill” in the explanatory memorandum indicates, these amendments were prepared as a result of the thirty-fifth report of the delegated legislation committee. Please understand that the thirty-fifth report did not seek a disallowance. The delegated legislation committee understood the importance of the development and better interest fund, which was being investigated. That investigation was about the broader nature of the management of our fisheries in terms of conservation and other aspects. In doing so, the committee said that it would provide an information report that

outlines the committee's concerns that the department was doing something outside its legislative capacity to collect fees and seek cost recovery. It is interesting to note that when the report was tabled in the other house, the minister and the government of the day did not accept it; nevertheless, they undertook to address the issue; thus, the bills are before us. What is more interesting is that the explanatory memorandum states —

While this view was not supported by the State Solicitor's Office, the Minister gave an undertaking to the Committee to amend the Act to clarify the scope of its fee setting powers.

It is somewhat concerning that a delegated legislation committee exists to which legal advice has been given, but for some reason in-government advice seems to take some sort of primacy. It is therefore extremely important that we look in some detail at why the report was prepared and what it says.

The committee was concerned about the use of the development and better interest fund. The report states —

1.11 In Western Australia, the public's common law right to fish has been restricted by the Act, of which the overarching object is to:

conserve, develop and share the fish resources of the State for the benefit of present and future generations.

There is therefore no doubt that the department has a very important role in the conservation and development of our fish resources, but the management of the fishery should not necessarily have to be paid by licence access fees. If the department chooses to do that through taxing people who access those resources, it should, properly, put that before Parliament. The report continues further —

1.14 Generally, people wishing to fish commercially in managed fisheries and interim managed fisheries must hold an access licence, known in the Act as a 'managed fishery licence' and an 'interim managed fishery permit', respectively.

The report states further —

1.20 Since 1995/1996, access licence fees for managed fisheries have been calculated according to the *Future Directions for Fisheries Management in Western Australia*, known commonly as the *Cole/House Agreement* ...

The Cole–House agreement is what the member for Collie–Preston raised.

It goes on to state —

1.21 Under that agreement, the access licence fees consist of two components:

- a cost recovery component; and
- a contribution towards the Development and Better Interest Fund

It seems to me that at that point, the Department of Fisheries needed to seek a mechanism to do that, not just rely on the fact that there was some sort of agreement between itself and the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council. It needed to bring it before this house to give it the power to do that. Paragraph 1.24 refers to the two components of the access licence fee. It states —

- the costs directly incurred by the managed fishery, such as compliance monitoring costs, research costs, management costs and other service delivery costs; and
- indirect costs which are allocated to the relevant managed fishery and referred to as "agency support costs" in the Department's costing guidelines.

The department was saying that that was how it would pay for this contribution. The development and better interest fund contribution was described by the Cole–House agreement as a return from commercial fishers to the government, as representatives of the community, for application by the Minister for Fisheries to those items that are in the better interests of fisheries and fish and fish habitat management. Please be assured that the committee had no difficulty with the fact that that was a key interest and a key goal of the department. The issue was: where would the department get the funds to do that? It could not get those funds simply by suggesting that it could do so by way of a fee. That would mean that the government could impose fees to, for example, develop independent schools and to determine how they will operate. In that way, parents would find themselves paying costs for a service that is a policy position of government, when that issue should come to this place.

Paragraph 1.35 of the committee report gives examples of how the DBIF is applied. The committee understood that the DBIF went to WAFIC, Recfishwest and other organisations, such as the Conservation Council of Western Australia. Certainly, our position now is that the taxation capacity of government will continue and, in particular, the conservation element will be looked at, not just the management and development element. I note that proposed section 58(3)(b) states —

an amount in connection with any purpose referred to in section 238(5) that is relevant to an authorisation;

Section 238(5) of the act specifies the purpose for which the minister may spend funds credited to the fisheries research and development account that is established under section 238(1) of the act. The explanatory memorandum states —

The intent is to make it clear a fee for a managed fishery licence may include an amount for fisheries policy development, research, compliance and activities that support delivery of those services—but not an amount for the development of aquaculture because the development of aquaculture is not relevant to a managed fishery licence.

I understand all that and I will ask the minister to make it clear that it will include organisations such as the Conservation Council, not just WAFIC and Recfishwest, which look more to the development of commercial fishing. I understand that the Conservation Council was no longer funded because it was seen to not fit within those provisions of the act. That is of great concern to me.

Mr W.R. Marmion: What was the question?

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Will that include organisations such as the Conservation Council of Western Australia?

Mr W.R. Marmion: Are you asking whether anything from fisheries under this bill will go to the Conservation Council?

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: The taxation that will come from this bill will go into those areas. It states in the explanatory memorandum —

The intent is to make it clear a fee —

It is a taxation amount or levy —

for a managed fishery licence may include an amount for fisheries policy development, research, compliance and activities that support delivery of those services—but not an amount for the development of aquaculture because the development of aquaculture is not relevant to a managed fishery licence.

The DBIF used to go to Recfishwest and WAFIC, so I would like to know whether the DBIF will also go towards organisations such as the Conservation Council or other organisations that deal with the conservation and development of fishing areas, not just the exploitation of those areas. I understand that in the past couple of years—I hope the member for Gosnells will take this argument further—the department’s advice to the minister was that it was determined that, under section 238(5) of the act, the DBIF could no longer fund organisations that deal with the conservation of a fishing resource; it could fund only organisations that deal with the exploitation of a fishing resource. In effect, the government may now be limiting itself to raising money only for the development of the resource. That may ensure that there are greater fish stocks, but it certainly will not provide for an aquatic park. It concerns me that the critical element of ensuring that there is flexibility is not being addressed in this legislation. I note that the government gives itself flexibility in administering the legislation, and I will come to that in a moment.

At paragraph 2.4 of the report, the committee notes five or six points about the access licence fee for managed fisheries, but I will refer to three of them. The first point states —

- At least for major managed fisheries, the fees exceed cost recovery due to the inclusion of the DBIF component.
- The DBIF component is raised for general public purposes; that is, purposes which do not necessarily relate specifically to any of the managed fisheries.
- It is significant that the Department has clearly identified two components in the fees: one to defray the costs of the services provided by the Department to the licensees; and another to pay for any activities which have the general objective of promoting the “*better interest of fisheries, and fish and fish habitat management.*”

The reason I highlight this issue is that there is some argument, which is clearly perpetuated in the explanatory memorandum, that the committee’s concerns were negligible or were, for want of a better word, frivolous. The explanatory memorandum essentially states that the State Solicitor’s Office does not support it; the minister will appease the committee and introduce this legislation, but it is not a serious concern. It was a serious concern. It was seriously considered. It was clearly detailed in the committee’s report. The report outlines why the committee came to the conclusion that the government was charging a tax that it did not have the authority to charge. Paragraph 2.6 states —

In the Committee’s preliminary view, the DBIF component appears to be a tax on commercial fishers who operate in managed fisheries (that is, the licensees) because it exhibits the following characteristics of a tax, as endorsed by the High Court:

The report goes on to outline why that was and highlights the particular areas of law that the committee relied on, such as the case of *Air Caledonie International v Commonwealth* in the High Court and the case of *Harper v Minister for Sea Fisheries and Others*, and I could go on. It is important to note again that this clearly was not done with the intention of undermining what is seen as a good purpose of the department in ensuring that the industry is managed well. It was an administrative breach of the department's capacity to do something even though it had made an agreement. That should serve as a caution to other departments that do similar things.

If a department collects fees that are not for the purpose of cost recovery for how the agency operates, but are to put in place policies that would have normally been funded through departmental budgets, and through general revenue funding, it is not a fair way of dealing with the public.

[Member's time extended.]

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: The government is not being honest with the public. Members of the public are neither paying a licence fee that covers the costs of the administration of licence fees to have access, in this case to a fishing resource, nor paying for the operation of a government agency; they are paying for non-government organisations to contribute to the debate about managing fisheries. I have to say, coming from a union background, that many times when I was an official at UnionsWA many departments would want policy contributions from unions. I would have to say, "Well, that's fine, but are you going to put me on a board so that we get board fees to do this? How are you going to pay because, frankly, we're paid by members?" The determination was always, "We can't do that because that would be open to question because you're a union." However, it seems that if the organisation is Recfishwest or the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council, for some reason that is okay; it is allowable. That seems to be of some concern. The Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation's thirty-fifth report also stated —

The Committee was of the view that the DBIF component of access licence fees prescribed in the *Fish Resources Management Regulations 1995* is not a fee at law ...

The report went on to list the reasons for that. The crux of the issue is whether a relevant relationship could be established between the value of the licence and the fee. The point at the heart of the committee's thirty-fifth report is that that relationship has to be a discernible relationship with the value of the privilege acquired by a licensee on payment of the fee—that is, access to the fishery and the services related to that access.

In pointing out all of this, it is interesting to note that, in relying on State Solicitor's Office advice, the government was not relying on SSO advice on the basis that it was saying, "Well, actually this was a fee"; it was relying on SSO advice by saying, "In actual fact it's a royalty." That SSO advice is in the letter appended to the report. At paragraph 33, it states —

That expenditure is authorised by s. 238(5) of the Act, and the characterisation of the fee as a charge in the nature of a royalty or *profit a prendre* —

I do not even know what that is —

is not affected by that expenditure.

Suddenly the committee is sitting there saying, "Great! Departments have open slather. Come in, you can say it is a royalty now. It has moved from fees that some poor consumer keeps having to stand at a front counter to pay and get everything stamped for access, to another way of revenue-raising for departments as we drag money out. Now we can raise the revenue as royalties as long as we have something that we can claim is part of a resource." I am sorry, but my understanding is that royalties are determined by royalty agreements and royalties are, as far as I can see, pretty much in the mining area. The High Court determination, such as in the *Air Caledonie* case, establishes that the relationship must be determinable; it must exist for an impost to be considered a fiat law. The DBIF used for the policy activities in the external organisations did not do that. At paragraph 2.11 of its thirty-fifth report, the committee outlines why it was not persuaded by the legal advice from the State Solicitor's Office. Basically, the SSO was saying that it does not matter how the fee is distributed; it only matters how the fee is collected. As long as a department has the right to collect a fee, it can collect as much as it wants and when it distributes that fee, if it does not distribute it to cover costs, that is not the issue. I note that point.

I want to make Parliament aware that we had a public hearing with Mr Robert Mitchell, Deputy State Solicitor, during the inquiry into managed fishery access licence fees, which was the next fee that was introduced subject to the DBIF fee. The department made an undertaking to change the legislation and to stop charging the DBIF fee and then instituted a managed fishery access licence fee, of which 0.75 per cent did not actually go to the licensee's access. Some 0.25 per cent of it was for the industry body—I think that was WAFIC or it could have been Recfishwest; I am not sure and it does not come to mind. Certainly, it is available in the transcript if people want to look at it. The Deputy State Solicitor said —

... whether an impost is a tax or a duty of excise often is a difficult question of constitutional law on which reasonable legal minds can differ.

He referred to a case in the Australian Capital Territory relating to water access fees. I have had the opportunity to look at that case, which seems to me to be very much about the whole of the water access fee, but in the case of this particular fee and the previous fee, it was actually separated, so there was the aspect of accessing the fishery and the aspect of the policy implications of developing the industry. That was not my reading of the particular case that the State Solicitor's Office referred to. The Deputy State Solicitor also said —

The High Court, in Harper, said it was a royalty-like payment; so it was an analogy rather than precisely a royalty. But it was a payment for exclusive access to a limited public resource, with the amount charged reflecting or bearing some relationship to the benefit charged.

That may be the case, but it is still very clear, certainly in my mind, that that relationship needs to be discernible and it was not discernible. Subsequently, I do not think that the industry would derive any benefit from that 0.25 per cent that went, I think, to WAFIC, to an industry organisation, or from the 0.75 per cent industry contribution to the federal research development corporation, which is, again, an outside organisation. Therefore, it is my view that it is absolutely necessary that this piece of legislation has come before us. I take exception to the government stating in the explanatory memorandum that this was almost a concession that did not need to be made. That undermined the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation and its reports to this Parliament. Let me tell members: it needed to be made. The government was at risk and the delegated legislation committee showed that the government was at risk.

In closing, I simply want to say that I am concerned about one of the aspects of this change to the Fish Resources Management Act. The Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010 provides the right to tax—it is taxing legislation. The Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill states what the government will do when it gets the money. I note that the explanatory memorandum for the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 states —

Clause 4 inserts a new section 58(3) to make it clear that a fee prescribed under section 58(2)(m) may include one or more of the following:

...

(c) an amount in respect of the costs of administering the Act.

That opens the floodgates for the department to full cost recovery, and I am a bit worried. The minister needs to be very clear to this Parliament that the government will not use this fee like it uses the waste avoidance and resource recovery levy—that is, to fund the department and the department's operations. Let us be clear: this legislation is before us because the government wants to develop conservation and the fishing industry; that is what the government needs to be able to tax for. That is what the government should restrict itself to; it should not allow itself carte blanche as provided for in proposed section 58(3)(c). I thank the minister.

MR A.J. WADDELL (Forrestfield) [5.29 pm]: I rise to contribute to the debate on the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 and the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010. As a member of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation, fish resources is something that I have become quite familiar with over the past 12 months. If I was Tony Abbott, I would probably characterise these bills not as an attempt to save fisheries or an attempt to deal with some confusion, but as a big fat fish tax, because that is what it is. The government has taken on board a problem that has been identified by a committee, which has been well outlined by the member for Nollamara. The committee in its discussions came to the view that the development and better interest fund component of these fees went well above cost recovery; I do not think there can be any denying that. Likewise, I do not think we will get a debate on whether the objectives behind the development and better interest fund were honourable or admirable; they certainly were. I doubt there would be a person in this place who would oppose the idea of protecting fisheries for future generations.

It would have seemed quite reasonable for the government to come into the house with a bill and said, "We have a great idea. We would like to protect the futures of our fisheries and we would therefore like to institute a fund that allows us to have a DBIF component". That would have been entirely appropriate and lawful. Instead, the government has come in with a big fat fish tax. With this tax the government has opened the door to take whatever it likes. There are no limits on the catch with this particular deal. The government can tax the industry until it literally bleeds from the eyes.

I doubt the minister will stand and say that is the government's intention; I am certain the minister will stand and say that really this is just an attempt to clarify some confusion that existed. The minister will say that the objectives of these charges, the DBIF component, are admirable and something that should be supported. As I said, no-one is going to argue with that. What we will argue is that this opens the door for future governments to take an opportunity to fill a gap, and to increase their revenue take at the expense of the fishing industry with the argument stating, "We need to improve the fisheries. We need to look at a user-pays model". Perhaps, as was suggested with the waste management bill, it will just simply slap it on and deal with it.

Last year we were arguing in this place about fishing licences. An argument that was put forward noted that it was about protecting the fisheries of Western Australia when in fact the contrary argument was that it was really just a revenue-raising activity, which is how I would have characterised the licence fees for recreational fishing. I suspect it is how I will characterise future tax increases.

A distinction needs to be drawn between a tax and a fee. Most people do not appreciate the distinction. Most people assume that governments charge whatever governments do, and we attempt to keep our costs down. I do not think anyone accuses us of running efficient operations, but certainly the rhetoric that comes from government is that we try to run efficient operations. One of the ways that we run efficient operations is by holding to account the bureaucracies that maintain the various government instrumentalities. We hold them to account by various processes. We have auditors look at the books to see what they are doing and write reports, which we find very useful. We have the opportunity, which we will have in a few weeks during the estimates process, to grill certain departments on how they are spending the community's money and whether that is justified and how it is going. Unfortunately, the nature of our estimates process is such that often we get only a few minutes to talk to a particular department. One of the ways by which this Parliament holds these departments to account is of course through defining what departments can collect. When departments are allowed to collect fees under a piece of legislation, those fees are very much restricted to the actual costs of doing the function they are required to do under the act. Therefore, the standing committee on delegated legislation, a joint committee of both houses, regularly conducts reviews into how those fees are put together. I would not say that we go through with a forensic, fine toothcomb, but we certainly try to look at whether departments have finally achieved the nirvana of government of complete cost recovery—whereby the fees match exactly what it costs departments to provide the service—or whether they are under or over-recovering. It is that process that often inspires departments maybe to look a little closer at their internal processes to see whether they are running efficiently and that they are not cross-subsidising one element of their operation with another element.

I think that is a good thing that we do. Unfortunately, whenever we are faced with the prospect of a regulation change under an act which is a taxing act, we simply say, “Whatever. Que sera, sera. What will be, will be.” We have no opportunity to really review what those fees are, how they are set, how efficient that department's operations are, and whether one is cross-subsidising another. That is simply because they have the authorisation from this place to charge whatever they want. They can stand at the side of the road and tax anyone who comes past whatever fee they would like.

The question then becomes: how do we hold those departments that have that power to account? How do we ensure that they are in fact collecting only that which they need? It is probably very similar to the way we would like to manage our fisheries. We would like to take only what we need to take to maintain a sustainable society; we tax only to the extent that we need to tax. I believe that those opposite would often call themselves the party of small government, the party of efficient government. If so, I challenge them to consider this legislation in light of that and actually ask themselves: is this the way forward for efficient government, or would it be far more efficient to actually define to the department what it can take? Instead, we are saying, “Do not worry about being efficient in the future. You can just add another half a per cent here, and we will cover the loss and the fisheries industry will meet the gap”—or even worse.

I believe the member for Nollamara made reference to the powers that exist in the Fish Resources Management Act 1994. Section 238(5) is the relevant section of the act. These amending bills provide for the taxes against any expenditure according to what falls within that subsection. I draw to the house's attention a couple of things. Firstly, section 238(5)(i) of the act states “to conduct enforcement, operations and compliance programmes”. That seems reasonable, does it not?

Last year I was visiting the north west town of Exmouth. I took my family with me. I met with Kailis up there, which is a big fisher in the area. We had a really lovely time in Exmouth. On the way back down to Perth I was greeted with flashing blue lights and I was waved into an alcove with about 30 other cars. I thought it was a random breath testing spot. It was not a random breath testing spot; it was a random fishery testing spot. In fact, it was fisheries officers who stopped me, and it was fisheries officers who then insisted that they search my car. To be honest, I was quite put out by the process as I am not a fisherperson. I clearly had no fishing equipment on my car. It seemed quite unusual that this group had the power to do that. From some casual inquiries I made I found that in fact they did have the power to make these random searches. Members should keep that fact in mind when they are passing a bill that provides taxing powers to a department that then enables it to spend money to conduct enforcement operations and compliance programs. Essentially we are saying it can take as much money as it likes to do that task. How many random fishery testing spots will we have? I note that fishery testing spots were carried out in conjunction with police. I suspect that again it was an attempt by two agencies to work together to achieve a mutual goal; maybe to exploit the powers that the other had to allow them to look inside my vehicle where they may not otherwise have cause to.

Mr W.R. Marmion: Can you explain what you mean by “pay as much money as you like”?

Mr A.J. WADDELL: They can set their fees at whatever they would like to set their fees at.

Mr W.R. Marmion: Who is “they”?

Mr A.J. WADDELL: The Department of Fisheries can set its fees at whatever it likes to set its fees at.

Ms J.M. Freeman: It is a tax.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: It is not a fee anymore, it is a tax. The purpose of the bill is to eliminate any doubt. The explanatory memorandum alludes to the fact there was some confusion but that that confusion really was not that great. This was really just an attempt to clear up that confusion. It says it is important to note that the State Solicitor’s Office remains firmly of the view that those fees as they were then set were not taxes and were not authorised by the act. Then of course we ask ourselves: if there is such firm advice from the State Solicitor’s Office that the bill before us today is entirely unnecessary, why are we wasting time in this place passing a bill to give these powers to the department to raise a tax in the future when the money will not be expended other than for what is authorised under the existing act? I am not a conspiracy theorist but I suggest that either the State Solicitor’s advice is not quite as firm as suggested in this or there are expansionary plans to move way beyond what could be justified right now.

Section 238(5)(j) of the Fish Resources Management Act allows the department to spend money —

to purchase capital assets required for the management or administration of fisheries, fish processing or aquaculture;

I really cannot think of a single thing I could not buy under that heading! I could literally buy any vessel. I could buy a helicopter if I wanted, or a fish processing plant. I could pretty much buy anything I wanted. Again, open slather. Paragraph (l) reads —

to assist the fishing industry or any body (whether incorporated or not) whose objects include the provision of assistance to, or the promotion of, the fishing industry;

Tourism Council WA from time to time promotes fishing. It is often advertised that there is some great fishing in our north west. I suspect that a fishing tax can now pay for the tourism industry. I am sure that any organisation could start putting into its “objects” they wish to support the fishing industry and receive a handout. Please do not get me wrong, I am not suggesting that the minister is about to do that, I am suggesting we always need to have a mind to what we pass in this place. Although we may all be upstanding and admirable people today, we cannot say with certainty that whoever comes after us will necessarily share those same limitations we are bound by today. They will simply look at the letter of the law. The letter of the law will say, “This is a big fat fish tax and we can charge what we want.” Again, if I was channelling the federal opposition leader, Mr Abbott, I would probably be pondering what impact this would have on family budgets. In fact I would possibly look at what impact a fish tax could have on a birthday cake, but the idea of a fish-flavoured birthday cake does not really impress me!

Mr D.A. Templeman: It depends whether it has icing.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: That is true, yes.

When we look at how everything is connected, the inference could be drawn at some point in time—much like a carbon tax and much like a development and better interest fund tax—that it is created for the betterment of our society and for the better management of our resources. Again they are admirable prospects but they have consequences. What are the consequences? What costings have we put into the big fat fish tax? What impact will it have on the bottom line of Western Australians? Will the good Catholics amongst us be worried about Lent?

Mr F.A. Alban: Good Friday.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: Sorry, I am an atheist; I do not know. Will they be worried about the big fat fish tax? Will they take to the streets panicking about it?

If we flip over to “Recreational Fishing Account” at section 239(4)(g), it states —

to assist any body (whether incorporated or not) whose objects include the promotion of recreational fishing;

That takes us even further down the path. I should imagine my local fish and tackle shop—I believe there is someone here associated with one of them!—would take advantage of that. The government could potentially be providing assistance or subsidies to their operations. I draw a broad arrow on these things simply because I ask: is it this place’s intention to give broad powers to departments? Departments will certainly take advantage of broader powers. They will only be as efficient as we force them to be. If we open the doors, departments will take advantage. This is a problem the delegated legislation committee has faced. We see ourselves as trying to keep fees and charges down to a reasonable level, to keep it to exactly what is necessary and try to stop lazy departments putting through unjustified increases. The consumer price index increase is 2.8 per cent this year. A department may go ahead with a CPI increase without looking at what efficiencies it has delivered, how it could

be better run and what dividend it could be paying back to the citizens of WA. Departments will take the lazy path forward; namely, “It’s easy. We’ll just go with the CPI. We’ll stay at 100 per cent cost recovery and that saves us having to make the difficult decisions.” We give them a taxing act and simply say, “Good luck, guys. You never have to make a difficult decision again”, until such time as somebody marches in front of Parliament screaming “Save us from this oppressive tax”. They can do what they like because they will have no scrutiny. I shall leave it on those remarks. I shall commend the big fat fish tax to the house.

MR B.S. WYATT (Victoria Park) [5.47 pm]: I too rise to make a brief contribution to the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 and the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010. Obviously the members for Forrestfield and Nollamara have outlined in detail the opposition’s position on this legislation. No doubt the member for Gosnells will also articulate the opposition’s position very clearly. The Labor Party obviously supports the bill. We have some concerns, as the member for Forrestfield has outlined in the vocabulary of the federal Leader of the Opposition. The government certainly does not have a very good record on fees. As the member for Nollamara pointed out, the Department of Environment may now be facing the reality of a huge hole in its budget as the court considers the validity of some other potentially failed fees in respect of the waste levy. The government may indeed learn from what our judicial brethren down the road have to say about this government’s performance when it comes to the administration of fees and charges. It is indeed becoming an area of obsession for the Barnett government as it struggles to find revenue to plug ever-increasing holes in its debt ceiling that seems to be a little bit like the public sector cap; that is, it is ever increasing off into the stratosphere.

As the member for Nollamara pointed out, when we talk about fishing we are always very concerned about conservation. I, like many, if not all members here, have been a keen fisherman for most of my life. I have fished all over Western Australia and Australia. The concern about depleted fish stocks is something we are all concerned about. One of those stocks is of course tuna, as is playing out on an international scale. One of the things that I do in my electorate every year is hold a tuna drive. I have made a commitment to Foodbank that in the lead-up to Christmas I will provide it with 1 000 tins of tuna. Those tins of tuna are put into hampers that are distributed by non-government organisations to needy families in the community. Although I started by providing Foodbank with tins of tuna, it soon become apparent to me, because members of my electorate were telling me, that tuna is perhaps not the best fish to encourage people to deliver to my house, so I had to change that to other kinds of tinned fish.

Mr D.A. Templeman: Tinned mullet!

Mr B.S. WYATT: A piece of mullet from the Swan, not far from my electorate! I am not sure whether anyone puts mullet in a tin!

Mr D.A. Templeman: So long as it has some brine on it, it will be all right.

Mr B.S. WYATT: I do not know whether Foodbank would appreciate brined mullet in a tin, member for Mandurah! I have not yet seen mullet in a tin, and I hope I do not. So I have since changed that, and now tinned everything comes in, and I provide that to Foodbank. I know that the member for Geraldton is a very keen fan of Foodbank, as I am. The headquarters of Foodbank are in Welshpool, in my electorate.

Mr I.C. Blayney: I went there this morning.

Mr B.S. WYATT: I am very pleased to hear that, and I will come back to that in just a minute. Foodbank of Western Australia was set up by Doug Paling. Doug has now retired from Foodbank, but he is an incredible person, and he has done an outstanding job. In Geraldton, Pat Hodges—the forceful Pat Hodges, as the member for Geraldton would know—is doing an incredible job distributing food far and wide. I think food from Geraldton might even be going out as far as the Kimberley.

Mr I.C. Blayney: She sends it out as far as Newman.

Mr B.S. WYATT: I think it might even get up into the Pilbara; I am not 100 per cent sure. I know that the Leader of the National Party likes Foodbank as well.

Foodbank has been going through an incredible process to receive funding that has been committed by this government over an extended period. I have a copy of a letter which the member for Geraldton sent to Pat Hodges, along with a letter which he forwarded from Hon Brendon Grylls, Minister for Regional Development. This government, through the Premier, Colin Barnett, and through royalties for regions, committed to provide Foodbank with \$780 000 from the Department of Education, and \$780 000 from royalties for regions, over a four-year period to extend the breakfast in schools program. I think every member of Parliament would agree that that is an outstanding program. That program is broken down into three different areas. Foodbank calls it “Healthy Food For All”. There is a school breakfast, there is a nutritional program called Food Sensations, and there is a physical activity program. That is all packaged up into the school breakfast program that the government has committed to. Certainly, the letter from the Minister for Regional Development to the member

for Geraldton in June 2010 confirmed that an amount of \$1.5 million would be going to Foodbank. We are now in the dying days of the 2010–11 financial year and Foodbank is yet to receive one cent of that money. A memorandum of understanding is floating between the offices of the Minister for Education and the Minister for Regional Development to frantically try to bring this money into Foodbank for the first six months of 2011. Foodbank has been delivering the program. The member for Geraldton knows that, because he was at Foodbank this morning, as he just said. In the last budget speech from the Premier, which was delivered less than one year ago, the Premier made great political capital out of the fact that this money has been committed to Foodbank. However, that money has not yet arrived.

The ACTING SPEAKER (Mr P.B. Watson): Member for Victoria Park!

Mr B.S. WYATT: The reason this is important, Mr Acting Speaker, is that this legislation is all about fish conservation. I am talking about fish conservation and the importance of fish in our diet. If I may take advantage of your generosity and go off the topic for just one more moment, Mr Acting Speaker—I am sure the Minister for Environment representing the Minister for Fisheries will not mind—the government then had the audacity in February this year to go to Peel for a community cabinet meeting and re-announce that it would be giving this money to Foodbank to enable it to give kids across Western Australia access to the school breakfast program. The media statement that the government put out at the time has three heads at the top, like a deck of cards—the Premier, the Minister for Education and the Minister for Regional Development—and three paragraphs about how the government is doing such a wonderful job in giving this money to Foodbank. The problem is that not one cent of that money has hit Foodbank. The reality is that if the money that was promised to Foodbank under that MOU for the first six months of this year does not hit Foodbank this month, the program will begin to shut down. The member for Geraldton needs to understand that and chase this up.

Mr I.C. Blayney: I spent an hour with them this morning, and they did not mention it once.

Mr B.S. WYATT: It is interesting that the member for Geraldton spent an hour with them and they did not raise it with him. That would suggest to me that his effectiveness as a local member leaves a lot to be desired.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Member for Victoria Park!

Mr B.S. WYATT: When I look at the letter that the member for Geraldton sent to Pat Hodges, it is no wonder they are not raising it with him!

The ACTING SPEAKER: Members! I call the member for Victoria Park and the member for Geraldton to order. The member for Victoria Park will get back to the bills before the house. I think I have given him enough leniency.

Mr B.S. WYATT: Thank you, Mr Acting Speaker; I will. I conclude by making the point that every member of this Parliament knows that this is an important program in regional Western Australia. The member for Geraldton needs to get onto the Minister for Regional Development and the Minister for Education. They are the ones who are refusing to accept responsibility. Chase it up, member for Geraldton, and get this program delivered! The final sentence in the letter from the member for Geraldton to Ms Hodges is, “Minister Grylls has informed me that this program is to be funded into regional areas for the next two years.” That letter is dated 21 June 2010. Not one cent of that money has hit Foodbank. Chase this issue up, member for Geraldton, and get these ministers onto the job!

Mr Acting Speaker, I will come back to the legislation at hand.

The ACTING SPEAKER: That would be nice!

Several members interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Members!

Mr B.S. WYATT: As opposition members have pointed out, we will of course be supporting this legislation. We always have concerns when this government wants to use a big new tax to fund its outrageous spending and to fund interest payments on its debt. I simply make the point that when the government commits to provide programs in schools for the kids of Western Australia, the people of Western Australia expect the government to deliver. I expect the member for Geraldton to get onto these ministers and make sure this program is delivered.

MR C.J. TALLENTIRE (Gosnells) [5.58 pm]: I rise to make some brief comments on the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 and the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010. The opposition supports this legislation. However, we seek clarification from the minister on some aspects of this legislation. It is appropriate that I begin by complimenting the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation for the work it has done in examining this matter and in determining that the fees imposed are in fact a tax. It is for that reason that this legislation is before us. My main interest in speaking on these bills tonight is

how the funds raised from this tax will be applied. In essence, we are talking about the management of our fisheries. I understand that in Western Australia there are some 35 commercial fisheries.

Sitting suspended from 6.00 to 7.00 pm

Mr C.J. TALLENTIRE: Before the dinner break, I was outlining some of the things that make this legislation before Parliament today so important. The collective value of the 35-odd commercial fisheries in Western Australia is \$435 million. It is my understanding that in an ideal world each of these fisheries has something known as a management advisory council, which is a body set up to ensure that that fishery is looked after in the best possible way, to ensure that it is sustainably managed, or, to use the terminology applied in the fishing sector, that it is managed according to an ecosystem-based fisheries management system. The Western Australian Department of Fisheries widely applies not only the theory and philosophy of EBFM, but also its practical aspects. I applaud the efforts of those who work in the Department of Fisheries for the application of an ecosystem-based fisheries management system. It is very important to ensure that management advisory councils are well resourced and that they include the diversity of people required to make meaningful contributions on how a particular fishery is managed. It is quite obvious that if we have people on a management advisory council who are only interested in the profit maximisation of a particular fishery, we could run into problems; we could find that we push beyond the sustainable management of fish stocks and damage the ecosystem that supports the fishery. Therefore, it is in everyone's interest to ensure that a management advisory council includes people who are experts in the area of fisheries, who are passionate about a particular fishery and who have knowledge of that fishery.

To give an example, there is a trawl fishery in the Exmouth Gulf area and the majority of people who work there have a vested pecuniary interest in the management of that fishery. They are on that management advisory council as part of their paid job. That is fair enough; they want to be involved in the management of that fishery. However, there also need to be people on that management advisory council who can bring other perspectives to it. They might represent the recreational fishing sector, recognising that there could be an interface between the activities of the trawl fishery and recreational fishers. We need people on management advisory councils who are there purely because they like diving in that area and are passionate about what they see when they go diving—they need to be on the council to represent their views. A trawl fishery is complex; it is a technical area and technical expertise is needed. People cannot just rock up to a meeting and expect to be able to breeze through it, make points and convince other members of the council who have vested interests. People need to be well informed. That is why we need to ensure that funds are available to enable people to participate in management advisory councils and to enable them to do the necessary background research and preparation, and make meaningful contributions.

To give another example, one of our most profitable Western Australian fisheries, the Western Australian rock lobster fishery, has a management advisory council. It had a problem with a bycatch species, namely sea lions. Sea lion pups were getting stuck in the craypots while trying to get the bait or the rock lobsters in the craypots. Therefore, there was a serious problem with the bycatch. The rock lobster industry said that not many sea lions drowned each year by getting stuck in pots, but nevertheless it was damaging the reputation of the industry, which wants to portray itself in the international market as very sustainable—it is a great marketing advantage for it to be able to do so. Solving the problem of the drowning sea lions was simple. A stick—somewhat grandly called a sea lion excluder device—was put in the middle of the craypots to stop the sea lion pups getting into them. It was very simple to do and does not cause a problem with the handling of the craypots when they are pulled up as the boats drift along. Interested players will push to bring about the implementation of those sorts of ideas; people will see that it is in their marketing interest to be able to implement them, but there also need to be people technically proficient in that particular industry looking at it from a conservationist's perspective. That is my point about how we apply the funds raised by this new 5.75 per cent tax through the system enabled by the legislation we are discussing today.

There are many other examples. As I said, there are at least 35 commercial fisheries in Western Australia and I think that all of them would claim to have the sustainability of their industry at heart and that they comply with ecosystem-based fisheries management. In some of those fisheries, however, we would really have to question how things occur. Being on a management advisory council and trying to put to fishers in financial difficulty a conservation position more concerned about the environmental impacts of the industry on the fishery than its profitability would be a very tough job. A person would need to be very competent in their knowledge of that sector; they would perhaps be an industry person. They would ideally be a person who has come out of the industry and approached their role on the management council with a strong conservation ethic. They would probably have to trade off a paid job somewhere else with their time on the management advisory council. That is why it is so important that there are people in the sector who are paid to participate in such committees.

I am concerned about some of the areas the managed fishery licence fee may be applied to. The outline in the legislation says that it is for fisheries policy development—that is very good—for research in general, for compliance, and for activities that support those services. By that we can read administrative matters. Of course,

any work of this sort requires an administrative effort to ensure that any management advisory council functions in an efficient way. Therefore, I am concerned, and I look to the minister for reassurance that he would enable the sort of contribution that would allow our Western Australian rock lobster fishery to be seen as a sustainably managed world-class fishery that welcomes contributions to its advisory council from non-government organisations with conservation interests. In order to have those sorts of people on board, the minister would need to say that some of the funds raised through this scheme or this tax, would be applied to helping those people from the conservation sector—knowledgeable people—make their contributions on a management advisory council. It is critical to the successful marketing of these fisheries that we have people with the necessary expertise. There may be an argument that this is an environmental matter so perhaps the environmental agencies should make the contribution and provide the necessary advice, but that is not really how a management advisory council works. The credibility of the management advisory council hangs on including people not just from the Department of Fisheries, but also industry. It needs to include people from the community sector, but people who are well informed in these matters and who have the time to put into preparing for meetings and to research and discuss points with other industry players. It requires people who have the competence and the time to put into making sure that their contributions are meaningful.

It is important that the minister outlines tonight that this money can be applied in a way that will encompass the valuable work previously funded through the development and better interest fund. I had direct experience with that when I was director of the Conservation Council of Western Australia. A succession of ministers realised the benefit of having a sustainable fisheries liaison officer, based at the Conservation Council, who was able to make contributions to these various MACs, who could put a solid argument together and who worked collaboratively with industry groups. In fact, one of the important outcomes from such a program was what could be called social capital, which was built up between conservation interests and commercial fishing interests. It was quite impressive to see how that built up over time. It is important that we make sure that that continues.

We do not want to fall into the situation that has occurred in some long-line fisheries around the world. There have been television reports of albatrosses being caught in these fisheries because long-line fishing is done in such a way that albatrosses can see the bit of bait on the hook, dive down to get it and get caught on the hook. That is very simple to avoid; the fishers just have to make sure that the hooks are a little deeper in the water, beyond the dive zone of the bird. It is simple to avoid, but if that is not done, that fishery has a serious impact on the populations of certain albatross species. The people who work with long-line fishers—the people who are involved in an informal policing role but also, and more importantly, in an educational role—invariably come from the NGO sector. That job requires certain social skills that a lot of people would struggle with. Those people have to have knowledge of the industry, a commitment to the conservation outcome and a way of communicating with the people who are operating the long-line fishery to make sure that they learn how they can improve the operation of their fishery.

From my time at the Conservation Council I know that many people in the Department of Fisheries became champions of the idea of having sustainable fisheries liaison officers. People like Colin Chalmers, who passed away a couple of years ago, made an excellent contribution to the sustainability of our fisheries in Western Australia. Colin could see the benefit in making sure that people from the conservation sector were involved in fisheries management. There are many others in the Department of Fisheries as well. As the member for Nollamara outlined in her speech, those people from the conservation sector were also in a position to make contributions to policy development because they were resourced to do so. That is where I come back to my point that we must make sure some of this money is available to other sectors, such as conservation and tourism, so that they can make valuable contributions to the management of these fisheries.

I think we also need to clarify the extent of the fee-setting power that will come through this act. It is outlined at the moment as 5.75 per cent of the gross value of the fishery, but there could be cases when that is not going to be enough. I would like to know what power there is for that fee to be raised.

I want to look a little further into this issue and to communicate the state of things to the house. It is easy for us to want to believe that Western Australian fisheries are brilliantly managed, that we do not have any problems and that everything is going perfectly, but there are some problems with some fisheries. I will home in on the west coast bioregion, which is near major population centres. This fishery suffers the most from recreational fishing and also has very strong commercial fishing interests as well. It is one fishery that really does suffer. The “State of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Report 2009/10” put out by the Department of Fisheries outlined a number of areas that are cause for concern. Looking at some of the estuaries, for example, there is a significant risk to the ecosystem, structure and biodiversity of those fisheries. A table on pages 24, 25 and 26 of the report highlights that there are significant risks in the fin-fish industry and the inshore demersal industry, where people fish in depths of 20 to 250 metres. There is a high risk to the ecosystem, structure and biodiversity of the offshore demersal industry, where people fish in depths greater than 250 metres. There are some areas in which we really do have to apply ourselves. We need to use a good funding model that enables us to bring together

community expertise. That expertise resides with not only commercial fishers, but also fishing enthusiasts—those who have perhaps retired from the commercial fishing industry and recreational fishers who have a passion for the conservation of the marine environment. A financial mechanism that brings all those players together is only going to enhance the quality of our Western Australian fisheries.

I note that some fisheries have bycatch problems. I have outlined the one associated with the western rock lobster fishery and the problem with sea lions, but other fisheries also have problems with bycatch. I refer again to the “State of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Report 2009/10”.

[Member’s time extended.]

Mr C.J. TALLENTIRE: The report quantifies the number of baldchin groper that are taken as bycatch as part of the western rock lobster fishery. It is quite alarming. Some 2 908 baldchin groper, 7 063 breaksea cod and 8 309 wobbegong shark were taken just as bycatch in 2009–10.

Mr P. Abetz: Does that mean they are wasted or are they still used for food?

Mr C.J. TALLENTIRE: I think that depends on the fishery. There are some fisheries in which it is possible to use the bycatch in a useful way, but there are others in which it is not possible. I am checking through my notes to give the member that information. The amount of bycatch is quite disturbing. This gets back to my original point: we need good management advisory councils so that we can make sure we are discussing these things. It would be very tempting, I suppose, to have a management advisory council that is focused purely on the profitability of the fishing sector and to not want to really get into these issues. The purse seine fishery on the south coast uses big scoop nets to capture sardines. It had big problems with capturing a bird species; I think it was the sooty tern or the fleshy-footed shearwater. These birds fly out from islands in the Archipelago of the Recherche off Esperance and fly thousands of kilometres down into the Southern Ocean. The birds would see a purse seine fishing operation and descend on it and end up getting caught up in that fishery. It was possible to do things to avoid the bycatch of those birds simply by working with people who have the ornithological expertise and bringing them into the whole management structure; benefits were brought about so that there could be a significant improvement in how that fishery was managed.

I welcome this legislation. It is a way of making sure that we have the range of expertise that we need and that we go beyond looking at fisheries as only an economic resource. After all, a very legitimate case is to be made for people who want to see different fish species swimming in the water; people might snorkel or dive to see those fish as a tourism feature. That is also an economic value if members want to look at it in those terms. It is fairly hard to quantify that economic value; we would have to look at it in broad tourism numbers. We must recognise that there are people in our community who are happy to enjoy benefits other than fish on dinner plates; they prefer to see fish in the water.

There are other aspects to this issue, but the broad message is this: management advisory councils are essential to the credibility of the sustainability of our fisheries. The \$400 million that that industry brings to Western Australia is very much export oriented. We are increasingly selling our seafood produce to overseas markets that are very keen to know about the sustainability of the produce. Overseas markets will want to know how good the production standards are and they will look to us to provide information. I would suggest to the house that one of the best ways to provide that information is to say that we have in place a system of management advisory councils that are bringing together people from a range of sectors who debate the management of that particular fishery and argue about the best way to improve it, whether it is to reduce the amount of bycatch and ensure that it is not breaching sustainable stock levels; that is core to the way our fishery is conducted. If we had that sort of thing in place, it would be useful and an advantage for the marketing of our produce and essential to the long term survival of those fisheries.

MR W.R. MARMION (Nedlands — Minister for Environment) [7.23 pm] — in reply: I thank members opposite for their contributions and their support of the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 and the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010. I will briefly respond to as many of the comments from members opposite as I can. I start with the member for Collie–Preston, who was supportive of the bill. He highlighted the fact that these bills are simply there to put in place a mechanism to remove any shadow of doubt that the fees are a tax. Any doubt that these fees are a tax—even though we have the State Solicitor’s advice that they are not a tax—will be removed by these bills. The member for Collie–Preston also supports recreational fishing and he made the comment that children under 16 should not pay a fee. I point out that children under 16 get a 50 per cent concession and all fees paid go to the same sustainable fisheries effort.

The member for Mandurah also supports the bill. He raised a legal issue. He understands that the new revised fees under this legislation will be covered in terms of anyone assuming it may be a tax—it will not be—but he raised the question of whether there had been any approaches of industry or suggestions of questioning the previous fees. My advice is that there is no legal action regarding these fees. Indeed, the view of the government

is that any legal action would not be sustained given the ruling of the High Court in the Harper case, which determined that the access fees were not taxes but in the nature of a royalty for which the licensee gains a degree of exclusive access to a limited public resource. Also, all commercial fishers have paid the fees due.

The member for Mandurah then made a passionate address regarding the importance of the water in the Peel Inlet and Harvey Estuary. I agree with the member that that issue is important. He highlighted the fact that he has seen reports over many years that show that the water quality in the Peel Inlet and Harvey Estuary is going downhill. Obviously I have not read those reports from over many years, but I know it has been a priority area for all governments in the past. I think the Dawesville Cut was originally put in place to try to improve the water quality in that estuary and system.

The member for Mandurah made particular reference to the Point Grey marina development and the channel that is some 2.5 kilometres long by 50 metres wide by three metres deep, which is going through a process of assessment. I know the area well. When it comes to my table, I will give the matter and the advice that I receive from the department due consideration. The member mentioned that for the people who are moving to Mandurah the jewel in the crown is the estuarine system. Having driven past the Serpentine River on many occasions, I agree with the member that the river is not looking good. I believe that a lot of that is due to the run-off nutrients from the catchment area, which is in the Darling Range agricultural area. That is certainly an area we must look at. That issue deviates a little bit from this particular bill, but I take the member's point. When that particular advice comes from the department, I will give it due consideration.

The member for Nollamara was on the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation, which took the view that the fees under the Fish Resources Management Act are taxes. Hence we are running the two bills through today. As the member pointed out, the committee did not agree with the State Solicitor's advice. The member has probably seen it, but I am happy to table it in the Legislative Assembly.

Ms J.M. Freeman: It is attached to the report. It has been tabled in the house previously.

Mr W.R. MARMION: This house?

Ms J.M. Freeman: Yes.

Mr T.R. Buswell: Un-table that.

Mr W.R. MARMION: I will un-table it. I thank the member for her advice. The member for Nollamara went through the rationale of why the committee did not consider that the fee was not a tax. I will not go through and debate that, because I am not a lawyer and the State Solicitor's advice, which is 11 pages, highlights a number of similar cases that the member for Nollamara raised in support of the case that the fee is a tax. The State Solicitor's Office raised similar cases to argue that it is not a tax. We are here today because the Minister for Fisheries has decided to put these bills through to confirm that if it is deemed a tax, it is not a tax.

I am just making sure there are no other points that the member raised that I should cover. I am advised that 2.5 per cent of fees go to the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council and 0.5 per cent of fees go to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. It is up to the Minister for Fisheries to determine where the fees go. The member for Forrestfield advanced the view that the development and better interest fee component was a tax, which is a similar argument to that advanced by the member for Nollamara. He also raised some other interesting points about where money could be spent. He talked about particular sections of the act, but I do not think we are here to debate them. The act allows compliance programs et cetera to be funded, but the bills we are debating today simply remove any shadow of doubt that fees are legitimate.

The member also talked about the impact of anything the minister might do to cut down fishing on Good Friday. I once did an economics unit that reviewed a supply and demand analysis of factors on a particular fishing coast. The regression analysis of factors of supply and demand for fish became so refined that it actually took into account slight changes in currents and temperature. It was a very good predictor of the catch, but it failed to include the constant, which was the Pope's decree that Catholics need no longer eat fish on Good Friday, so the whole precise model, which took many years to develop, fell in a hole, and the fishery in that particular town unfortunately collapsed—which probably was good for the fish! But I digress.

The member for Victoria Park is no longer here, but he related an interesting story about his tin of tuna, which was his entree into talking about the bill. I will not talk about Foodbank, because I think it is a separate issue, but it was an interesting contribution to the debate and kept it going a bit longer, perhaps, than I expected it would.

The member for Gosnells then made a contribution to the debate; he supports the bill, and I think everybody supports the intent of the bill in respect of where the fees will be spent, which is to the fishery stock and conservation research around fisheries. The member made a point about management advisory committees and who should be on them. He suggested that they should include a range of people, including people with conservation interests. One would assume that if we were to set up a management advisory committee, we would do that anyway. A responsible minister would do that. As Minister for Environment, I have a number of

committees, and I have a range of people on those committees. Hopefully, they would all have a conservation bone in their bodies, and these days one would hope that even people in the fishing industry would be looking at the conservation of fish stocks as being important to their industry.

Mr C.J. Tallentire: Minister, would you be happy for funds raised through this tax to be applied to people from the conservation sector sitting on those committees?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I am not the Minister for Fisheries; the member would have to ask him. I would imagine that people on such committees would not necessarily have to come from the conservation sector; they might coincidentally be a member of the Conservation Council, but not designated as being representative of the Conservation Council. I could give an opinion, but it would not be a binding view. The member would have to take the matter up with the Minister for Fisheries.

I thank members opposite for their support of the bill and their in-principle support for the issues of research, conservation and the management of fish stock.

Question put and passed.

Bill (Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010) read a second time.

FISH RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AMENDMENT (FEES) BILL 2010

Consideration in Detail

Clauses 1 to 3 put and passed.

Clause 4: Section 58 amended —

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Clause 4 inserts, after section 58(2), proposed section 58(3). It states in part —

- (3) A fee prescribed under subsection (2)(m) may include one or more of the following —
- (a) an amount in respect of the extent or value of any authority conferred by an authorisation;

What is meant by “any authority” in respect of authorisations? To me that seems very convoluted and not very clear. What does “any authority” refer to?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I can see why the member is confused! I will see if I can unravel it. It refers to any authority to fish conferred by an authorisation, which would be a licence.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I thank the minister. The clause continues —

- (b) an amount in connection with any purpose referred to in section 238(5) that is relevant to an authorisation;

I want to take the minister to section 238(5) and its purposes. I note that it is to assist the fishing industry or anybody, whether incorporated or not, whose objects include the provision of assistance to, or promotion of, the fishing industry. I assume that that refers to the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council and Recfishwest. Where in section 238(5) can we include conservation organisations? Would it not be difficult to say that they are part of the fishing industry as such? Where does the minister think that we could include conservation organisations, such as the Conservation Council?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I am advised that the possibility of funding a conservation group could be done under section 238(5)(d) of the Fish Resources Management Act, which states —

to defray the costs of the administration and management of commercial fisheries;

The view is that “management” is a very broad term and includes consultation. Therefore, under subsection (5)(d) it could be construed that a minister, if he or she chose, could consult in that way under the banner of “good management and good conservation management”, and consultation could be used as a mechanism.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: It may be that the minister will need to take advice on my next question. I understood that the Conservation Council of Western Australia was at one stage funded and is not funded any longer. Was that because of the limitations of section 238(5) and the funding had to be used to defray the costs of the administration and management of commercial fisheries? On what basis did the Conservation Council’s previous funding cease? Was it on advice that there was no purpose for funding a conservation organisation because it was not part of the industry? My second question is: given that the minister is not the primary minister, could some consideration be given to amending section 238(5) through this bill so that it could include conservation as well as exploitation of the fishing industry?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I cannot be definitive on this but the advice is that most likely it was a policy decision by the minister. If that is the case, the mechanism still exists. It is not possible to change section 238 now by

amendment. The minister has continuously accepted amendments to this bill, and if it is shown that it was not a policy decision—although most likely it was—the member could propose a small amendment to the minister.

Mr C.J. TALLENTIRE: I seek clarification, though, that subject to the policy decision, it is the view of the minister and his advisers that the legislation will provide money from this fund to pay people who may not be involved in the extraction of the resource but who are interested in the conservation of the resource to be involved in the management of the fishery.

Mr W.R. MARMION: We are talking about section 238(5). The advice on paragraph (d) is that it is probably the maximum for setting up and paying these advisory committees. I guess it even includes scientific research and exploration under paragraphs (b) and (c), and management under (d); in other words the power is grouped together in all those paragraphs, but we would have to get more definitive legal advice on that.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Can I just clarify that again? The minister said that paragraph (d) would be for committees that are set up to manage. My question goes to section 238(5)(l), which gives the capacity to fund a body, incorporated or not, to assist that industry or body whose objects include the provision of assistance to, or the promotion of, the fishing industry. Is it the minister's view in the promotion of the fishing industry that a body outside of the body established by the minister as a reference body or a body such as the Conservation Council or another body, could be funded; or does this just pertain to Recfishwest, the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council and those industry bodies, and not to conservation bodies?

Mr W.R. MARMION: My advice is that paragraph (l) relates specifically to WAFIC and that recreation fishing comes under section 239, which is referred to in clause 5 of the bill.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I am interested to know about proposed section 58(3)(c), which states —
an amount in respect of the costs of administering this Act.

Given that section 238(5)(d) has in effect a power to defray the costs of the administration and management of commercial fisheries, why is a catch-all provision required, such as this proposed section 58(3)(c)? Is there a risk that this could be used in the same way as the Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Levy Act in that it becomes a fee for running the organisation? I have therefore two questions. First, why does it look as though the minister is getting a power that he already has if it is only for a purpose for which he has used the power previously and the intent of the bill is simply to ensure that the fees are accurately portrayed as taxes as in section 238(5)(d)? Secondly, is there a risk that the power could be used in the same way as it was used in the Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Levy Act?

Mr W.R. MARMION: There is a simple answer. Section 238(5)(d) relates to defraying the costs of the administration and management of commercial fisheries, which is therefore specifically for managing fishery stock. Indeed paragraph (d) specifically says “commercial fisheries”. Proposed section 58(3)(c) in this clause is a catch-all provision and is to do with administering the whole of the act, not just commercial fisheries. It covers anything in the current act for which there is a fee. The member's committee said, “Hang on, it's a tax.” That is what it is about; it picks up other administration costs of the Fish Resources Management Act.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: My second question was: is there a danger in that catch-all provision that there could be fees and taxes raised for the purposes of operating the department, obviously limiting the consolidated revenue fund, such as was done with the waste avoidance levy?

Mr W.R. MARMION: The fees, if collected, can run the department. At the moment the department is partially funded by the CRF. It is funded with a combination of revenue, but the fees can go to the administration of the act and the support of the department.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Can the minister confirm that the purpose of the bill, as I understand it, is simply to ensure that the department is not at risk of having its development and better interest fund being considered a tax? The department has the right to charge fees as long as those fees are collected on a cost-recovery basis. However, this provision is a taxing right that goes beyond that. The government is giving the department the right to go beyond recovering fees on that basis. The department will tax consumers for the management of the industry. If the purpose of the bill is to simply ensure that the development aspect of what the government wants to run through the department is funded appropriately, is not proposed section (3)(c) beyond the intent of what was supposed to occur as a result of the thirty-fifth report of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation into the Fish Resources Management Amendment Regulations (No. 3) 2009?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I am advised that all the amounts in proposed section (3)(b), which we just dealt with, relate to the development and better interest fund, which no longer exists. It is basically a royalty.

Ms J.M. Freeman: It is not basically a royalty; you are making it a tax.

Mr W.R. MARMION: Or a tax—I am advised that it is a royalty. We are collecting a lump sum from the fishers, and that revenue will go into the pot of revenue of the department, and to be used for the things that the department has to do.

Ms J.M. Freeman: It goes into the account. Is there a specified account for it?

Mr W.R. MARMION: Yes.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: The minister has not answered my question. Proposed section (3)(b) is for the account that runs what normally would have been the development aspect, and proposed section (3)(c) gives the government a right to impose a tax for everything else under the bill. Previously it was never in question that the department had a right to charge fees for it, but now the government wants a taxing right for everything else. There has never been any argument that cost-recovery fees could not be charged for the operation of the Fish Resources Management Act. That has never been in question. What was in question was how the development fund was managed. We said that the government needed to have a taxing right for that. We now know that proposed section 3(b) is for that purpose; that is where it fits in. The government is giving itself an additional right above what the thirty-second report said was needed and is giving the department a right to charge a tax above the fees. The government will not have to show cost recovery for the way the amended act will be administered. Is that right in terms of proposed section 3(c)? Can the minister clarify that?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I am seeking advice on that right now. Proposed section 3(c) is a catch-all. Any fee that is charged will have to go through the regulations and could be disallowed by Parliament or the minister. Although it is a catch-all, the advice I received earlier is that the Minister for Fisheries has guaranteed that during this term of government the fees will remain at 5.75 per cent. That amount will not be increased under this government.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: If I understand correctly, in 2013 the Department of Fisheries could bring forward a regulation to increase that fee from 5.75 per cent to 12 per cent. We would ask why the department has done that and the department could say that it was because the chief executive officer wanted to buy a new car. Is that legitimate under this legislation?

Mr W.R. MARMION: That is a good analogy. If the fee increases from 5.75 per cent to 12 per cent, it would have to be done in consultation with the minister and industry. They would work out what the quantum dollar amount would be and that would become a proposed regulation which would go before Parliament and which Parliament could disallow. I have just been advised that the initial starting percentage can be initiated by the minister and industry. That starts the process of amending the regulations that go to Parliament, where they can be disallowed.

Ms J.M. Freeman: Where does it say in the bill that there has to be consultation between industry, the minister and the department?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I would have to ask my adviser.

Ms J.M. Freeman: You have said that would be done by negotiation between the industry, the department and the minister. Where in the bill does it say that there must be consultation? Is that simply consultation or does the industry have a veto over it?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I will ask my adviser.

Mr W.J. JOHNSTON: I am very interested in the answer that the minister will give to the eloquent question asked by the member for Nollamara when the minister is ready to give that answer. I know that the minister is receiving very good advice. The quality of advice that he is receiving is outstanding. Have I talked long enough, minister?

The ACTING SPEAKER (Mr A.P. O’Gorman): You do not have to keep talking, member!

Mr W.R. MARMION: I am advised that there is nothing in the bill that requires consultation between those parties to take place. However, it has been done by convention and successive governments have been doing it successfully since 1995. We presume that that will continue.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: If we take those two answers in conjunction, if the department decides that it wants to buy a new fleet of cars for its executives, it does not have to consult with the industry; it can just increase the fee. One presumes that the minister will need to sign off on that. Aside from that, there does not need to be any further consultation. There is no automatic mechanism for disallowance of the regulations because the normal scrutiny by the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation is bypassed by virtue of the fact that this is a tax and therefore nothing in its terms of reference allows it to review the quanta of any increases. We are simply hoping that the convention will hold, hoping that someone notices and hoping that someone introduces a motion into the upper house and therefore does the right thing. Is that correct? Is there no safety mechanism whatsoever?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I did not quite follow the logic of the member’s question. Regulations are tabled, so they can be disallowed. The mechanism is that we do not have to inquire; they actually come before Parliament and can be scrutinised, so they can be disallowed. Any changes to the regulations can be knocked on the head by Parliament as a result of something going to 12 per cent, not so that cars would be put in there, but for anything else.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I ask the minister to clarify that answer. There can be an increase from the current 5.75 per cent, which we have been told is guaranteed for the life of this government, and it could go up to 12 per cent. The additional six or seven per cent can go into the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council under section 238(5). Given that the industry is getting the money, it is not going to complain about that. The regulation would lie before the house and it would be reliant on someone moving a disallowance motion. Can the minister confirm that that would be the case?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I think the member is getting off the track a bit here. It is very hypothetical.

Mr B.S. Wyatt interjected.

Mr W.R. MARMION: Actually, I could not go further off the track than the member for Victoria Park.

The hypothetical example of going from 5.75 per cent to 12 per cent is a huge increase, because industry would go ballistic if —

Ms J.M. Freeman: But you're giving it to industry.

Mr W.R. MARMION: No, we are not. We are giving it to WAFIC. WAFIC gets 0.25 per cent of that. A total of 0.25 per cent would go to WAFIC legitimately, not as income. This 12 per cent would come off income.

Ms J.M. Freeman: You don't determine what happens once you give that 0.25 per cent to WAFIC. There is nothing in the act that tells me that there is any determination of what happens when it goes into that fund.

Mr W.R. MARMION: I am not an expert on the act or the operations of the department, but most departments I have been involved in during my 24 years of dealing with government departments did not give money to anybody unless they had a contract and it told them what they were going to get for their money. I think the member would know that.

Clause put and passed.

Clause 5: Section 258 amended —

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: This clause seeks to insert the following at the end of section 258 —

(2) A fee prescribed under subsection (1)(zc)(ii) ...

Again, that is the issue of authorisation. I think we said that authorisation was the authorisation for fishing or the authorisation for licensing. That is my first question. The minister answered that earlier. For the record, could the minister tell me what he means by "authorisation"? Could he also tell me why it is limited to paragraph (zc)(ii), which states —

the issue of authorisations; and

Why is it not attached to paragraph (zc)(i)? I can sort of understand that. That would be the State Administrative Tribunal. It would be good to know why no money can be raised for that under this taxation. Also, why is it not attached to paragraph (zc)(iii)? There are a few questions there. Did the minister get all of them?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I will answer the first question. I could not follow the member because I was reading the act when she was asking those questions. As far as proposed subsection (2)(a) is concerned—that is, "an amount in respect of the extent or value of any authority"—that is an authority to fish "conferred by an authorisation", which is conferred by a licence.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I refer to proposed subsection (1)(zc)(ii)—"the issue of authorisations; and". It does not include paragraphs (zc)(i) or (zc)(iii). Why would money not be collected in those areas?

Mr W.R. MARMION: It is just a simple way of working out the mechanics of how it operates. Proposed subsection (2) will be inserted at the end of section 258. It states that a fee prescribed under proposed subsection (1)(zc)(ii)—the issue of authorisations—may include one or more of paragraphs (a), (b), (c) or (d). The member is asking why it does not include paragraphs (zc)(i) and (zc)(iii). It is because they are already there. We are just clarifying paragraph (zc)(ii)—that is, what a commercial licence fee can be.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: I am concerned about the language that is in the bill for the purposes of clarity within the acts that have passed this place. In particular, I am concerned about the very nature of this bill, which is designed to convert the moneys collected by this act into taxes or, as the member refers to them, royalties. There is a reference in this bill to fees. I am concerned that we are muddying the waters between what is a fee and what is a tax. I am sure that the minister is not implying that these continue to be fees, treated as fees and reviewable as fees in accordance with them being fees for service. Is there any possibility that we can clean up the language in this bill so that we refer to them as taxes or royalties, which is what they are, rather than fees?

Mr W.R. MARMION: No, we cannot do that. We would mess up the whole mechanism of what we are doing. Everything relates to a fee. If we go to the next bill, it refers to a fee. It is deemed to be a tax and it may impose a

tax. The whole structure of the bill refers to fees. We cannot change the wording because we would have to change the structure of all the acts.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Just for clarification, paragraph (zc) states —

prescribe fees and charges for the purposes of this Act, including fees and charges payable —

Mr W.R. MARMION: Where are you reading from?

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I am getting to proposed subsection (1)(zc)(ii). To get the minister to where I am, I refer him to clause 5 of the bill, which seeks to insert at the end of section 258 —

(2) A fee prescribed under subsection (1)(zc)(ii) ...

That will be inserted after proposed section 258(1)(zc), which states —

prescribe fees and charges for the purposes of this Act, including fees and charges payable in respect of —

(i) applications, other than an application to the State Administrative Tribunal for a review;

Therefore, a fee without a taxing authority —

(ii) the issue of authorisations; ...

Which is a licence fee, or fee with taxing power, and —

(iii) the provision of any service or information;

That is a fee without a taxing power. Will the minister tell me whether I have understood that correctly?

Mr W.R. MARMION: They are all fees. I know there is a debate about fees and taxation, but we are talking about fees. In subsection (2), the issue of authorisation is about a fee for any of the following in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d). In these, the government is clarifying —

Ms J.M. Freeman: Whether they have taxing power.

Mr W.R. MARMION: Yes; if deemed to be a tax, it is —

Ms J.M. Freeman: You are giving yourself a taxing power; it does not need to be deemed to be a tax.

Mr W.R. MARMION: Correct. And the member is saying that does not apply to the others.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Yes. And for clarification—a simple yes will suffice—am I right in saying that paragraph (zc)(i) is a fee without a taxing power; (zc)(ii) is a fee with a taxing power; and (zc)(iii) is a fee without a taxing power?

Mr W.R. MARMION: No; paragraph (zc)(i) and (iii) and the others below are a fee under the old system and paragraph (zc)(ii) is a fee under the new system.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: And, under the new system, paragraph (zc)(ii) is a fee with a taxing power?

Mr W.R. MARMION: On advice from the State Solicitor's Office, it is a fee.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I understand what the minister is saying on advice from the State Solicitor's Office.

Mr A.J. Waddell interjected.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: That is what got the member here. If he wanted to go there, he should not have done this.

Proposed subsection (4) of the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010 states —

To the extent that a management plan prescribes under subsection (2)(m) a fee that includes an amount referred to in subsection (3) that is a tax, the management plan may impose the tax.

Therefore, by way of this bill the minister is giving himself a taxing power. I know that he does not think that it is a tax, but I ask: is paragraph (zc)(i) a fee without a taxing power; is paragraph (zc)(ii) a fee with a taxing power; and is paragraph (zc)(iii) a fee without a taxing power? They are simple questions.

Mr W.R. MARMION: As I said before, and will repeat now, according to the State Solicitor's Office, paragraph (zc)(ii) refers to a fee. However, in the event that it is not deemed to be a fee, it is still valid.

Ms J.M. Freeman: It is a tax. It is valid as a tax. That is what you are giving yourself the right to do.

Mr W.R. MARMION: Whatever the member wants to call it, yes.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I do not think there is any place we can go with that, but it seems pretty clear to me. Will the fees at paragraphs (zc)(i) and (zc)(iii) be properly calculated on a cost-recovery basis and not go beyond cost recovery—because they are fee simple—and therefore not be regarded as a tax?

Mr W.R. MARMION: My advice is, yes.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Will the fees at paragraphs (zc)(i) and (zc)(iii) be laid before the house and scrutinised by the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation?

Mr W.R. MARMION: Yes.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Thank you.

I refer to clause 5 and proposed section (2)(b), which provides that “an amount in connection with any purpose referred to in section 238(5)”, which we have already looked at, “or 239(4) that is relevant to an authorisation”, and now refer to section 239(4) of the act and the recreational fishing account and the funding of Recfishwest. Section 239(4)(g) states the purpose is —

to assist any body (whether incorporated or not) whose objects include the promotion of recreational fishing; ...

Is that the power by which the department funds Recfishwest?

Mr W.R. MARMION: Yes; that is the power under which Recfishwest is funded.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Thank you, minister.

Section 239(4)(g) refers to a body with the objective of promoting recreational fishing. Is that promotion included but not limited to recreational fishing or does that inclusion exclude organisations involved in the conservation of fisheries, such as the Conservation Council of WA? Does subparagraph (g) have the capacity to fund the Conservation Council, or is that a limiting clause by which the department cannot assist a body such as the Conservation Council that seeks to conserve and not exploit the fishing resource?

Mr W.R. MARMION: On advice, subparagraph (g) clearly mentions “the promotion of recreational fishing” and therefore I do not think we can use that to exclude. However, section 239(4)(a) refers to defraying the costs of recreational fishing administration and management, whereby the term “management” could allow for policy decisions to be made, possibly with consultation and advice.

Mr C.J. TALLENTIRE: I seek clarification on the breadth of the term “management” in this paragraph. I am concerned because an object of the act is to exploit the fish resource and that might limit management to extraction rather than conservation. From what the minister has just said, there is some hope that management could in fact be defined as going beyond the commercial or extractive use of the fish stocks.

Mr W.R. MARMION: I draw the member for Gosnells’ attention to the objects of the act, which are —

(1) The objects of this Act are to conserve, develop and share the fish resources ...

The word “conserve” is definitely mentioned in the act. Subsection (2) provides for the further specific objects of the act and the very first one it mentions is “to conserve fish and to protect their environment”. If the member had worked in the Auditor General’s office, he would know the importance of the order that objects are listed in.

Clause put and passed.

Title put and passed.

Leave granted to proceed forthwith to third reading.

Third Reading

MR W.R. MARMION (Nedlands — Minister for Environment) [8.19 pm]: I move —

That the bill be now read a third time.

Point of Order

Mr M. McGOWAN: I am a little bit unsure, as are my colleagues, as to where we are at. As I understand it, we are dealing with two bills cognately; I assume we are dealing with the first one first, and then we are going to go into the consideration in detail stage on the second one in a moment—is that correct?

The ACTING SPEAKER (Mr J.M. Francis): That is correct; we are dealing with the first bill, member for Rockingham.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: So if we want to make a contribution to the third reading debate, do we make it now for the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010, or do we wait until after consideration in detail on the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) 2010?

The ACTING SPEAKER: We are dealing with the first bill, and we will go to the third reading of the first bill, and then back to consideration in detail, if required, on the second bill. We will then move to the third reading of the second bill, at which time you can make a contribution to the third reading debate.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I thought they were being dealt with concurrently.

The ACTING SPEAKER: My advice is that only the second reading debate is concurrent.

Debate Resumed

MS J.M. FREEMAN (Nollamara) [8.22 pm]: I was a bit confused, and I thank the house for its clarification.

I rise to make some closing comments. It seems that the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 came before the house because of a Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation report on the development and better interest fund, and I was very interested to see that this bill has gone beyond the report's recommendations. The report never, ever questioned the importance of developing the industry; indeed, it recognised the importance of doing that. The report stated that the Department of Fisheries needed a taxing act to enable it to do that, but this bill has gone beyond that recommendation by the insertion of an amount related to the costs of administering the Fish Resources Management Act, which could, indeed, lead to great and excessive increases in licence fees that will be passed on to the department under the provisions of section 238(5) of that act. Instead of just addressing the immediate problem and responding in a responsible manner, the government has gone beyond that. While I was somewhat amused by the member for Forrestfield's speech earlier on, he was in fact correct—this is one big fish tax.

Mr A.J. Waddell: A fat fish tax!

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: A fat fish tax? Well, it is a big fish tax, and it does really concern me.

Another thing that concerns me is the limitations of the bill. I understand that one of the objectives of the bill is conservation, but there is no use in having objectives and purposes that cannot be funded by the department. Conservation can be an objective, but unless section 238(5) has a broader explanation than simply "management", the department is limited in its ability to directly fund an organisation such as the Conservation Council of Western Australia or other conservation groups—I singled that group out because I understand that it was once funded—to ensure that the resource is not over-exploited and that it is conserved; I understand the competing demands for that funding.

The Fish Resources Management Act is a bit of a misnomer because it refers to the fish and wildlife that exist off our shores simply as a food resource but does not take into account their intrinsic value to the resource of tourism. I think that should be an important consideration because of the biosystem and environmental connected system that requires there to be a certain amount of life in oceans to ensure their health. It seems that it is restricting to rely on section 238(5)(d), which states that the purpose of the fund is to defray the costs of administration and management of commercial fisheries, as being a portal for our capacity to ensure that we have proper conservation of the fish resources in our oceans and other waters.

I understand that the minister is simply the minister assisting, which makes this debate difficult, but I think that it is imperative that that issue be explored by the other place. As I understood it, the purpose of this bill was the development, management and conservation of the industry, which is once what the DBIF did. If the department is now giving itself the power to tax beyond that, it needs to make sure it does that in a balanced and fair way to ensure that all voices in the industry and in the community are heard on how fish resources are managed.

While the Labor Party supports this bill, it has some concern about proposed section 58(3)(a) and (b). I find it very difficult to support an amount being charged in respect of the costs of administering the act when that amount is much broader than the recommendation of the delegated legislation committee report. I find it completely peculiar and somewhat unexplainable that clause 5 contains two little parts that will amount to cost-recovery fees, even though the department has given itself this overwhelming power at the end of proposed section 58(2). Frankly, I am mystified.

I also draw the attention of members in the other place—I hope that they take notice of my submission—to section 239(4) of the Fish Resources Management Act. Although it provides for the capacity to fund Reefishwest that section is very limited in doing anything to fund conservation. Again, we see this idea that conservation costs can be defrayed in the administration and management of recreational fishing. Whilst I have some faith that that will happen, I think that greater certainty is required. It seems that nothing is stopping such amendments being included in this bill, because this is not the taxing act but the mechanism for that tax. The next bill that we will deal with relates to the taxing authority. That is an important point. It is a big fat fishing tax because what is proposed in section 58(3)(c) was never the intention of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation. I will speak for myself: that was not envisaged in any of the discussions of the delegated legislation committee that I took part in. The committee simply wanted to ensure that any money that was collected for the areas of training and development in the industry would go to those areas. Whilst I support the bill, I add those words of caution because it goes beyond what is necessary to give the department authority to undertake training and development in the industry. Section 58(3)(a) and (b) provides sufficient authority, without the addition of proposed section 58(3)(c).

MR C.J. TALLENTIRE (Gosnells) [8.32 pm]: I want to add a few words to the excellent work done by the member for Nollamara in the chamber tonight. I accept the minister's reassurance that the objects of the Fish Resources Management Act provide for conservation of our fish resources. That was good to hear. However, as the member for Nollamara pointed out, we need explicit mention in the act of the potential for various environmental organisations to be supported in their contributions towards this \$400 million industry.

I should point out that, of the 35-odd fisheries, four or five account for 90 per cent of the \$400 million that is generated by this industry. In other words, a lot of fisheries are not performing particularly well, do not generate much revenue and are struggling. Those fisheries will require a lot of work. I am concerned that we may hear the argument that, as a fishery is generating less than a few million dollars, we should not worry about putting the effort into providing a good management committee. We need to explicitly state that management advisory committees will apply to all the fisheries, regardless of whether they are the big ones like the western rock lobster fishery, the abalone fishery, the trawl fisheries or the small, very marginal fisheries. The sustainability and conservation implications apply just as strongly—perhaps even more so—to those fisheries that are marginal. This legislation should include explicit mention that not only industry groups like the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council and recreational fisher groups will be represented on the committees, but also each of these committees will include a person who has conservation expertise. Whilst the opposition supports the legislation, in debating the bill we have identified some deficiencies. I hope that the minister with carriage of the bill in this place will ensure that the Minister for Fisheries in the other place addresses those deficiencies at a later stage.

MR A.J. WADDELL (Forrestfield) [8.34 pm]: I thought about saying that we will remember today in infamy as a day that the government has overreacted to a minor report and given incredible taxing powers to one of its departments.

Mr C.J. Barnett: Could you explain that tie to me?

Mr A.J. WADDELL: It is a DNA tie; it is the double helix.

Mr C.J. Barnett: It is very striking.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: I thank the Premier very much. It is my statement in support of stem cell research.

The intent of this the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill is to allow a very honourable and useful system to come into play to allow the development and better interest fund component to continue without any question of making it a tax. We have heard from the minister today a commitment that for the life of this government it will cap these fees at 5.75 per cent. That pleases me a great deal, because it means that we will not see an increase coming through next year from the Department of Fisheries, which we have seen in recent times. However, this fee does concern me, and the fishing industry should mark 17 May 2011 in its calendar as the day it will remember it all went wrong. There is no doubt in my mind that the minister has said that this act does not necessarily require consultation with the industry. The amendments to this act are opening up the industry in such a way that we have to trust that the minister and the department will do the right thing; we have to trust that somebody in the Parliament will note that something has gone wrong somewhere along the way and will put a stop to it; and we have to trust that the government of the day will not simply rubberstamp that. We must trust that the right thing will happen in the future. To my way of thinking that is a lot of trust we are investing into the future as a result of an overreaction to a report that says powers had been overstepped. It would have been far more sensible for the government to have come into this place with a bill that simply identified the goal of creating the development fund and allowing that to be levied in some way against the industry and very nicely hypothecated for that particular purpose. There would have been no chance that in the future we would be going back to the industry saying that to balance our books and achieve that great bottom line, a triple A balanced budget, we need to slap a tax on fisheries. I apologise to future generations because we cannot guarantee that will not happen and that a future government will not ask that of them. We cannot guarantee any safeguards to stop that happening.

With those comments and that apology, I commend the big fat fishing tax to the house and I look forward to the next part of the debate.

MR W.R. MARMION (Nedlands — Minister for Environment) [8.38 pm] — in reply: I have a brief concluding comment to the third reading debate on the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill. I thank everyone for their support of the general intent of the legislation. Members opposite have made a mountain out of a molehill. At the end of day, all this bill is doing is clarifying a situation that was raised by the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation, whereas members opposite talked about other aspects of the act and its shortcomings in funding conservation groups. The objective of the Fish Resources Management Act is to ensure sustainability of the fishery stock. Any amendment to that act has to be for that purpose, otherwise it will contravene the act and the minister would be stepping outside its boundary. Therefore, there is already safeguard in the legislation. Members opposite do not need to worry about that; they are worrying needlessly. The point I

make is that the funds collected will go to fisheries for the sustainability of the asset. There is no need to worry about conspiracy theories about money going to the wrong places. With those few concluding comments, I commend the bill to the house.

Question put and passed.

Bill read a third time and transmitted to the Council.

FISH RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AMENDMENT (FEES) BILL (NO. 2) 2010

Second Reading

Resumed from an earlier stage of the sitting.

Question put and passed.

Bill read a second time.

Leave denied to proceed forthwith to third reading.

Consideration in Detail

Clause 1: Short title —

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: This bill gives taxing powers. Can the minister tell me why the short title is not fish resources management amendment (tax) act 2010 or fish resources management amendment (levy) act? If the minister wants to argue that this is not a tax, given that tax is a dirty word—unless you are Mr Abbott and you use it all the time!—can he at least explain why the actual purpose of the bill, which is to impose the tax outlined in clause 4, is not reflected in the short title?

Mr W.R. MARMION: The short title, “Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Act (No. 2)”, was named on the advice of the state Solicitor-General. As I said before, these are fees and the government will not move on that.

Clause put and passed.

Clause 2: Commencement —

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Can the minister outline why all sections of the bill do not come into force on the day that it receives royal assent? If not on the day of royal assent, why can clauses 3, 4 and 5 simply not come into operation when the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill does, instead of having this second bill, which is the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2)? What is the purpose of staging things in this way? My assumption would be that all this legislation would come into operation on the same day, the day that the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010 receives royal assent. I am confused about why we are making the days on which sections come into operation such a difficult and convoluted process.

Mr W.R. MARMION: The clauses could all come into force on the same day, but it depends, because one clause might require a management plan that would delay it. A regulation from clause 5 might relate to the management plan in clause 4 or a management plan from clause 4 might relate to the regulation in clause 5, which means that they may not come on stream at the same time. This is a clever mechanism to cover these possibilities so that the clauses come on stream at the same time.

Clause put and passed.

Clause 3 put and passed.

Clause 4: Section 58 amended —

Mr A.J. WADDELL: I have written some obfuscated software code over the years, but this is pretty tricky! If I have this right, clause 4 inserts a new subsection (4) after proposed section 58(3), which was added by the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill 2010. The wording of proposed section 58(4) is —

To the extent that a management plan prescribes under subsection (2)(m) —

That is in the original Fish Resources Management Act —

a fee that includes an amount referred to in subsection (3) —

That is in the bill we just passed —

that is a tax, the management plan may impose the tax.

The trouble I have is that in the entire act as amended after this legislation has been passed the only reference to the word “tax” will be in proposed subsection (4). How can we determine that a fee which includes an amount referred to in proposed subsection (3) and which is a tax is in fact a tax, if at no point in time it has been called a

tax? What triggers proposed subsection (4) that empowers a fee to be a tax, and who determines whether the fee is a tax prior to proposed subsection (4) coming in to say that it is a tax? That question is about as convoluted as the way these bills work.

Mr W.R. MARMION: The way the bill is worded is “to the extent that a management plan” prescribes a fee. That may include a tax; someone may determine that it is a tax. The effect of clause 4 is that this tax may be imposed, and I know that the member understands that. But the member is right; this is the first time the tax has conceded.

Mr W.J. Johnston: When you say “someone”, do you mean the Supreme Court of Western Australia?

Mr W.R. MARMION: It could be anyone; I will not be specific. Our advice is that it is not a tax. The whole purpose of these bills —

Mr W.J. Johnston: Who decides if it is a tax? That is what I’m asking.

Mr W.R. MARMION: My advice is that the High Court has already advised that it is not a tax.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Would the minister like to tell me when the High Court decided that this is not a tax?

Mr W.R. MARMION: A similar fee was ruled not to be a tax.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Would that be Harper v Minister for Sea Fisheries and Others? On what in the Harper case does the minister base his argument that the High Court said that this is not a tax? Someone has taken my notes. I will get to the point: the government did not put proposed section 58(4) into the previous Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill because it could not, because this is a taxing bill. Can the minister confirm that he needed to put proposed section 58(4) into this Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2) because that gives him the power to tax? The government can choose if it wants to tax. There was a decision that was never about a disallowance; there was an information report. The Department of Fisheries chooses whether it wants to tax and this bill gives it the power to tax. Can the minister confirm that?

Mr W.R. MARMION: This is specifically for a management plan, which we all want, to manage the fish resource. If someone deems it to be a tax, this clause will allow the fee or tax management plan to proceed.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Can I ask for a clarification? The minister is saying that it is a tax only for a management plan. This is in reference to inserting a proposed section after proposed section 58(3) in the previous bill. If we go to proposed section 58(3), it refers to an amount in respect of the costs of administering the act. That is beyond a management plan; it is an amount in respect of the costs of administering the act. I have never believed that the thirty-fifth report of the delegated legislation committee proposed anything other than the development of management plans that came through the fee. This is a tax, and the management plan may impose the tax. One assumes that the management plan must also include the amount in respect of the costs of administering the act. How else could it be for the general purpose of administering the act?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I will reiterate what I have already said: the management plan imposes fees. The member is talking about the general imposition of administration of the act—is that right? In terms of administering a management plan, there are obviously administrative requirements of the department under the act, as we covered during debate on the previous bill. That is how it would be covered.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Can the minister clarify whether proposed section 58(4) will also apply to proposed section 58(3)(c) in the previous bill? If the amount in respect of the cost of administering the act, even if it is a fee, is seen by someone to be a tax, will it be a tax for the purposes of proposed section 58(4)?

Mr W.R. Marmion: Are you referring to the other bill?

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Yes. As I understand it, this bill will insert proposed section 58(4) to the effect that if the government creates a fee that becomes a tax, it will be a tax for the purposes of that proposed section. It follows upon proposed section 58(3) in the previous bill. Can the minister clarify that proposed section 58(4) will address a potential situation in which, if someone regards a fee to be a tax, the fee will be a tax for the purposes of that proposed section? Will it apply to proposed section 58(3)(c) of the previous bill?

Mr W.R. MARMION: The answer is yes.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: That is as clear as mud. We need to give the minister an opportunity to really clarify this for the record so that when the Supreme Court is looking at this in a couple of years, it will actually understand what we intended to do today, because I do not think we are being very clear. The minister has acknowledged that this is the only reference to tax in the bill, but it refers only to management plans. Does that mean that only those items inside management plans can be treated as a tax, or can any activity that falls under this bill be considered an item that can attract a tax? This is an opportunity for the minister to call a spade a spade. Is everything in this bill from this point on a tax, or is it only the management plan? If it is only the management

plan, does it need to be stated in the management plan for the sake of clarity that any fee is in fact a taxable fee that falls under proposed subsection (4)?

Mr W.R. MARMION: I will again reiterate that the advice we have from the State Solicitor's Office is that the fees are fees, and not taxes. However, in the event that the management plans and regulations that have fees are construed to be taxes, they will be valid.

Mr A.J. Waddell: Well, what's the difference from being a tax?

Mr W.R. MARMION: Ask the State Solicitor.

Mr A.J. Waddell: I'm asking you, minister.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: The minister also referred to regulations. The bill refers to the extent to which "a management plan prescribes under subsection (2)(m) a fee that includes an amount referred to in subsection (3), which we dealt with in the previous bill. If that is a tax, the management plan may impose the tax. The member for Forrestfield rightly asked whether that means that, under proposed section 58(3), an amount in respect of the extent or value of any authority conferred by an authorisation could be considered to be a management plan or part of a management plan because it is a licence. Is an amount in connection with any purpose referred to in section 238(5) of the act that is relevant to an authorisation or licence therefore construed to be a management plan? Is an amount in respect of the costs of administering the act considered to be a management plan? Will it be outlined in any of those management plans that, for the purposes of the management plan, the fee that is being imposed will include an amount that is a tax?

Mr W.R. Marmion: Can the member repeat what the actual question was, please?

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I am sorry if I was not clear; I apologise.

Mr W.R. Marmion: It sounded like a very long question!

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Maybe I will go bit by bit. Proposed section 58(4) provides —

To the extent that a management plan prescribes under subsection (2)(m) a fee that includes an amount referred to in subsection (3) that is a tax, the management plan may impose the tax.

Proposed subsection (3)(a) refers to —

an amount in respect of the extent or value or any authority conferred by an authorisation;

Mr W.R. Marmion: You're now in the other bill.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: It refers to the other bill, minister.

Mr W.R. Marmion: I am trying to follow what you're doing.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: We gave the definition before—conferred by an authorisation, and an authorisation is a licence.

Mr W.R. Marmion: An authority to fish.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Is an amount in respect of the extent or value of any authority to fish conferred by a licence a management plan? Will such a management plan have included within it a provision that the fee that is being imposed is a tax?

Mr W.R. MARMION: Some management plans have fees and some do not. Some fees are set by regulations, so sometimes there is a fee involved as part of a management plan. Sometimes a management plan will involve a fee, and sometimes the fee is via regulation.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Can the minister confirm that if there is no management plan, there is no power to tax? Proposed section 58(4) provides that without a management plan, we do not have a guarantee that the management plan may impose a tax.

Mr W.R. MARMION: Some fees are set under clause 5 of the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2); the member therefore would need to go to clause 5 to cover that aspect.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: But for the purposes of proposed section 58(4) of the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2), which refers to proposed section 58(3), do proposed paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) refer to management plans? For example proposed paragraph (b) states —

an amount in connection with any purpose referred to in section 238(5) that is relevant to an authorisation;

That would be a tax. A fee that is not charged in a management plan can be charged as long as it is based on cost recovery. But there is no power to charge a fee above cost recovery, which would be, for the purposes of this bill, a tax. Can the minister clarify that?

Mr W.R. MARMION: The fee prescribed for a management plan under section 58(2) of the Fish Resources Management Act may include the provisions in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c), and paragraph (c) can be the catch-all provision. That is how I read that. Can the member ask me the question after that?

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: No, that does clarify it actually and I thank the minister for that. Therefore, the minister is saying that we are looking at it the wrong way around. We are looking at the provisions and asking whether they relate to a management plan. But the minister is saying that a management plan includes paragraphs (a), (b) or (c); or may not include (a) and include (b); or may include (a) and (c); or whatever. But there will always be a tax in a management plan, other than the impositions in clause 5 of the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No. 2).

Mr A.J. WADDELL: I think I have got to the bottom of this now. Just for the sake of clarity, because this bill is all about trying to simplify and make it easier to follow, everything is a fee.

Mr W.R. Marmion: Correct.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: And that is the minister's intention. Everything is a fee and everything operates the way it should do now, unless somebody comes along and says—somebody probably being a member of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation—“Hang on a second, you've overstepped the mark here. That's a tax. You can't put a tax where it's a fee”. If that happens, clause 4 kicks in, which says, “Aha, we've got ya! Anything that's a fee is also a tax and we can do it anyhow.”

Mr W.R. Marmion: That's it.

Ms J.M. Freeman: But that's under a management plan.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: I think it does not matter whether or not it is a management plan. It is just that nobody can ever say that something is a tax and get away with it.

Mr W.R. Marmion: That's right. Give that man a lollipop!

Mr A.J. WADDELL: Okay, I think we have got it: the government can do whatever it wants.

Ms J.M. Freeman: Give that man a lollipop just for the purposes of *Hansard*.

Clause put and passed.

Clause 5: Section 258 amended —

Mr W.R. MARMION: The same argument applies to clause 5 vis-a-vis regulations.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: Except that clause 5 applies only to proposed subsection (1)(zc)(ii), and not to subparagraphs (i) or (iii). The Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation could therefore say to the minister about proposed subsection (1)(zc)(i) or (iii), “You are charging a tax”, and that would be valid.

Mr W.R. MARMION: The same advice applies. The provisions in proposed subsection 1(zc)(i) and (iii) are based on full cost recovery, so there is no question that it is a fee.

Ms J.M. FREEMAN: I should have checked with the minister before that both those regulations are prescribed so that they will lay before the house for a period of 28 days, or 14 days, and be subject to disallowance by the Parliament.

Mr W.R. MARMION: I am not sure of the exact number of days, but certainly they are subject to disallowance.

Clause put and passed.

Title put and passed.

Leave granted to proceed forthwith to third reading.

Third Reading

MR W.R. MARMION (Nedlands — Minister for Environment) [9.06 pm]: I move —

That the bill be now read a third time.

MR A.J. WADDELL (Forrestfield) [9.07 pm]: This brings us to the end of a rather lengthy process to simplify something that was not really that complicated in the first place in a way that is probably far more complicated and will take the great legal minds of our generation to unravel. But, as we have learnt, the government has determined that in order to get around the question of whether something is a fee or a tax, it has given itself the power to call anything that is a fee a tax, but only if somebody in fact calls it a tax if they accept that it is a fee and that it is not a tax. However, if somebody is concerned that the fee is a tax, then the fee becomes a tax but only for the purpose of it being a tax in view of the fact that it would not be a fee. But it is not actually a tax; it is just probably a bit of a slip. I think that is the way that we have broken that one down!

George Orwell coined a phrase called “doublethink”, which is the ability to hold two opposing thoughts in our mind at the same time. I think doublethink is quite clearly what we have here. A fee is a fee but it is also a tax but we may never call it a tax. Which department drafts these things?

Mr W.R. Marmion: The Parliament.

Mr A.J. WADDELL: The parliamentary drafting office. Perhaps we should call this bill the mini draft from now on, simply because it has been approached in a way that was entirely unnecessary and entirely difficult for people to unravel. In particular, the member for Nollamara pointed out quite rightly that some provisions of the bill fall outside this new fee–tax, but in the words of the minister they relate to things that are cost recovery only and everything else is in fact a fee. I believe proposed section 258(1)(zc)(i) for making an application for review and proposed section 258(1)(zc)(iii) for requesting information fall outside the taxing rule. If the department started asking \$100 a page for a photocopy, which quite clearly would be beyond cost recovery, it would be quite reasonable for the Parliament or the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation to determine that it is in fact the impost of a tax, and so seek to disallow that particular element. One presumes that if it were the department’s intention to make up a budgetary shortfall because it failed to meet its three per cent dividend, rather than seek that fee under section 258(1)(zc)(i) or (iii) of the Fish Resources Management Act, it could seek it under section 258(1)(zc)(ii). The department could simply shuffle the deck a little and make this fee, which is found to be an illegal tax, a fee that we are not allowed to see as an illegal tax because it then becomes a legal tax because the bill says that a fee is a tax even though it is a fee, but only if we observe that it is in fact a tax. It is very much like the concept of quantum physics: the act of observing something alters its very substance, so one cannot know both the speed and direction of a subatomic particle at the same time because the act of measuring one will affect the other. The same is true here; if we measure a fee so that it becomes a tax, it ceases to be a fee and becomes a tax. It is rather confusing. I believe that future generations would be well served if we wrote clearer legislation. The potential for abuse—I have been a little flippant in some of the debate—is quite significant under this legislation. A future government of any persuasion will have the ability to raise taxes against the fishing industry. If I were in the fishing industry, I would be marking that as a threat to my bottom line and it is something that I would be very aware of because it could potentially affect the value of my business. The government can come in and, with a sweep of a hand, alter the nature of the business simply to meet a financial shortfall that the government faces. That is a very significant and entirely unnecessary problem. I do not believe it was the government’s intention to achieve that in this instance, but that is the way it has gone about it. I ask my colleagues in the other place to give that matter a certain amount of scrutiny and ask whether there is a better way to present this bill so that that confusion will not exist in the future.

MS J.M. FREEMAN (Nollamara) [9.12 pm]: I rise to speak to the third reading of the Fish Resources Management Amendment (Fees) Bill (No 2) 2010. This is a bill of smoke and mirrors. There is a complete disrespect of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation and its report to such an extent that the government prefers the State Solicitor’s Office advice over that of the parliamentary appointees who make up that joint committee comprising members from both major parties. The government simply does not want to agree with us that it is a tax and that it should therefore introduce a taxing bill and accept that it is about raising a tax. The opposition believes that it is a good tax because its purpose is to increase the development, conservation and resource management of our fisheries. We believe that it is a necessary tax but that this fee is beyond charging cost-recovery for the provision of a service. The government will go to great lengths to avoid calling it a tax. It uses spurious arguments like the Harper case. The thirty-fifth report of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation considered the Harper case and argued that the Harper case gave validity to the delegated legislation committee’s argument. Unfortunately I do not have the report before me, but I refer the minister to it. The report refers specifically to the Harper case, the Air Caledonie International case and the Canberra water case to which Robert Mitchell from the State Solicitor’s Office referred when he gave public evidence to the delegated legislation committee. The Canberra water case was about the extraction of and access to water. He said that the opinions of all the other authorities differed but that authority showed it was a tax. The reason the authority came to that conclusion was that it was a fact that it was not a fee; it was a royalty that was permitted for the purpose of extraction. The Department of Fisheries has said that it is tired of coming out here and doing that and therefore it will use smoke and mirrors and say that it is a fee because the State Solicitor’s Office has said that it is a fee. The State Solicitor’s Office did not say that it was a fee; it said that it was a royalty, or that it was like a royalty, which is not a fee or a tax. Now we have something that is neither a tax nor a fee, but if it is a tax, it is a fee, and if it is not a fee, it is a tax. Let us stop playing semantics over what we are trying to achieve.

The committee’s report was a very detailed and long-term analysis of the fishing fees. It was never done with the intention of undermining the purpose of the bill. Its intention was to ensure that the department would not run foul of the law by going outside the provisions that the Fish Resources Management Act empowered it to use. It is no good the department saying that it believes that the act empowers it to do something but just in case it is not right and because the parliamentarians whom the public has put in charge have received legal advice that what the department is doing is not right, the department will do something that it believes is right which, for the

purpose of raising that revenue, will still be a tax. It is somewhat concerning that the government has done this in this way and that it has not been transparent, open and honest with the public that it represents, particularly the fishing industry. We think it is reasonable to say to the industry that when it uses a resource, a fee should be paid for cost recovery for the services the government has to provide. The industry must be taxed for the use of the resources. That is no different from my absolute and clear support for a carbon tax. If we are to use a resource that produces pollution, we should pay a tax for it. Likewise, when we use a resource that must be managed to ensure that it can be used by future generations, we should not resile from paying a tax. We should not run away from that. There is a perfectly good reason to tax the fishing industry for that purpose. That is what the government has done. It has refused to say that it has done that because it does not want to say that it is a taxing government, but that is what the government has done.

Question put and passed.

Bill read a third time and transmitted to the Council.

ROAD TRAFFIC LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (INFORMATION) BILL 2010

Third Reading

Bill read a third time, on motion by **Mr T.R. Buswell (Minister for Transport)**, and transmitted to the Council.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION AND INJURY MANAGEMENT AMENDMENT BILL 2011

Second Reading

Resumed from 17 March.

MR F.M. LOGAN (Cockburn) [9.19 pm]: I indicate to the government in the first instance that the opposition will be supporting the bill before the house. However, we wish to raise a number of issues relating to the provisions in the bill. We seek clarification from the Minister for Transport on how these provisions will work in practice. If the minister's answers to my questions on the amendments that change and improve applications for hearing loss compensation for those workers over the age of 65 are in the negative —

Mr T.R. Buswell: Can you repeat that? The Whip was berating me.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: I will reiterate what I have just said because I know the minister had two people speaking to him at once. Firstly, I indicated that the opposition will be supporting this bill. However, we seek clarification from the minister on a number of provisions in the bill because we are still a little unsure. Members on this side of the house have had a briefing from the department, which we thank the minister for. The issues that I raised with the department have been answered to my satisfaction. I know that there are some other issues—I will run through them in a minute—that other members on our side of the house will seek to clarify with the minister. With respect to what I said about moving an amendment, depending on the minister's answer relating to the provisions in the bill that improve access to compensation for those workers over the age of 65 who have suffered hearing loss, there is a slight complication in the way the bill is worded. It depends on what the minister's answer is as to whether I move the amendment. He might be able to tell me that it is not a problem and therefore I will not need to move the amendment.

Mr T.R. Buswell: Is your concern that they are not covered?

Mr F.M. LOGAN: I will deal with it in my speech. It is about who gets covered. Will those people who are 65 or over still be able to access compensation if they have not made a claim yet? It might just be a small group of workers who will fall into this hole.

I acknowledge the work that has been done by the government in bringing this bill to the house. It is a substantial amendment to the Workers' Compensation and Injury Management Act 1981. It comes about as a result of the legislative review that was undertaken in 2009 of the Workers' Compensation and Injury Management Act, which was an extensive review that broke the changes sought by the government to the workers' compensation act into two components, the first being the legislative review and the recommendations of the legislative review, most of which are included in this bill before the house. The first section addresses the provisions in the act and the second component will deal with other provisions and applications of the workers' compensation act in practice at a later stage.

As the minister indicated in his second reading speech, the bill before the house introduces a number of changes, the most important of which is the removal of age limits on workers' compensation entitlements. Interestingly, as identified in the review, which I know the minister did not refer to in his speech because he was keeping it fairly tight, prior to the introduction of the Workers' Compensation and Injury Management Act 1981, there was no limitation on access to workers' compensation beyond the age of 65. As a result of the introduction of that act, which was based on the Dunn report, an age-based limitation to workers' compensation was introduced. That was apparently done on the basis of what was seen to be unfairness at the time; that is, people might be able

to get access to the pension as well as workers' compensation entitlements, which I found to be an interesting historical development over the years. The impact of changes to the age entitlements is in line with the development of changes in society; that is, because of our improvement in nutrition and physical capability, people are working beyond the age of 65. I am not too sure that many members in the house would want to work beyond the age of 65. They probably will.

Mr W.J. Johnston: Maybe in the other house.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: That is right, unlike the other house.

Mr J.C. Kobelke: Don't be ageist!

Mr F.M. LOGAN: Sorry, member for Nollamara. I will be very careful in what I say with an elder statesman such as himself in the house.

We all know of people who are working beyond the age of 65. They may be working in a full-time capacity or they may be working part time. Until the proclamation of this bill, those workers are not covered by workers' compensation under the Workers' Compensation and Injury Management Act.

The other part of the changes to the age entitlements also covers access to compensation, not just for injuries, which is the primary point of the changes to age entitlements for the majority of people, but also for hearing loss, which are the changes to section 24A and section 31E of the act, which currently limit compensation for noise-induced hearing loss to workers up to the age of 65 years. I have an issue with this provision. Section 24A(2)(b) states —

in respect of a subsequent election by the worker under this section after a successful first election under paragraph (a) —

This is about hearing loss —

...

(ii) where the worker has reached the age of 65 years or on the worker's retirement from work before that age, where that noise induced hearing loss is any further percentage of that loss of hearing.

It is proposed that that be replaced with —

A worker is entitled to compensation under this section only in respect of noise induced hearing loss incurred after the date on which this section comes into operation and —

For these purposes, it was 1991 —

...

(b) in respect of a subsequent election by the worker —

Obviously the worker has lost their hearing, made a claim, had a further loss of hearing during their time at work and they are coming back for a second claim —

under this section after a successful first election where the worker has reached the age of 65 years and at the time of the subsequent election the worker is retired from work.

At the moment, the act prevents an application for compensation for hearing loss at the age of 65; that is, if application is not made by the time the worker is 65, it is hard luck.

Mr T.R. Buswell: Which part of the bill are you referring to?

Mr F.M. LOGAN: I am referring to clause 82, "Section 24A amended", and I am reading it in conjunction with the act. Clause 82 seeks to remove the 65-year age limit and insert "at the time of the subsequent election the worker is retired from work". It appears that the clause removes the 65-year age limit. In the case of a now-retired, 67-year-old worker who is yet to put in a first or subsequent claim, can the worker go to WorkCover with the results of a baseline hearing test conducted in 1984 and the results of this year's review test determining his hearing has diminished by 20 per cent and put in an application for compensation? I am confused, minister, because clause 82(3) of this bill seeks to delete in section 24A(4) of the act "years." and insert —

years if the hearing loss occurred before the day on which the *Workers' Compensation and Injury Management Amendment Act 2011* section 82 comes into operation.

Section 24A(2)(b)(ii) appears to state that, although the worker may have reached the age of 65 or 66, is retired from work and has not put in a claim, he can nevertheless now put in a claim to gain access to compensation for hearing loss. However, the amendments to section 24A(3) appear to negate that if the worker's hearing loss occurred prior to the proclamation of the act. I am positive the advice the minister will get from the department will clear this up. However, I have constituents with this problem right now. One is aged 65 and one is aged 66.

Both are still working. Both have been to WorkCover to put in their claim and both have been told that they should have put in their claim before they reached the age of 65. Their response is that they were still working and had not even thought about putting in a claim.

In reading the amendments to the first part of section 24A and to section 31, which also deals with compensation for hearing loss and the election relating to hearing loss, it appears that the removal of the reference to the age limitation of 65 would overcome my constituents' problems. However, the proposed amendments to section 24A(3) seem to revert to the previous problem; that is, a worker who has reached the age of 65 before this legislation is proclaimed will not get a guernsey. The worker will not have an opportunity to apply for compensation. If that is the case, it will mean that a significant number of people who apply for compensation for hearing loss and who are yet to turn 65 at the beginning of this year will be dealt with and will be looked after. Their compensation will be paid and if they return to work and experience further hearing loss, they will be dealt with under this legislation. However, those workers who have turned 65 prior to the proclamation of this legislation and who have not put in an application for compensation will lose all right of access to compensation.

It appears that workers will be okay if they continue working after this legislation comes into force, but they will have to start all over again; that is, their baseline hearing loss data starts after this legislation is proclaimed and the worker will be compensated only for that hearing loss between the age of 65 and whenever they finally stop working.

I cannot figure it out, minister, and I am seeking —

Mr T.R. Buswell: I will try to get some more advice for you. The notes that I have here state that hearing loss that is incurred after a worker turned 65 but before the commencement of the amendments will not be compensated.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: That is right; they will not be able to make a claim.

Mr T.R. Buswell: Yes.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: It seems to be out of sync with the other provisions introduced in section 24A(2)(b)(ii).

Mr T.R. Buswell: Yes.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: I cannot work out which is correct and I will be seeking the minister's advice on that.

Although there probably will not be many workers affected, the introduction of this bill will affect a significant number of hardworking people who have the baseline data and yearly hearing assessments to show that they have suffered hearing loss, but who have, rather than apply for compensation, just carried on working. Now, when they come to give up work, they will not get any compensation even though, like the member for Collie—Preston, they are as deaf as a post!

Mr M.P. Murray interjected.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: His hearing is a bit better; he can actually hear me.

Mr M.P. Murray: I have turned them up.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: Apparently, the member has turned up his hearing aid.

That is one issue the minister could resolve. If it means that the information the minister supplies to the house is in the negative and that yes, that group of workers will not be covered by this bill but will slip through the net and not get access to compensation, I will seek to move an amendment that applies retrospectively to those workers.

Mr T.R. Buswell: These are workers who are over 65, currently working and who have not made a claim.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: Yes. They are aged 65 or above, have baseline information back to 1981 showing all their hearing loss, but have never put in a claim.

Therefore, minister, I seek clarification with respect to age entitlements and that one provision in the bill. Labor members have no qualm with any of the other provisions about age entitlements.

The introduction of the common law safety net is the other main provision in the bill for those workers who work for an employer who has failed to take out workers' compensation coverage and who are currently denied access to common law action for their injuries. The safety net will allow proceedings to be instigated by injured workers to claim against the fund administered by WorkCover WA. Again, I congratulate the government for introducing that.

Mr T.R. Buswell: Member, the genesis of that clause was a visit that I made, along with the member for Kingsley, to the house of a chap who had been injured—I am kicking myself that I can't remember his name. He had been horribly injured in an accident at work and his employer was uninsured. I was the minister back then,

and it was a real eye-opener for me. The member for Kingsley will talk about this, but meeting that family really brought home to me the disadvantage that this person was at through no fault of his own.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: Absolutely; yes.

Mr T.R. Buswell: There was a lot of concern about the cost impact of that, but it has turned out to be bearable.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: That is great.

Mr T.R. Buswell: Brian Hedges is the guy's name.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: It is something that I think the government should be congratulated on, because there are still a number of employers out there who are not insured. Employees would not know whether they are covered. They would not ask the employer whether he has workers' compensation; they would just assume that he has, and it is only after the injury that they may find out that they are not covered.

Mr T.R. Buswell: And when they take action, it is against a proprietary limited company with almost no assets, so they have Buckley's of getting a cent out of them through common law.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: The third driver of the Workers' Compensation and Injury Management Amendment Bill 2011 and the legislative reform sought by the government deals with the dispute resolution process. The purpose is, again, to try to speed up the process of the dispute resolution within a workers' compensation claim and to improve the efficiency of the workers' compensation claim process and reduce the documentation requirements that are binding on all parties. The opposition's concerns about the dispute resolution process, particularly the referral powers to arbitration under the act, will be raised by the member for Nollamara. We have questions also about the timing of those referral powers and the time process itself. One of the issues raised with me was the way this dispute resolution process is laid out—although it is agreed to and everyone supports it—particularly for those workers with stress claims, for example, which are always very, very tricky to deal with. They are tricky from both sides; from the side of the worker who is trying to express the stress that they are under, and from the insurer's side, which doubts whether the stress is real. The conciliator or arbitrator must try to work out, even with the medical evidence before them, whether it is a justifiable claim and whether the worker should be compensated. They are always very, very tricky. Under the provisions of the legislation, will, for example, the insurers as representatives of the employer in this process be able to use the proposed dispute resolution process to drag out those types of claims? By going to arbitration to have a decision made on the claim, will employers be able to use the conciliation process to drag out claims, making the claimant a bystander in the process and using up whatever workers' compensation payments are being made—quite often leaving the claimant with no payments at all—while trying to pressure the person to either accept a lesser amount or withdraw the claim altogether? That was one of our concerns about the dispute resolution process. It was not a major concern; it was just an issue that was raised with me. The dispute resolution process that will be introduced by way of this bill will be supported. I think this side of the house agrees that it will achieve what the government is trying to do. However, is there another side to it that will actually drag out certain contentious claims to the detriment of the person making the claim?

The final set of the provisions are said to be miscellaneous amendments to address legislative anomalies and make efficiencies to improve the application of the act; they were listed in the second reading speech. One of the things I would like to address, which falls into the anomalies and efficiencies provisions, is clauses 84 to 87 of the bill. I will speak on this matter, as will the member for Balcatta. Those clauses refer to the introduction into the act by this amending bill of a reference to “pleural plaques (diffuse pleural fibrosis)”. The issue that we will raise is what is meant by “pleural plaques” and the issue of diffuse pleural fibrosis. We were advised by the department that that wording “pleural plaques (diffuse pleural fibrosis)” is already in schedule 3, and that all the government is doing is seeking to refer to this particular provision in the act. We are not going to challenge that; I think that is quite correct. The Asbestos Diseases Society of Australia, in documentation that the member for Balcatta will refer to, was clearly of the view that, by amending the act, the government was trying to broaden the term of pleural plaques beyond that of diffuse pleural fibrosis, which is referred to in schedule 3. The department advised the Asbestos Diseases Society in writing in two documents it received that the act will be amended to cover pleural plaques, but those documents did not refer to “diffuse pleural fibrosis”, so the society then assumed that it was being broadened to cover the whole range of pleural plaques. The society subsequently received advice from the department that, no, that was not what was being done, and that it was just referring to those provisions currently in schedule 3 of the act in the four clauses of the bill I have just referred to.

The issue that I raise, and I have also raised it with the department, is that limiting the application for access to the medical panel that deals with mesothelioma and asbestos to those people suffering “pleural plaques (diffuse pleural fibrosis)” would narrow it down to literally, according to Bill Musk—Australia's leading medico in the area of asbestosis—a handful of people.

Mr T.R. Buswell: Bill Musk is involved with the Busselton study.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: Yes, it is the same person.

Mr T.R. Buswell: Whom you nearly funded, and we did!

Mr F.M. LOGAN: Yes; the minister did a great job there. Well done! We found that money after all!

Mr T.R. Buswell: I will tell the member a funny story, if that is all right. Bill Musk and Digby Cullen came to my office.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: I know both of those reprobates!

Mr T.R. Buswell: They were there to discuss a request for some funding for the science budget for the Busselton study. They got onto the issue of the health benefits of red wine. Bill Musk thinks that is an outrage, but Digby Cullen does not. In that one-hour appointment, they spent 55 minutes arguing about the benefits of red wine and five minutes on the issue!

Mr F.M. LOGAN: The good thing is that they did get the money for that very important, internationally recognised study. Bill Musk is a respiratory physician at Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital. He sent a paper on the issue of pleural plaques to the Clerk of the Executive Council here at Parliament House. I am not too sure if the minister received a copy of this paper. For some reason it did not go to the minister. Dr Musk refers to the whole issue of benign asbestos-related pleural diseases. It does not matter what type of pleural plaque it is, people do not get pleural plaque unless they have been exposed to asbestos, so it is an asbestos-related disease. It may not develop into asbestosis or mesothelioma; nevertheless, it is an asbestos-related disease and it can be very painful for the sufferers, depending on the type of pleural plaque they have. I have a document before me—the member for Balcatta will refer to other cases—which reads —

The following is an explanation of the pleural manifestations of asbestos exposure which include four specific entities, namely: **parietal pleural plaques, diffuse pleural fibrosis, rounded atelectasis, and benign asbestos effusion ...**

There is considerable overlap among these four disease processes (drawing 1) —

That is a diagram I have before me —

with various combinations of manifestation. For example, a patient with benign asbestos effusion may subsequently be found to have diffuse pleural fibrosis, or a patient with parietal pleural plaques may develop rounded atelectasis.

Effectively, this diagram shows four different types of pleural plaques. The member for Balcatta will explain that there are a number of other subsets or forms of pleural plaques, only one of which is diffuse pleural fibrosis. I am not too sure why that was referred to in schedule 3, as opposed to all the other forms of pleural plaques. I do not know the history of its inclusion in the bill, and it may be that the other forms of pleural plaques were not known at the time. I am not too sure. The point is that the bill as it stands will limit the application to literally a handful of people.

Mr T.R. Buswell: I understand the point. Can I get a copy of that paper?

Mr F.M. LOGAN: Madam Acting Speaker (Ms L.L. Baker), I seek leave to table this document for the remainder of today's sitting. It is an explanation of benign asbestos-related pleural diseases from Dr Bill Musk, respiratory physician at Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital.

[The paper was tabled for the information of members.]

Mr F.M. LOGAN: That is the issue with pleural plaques. I know that the member for Balcatta will go into further detail when he speaks on the bill. When I raised this issue with officers of the department, the initial response was that they understood what I was talking about and they could see my argument but they did not know, if they were to include reference to the other plaques or just a general reference to pleural plaques regardless of the subset of pleural plaques, what impact that would have on the financial bottom line and how many people would be seeking compensation. They may get access to compensation anyway, if their disease develops into asbestosis or mesothelioma. This is only about those people who have access to the schedule 3 pathway for asbestos-related compensation. With the amendment contained in the bill, only those people who have diffuse pleural fibrosis can access the schedule 3 pathway. As I suggested to the department, if we cannot have that information in time for the debate, it is relatively straightforward to get a general rule of thumb from Dr Musk, who deals with most people with asbestos-related diseases in the whole of Western Australia. Dr Musk will be able to give the minister an idea of how many people this will affect. The member for Balcatta may suggest a figure.

Mr T.R. Buswell: There is a chance that most of these people will develop asbestosis or mesothelioma anyhow and be captured by it.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: That is right. According to Dr Musk, they will carry on living their lives with pleural plaques. In many cases, it is very painful and debilitating. But they will not get schedule 3 access; they will have

to go through the normal workers' compensation process, which will be a nightmare for them, whereas going through the schedule 3 process for an asbestos-related disease will be far easier for them. If the department is able to come up with a rough guide to the number of people who would be able to access schedule 3 if the bill referred just to pleural plaques and the figure is in the hundreds as opposed to the thousands, there is a possibility of making an amendment to the bill in the other place simply to remove the words "diffuse pleural fibrosis" and just refer to "pleural plaques" to catch them. If the minister talks to his department later on, he may find that its officers have already done that work. I spoke to the department a few weeks ago about possibly doing that work and talking to Bill Musk to get an idea of how many people will be captured by this proposed change.

Mr T.R. Buswell: We probably will not get to the consideration in detail stage until Thursday.

Mr F.M. LOGAN: I thank the minister. It will be a very important change, if we can bring it about. I do not think it will have a massive impost on WorkCover WA and workers' compensation in Western Australia, but it will be a substantial assistance for those workers who are suffering a debilitating form of asbestos-related disease that is not currently addressed by the act. That is another provision on which the opposition will be seeking to gain further information.

That concludes the issues I have on this bill. There will be a number of speakers on this side who will seek further clarification on this and I imagine they will be raising those issues tomorrow. I thank members and I conclude my comments.

Debate adjourned, on motion by **Mr R.F. Johnson (Leader of the House)**.

BILLS

Returned

1. Road Traffic Amendment (Alcohol and Drug Related Offences) Bill 2010.
2. Criminal Investigation (Identifying People) Amendment Bill 2011.

Bills returned from the Council without amendment.

House adjourned at 10.00 pm

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Questions and answers are as supplied to Hansard.

SCHOOLS — ATTENDANCE RATES

4755. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

For each Western Australian Government school:

- (a) how many students fell below an 80 percent attendance rate in 2008; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school;
- (b) how many students fell below a 60 percent attendance rate in 2008; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school;
- (c) how many students fell below a 50 percent attendance rate in 2008; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school;
- (d) how many students fell below an 80 percent attendance rate in 2009; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school;
- (e) how many students fell below a 60 percent attendance rate in 2009; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school;
- (f) how many students fell below a 50 percent attendance rate in 2009; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school;
- (g) how many students fell below an 80 percent attendance rate in 2010; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school;
- (h) how many students fell below a 60 percent attendance rate in 2010; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school; and
- (i) how many students fell below a 50 percent attendance rate in 2010; and
 - (i) what is this number of students as a percentage of the total student enrolment for each school?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a) Refer to Attachment 1. [See paper 3321.]
- (b) Refer to Attachment 1. [See paper 3321.]
- (c) Student attendance rates below 50 per cent are not recorded separately and therefore cannot be provided.

The Department's Student Attendance Monitoring system (SAM) records student attendance in the following categories:

- Regular — 90 per cent and above;
- At Risk Indicated — 80 per cent to 89 per cent;
- At Risk Moderate — 60 per cent to 80 per cent; and
- At Risk Severe — 59 per cent and below.

Therefore separate attendance data for students attending less than 50 per cent of the time is not able to be provided.

The number of students below 80 per cent and the percentage of students below 80 per cent include the number of students in the below 60 per cent category. For example; for 2008, of the 23 students below 80 per cent at Adam Road Primary School, four students were below 60 per cent.

- (d) Refer to Attachment 2. [See paper 3321.]
- (e) Refer to Attachment 2. [See paper 3321.]
- (f) See response to (c) above.
- (g) Refer to Attachment 3. [See paper 3321.]
- (h) Refer to Attachment 3. [See paper 3321.]
- (i) See response to (c) above.

PUBLIC HOUSING — WAIT LIST

4758. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Housing

With reference to the wait-list for Department of Housing accommodation as at 28 February 2011, could the Minister advise the number of:

- (a) applicants on the wait-list for Department of Housing accommodation;
- (b) children and dependants associated with applicants on the wait-list for Department of Housing accommodation;
- (c) children and dependants associated with applicants on the wait-list for Department of Housing accommodation per district;
- (d) applicants on the priority housing wait-list;
- (e) applicants on the priority housing wait-list per district;
- (f) children and dependants associated with applicants on the priority housing wait-list; and
- (g) children and dependants associated with applicants on the priority housing wait-list per district?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a) As at 28 February 2011 there were 24 424 applicants on the waiting list.
- (b) 24 482 children and dependants (as at 28 February 2011). (Includes dependent children, adult non dependent children and shared custody children)
- (c) Children and dependants on waitlist per district (as at 28 February 2011):

Metro North 10 178
 Metro Fremantle 2 953
 Metro South East 5 434
 Southern 527
 South West 1 273
 Goldfields 425
 Mid West/Gascoyne 1 150
 Pilbara 843
 Kimberley 1 424
 Wheatbelt 275

(The figures above consist of children which includes dependent children, adult non dependent children and shared custody children).

- (d) 3 543
- (e) Priority Wait list by application per district (as at 28 February 2011):
 Metro North 1 640
 Metro Fremantle 605
 Metro South East 535
 Southern 99
 South West 66
 Goldfields 42
 Mid West/Gascoyne 95
 Pilbara 165
 Kimberley 242
 Wheatbelt 54
- (f) 4 034
- (g) Children and dependants on priority waitlist per district (as at 28 February 2011):
 Metro North 1 874
 Metro Fremantle 556
 Metro South East 617
 Southern 89
 South West 68
 Goldfields 29
 Mid West/Gascoyne 171
 Pilbara 258

Kimberley 317
Wheatbelt 55

(The figures above consist of children which includes dependent children, adult non dependent children and shared custody children).

PUBLIC HOUSING — MEDIAN WAIT TIME

4761. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Housing

I refer to figures regarding the median waiting time for public housing accommodation in Western Australia, and ask:

- (a) what was the median waiting time for public housing as at:
 - (i) 31 January 2010;
 - (ii) 31 January 2011; and
 - (iii) 28 February 2011; and
- (b) what was the median waiting time for public housing per Department of Housing district as at:
 - (i) 31 January 2010;
 - (ii) 31 January 2011; and
 - (iii) 28 February 2011?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a)
 - (i) 80.29 weeks
 - (ii) 78.14 weeks
 - (iii) 78.50 weeks
- (b)
 - (i) North Metro 83 weeks
South Metro 94.57 weeks
South East Metro 86.86 weeks
Great Southern 49.14 weeks
South West 98.57 weeks
Goldfields 73.07 weeks
Mid West 64.57 weeks
Pilbara 81.71 weeks
Kimberley 100.36 weeks
Wheatbelt 40.71 weeks
 - (ii) North Metro 66.86 weeks
South Metro 83.14 weeks
South East Metro 89.36 weeks
Great Southern 21.71 weeks
South West 122.57 weeks
Goldfields 67.79 weeks
Mid West 80.07 weeks
Pilbara 79.29 weeks
Kimberley 100.43 weeks
Wheatbelt 38.21 weeks
 - (iii) North Metro 68.64 weeks
South Metro 85.21 weeks
South East Metro 89.57 weeks
Great Southern 28.14 weeks
South West 125.57 weeks
Goldfields 68 weeks
Mid West 80.43 weeks
Pilbara 77.57 weeks
Kimberley 101.14 weeks
Wheatbelt 37.14 weeks

January 2010 figures were calculated using a different method that did not include community housing.

INDEPENDENT PUBLIC SCHOOLS — ASSESSMENT

4784. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's media statement of 22 February 2011 calling for expressions of interest in Independent Public Schools, and I ask:

- (a) has the Minister or her Department undertaken an assessment of her Independent Public Schools experiment; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (b) if an assessment has been undertaken:
 - (i) will the Minister release a full report on that assessment; and
 - (A) if not, why not;
 - (ii) did the assessment include confidential feedback from teachers, other school staff and parents; and
 - (A) if not, why not;
 - (iii) did the assessment include an examination of Independent Public Schools' NAPLAN results or any other educational measures; and
 - (A) if not, why not; and
- (c) if an assessment has not been undertaken, does the Minister intend to undertake such an assessment; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
 - (ii) if so, when will this assessment be undertaken; and
 - (iii) if so, will the Minister commit to releasing a full report on the assessment; and
 - (A) if not, why not?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

The Independent Public Schools initiative is a Liberal Party election commitment, not an "experiment".

- (a) The Department is currently in the process of commissioning a longitudinal independent evaluation of the Independent Public Schools initiative.
 - (i)–(iii) Not applicable
 - (A) Not applicable.
- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) See above.
 - (i) Not applicable.
 - (ii) An announcement will be made at an appropriate time once the evaluation has been commissioned.
 - (iii) Yes.
 - (A) Not applicable.

INDEPENDENT PUBLIC SCHOOLS — ADMINISTRATION SUPPORT FUNDING

4788. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) How much Administration Support Funding will each Independent Public School receive in 2011?
- (2) Specifically, on what can Administration Support Funding be spent by each school?
- (3) Will the Administration Support Funding continue to be paid to each Independent Public School beyond the life of the Delivery and Performance Agreement; and
 - (a) if not, why not?
- (4) What are the dates of the expiry of the Delivery and Performance Agreements for each Independent Public School?
- (5) Will the Minister table the Delivery and Performance Agreement for each Independent Public School; and
 - (a) if not, why not?
- (6) Specifically, on what can the Transition Funding be spent by each school?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1) Each Independent Public School will be allocated Administration Support Funding of between \$25 750 and \$51 500 in 2011.
- (2) Administration Support Funding is for administrative support in the areas of human resources and finance.
- (3) Yes.
 - (a) Not applicable.
- (4) The Delivery and Performance Agreements are three year agreements. The expiry date of the Agreement for the first intake of Independent Public Schools is 10 April 2013 and 17 April 2014 for the second intake.
- (5) Yes, for the Delivery and Performance Agreements for the first intake of Independent Public Schools. [See paper 3322.]. The Delivery and Performance Agreement is an agreement between the principal of the school, the chair of the School Board and the Director General. I am not a signatory to the Delivery and Performance Agreements. The Director General will complete the signing of the Delivery and Performance Agreements for the second intake shortly and I will table those when available.
 - (a) Not applicable.
- (6) Each Independent Public School is allocated additional funding following selection as an Independent Public School to help prepare and plan for successful transition. This funding is provided to the school prior to commencing as an Independent Public School and takes into account teacher relief. Funding is also used to assist staff attending transition and training programs.

SCHOOL PRINCIPALS — TRAINING REQUIREMENT

4790. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) Is there any compulsory training or professional development when a Principal is newly promoted to an acting, relieving or substantive Principal at a higher level; and
 - (a) if not, why not?
- (2) If yes to (1):
 - (a) how long is the training;
 - (b) what form does the training take;
 - (c) who conducts the training;
 - (d) is the training conducted for all three of the above categories – acting, relieving and substantive; and
 - (i) if not, which categories have training;
 - (e) does the training vary, depending on the size of the school to which the new Principal is appointed; and
 - (i) if so, what are the differences in training;
 - (f) is the Principal appointment subject to the successful completion of the training; and
 - (i) if not, why not; and
 - (ii) if so, how many new Principals have had their appointments subsequently withdrawn as a result of unsuccessful completion of training?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1) A public school principal newly appointed as an acting, relieving or substantive principal at a higher level must have completed the training required of all teachers and school administrators in relation to Child Protection; 4WD Training in the case of Remote Community School appointees; and Records Awareness Training — Record keeping. Newly appointed principals may access a face-to-face Induction program as part of the broad range of leadership development programs available to all principals.
- (2)
 - (a) Child Protection — two hours.
Records Awareness Training — Record keeping — two hours.
4WD Training — one day.
The optional face-to-face program is three days.

- (b) Child Protection — online.
Records Awareness Training — Record keeping — online.
4WD Training — defensive four wheel driving and remote driving safety awareness.
The three day program involves a range of presenters and facilitators providing educational leadership and management information (e.g. Occupational Safety and Health Training) to newly appointed acting, relieving or substantive deputy principals. A full suite of professional learning is available to all teachers and school administrators throughout their careers.
- (c) Child Protection — online.
Records Awareness Training — Record keeping — online.
4WD Training — Education regional offices.
The Institute for Professional Learning provides a comprehensive range of leadership professional development including the optional face-to-face Induction program.
- (d) Yes.
(i) Not applicable.
- (e) Yes.
(i) The three required training programs do not vary. Delivery of other leadership development is contextualised to the school type and leadership position.
- (f) No.
(i) Following appointment, all Principals are required to have completed the compulsory training. Principals participate in ongoing performance review.
(ii) Not applicable.

SCHOOL PRINCIPALS — TRAINING REQUIREMENT

4791. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) Is there any compulsory training or professional development when a teacher is newly promoted to an acting, relieving or substantive Principal; and
(a) if not, why not?
- (2) If yes to (1):
(a) how long is the training;
(b) what form does the training take;
(c) who conducts the training;
(d) is the training conducted for all three of the above categories – acting, relieving and substantive; and
(i) if not, which categories have training;
(e) does the training vary, depending on the size of the school to which the new Principal is appointed; and
(i) if so, what are the differences in training;
(f) is the Principal appointment subject to the successful completion of the training; and
(i) if not, why not; and
(ii) if so, how many new Principals have had their appointments subsequently withdrawn as a result of unsuccessful completion of training?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1) A public school teacher newly appointed as an acting, relieving or substantive principal must have completed the training required of all teachers in relation to Child Protection; 4WD Training in the case of Remote Community School appointees; and Records Awareness Training — Record keeping. Newly appointed principals may access a face-to-face Induction program as part of the broad range of leadership development programs available to all principals.
(a) Not applicable.
- (2) (a) Child Protection — two hours.
Records Awareness Training — Record keeping — two hours.

4WD Training — one day.

The optional face-to-face program is three days.

- (b) Child Protection — online.
Records Awareness Training — Record keeping — online.
4WD Training — defensive four wheel driving and remote driving safety awareness.
- The three day program involves a range of presenters and facilitators providing educational leadership and management information (e.g. Occupational Safety and Health Training) to newly appointed acting, relieving or substantive Deputy Principals. A full suite of professional learning is available to all teachers and school administrators throughout their careers.
- (c) Child Protection — online.
Records Awareness Training — Record keeping — online.
4WD Training — Education regional offices.
- The Institute for Professional Learning provides a comprehensive range of leadership professional development including the optional face-to-face Induction program.
- (d) Yes.
- (i) Not applicable.
- (e) The three required training programs do not vary. Delivery of other leadership development is contextualised to the school type and leadership position.
- (f) No.
- (i) Following appointment, all principals are required to have completed the compulsory training. Principals participate in ongoing performance review.
- (ii) Not applicable.

MINISTER FOR EDUCATION — SCHOOLS VISITED

4818. Ms R. Saffioti to the Minister for Education

Will the Minister provide a list of all the schools that she has visited since January 2010?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

[See paper 3323.]

REID HIGHWAY–LORD STREET INTERSECTION — CRASHES

4837. Ms R. Saffioti to the Minister for Transport

I refer to the Reid Highway and Lord Street intersection, and ask:

- (a) how many traffic crashes have occurred at this intersection in 2009, 2010 and 2011;
- (b) how many fatalities have occurred since August 2009 at this intersection; and
- (c) how many of these crashes involved a bus?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

Main Roads WA advises as at 15 March 2010:

- (a) 2005 = 20 crashes
2006 = 28
2007 = 24
2008 = 33
2009 = 20
Data for 2010 and 2011 is not yet available.
- (b) No recorded fatalities in the period August — December 2009.
- (c) Nil

It is noted that despite the number of crashes being relatively consistent with the 2009 figure, the previous Labor Government took no action to address any safety concerns raised by local residents.

Frank Alban MLA, the Member for Swan Hills recently raised his concerns about this intersection with me and I will be investigating the information he presented. I look forward to working with a passionate local representative dedicated to Road Safety to address this issue that the previous Labor Government neglected.

GNANGARA ROAD–BEECHBORO ROAD INTERSECTION — CRASHES

4839. Ms R. Saffioti to the Minister for Transport

I refer to Gnangara Road and Beechboro Road intersection, and ask:

- (a) how many traffic crashes have occurred at this intersection in 2009, 2010 and 2011; and
- (b) how many fatalities have occurred since August 2009 at this intersection?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

Main Roads WA advises as at 15 March 2010:

- (a) 2005 = 29
2006 = 24
2007 = 28
2008 = 23
2009 = 27
2010 and 2011 data is not yet available.
- (b) No recorded fatalities in the period August — December 2009.
It is noted that despite the number of crashes being relatively consistent with the 2009 figure, the previous Labor Government took no action to address any safety concerns raised by local residents.

REID HIGHWAY–MALAGA DRIVE INTERSECTION — CRASHES

4840. Ms R. Saffioti to the Minister for Transport

I refer to the Reid Highway and Malaga Drive intersection, and ask:

- (a) how many traffic crashes have occurred at this intersection in 2009, 2010 and 2011; and
- (b) how many fatalities have occurred since August 2009 at this intersection?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

Main Roads WA advises as at 15 March 2010:

- (a) 2005 = 30
2006 = 43
2007 = 51
2008 = 46
2009 = 39
2010 and 2011 data is not yet available.
- (b) No recorded fatalities in the period August — December 2009.
It is noted that despite the number of crashes being relatively consistent with the 2009 figure, the previous Labor Government took no action to address any safety concerns raised by local residents.

ALEXANDER DRIVE–BEACH ROAD INTERSECTION — CRASHES

4841. Ms R. Saffioti to the Minister for Transport

I refer to the Alexander Drive and Beach Road intersection, and ask:

- (a) how many traffic crashes have occurred at this intersection in 2009, 2010 and 2011;
- (b) how many fatalities have occurred since August 2009 at this intersection; and
- (c) how many of these crashes involved a bus?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

Main Roads WA advises as at 15 March 2010:

- (a) 2005 = 30
2006 = 43
2007 = 40
2008 = 42
2009 = 33
2010 and 2011 data is not yet available.
- (b) No recorded fatalities in the period August–December 2009.

(c) Nil

It is noted that despite the number of crashes at this location being higher for each year between 2005–2008, the previous Labor Government took no action to address any safety concerns raised by local residents.

MINISTER FOR PLANNING — DIRECTION TO LANDCORP

4855. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Lands

Section 24 of the *Western Australian Land Authority Act 1992* states that the Minister may give direction to LandCorp, either generally, or in relation to a particular matter. Any such direction must be laid before Parliament. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) on how many occasions has the Minister fulfilled his duties under section 24, and for which particular matters; and
- (b) since the election of the Barnett Government, on how many occasions has the previous Minister or acting Ministers fulfilled their duties under section 24, and for which particular matters?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

- (a)–(b) No Ministerial direction has been issued to LandCorp under Section 24 of the Western Australian Land Authority Act 1992.

ABORIGINAL STUDENTS — YEAR 12 COMPLETION

4856. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

For each year 2008, 2009, and 2010, how many:

- (a) Aboriginal students completed Year 12;
- (b) students at (a) were male;
- (c) Aboriginal students completed Year 11;
- (d) students at (c) were male;
- (e) Aboriginal students gained entry to universities in Western Australia; and
- (f) students at (e) were male?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(d) The Member will need to clarify what he means by 'completed', as the figures will vary depending on how this is defined.
- (e)–(f) Data on the number of Aboriginal students gaining entry to university would need to be requested from the Tertiary Institutions Service Centre.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — ENROLMENT AND ATTENDANCE

4857. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the schools involved in the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy, and ask, for each school:

- (a) in Semester 1, 2009, what was:
 - (i) the number of students enrolled; and
 - (ii) the average attendance rate;
- (b) in Semester 2, 2009, what was:
 - (i) the number of students enrolled; and
 - (ii) the average attendance rate;
- (c) in Semester 1, 2010, what was:
 - (i) the number of students enrolled; and
 - (ii) the average attendance rate; and
- (d) in Semester 2, 2010, what was:
 - (i) the number of students enrolled; and
 - (ii) the average attendance rate?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(d) (i) Enrolment numbers as they are required to be reported, are available publicly on the My School website and via the Schools Online section of the Department of Education website.

- (ii) Attendance rates, as they are required to be reported, are available publicly on the My School website and via the Schools Online section of the Department of Education website. Semester 2 data is not collected.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — SCHOOL PARTICIPATION

4858. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy, and ask:

- (a) which 52 schools referred to on the Department of Education website are involved in the Strategy; and
 (b) which other schools, if any, are part of the Strategy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

The website on which the Member has based his question is out of date. There are currently 40 schools involved in the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy.

- (a) The following schools are currently funded in relation to the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy:

GOLDFIELDS EDUCATION REGION

1. Cosmo Newberry Remote Community School
2. East Kalgoorlie Primary School
3. Laverton Primary School*
*Mulga Queen Annex
4. Menzies Remote Community School
5. Mount Margaret Remote Community School
6. The Ngaanyatjarra Lands School*
*Blackstone Campus
*Jameson Campus
*Kiwirrkurra Campus
*Tjirrkarli Campus
*Tjukurla Campus
*Wanarn Campus
*Warakurna Campus
*Warburton Ranges Campus
*Wingellina Campus
7. Tjuntjunjara Campus
8. Wiluna Campus
9. Yintarri Campus

KIMBERLEY EDUCATION REGION

10. Bayulu Remote Community School
11. Dawul Remote Community School
12. Djugerari Remote Community School
13. Fitzroy Valley District High School
14. Halls Creek District High School
15. Jungdranung Remote Community School
16. Kalumburu Remote Community School
17. La Grange Remote Community School
18. Looma Remote Community School
19. Muludja Remote Community School
20. Ngalapita Remote Community School
21. One Arm Point Remote Community School
22. Oombulgurri Remote Community School
23. Wananami Remote Community School

- 24. Wangkatjunga Remote Community School
- 25. Wyndham District High School

PILBARA EDUCATION REGION

- 26. Jigalong Remote Community School
- 27. Marble Bar Primary School
- 28. Nullagine Primary School
- 29. Onslow Primary School
- 30. Roebourne District High School
- 31. Yandeyarra Remote Community School

MIDWEST EDUCATION REGION

- 32. Burringurrah Remote Community School
- 33. Gascoyne Junction Remote Community School
- 34. Meekatharra District High School
- 35. Mount Magnet District High School
- 36. Mullewa District High School
- 37. Pia Wajarri Remote Community School
- 38. Sandstone Primary School
- 39. Yalgoo Primary School
- 40. Yulga Jinna Remote Community School

- (b) No other schools received Aboriginal Literacy Strategy funding.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4859. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2010 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 7 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;
- (b) what was the total Year 7 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2010, how many Year 7 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4860. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2008 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 3 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;

- (b) what was the total Year 3 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2008, how many Year 3 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4861. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2009 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 3 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;
- (b) what was the total Year 3 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2009, how many Year 3 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4862. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2010 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 3 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;
- (b) what was the total Year 3 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2010, how many Year 3 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4863. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2008 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 5 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;
- (b) what was the total Year 5 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2008, how many Year 5 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4864. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2009 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 5 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;
- (b) what was the total Year 5 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2009, how many Year 5 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4865. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2010 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 5 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;
- (b) what was the total Year 5 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2010, how many Year 5 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4866. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2008 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 7 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;
- (b) what was the total Year 7 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2008, how many Year 7 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL LITERACY STRATEGY — NAPLAN TEST RESULTS

4867. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the 2009 National Assessment Program-Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN) test results for Year 7 students in the 52 remote schools covered by the Aboriginal Literacy Strategy (ALS), and I ask:

- (a) what percentage of students were above the National Minimum Standard in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy;
- (b) what was the total Year 7 enrolment across all 52 remote schools covered by the ALS; and
- (c) in 2009, how many Year 7 students in the 52 remotes schools covered by the ALS sat for the tests in:
 - (i) reading;
 - (ii) writing;
 - (iii) language conventions; and
 - (iv) numeracy?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) The information requested by the Member is publicly available from the Schools Online website of the Department of Education.

ABORIGINAL STUDENTS — FINANCIAL SUPPORT

4868. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) Are there any State Government funded financial supports or allowances available to Aboriginal students undertaking Year 11 and Year 12; and
 - (a) if so, what supports or allowances are available?
- (2) Are there any State Government funded supports or allowances available to Aboriginal students undertaking traineeships and apprenticeships; and
 - (a) if so, what supports or allowances are available?
- (3) Are there any State Government funded supports or allowances available to Aboriginal students undertaking university study; and
 - (a) if so, what supports or allowances are available?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1) Yes, but in association with the provision of programs and not as financial support or allowances provided directly to Aboriginal students in Years 11 or 12.

- (a) State Government funding is used to provide support to approximately 240 high achieving Year 11 and 12 Aboriginal students each year through *Follow the Dream: Partnerships for Success*, which provides supplementary after school tuition support, leadership, cultural and personal development opportunities to high achieving Aboriginal students in Years 8 to 12 who have university aspirations.

Follow the Dream: Partnerships for Success is allocated \$2.5m in funding through the National Education Agreement and \$160 000 in State appropriated funds.

Year 11 and 12 Aboriginal students can also be assisted in their studies through the Upper Secondary Aboriginal Tutorial Assistance Scheme (ATAS). In 2011, the Upper Secondary ATAS notional allocation is \$3 198 567.

- (2) Yes.

- (a) The Aboriginal School Based Traineeship (ASBT) Program is managed by the Department of Training and Workforce Development (DTWD) and targets Years 10 to 12 Aboriginal students. There is an ASBT Preparatory Program, a work/VET readiness program aimed predominantly at Year 10 students and the Aboriginal School Based Traineeship/Apprenticeships for Year 11 and 12 students.

The Vocational Education and Training (VET) and Group Training Organisation support costs are fully funded by DTWD. The Department of Education, through VET in Schools, assists schools to support the students in the program. The funding to schools covers the following costs:

- additional equipment for trainees, including boots and work uniforms;
- costs associated with school staff attending the Steering Group meetings;
- mentoring support for students; and
- administrative costs.

In 2010, VET in Schools funded support to schools amounted to the following:

ASBT Preparatory Program (predominantly Year 10s)	\$146 500 for 293 students ((\$500 per student))
Aboriginal School Based Traineeship/ Apprenticeship (Year 11 and 12 students)	\$324 250 for 285 students (between \$350 and \$1 050 per student, depending on the SBT/SBA arrangement)

- (3) Yes

- (a) Under a continuing initiative of the then Premier, Dr Gallop, \$70 000 per annum is available to Curtin University of Technology to support scholarships and/or fellowships for Indigenous leaders to undertake post-graduate studies up to and including Doctoral studies.

The Rob Riley Memorial Prize is offered to leading Aboriginal students in public schools:

- \$5 000 to the highest achieving Western Australian Certificate of Education (WACE) examinations student; and
- \$5 000 to the highest achieving Vocational Education and Training (VET) student.

These prizes are presented to the two successful students following the release of the Curriculum Council Year 12 results.

ABORIGINAL STAFF IN WA SCHOOLS

4869. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) What is the total number of Aboriginal staff in Department of Education schools for 2011?
- (2) How many of the Aboriginal staff referred to in (1) above are employed as qualified teachers?
- (3) In which categories are Aboriginal staff employed, other than as qualified teachers, and how many in each category are currently employed?
- (4) How many of the following were employed in 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010:

- (a) teachers; and
- (b) staff in each of the other categories?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1)–(4) The Department collects diversity information through surveys. However, employee responses are voluntary, consistent with public sector policies and accordingly not all staff elect to disclose their ethnicity. For 2010, the response rate relating to Aboriginality was 36.4 per cent, with response rates ranging between 16.6 per cent and 19.3 per cent for 2007 to 2009. These response rates are considered too low to accurately estimate Aboriginal employment levels.

ABORIGINAL CHILDREN IN WA SCHOOLS

4870. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) How many Aboriginal children were enrolled in State Government schools in kindergarten, pre-primary and Year 1 in 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011?
- (2) How many Aboriginal children were enrolled in State Government Schools in Years 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 in 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011?
- (3) How many Aboriginal children were enrolled in State Government Schools in Years 11 and 12 in 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1) Refer to Attachment 1 [See paper 3324.]
- (2) Refer to Attachment 2 [See paper 3324.]
- (3) Refer to Attachment 3 [See paper 3324.]

BUSHFIRE BRIGADE, AND FIRE AND RESCUE VOLUNTEERS

4871. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

I refer to the Bushfire Brigade and Fire and Rescue volunteers in Western Australia, and ask:

- (a) what is the total number of Bushfire Brigade and Fire and Rescue volunteers in Western Australia?
- (b) of those at (a), how many have received their official identity cards; and
- (c) if all volunteers do not presently have cards, what is the reason for this and when does the Minister anticipate that the backlog will be eliminated?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (a) There are 26,694 registered Bush Fire Brigade volunteers and 2,639 registered Fire and Rescue volunteers (including Juniors).
- (b) 1,364 Bush Fire Brigade volunteers have received identification cards. 1,118 Fire and Rescue volunteers have received FESA identification cards.
- (c) Bush Fire volunteers come under the control of local government and are only issued with an identification card upon a local government request. FESA Fire and Rescue volunteers are encouraged to obtain an identification card, however it is not compulsory. Since October 2010 four staff have been travelling the State visiting FESA volunteer units, and participating local government brigades, and processing requests for identification cards. All FESA volunteers continue to be supported and encouraged to obtain a volunteer identification card.

SPEED AND RED LIGHT CAMERAS — INFRINGEMENT NOTICES

4872. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

In relation to the issue of speed and red light camera infringement notices, can the Minister advise:

- (a) how many were issued for the financial years of:
 - (i) 2004–2005;
 - (ii) 2005–2006;
 - (iii) 2006–2007;
 - (iv) 2007–2008;
 - (v) 2008–2009;
 - (vi) 2009–2010; and
 - (vii) for July 2010 to March 2011; and

- (b) what was the average time for issuing an infringement notice for the periods referred to in (a)(i) to (a)(vii)?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (a) Total number of incidents detected by Speed and Red Light Cameras that resulted in a Traffic Infringement Notice being issued in 2004-05, 2005-06, 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10 and from July 1st 2010 to March 31st 2011 (inclusive):

Financial Year	Total
2004-05	401572
2005-06	336273
2006-07	265852
2007-08	350687
2008-09	309732
2009-10	314738
2010-11 (1/7/10-31/3/11)	342061

- (b) Average Number of Days between the date of the Incident and the Date that the subsequent Traffic Infringement Notice was issued for incidents detected by Speed and Red Light Cameras being issued in 2004-05, 2005-06, 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10 and from July 1st 2010 to March 31st 2011 (inclusive):

Financial Year	Average (Days)
2004-05	34.0
2005-06	38.9
2006-07	120.4
2007-08	61.7
2008-09	31.4
2009-10	26.0
2010-11 (1/7/10-31/3/11)	15.9

Notes:

1. Statistics are provisional and subject to revision.
2. The '2010-11' Financial Year includes notices issued between July 1st 2010 and March 31st 2011(inclusive).
3. Totals of notices issued only represent the original notice issued per incident and do not include re-issued or re-nominated notices.
4. Average issue days is calculated as the number of days between the date of offence and the date that a Traffic Infringement Notice was issued.
5. The WA Police Mainframe data entry system was replaced by the Image and Infringement Processing System (IIPS) on June 28th 2006.

Source: WA Police, Image and Infringement Processing System (IIPS) and WA Police Mainframe Archived Database.

SCHOOLS — AIR COOLING POLICY

4873. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement in the Legislative Assembly on 27 May 2010 that she had asked the Education Department to review the air cooling policy, and I ask:

- (a) on what date did the Minister ask the Education Department to review the air cooling policy;
- (b) what were the terms of reference for this review;
- (c) who did the Minister ask to conduct the review;
- (d) what timetable did the Minister give the Education Department to conduct the review;
- (e) has the Minister received a report as a result of this review;
- (f) what did the review conclude; and
- (g) will the Minister provide the Opposition with a copy of any results of this review; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a) The request was made in April 2010.

- (b) This information is subject to Cabinet confidentiality.
 (c) The Department of Education.
 (d)–(g) This information is subject to Cabinet confidentiality.

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY AND FINANCE — PROPOSED SEPARATION

4876. Mrs M.H. Roberts to the Treasurer

I refer to the Premier's remarks in February that the Department of Treasury and Finance would be split into two departments, and I ask for the following information:

- (a) in the past five years, what capital improvements have been made within buildings or premises occupied by the existing Department of Treasury and Finance, on behalf of the Western Australian Government;
 (b) in relation to (a) above, when were these capital improvements made;
 (c) in relation to (a) above, what did these capital improvements cost; and
 (d) in relation to (a) above, are these capital improvements transferable to either of the two new departments; and
 (i) if yes, which department?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

(a)–(d)

(a)	(b)	(c) \$	(d)	(e)
Fit-out to computer room at Dumas House	1.7.09	388 487	Yes	Department of Treasury
Fit-out L6 Dumas House	1.7.09	175 815	No	Not applicable
Fit-out L6 lunch room Dumas House	1.5.07	46 943	No	Not applicable
Fit-out basement Dumas House	1.5.07	148 993	No	Not applicable
Fit-out L8 Dumas House	1.5.07	31 474	No	Not applicable
Fit-out L9 Dumas House	1.5.07	146 662	No	Not applicable
Fit-out Mezzanine Level, Governor Stirling Tower (GST)	1.5.06	174 943	No	Not applicable
Fit-out L12 GST	1.5.07	5 116	No	Not applicable
Handicapped access lift Mezzanine Level GST	1.7.09	45 820	No	Not applicable
Fit-out L4 GST	1.7.09	870 120	No	Not applicable
Fit-out L13 GST	1.7.09	66 459	No	Not applicable
Fit-out L12 GST	1.7.09	64 631	No	Not applicable
Fit-out L10 GST	1.7.09	107 521	No	Not applicable
Alterations to L14 GST	15.4.10	27 920	No	Not applicable
Alterations to L2 QBE House	13.10.10	57 896	Yes	Department of Finance

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY AND FINANCE — PROPOSED SEPARATION

4877. Mrs M.H. Roberts to the Treasurer

I refer to the Premier's remarks in February that the Department of Treasury and Finance would be split into two departments, and I ask:

- (a) what costs are associated with the split of the existing Department of Treasury and Finance, beyond operating costs to carry out its existing functions;
 (b) what current premises does the Department of Treasury and Finance currently operate from; and
 (c) what premises will each of the two new departments operate from once the existing Department of Treasury and Finance is split?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a) The cost of splitting the Department will be kept to a minimum. There will be costs associated with the creation of a Director General, Department of Finance and associated support staff (e.g. Chief Financial

Officer). The existing Corporate Services provided within the existing Department of Treasury and Finance will continue to provide services to the two new Departments.

- (b) Treasury and Strategic Projects
Governor Stirling Tower, 197 St Georges Terrace
- State Revenue and Corporate Services
QBE Building, 200 St Georges Terrace
- Government Procurement (GP)
Dumas House, 2 Havelock Street, West Perth
- Shared Services
303 Sevenoaks Street, Cannington
- Building Management and Works
169 Hay Street, East Perth
- Tenders Office BMW
99 Plain Street, East Perth
- Building Management and Works, Office of Government Accommodation
74 Wittenoom Street, East Perth
- Regional Offices shared by Building Management and Works and Government Procurement
2 Barnesby Drive, Yakamia, Albany
61 Victoria Street, Bunbury
6/65 Bayly Street, Geraldton
1st floor, 220 Hannan Street, Kalgoorlie
- Regional Offices for Building Management and Works
Cnr Frederick and Weld St, Broome
30 Robinson Street, Carnarvon
6/53 The Esplanade, Esperance
30 Robinson Street, Carnarvon
3-5 Welcome Road, Karratha
Cnr Messmate & Konkerberry Drive, Kununurra
Cnr Mitchell & Queen Street, Merredin
1st fl, 11-13 Park Street, Narrogin
297 Fitzgerald Street, Northam
Cnr Brand & Tonkin Street, South Hedland
- (c) Five business units of the current Department of Treasury and Finance will move to new premises between April and the end of 2011 as a result of the Government Office Accommodation Master Strategy. This will result in the consolidation of BMW into one main site and its collocation with Government Procurement at the Optima Building in Osborne Park.
- Treasury and Strategic Projects
197 St Georges Terrace, prior to moving to 140 William Street, in September 2011
- Corporate Services
140 William Street, Perth
- Building Management and Works
Optima building, Osborne Park
- Government Procurement
Optima building, Osborne Park
- State Revenue and Shared Services
Will remain in their respective current premises at 200 St Georges Terrace and 303 Sevenoaks Street.
- All regional offices will remain the same.

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY AND FINANCE — PROPOSED SEPARATION

4878. Mrs M.H. Roberts to the Treasurer

I refer to the Premier's remarks in February that the Department of Treasury and Finance would be split into two departments, and I ask for the following information:

- (a) what is the reason for this split of the Department of Treasury and Finance;

- (b) what is the new structure of each of the two departments;
- (c) what is the senior management and executive structure of each of the new departments, including levels for each of these positions; and
- (d) what number of full-time equivalents will be employed within each of the new departments?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a) The Government believes that separate departments of Treasury and Finance are needed to steer us through the state's next era of economic development.

A stand-alone Department of Treasury, dedicated to providing government with high level economic policy advice and forecasting in relation to our increasingly sophisticated economy, record investments in major projects, complex Commonwealth-State relations and preparation of state budgets, is critical.

The Finance Department will concentrate on revenue, management of government building projects and works, and administration, including the Office of Shared Services, which is currently under review.

- (b) The new Department of Finance will comprise the following businesses of the current Department of Treasury and Finance:

- Shared Services
- State Revenue
- Government Procurement
- Building Works and Management

The New Treasury will comprise the following businesses of the current Department of Treasury and Finance:

- Treasury
- Strategic Projects
- Corporate Services

- (c) The senior management and Executive Directors of each of the businesses of the existing Department of Treasury and Finance (including the Commissioner of State Revenue) will be allocated to the 2 new departments in accordance with their existing positions within each business as outlined at (b). The level of these positions remains unchanged.

The responsibility for the creation and classification of the position of Director General, Department of Finance rests with the Public Sector Commissioner.

- (d) The allocation of FTEs between the 2 new departments is currently being finalised. However, they will be in line with the existing FTEs currently allocated to each business at (b).

ABORIGINAL STUDENTS — APPRENTICESHIPS AND TRAINEESHIPS

4880. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the parliamentary secretary representing the Minister for Training and Workforce Development

For each of the years 2008, 2009, and 2010, how many:

- (a) Aboriginal students gained entry to TAFE courses;
- (b) students in (a) were male;
- (c) Aboriginal students commenced a traineeship;
- (d) students in (c) were male;
- (e) Aboriginal students commenced an apprenticeship;
- (f) students in (e) were male;
- (g) Aboriginal students completed a traineeship;
- (h) students in (g) were male;
- (i) Aboriginal students completed an apprenticeship; and
- (j) students in (i) were male?

Mr M.J. COWPER replied:

- (a) 2008 — 9,042
2009 — 9,888
2010 — 10,248

- (b) 2008 — 5,541
2009 — 6,361
2010 — 6,642
- (c) 2008 — 1,485
2009 — 1,223
2010 — 1,484
- (d) 2008 — 794
2009 — 677
2010 — 782
- (e) 2008 — 290
2009 — 272
2010 — 364
- (f) 2008 — 271
2009 — 246
2010 — 328
- (g) 2008 — 455
2009 — 471
2010 — 471
- (h) 2008 — 228
2009 — 269
2010 — 241
- (i) 2008 — 101
2009 — 94
2010 — 137
- (j) 2008 — 98
2009 — 88
2010 — 122

GENETICALLY MODIFIED CANOLA — CONTAMINATION TO ORGANIC CROP

4881. Mr M.P. Murray to the Minister for Agriculture and Food

In regards to the genetically modified (GM) contamination of Steve Marsh's Kojonup farm, I ask:

- (a) has the Minister provided Mr Marsh with a report determining the extent of the GM contamination to his property;
- (b) did the Minister advise Mr Marsh that the content of the report was confidential; and
- (c) will the Minister be tabling that report in the Parliament?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (a) The Minister has not, but the Department of Agriculture and Food has.
- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) No.

CUSTODIAL SYSTEM — ADULTS ON REMAND

4882. Mr F.M. Logan to the Minister for Corrective Services

- (1) How many adults are currently in the custodial system on remand?
- (2) Will the Minister provide a breakdown of the reasons why the current adult remand population was denied bail (per reason why bail was denied)?
- (3) Will the Minister provide a breakdown of the remand population per prison per month for the 24 months to March 2011?
- (4) What proportion of the current adult remand population is indigenous?
- (5) What is the average length of time an adult prisoner spends on remand?
- (6) What proportion of adults on remand are subsequently acquitted?
- (7) What are the 10 longest periods that adult prisoners have spent on remand over the last 24 months, and why has this occurred in each case?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (1) As at 31 March 2011, there were 876 unsentenced prisoners in the adult custodial system.
- (2) This information is not recorded on Department of Corrective Services offender systems. This question is more appropriately directed to the Attorney General.
- (3) [See paper 3327.]
- (4) As at 31 March 2011, 45.4 per cent of the adult unsentenced prison population was indigenous.
- (5) For the 24 months ending 31 March 2011, based on exits if the prisoner remained remand during a prison stay or the date that the prisoner first became sentenced during a prison stay, the average time a prisoner was classed as remand was 43.1 days.
- (6) This information is not recorded on Department of Corrective Services offender systems. This question is more appropriately directed to the Attorney General.
- (7) For the 24 months ending 31 March 2011, based on exits if the prisoner remained remand during a prison stay or the date that the prisoner first became sentenced during a prison stay, the 10 longest periods that adult prisoners spent on remand were in days:
839, 826, 805, 736, 736, 719, 690, 672, 672, 672. DCS systems do not contain any information as to why this occurred.

CUSTODIAL SYSTEM — JUVENILES ON REMAND

4883. Mr F.M. Logan to the Minister for Corrective Services

- (1) How many juveniles are currently in the custodial system on remand?
- (2) Will the Minister provide a breakdown (per reason why bail was denied) of the reasons why the current juvenile remand population was denied bail?
- (3) Will the Minister provide a breakdown of the remand population per prison per month for the 24 months to March 2011?
- (4) What proportion of the current juvenile remand population is indigenous?
- (5) What is the average length of time a juvenile prisoner spends in remand?
- (6) What proportion of juveniles on remand are subsequently acquitted?
- (7) What are the 10 longest periods that juvenile prisoners have spent on remand over the last 12 months, and why has this occurred in each case?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (1) As at 31 March 2011, there were 100 unsentenced juveniles in the juvenile custodial system.
- (2) This information is not recorded on Department of Corrective Services offender systems. This question is more appropriately directed to the Attorney General.
- (3) [See paper 3328.]
- (4) As at 31 March 2011, 73 per cent of the juvenile unsentenced detention population was indigenous.
- (5) For the 24 months ending 31 March 2011, based on exits if the detainee remained remand during a detention stay or the date that the detainee first became sentenced during a detention stay, the average time a detainee was classed as remand was 21 days.
- (6) This information is not recorded on Department of Corrective Services offender systems. This question is more appropriately directed to the Attorney General.
- (7) For the 12 months ending 31 March 2011, based on exits if the detainee remained remand during a detention stay or the date that the detainee first became sentenced during a detention stay, the 10 longest periods that juvenile detainees spent on remand were in days:
279, 261, 244, 239, 221, 197, 186, 186, 184, 182. DCS systems do not contain any information as to why this occurred.

PRISONERS — PRESCRIPTION DRUG-RELATED INCIDENTS

4887. Mr F.M. Logan to the Minister for Corrective Services

- (1) How many prescription drug related incidents (any incident where a prescription drug was seized or discovered, or any inmate or any other person was found in possession of a prescription drug who did not have a prescription) occurred in the Western Australian prison system during:

- (a) 2007–2008;
 - (b) 2008–2009;
 - (c) 2009–2010; and
 - (d) to date in 2010–2011?
- (2) Could the Minister provide an itemised list of the nature and date of each incident referred to at (1) and advise in which prisons these incidents took place?
- (3) How many charges have been laid for prescription drug related offences in Western Australian prisons in each of the following years:
- (a) 2007–2008;
 - (b) 2008–2009; and
 - (c) 2009–2010?
- (4) Could the Minister provide an itemised list of the nature of each charge at (3), and advise in which prisons the charges arose, and each year in which they arose?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (1)–(2) This specific information is not available. The Department of Corrective Services cannot distinguish 'Illicit Drugs' and 'Drugs Used other than as Prescribed' in the prison database at the 'incident' reporting level. If a particular incident eventuates into a formal charge then further classifications are made in the database which allows this distinction to be made.
- (2) The number of charges laid for 'Using or Possessing Drugs Other Than Prescribed' were as follows:
- (a) 75
 - (b) 78
 - (c) 48
- All charges laid, irrespective of the outcome, are included above.
- (3) [See paper 3329.]

PRISONERS — PAROLE STATISTICS

4888. Mr F.M. Logan to the Minister for Corrective Services

- (1) How many prisoners are currently on parole in Western Australia?
- (2) Will the Minister provide a breakdown of how many prisoners were on parole for each calendar month since January 2008, including how many violated their parole?
- (3) How many prisoners were rejected for parole in each month since January 2008?
- (4) How many prisoners were accepted for parole in each month since January 2008?
- (5) How many total staff does the Department of Corrective Services currently have to monitor and assist prisoners with their transition back into the community?
- (6) How many equivalent staff did the Department have in 2008, 2009 and 2010?
- (7) How many parole officers does the Department currently have?
- (8) How many parole officers did the Department have in 2008, 2009 and 2010?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (1) As at 28 February 2011, there were 481 persons on parole or short term parole orders in Western Australia.
- (2)–(4) [See paper 3330.]
- (5) The Department currently has 218 Community Corrections Officers (CCOs) and Senior Community Corrections Officers (SCCOs) who assist and monitor prisoners with their transition back into the community. SCCOs include Prison Based SCCOs, those attached to the Family Violence and Central Law Courts, the Court Assessment and Treatment Service (CATS), and the Community Bail Unit. The figures do not include CCO Relief Officers. In addition, the Department has 12 transitional managers who are located at each prison in WA and assist prisoners three months prior and six months after with their transition into the community.
- (6) The following figures represent the number of Community Corrections Officers and Senior Community Corrections Officers the Department had in 2008, 2009 and 2010.
189 in 2008, 192 in 2009, and 192 in 2010.

- (7) Please refer to part (5).
- (8) Please refer to part (6).

PRISONERS — ILLICIT SUBSTANCE-RELATED INCIDENTS

4889. Mr F.M. Logan to the Minister for Corrective Services

- (1) How many illicit substance related incidents (any incident where an illicit substance was seized or discovered, or any inmate or any other person was found in possession of an illicit substance) occurred in the Western Australian prison system during the following financial years:
 - (a) 2007–2008;
 - (b) 2008–2009;
 - (c) 2009–2010; and
 - (d) to date in 2010–2011?
- (2) Could the Minister provide an itemised list of the nature and date of each incident and which prisons the incidents at (1) took place?
- (3) How many charges have been laid for drug related offences in Western Australian prisons during the following financial years:
 - (a) 2007–2008;
 - (b) 2008–2009;
 - (c) 2009–2010; and
 - (d) to date in 2010–2011?
- (4) Could the Minister provide an itemised list of the nature of each charge, in which prisons the charges at (3) arose from, and each year in which they arose?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (1)–(2) This specific information is not available. The Department of Corrective Services cannot distinguish 'Illicit Drugs' and 'Drugs Used other than as Prescribed' in the prison database at the 'incident' reporting level. If a particular incident eventuates into a formal charge, however, then further classifications are made in a database which allows this distinction to be made.
- (3) The number of charges laid for 'Using or Possessing Illicit Drugs' was as follows:
 - (a) 1121
 - (b) 1475
 - (c) 1295
 - (d) 904

All charges laid, irrespective of the outcome, are included.
- (4) [See paper 3331.]

BUNBURY REGIONAL HOSPITAL — MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

4890. Mr M.P. Murray to the Minister representing the Minister for Mental Health

In relation to the provision of mental health services in the emergency department at the Bunbury Regional Hospital, I ask:

- (a) other than general practitioners, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) positions are appointed at the Bunbury Regional Hospital for:
 - (i) doctors specialising in mental health;
 - (ii) psychiatrists; and
 - (iii) psychologists;
- (b) how many doctors who specialise in mental health, psychiatrists or psychologists are rostered on duty in the emergency department between 11.00 p.m. and 7.00 a.m.;
- (c) are there any nurses specialising in mental health working at the Bunbury Regional Hospital; and
 - (i) if so, how many, and are they rostered to work in the emergency department;
- (d) what is the annual budget for staff rostered to work in the emergency department;
- (e) what portion of that budget is dedicated to having mental health professionals work in the emergency department; and

- (f) what is the procedure for a mental health patient who presents to the emergency department for treatment?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

- (a) Whilst there are no dedicated Emergency Department (ED) FTE Consultant Psychiatrist and Psychiatric Medical Officer positions specifically employed to work in the Bunbury Hospital (BH) ED, an on-call model — with FTE from specialist Mental Health programs — exists to provide the following:
- i. 1 on-call Psychiatric Medical Officer providing services to BH ED between 0700–2300 hrs.
 - ii 1 on-call Consultant Psychiatrist providing services to BH ED between 0700–2300 hrs.
 - iii there are no Psychologists providing on-call services to BH ED.
- (b) There are no specialist Mental Health medical staff rostered on duty for BH ED between 2300–0700 hrs. ED triage nurses and medical staff do however assess and admit mental health patients if they are deemed to be at risk.
- (c) Yes. There is 1 Specialist Mental Health Liaison Nurse (MHLN) rostered on every 8 hrs between 0700 and 2300 hrs.
- (d) The annual ED budget for BH is \$13 million.
- (e) The annual budget for MHLN and on-call Psych Medical services is \$410 000. This is funded separately by Mental Health Services.
- (f) The procedure for a mental health patient who presents to the ED for treatment is as follows:
- a. Triage assessment by ED nurse with provision for identifying mental health risk for the patient.
 - b. Medical review by ED doctor to determine causality of symptoms. If specialist mental health assessment is required, the ED doctor will request MHLN services. Between 2300-0700 hrs, if mental health symptoms are identified as acute, the ED doctor will coordinate an overnight admission and ensure the patient is assessed by MHLN at 0700 hrs.
 - c. MHLN reviews the patient and coordinates either an admission to the Acute Psychiatric Unit (APU), a referral to the Community Mental Health services if non urgent, or a referral to a service that specialises in the problem area identified ie. Alcohol or Drug services

COMMUNITY CLUBS — LIQUOR LICENSING FEES AND CHARGES

4893. Mr M.P. Murray to the Minister for Racing and Gaming

In relation to community clubs receiving an increase of fees and charges attached to liquor licensing, I ask;

- (a) what was the cost in 2010 for a not-for-profit community controlled club to get a liquor license;
- (b) how much will a not-for-profit community controlled club have to pay to get a liquor license in 2011;
- (c) has the Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor raised the cost of liquor licenses for 2011; and
 - (i) if so, what is the percentage of increase; and
 - (ii) if so, what methodology was used to determine the increase;
- (d) are licensed community clubs required to pay the same level of increase as commercial operators, whose charter and licensing predominately involve the sale of alcohol; and
- (e) did the Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor hold a briefing with key stakeholders prior to sending notice of major policy change to licensees in Western Australia?

Mr T.K. WALDRON replied:

- (a) 2010:
Club licence — application fee of \$750 plus an annual licence fee of \$500.
Club restricted licence — application fee of \$750 plus an annual licence fee of \$250.
- (b) 2011:
Club licence — application fee of \$765 plus an annual licence fee of \$510.
Club restricted licence — application fee of \$765 plus an annual licence fee of \$255.
- (c) Yes.
 - (i) 2.1%

- (ii) The Department of Treasury and Finance advised that a CPI increase of 2.1% should be applied to 2011 fees and charges, which in turn were rounded up or down to the nearest \$5/\$10.
- (d) Yes.
- (e) No briefings were conducted for the 2011 fee increases as fee adjustments are not considered a policy change.

HONORARY CONSULS — PREMIER'S ROLE IN APPOINTMENT

4894. Mr C.J. Tallentire to the Premier

In relation to the appointment of Honorary Consuls, what role does the Premier or Department of Premier and Cabinet play in:

- (a) the nomination of a potential consul;
- (b) the selection of a consul; and
- (c) the acceptance of the credentials of the consul?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

- (a)–(c) Consistent with Commonwealth protocol, a response on a proposed consul is sought from the State Government. It is entirely a matter between the Commonwealth Government and the requesting Embassy.

The Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade notifies the Department of the Premier and Cabinet on the proposed nomination of an Honorary Consul who will have jurisdiction throughout Western Australia. Following notification the Protocol Branch of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet contact the Western Australia Police seeking a police clearance.

Once the police clearance is finalised, the information is provided to the Premier for his noting. This information, including the police clearance particulars, are then conveyed to the Federal Government.

Approval for the nomination, selection and acceptance of Honorary Consuls are the responsibility of the Commonwealth Government through the Department of Foreign Affairs

ALBANY — WATER POLICE BOAT REQUEST

4896. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister for Police

- (1) Has the Minister received any requests for a water police boat to be based in Albany?
- (2) Can the Minister advise if there are any plans for a water police boat to be based in Albany?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) Western Australia Police have made no requests for the establishment of a Water Police Base at Albany.
- (2) There are no current plans for a Water Police boat to be based in Albany.

KINGS PARK — FACILITIES EXPANSION

4899. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Premier

In relation to the expansion of facilities at Kings Park for the Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting (CHOGM), I ask:

- (a) when did the tender process for the designing of the expansion of Fraser's Restaurant at Kings Park open and close; and
 - (i) how many tenders were submitted;
 - (ii) what was the scope of works in the tender; and
 - (iii) what were the quotes of each tender; and
- (b) when did the tender process for the construction of the expansion of Fraser's Restaurant at Kings Park open and close; and
 - (i) how many tenders were there;
 - (ii) what was the scope of works in the tender; and
 - (iii) what were the quotes of each tender?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Department of the Premier and Cabinet advises:

- (a) In order to determine whether a facility could be prepared at Kings Park in time for CHOGM 2011 McDonald Jones Architects Pty Ltd was initially engaged by the Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority (BGPA) on 15 October 2010 to examine options for an extension of Fraser's Restaurant and prepare conceptual plans, cost estimates and a draft construction timetable for feasibility purposes. An exemption from the competitive procurement requirements for this work was recommended by the Department of Treasury and Finance — Government Procurement and approved by the BGPA Chief Executive Officer, on the basis that the timeframe was urgent, there was a requirement for strict confidentiality, the value of the contract was less than \$100,000, the appointed consultant had knowledge of the existing facility and its operation and was familiar with the BGPA requirements for works in Kings Park.

Agreement was received from the Australian Prime Minister and the London based Commonwealth Secretariat to hold the CHOGM Leaders' Retreat at Kings Park in late December 2010. The contract was subsequently novated from BGPA to the Minister for Works on 11 January 2011 and the scope expanded to incorporate full design, contract documentation and contract supervision of the works. A waiver from public tenders was approved by the Executive Director Building Management and Works on the basis that the design team possessed significant knowledge of the project and there was insufficient time to appoint a new design team.

- (b) Tenders for construction were called on the 10 December 2010 and closed on the 23 December 2010.
- (i) Four
- (ii) The provision of construction management services for the redevelopment of Fraser's Restaurant, Kings Park incorporating a new first floor function space including kitchen and toilet facilities to enable it to be used initially as the Leaders' Retreat for the Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting (CHOGM) in October 2011 and afterwards as a facility for State receptions and other community and commercial activities.

The tenderers were requested to respond to qualitative and price criteria.

- (iii) The construction management contract required the tenderers to submit prices for two elements: (1) a lump sum fee to cover fixed site costs and (2) a percentage rate for profit and overheads to be applied to each subcontract works packages.

The following tender prices were received, the names of the unsuccessful tenderers have not been disclosed for commercial confidentiality reasons.

Tenderer	Lump Sum Fee	Profits and overheads fee percentage
Company 1	\$627,000	6.80%
Company 2	\$941,464	4.00%
Company 3	\$475,093	4.00%
Perkins	\$547,316	2.75%

Based on project cost estimates provided by the Quantity Surveyor, Perkins the successful tender, was assessed as tendering the lowest total fee and the second highest score in the qualitative criteria.

The tenders were also assessed against qualitative criteria by an evaluation panel comprising representatives from Building Management and Works, BGPA, Department of the Premier and Cabinet and State Solicitors Office.

The evaluation panel agreed Perkins represented value for money.

KINGS PARK — FACILITIES EXPANSION

4900. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Premier

In a media release on the Liberal Party website the Premier announced that the tender for construction for fast-tracked new buildings at Kings Park for the Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting (CHOGM) was awarded to Perkins (WA) Pty Ltd, a Liberal Party donor, and in relation to this, I ask:

- (a) will the Premier reveal all the donations made by Perkins (WA) Pty Ltd and its associated companies to the Liberal Party and the 500 Club, along with all the building contracts they have received since the Premier's election;
- (b) why has the Premier failed to undertake all the planning, local government and environmental approvals processes that a home-owner or local business in suburban Perth would have to undertake;
- (c) is the Premier aware that, due to his Government-funded upgrade of buildings and capacity at Fraser's in Kings Park, the operators will have an increased capacity for profit-making;

- (d) is the Premier aware that by providing his Government-funded upgrade of capacity at Fraser's in Kings Park he was in a strong position to ensure the lessee sourced local content in fit-out materials that they would be responsible for; and
- (e) why did the Premier not take this opportunity to ensure local content by guaranteeing that a showcase building in Perth, which potentially will be featured on the world stage, is fully fitted out with Western Australian built furnishings and equipment?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Office of the Premier and the Department of the Premier and Cabinet advises:

- (a) Donations made to the Liberal Party of Australia, the Liberal Party of Australia (WA Division) or the 500 Club are matters for the individual organisations to respond to; the Premier's Office cannot answer on the organisations' behalf. The Member should note that all donations over a certain amount have to be disclosed by any registered political party and are readily provided by the Western Australian Electoral Commission and the Australian Electoral Commission.
- (b) Building Management and Works (BMW) has followed all normal approval processes for the Frasers Redevelopment that are required for a public work, including obtaining Development Approval from the WAPC and obtaining Aboriginal heritage clearance from the Department of Indigenous Affairs.
- (c) Under the current long-term lease arrangements for the facility between the Lessee and the Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority (BGPA), from November 2014 the operators are required to pay a rental rate directly proportional to business turnover from the operations of the entire facility.
- (d)–(e) Both the BGPA and BMW are ensuring that wherever local products are available at acceptable prices they are utilised in both the construction and internal finishes, fixtures and fittings of the building.

Whilst the provision of loose furniture and equipment is the responsibility of the lessee, the State Government is encouraging the consideration of local content.

The temporary fit out of the facility for the Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting (CHOGM) is the sole responsibility of the Australian Government. The CHOGM team within the Department of the Premier and Cabinet has urged the Australian Government's CHOGM Taskforce to use locally sourced goods and services wherever available.

MELVILLE PLAZA — LIQUOR STORE APPLICATION

4901. Mr M.P. Murray to the Minister for Racing and Gaming

In relation to the liquor licence application from Dan Murphys for a liquor store in the Melville Plaza shopping precinct, I ask:

- (a) how many liquor stores are there currently within a square kilometre from the proposed site (the old Dewsons supermarket);
- (b) how far from the proposed site is the Bicton Primary School;
- (c) what restrictions, if any, are in place;
- (d) how many liquor outlets are in the area; and
- (e) how many objections have been received to liquor outlets in the area?

Mr T.K. WALDRON replied:

- (a) Three.
- (b) Approximately 320 metres.
- (c) Nil.
- (d) Seven liquor outlets within one square kilometre of the proposed liquor store.
- (e) Nineteen objections to the proposed liquor store and two interventions have been lodged with the liquor licensing authority.

GOVERNMENT-OWNED ASSETS — SALE OVER \$500,000

4902. Mrs M.H. Roberts to the Treasurer

Will the Treasurer provide the following details for all Government owned assets sold since 1 January 2009 which had a sale value of \$500,000 or more:

- (a) name and nature of the asset;
- (b) date sold;

- (c) nature of sale and name of buyer;
- (d) proceeds received from the asset;
- (e) associated revenue from the sale, such as stamp duty;
- (f) the application of the funds received; and
- (g) any associated costs incurred in the sale process?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a)–(g) The Treasury Information Management System does not contain information about the nature and details of assets sold by agencies. The Department of Treasury can produce a report showing the dollar amounts for assets sold by agencies if the Member requests. For the level of detail that the Member is requesting in this question, it is advised that the member should ask the relevant Ministers to source this information from their agency.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ACT 2006 — SECTIONS 14 AND 19(2)

4905. Mrs M.H. Roberts to the Treasurer

During the 2008–2009 financial year:

- (a) on how many occasions has the Treasurer approved for bank accounts to be overdrawn in accordance with sections 14 and 19(2) of the *Financial Management Act 2006*;
- (b) to which agencies or departments did the use of sections 14 and 19(2) of the *Financial Management Act 2006* apply;
- (c) what was the value of the overdrawn amount;
- (d) what was the reason for the account to be overdrawn; and
- (e) were any bank accounts overdrawn without the prior approval of the Treasurer; and
 - (i) if so, which agencies or departments were involved;
 - (ii) if so, what was the value of the overdrawn amount; and
 - (iii) if so, why was the account overdrawn?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a) Fifteen
- (b) Forest Products Commission
- (c) See following table:

Date	Amount
28/01/09	171 981.22
04/02/09	795 699.58
05/02/09	955662.89
25/02/09	1 314 946.10
26/02/09	892 980.64
05/03/09	254 358.87
11/03/09	2 280 573.27
12/03/09	1 505 266.61
30/04/09	251 126.53
06/05/09	195 487.94
13/05/09	761 735.72
14/05/09	640 550.52
20/05/09	228 448.29
27/05/09	1 742 769.40
28/05/09	2 116 159

Total equals \$14 107 746.58 down from \$17 981 782.34 in the previous financial year.

- (d) The delay between payments and receipts that is typical of the timber industry with its long production cycles.
- (e) (i)–(ii) see following table:

Agencies	Date	Amount
Department of Corrective Services	09/12/08	3 891.62
	17/12/08	1 339 035.53
	22/12/08	7 652.59
	03/02/09	10 877.01
Department of Treasury and Finance — Office of Shared Services	11/07/08	1 997.65
	25/08/08	2 324.78
Department of Treasury and Finance — Operating	01/09/08	225 336.87
	22/06/09	1 673 153.35
Department of Treasury and Finance — State Fleet	16/03/09	69 519.54
Department of Health	06/05/09	51 746.82
Government Employees' Superannuation Board	10/07/08	150
Legislative Council	28/10/08	113 026.89
	29/10/08	123 619.24
	18/03/09	9 499.66
Office of the Information Commissioner	09/06/09	16 063.69
	24/06/09	7 870.15
	04/03/09	32 224.62
Equal Opportunity Commission	18/03/09	61 360.62
	01/04/09	61 096.93
	15/04/09	12 035.77
	23/06/09	27 553.85
Governor's Establishment WA	24/06/09	33 845.36
	13/02/09	59 571.22
Peel Development Commission	16/02/09	61 364.93
	18/02/09	87 498.26
	15/09/08	6 323.03
Combat Sports Commission	17/02/09	8 594.90
WA Electoral Commission	18/02/09	8 144.90
	02/06/09	154 415.62

(iii) the reasons for the overdrawn accounts were:

- anticipated revenue not received as expected by the agencies on the day the payments were made; and
- underestimation of available funds in agencies' bank accounts.

It should be noted that, while the above list identifies overdrafts where the agencies were not individually authorised, it is recognised that there will be occasions, given the enormous volume of transactions undertaken across the public sector, where such overdrafts will occur. Consequently there is in place a global overdraft, approved by the Treasurer, to ensure that these occurrences have legal authorisation at a whole-of-government level.

Bank accounts are monitored on a daily basis by Treasury and agencies are required to promptly clear such overdrafts when they occur.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ACT 2006 — SECTIONS 14 AND 19(2)

4906. Mrs M.H. Roberts to the Treasurer

During the 2009–2010 financial year:

- (a) on how many occasions has the Treasurer approved for bank accounts to be overdrawn in accordance with sections 14 and 19(2) of the *Financial Management Act 2006*;
- (b) to which agencies or departments did the use of sections 14 and 19(2) of the *Financial Management Act 2006* apply;
- (c) what was the value of the overdrawn amount;
- (d) what was the reason for the account to be overdrawn; and
- (e) were any bank accounts overdrawn without the prior approval of the Treasurer; and
 - (i) if so, which agencies or departments were involved;
 - (ii) if so, what was the value of the overdrawn amount; and
 - (iii) if so, why was the account overdrawn?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a) One
- (b) Forest Products Commission
- (c) Nil. The Treasurer's approval to overdraw the bank account was granted but no overdrawn balances occurred during the year
- (d) Not applicable
- (e) (i)–(ii) See following table

Agencies	Date	Amount
Department of Fisheries	29.09.09	181 988.18
	27.04.10	92 010.09
	12.05.10	5 437.26
Government Employees Superannuation Board	01.10.09	752 801.67
Parliamentary Services	16.09.09	2 072.16
Office of the Information Commissioner	08.07.09	5 546.89
Legal Aid Commission	17.05.10	14 193.36
Governor's Establishment WA	08.07.09	39 743.94
	21.07.09	3 483.76
Department of Treasury and Finance — Operating	02.07.09	1 728 459.50
	03.07.09	1 420 511.46
Department of Treasury and Finance — Office of Shared Services	01.12.09	22 358.55
	16.06.10	13 580.70
	22.07.09	13 592.55
Public Trustee Office	10.08.09	80
	17.06.10	14 899.48
Department of Water	03.03.10	1 377 280.31
	31.03.10	129 587.23
Department of Regional Development and Lands	04.08.09	25 238.83

(iii) the reasons for the overdrawn accounts were —

- anticipated revenue not received as expected by the agencies on the day the payments were made; and
- underestimation of available funds in agencies' bank accounts.

It should be noted that, while the above list identifies overdrafts where the agencies were not individually authorised, it is recognised that there will be occasions, given the enormous volume of transactions undertaken across the public sector, where such overdrafts will occur. Consequently there is in place a global overdraft, approved by the Treasurer, to ensure that these occurrences have legal authorisation at a whole-of-government level.

Bank accounts are monitored on a daily basis by Treasury and agencies are required to promptly clear such overdrafts when they occur.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ACT 2006 — SECTIONS 14 AND 19(2)

4907. Mrs M.H. Roberts to the Treasurer

During the 2010–2011 financial year to date:

- (a) on how many occasions has the Treasurer approved for bank accounts to be overdrawn in accordance with sections 14 and 19(2) of the *Financial Management Act 2006*;
- (b) to which agencies or departments did the use of sections 14 and 19(2) of the *Financial Management Act 2006* apply;
- (c) what was the value of the overdrawn amount;
- (d) what was the reason for the account to be overdrawn; and
- (e) were any bank accounts overdrawn without the prior approval of the Treasurer; and
 - (i) if so, which agencies or departments were involved;
 - (ii) if so, what was the value of the overdrawn amount; and
 - (iii) if so, why was the account overdrawn?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a) Two
- (b) Forest Products Commission (FPC); and
Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP)
- (c) FPC — nil; and
DPP — \$57 228.97
- (d) FPC — Not applicable; and
DPP — Payments that needed to be made one day ahead of a scheduled inflow to the bank account.
- (e) (i)–(ii) See following table

Agencies	Date	Amount
Department of Agriculture and Food	01.02.11	143.50
Department of Corrective Services	06.07.10	53 341.72
	07.07.10	51 440.72
Department of Transport	01.07.10	141 901.93
Department of Treasury and Finance — Office of Shared Services	30.12.10	470
Department of Health	09.08.10	50
	12.08.10	1 177.50
Government Employees Superannuation Board	15.10.10	5 025 469.16
Legislative Council	07.07.10	30 476.97
Department of the Attorney General	13.01.11	31 305.92
Director of Public Prosecutions	10.11.10	98 484.15
WA Electoral Commission	16.08.10	97 897.36
	18.08.10	121 200.83
	19.08.10	196 509.38
	31.08.10	12 262.18
	01.09.10	21 305.87

- (iii) the reasons for the overdrawn accounts were —
 - anticipated revenue not received as expected by the agencies on the day the payments were made; and
 - underestimation of available funds in agencies' bank accounts.

It should be noted that, while the above list identifies overdrafts where the agencies were not individually authorised, it is recognised that there will be occasions, given the enormous volume of transactions undertaken across the public sector, where such overdrafts will occur. Consequently there is in place a global overdraft, approved by the Treasurer, to ensure that these occurrences have legal authorisation at a whole-of-government level.

Bank accounts are monitored on a daily basis by Treasury and agencies are required to promptly clear such overdrafts when they occur.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT NETWORK PLAN

4911. Mr C.J. Tallentire to the Minister for Transport

- (1) Has the Public Transport Authority (PTA) yet delivered the master plan for public transport report to the Government, originally scheduled for delivery by the end of 2009, according to the answer to Question on Notice No. 522 to the then Minister for Transport?
- (2) Is it still the Minister's policy, as was his predecessor's, that there is no case to extend the Thornlie rail line in the short to medium term?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Transport advises:

- (1)–(2) The Public Transport Network Plan is currently being considered by the Government.

HONORARY CONSULS — APPOINTMENT PROTOCOL

4912. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Premier

In relation to advice requested on the appointment of Honorary Consuls in Western Australia, I ask:

- (a) on how many occasions since the Premier's election has the Premier been requested by Ambassadors, Consul-Generals, or associated multicultural personnel with foreign governments, to comment on, or make a recommendation regarding, the appointment of honorary consuls in Western Australia;
- (b) which countries or principalities have sought advice, either in writing/email or verbally; and
- (c) to which countries or principalities has the Premier responded with advice?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Department of the Premier and Cabinet advises:

Since September 2008

- (a)–(c) In relation to the appointment of an Honorary Consul, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet is only involved in the formal process between the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade and the requesting Embassy. I refer the member to the process outlined in the answer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 4894.

HONORARY CONSULS — APPOINTMENT PROTOCOL

4913. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Premier

In relation to the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) protocol on the appointment of Honorary Consuls, amended in March 2010, I ask:

- (a) on how many occasions has DFAT consulted with the Premier or his officers as outlined in section 3.2;
- (b) which countries' Honorary Consuls have the Premier or his officers offered official comment under this protocol;
- (c) what are the names of those consuls who have been subsequently appointed;
- (d) what are the names of any persons who were not appointed but were commented on by the Premier or his department; and
- (e) what are the names of those potential consuls who have not yet been officially endorsed but which have received comment from the Premier or his department under the protocol?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Since September 2008

- (a) 10
- (b) February 2009, Botswana
May 2009, Brazil
July 2009, Netherlands
July 2009, Kazakhstan
October 2009, Ecuador
April 2010, Germany
May 2010, Peru
December 2010, Kenya
December 2010, France
December 2010, Ghana
- (c) Mr Pankaj Pathak, Honorary Consul, Consulate of the Republic of Botswana
Mr Henry Steingiesser, Honorary Consul, Consulate of Brazil
Mr Arnold Stroobach, Honorary Consul, Consulate of the Netherlands
Mr Jason Stirbinskis, Honorary Consul, Consulate of the Republic of Kazakhstan
Mrs Lucia Vandenberg, Honorary Consul, Consulate of the Republic of Ecuador
Mr Torsten Ketelsen, Honorary Consul, Consulate of the Federal Republic of Germany
Ms Flor de Maria Canning, Honorary Consul, Consulate of the Republic of Peru
Mr Paul Everingham, Honorary Consul, Consulate of France
Mr Fred Mahama Soale, Honorary Consul, Consulate of the Republic of Ghana
- (d) Nil
- (e) There is one person who has been approved to fill an Honorary Consul position. This information remains confidential until officially announced.

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGISTS — FTE EMPLOYMENT

4915. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) What is the total full-time equivalent (FTE) of school psychologists employed for Government schools?
- (2) What is the total headcount of school psychologists employed for Government schools?
- (3) How many of the promised extra 50 FTE school psychologists have been employed to date?
- (4) For each education region:
 - (a) what is the total FTE of school psychologists employed;
 - (b) what is the total headcount of school psychologists employed;
 - (c) in what schools are the school psychologists located and how many FTE and headcount psychologists are there for each school; and
 - (d) for each of the schools listed at (c), what other schools do these school psychologists service?
- (5) If school psychologists' school locations are not yet determined, when will they be determined?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1) As at the 31 March 2011 the year to date average school psychologist FTE employed by the Department of Education is 240.4 FTE.
- (2) The headcount of school psychologists employed by the Department of Education as at 31 March 2011 is 319.
- (3) The Department has allocated 45 of the additional 50 FTE school psychologists. An additional 5 FTE will be allocated in 2012.
- (4) (a)–(b)

Region	Year to date average FTE	Head Count as at 31 March 2011
Goldfields	7.9	11
Kimberley	5.5	6
Metropolitan North	86.3	113
Metropolitan South	83.4	118
Midwest	8.5	9
Pilbara	6.7	7
South West	26.7	35
Wheatbelt	9.6	11
Statewide Programs	5.8	9
TOTAL	240.4	319

- (c)–(d) As the relocation of school psychologists to schools is in transition and managed at the regional level this information is currently not available.
- (5) Negotiations at the regional level to establish school locations for regionally based school psychologists by July 2011 are still in progress.

SCHOOLS SUPPORT PROGRAMS RESOURCE ALLOCATION

4916. Mr C.J. Tallentire to the Minister for Education

- (1) What support is there for schools whose Schools Support Programs Resource Allocation (SSPRA) funding is significantly reduced?
- (2) Given that this funding is allocated on the basis of student numbers, what scope is there for the funding to reflect the financial situation of the parents of the students and/or the socio-economic area of the school?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1)–(2) Please refer to Legislative Council Question without Notice 226 which was answered on Tuesday, 5 April 2011.

BAUXITE MINE PROPOSAL — KEYSBROOK

4917. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for State Development

I refer to the proposed bauxite mine in Keysbrook, and ask:

- (a) does the Minister support the establishment of a bauxite mine in the Keysbrook area; and
 - (i) if so, why;
- (b) are appropriate buffers and conditions in place to protect local residents; and
 - (i) if so, what are they;
- (c) are there any other locations with bauxite mines in close proximity to housing communities;
- (d) why doesn't the Mining and Management Program Liaison Group have any member with expertise in the field of health and social impact assessment;
- (e) what conditions will ensure that water resources are maintained in the Keysbrook area;
- (f) what monitoring is in place regarding water supplies in the Keysbrook area; and
- (g) what protective measures are in place with regard to the protection of black cockatoos in this area?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Department of the State Development advises:

- (a) The State government supports the establishment and operation of responsible mining in Western Australia subject to the proper regulatory assessment processes being complied with. This includes Alcoa's current bauxite mining operations in the vicinity of the Keysbrook area.
 - (i) Alcoa has been mining bauxite in the Darling Range since the early 1960s and over this period has demonstrated a responsible approach in the way its mining activities are conducted. During this time, the State overall has gained significant benefits from royalties paid by the company on the alumina produced from bauxite and the south west region in general has enjoyed the benefits of employment (both direct and indirect) and local spending within the broader community.
- (b) Alcoa is seeking to determine appropriate buffers for its mining activities that may occur in proximity to local residents by modelling potential impacts from factors such as dust and noise under a variety of environmental conditions.
- (c) Given the size and location of Alcoa's mining lease in the south west of the State there are a number of economic bauxite reserves located in general proximity to communities. The nature of bauxite mining is such that operations can move from a number of open pits (depending upon day / night environmental factors) within a mining region. Usually, mining pits do not remain open for any significant length of time.
- (d) The Mining and Management Planning Liaison Group (MMPLG) has relevant expertise to assess the impacts of Alcoa's proposed mining activities. Additional specialist advice may be sought as required.
- (e) Alcoa has previously agreed not to undertake bauxite mining in the Yamba sub-catchment. Consequently, Alcoa's operations will not impact on water resources in the Keysbrook area.
- (f) Alcoa has been implementing an agreed water monitoring package with the Keysbrook Hills Community Group for the Yamba Catchment. This involves both ground and surface water monitoring.
- (g) Alcoa has implemented a number of measures to protect black cockatoos, not only in the Keysbrook area but across all its mining operations. For instance, there is no mining in old growth forests and no mining within defined stream catchment areas. Alcoa is also conducting research programs on all aspects of black cockatoos and providing this information to the MMPLG as part of the review of each year's Mining and Management Program.

REGIONAL EDUCATION OFFICES — ALBANY AND STATEWIDE

4919. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Education

- (1) What are the total savings that have been made in each regional education office by amalgamating district education offices?
- (2) How many full-time equivalent (FTE) staff positions have been abolished in the former Albany District Education Office; and
 - (a) what are the levels of these abolished positions?
- (3) How many positions have been redeployed to schools within the Albany area?
- (4) How many positions have been retained in the Albany Local Education Office?
- (5) How many have taken redundancies?

- (6) How many are awaiting redeployment?
- (7) What was the last total operating budget for each of the former district education offices?
- (8) What is the total operating budget for each of the new regional education offices?
- (9) What savings are projected to be made by the abolishing district education offices?
- (10) Will local schools benefit by having increased services or resources with the money available from these savings?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1) No savings will be made as a result of the reorganisation. Any reduction in expenditure will be reinvested in services to schools.
- (2) 1.0 FTE District Director
1.0 FTE Human Resource Consultant Public Service L5 (attached to Central Office non-teaching staffing HR)
0.4 FTE Corporate Services Officer Public Service L4
1.0 FTE Administration Officer Public Service L2
1.0 FTE Reception Public Service L1
- (3) 25 positions.
- (4) 5 positions.
- (5) One application has been received.
- (6) 4 Staff.
- (7) The 2010 budget for each District was:

District	Funding
Albany	\$1 683 433
Bunbury	\$2 493 460
Canning	\$6 104 989
Esperance	\$1 542 555
Fremantle	\$7 695 575
Goldfields	\$2 164 125
Kimberley	\$2 874 080
Midlands	\$2 194 890
Midwest	\$3 751 848
Narrogin	\$1 489 308
Pilbara	\$2 962 033
Swan	\$6 459 502
Warren Blackwood	\$1 673 588
West Coast	\$7 386 607
Total	\$50 475 993

- (8) The Department of Education has not yet finalised budgets for the new Regional offices.
- (9) Refer to (1).
- (10) Resources are being relocated in schools and networks of schools, which will allow principals to utilise them in the best way for their schools' needs.

TRAILERS — REGISTRATION AND LICENSING

4920. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Transport

With regard to trailer registration, licence and third party insurance policy, can the Minister please provide details on the following:

- (a) what is the licence fee paid for;
- (b) how is the licence fee for a Class A box-top trailer with an insurance class 06 determined; and
- (c) why is the six month fee \$15.10, and yet the 12 month fee is \$23.60?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

- (a) To provide the trailer access to be used on gazetted roads.

- (b) The licence fee for a Class A box-top trailer is determined by the tare weight of the trailer. The insurance class has no bearing on the licence fee payable.
- (c) For the purpose of the calculation of licence fees, and reduction for a vehicle (other than a heavy vehicle) the following applies, as provided for under Schedule 1, Division 1, Subdivision 2, Clause 2 of the Road Traffic (Charges and Fees) Regulations 2006..

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — PERTH–ALBANY SKYWEST TRAVEL

4921. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Premier; Minister for State Development

Can the Premier please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Government agencies in the Premier's portfolio advise for the 12 months to 1 March 2011:

Department of the Premier and Cabinet (including Ministerial Offices):

The Department of the Premier and Cabinet advises the total cost, including for Ministerial Offices is \$25,623.83.

Public Sector Commissioner:

\$474.05 was spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth.

Salaries and Allowances Tribunal; Gold Corporation:

Nil.

Department of State Development:

The total cost that the Department of State Development spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth was \$1,413.35.

Lotterywest:

\$1,395.75 was spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4922. Mr M. McGowan to the Deputy Premier; Minister for Health; Tourism

Can the Deputy Premier please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

Department of Health — \$331,813.24

Health and Disability Services Complaints Office — \$264.40

Healthway — Nil.

Tourism WA — \$7,224.28

Rottneet Island Authority — Nil.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4923. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Mines and Petroleum; Fisheries; Electoral Affairs

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

Department of Mines And Petroleum — Nil.

Minerals and Energy Research Institute of Western Australia (MERIWA) — Nil.

Department Of Fisheries — \$11,306.91

Western Australian Electoral Commission — Nil.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4924. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development; Lands; Minister Assisting the Minister for State Development

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

\$31,075.28

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4925. Mr M. McGowan to the Treasurer; Attorney General

Can the Treasurer please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

Department/Agency	\$
Commissioner for Children and Young People	442.15
Corruption and Crime Commission	595
Department of the Attorney General	48 988
Department of Treasury and Finance	54 655.53
Equal Opportunity Commission of Western Australia	Nil
Government Employees Superannuation Board	7 104.75
Insurance Commission of Western Australia	1 424.90
Law Reform Commission of Western Australia	Nil
Legal Aid Commission of Western Australia	4 582.04
Legal Practice Board of Western Australia	Nil
Legal Profession Complaints Committee	Nil
Office of the Auditor General	3 708
Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions	8 613.56
Office of the Information Commissioner	862.90
Western Australian Treasury Corporation	Nil

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4926. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Education

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within her portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

For the Curriculum Council WA —	\$8 895.24
Department of Education —	\$124 179.81
Country High School Hostels Authority —	\$7 960
Public Education Endowment Trust —	Nil
Department of Education Services —	\$2 740.85

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4927. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Finance; Commerce; Small Business

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

Department of Commerce —	\$11702.88
Department of Treasury and Finance —	\$11399.23
Department of the Registrar, WA Industrial Relations Commission —	\$3848.60
Small Business Development Commission —	\$2556
WorkCover —	Nil

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4928. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Police; Emergency Services; Road Safety

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

The Fire and Emergency Services Authority of Western Australia (FESA): \$14,728.53.
Western Australia Police: \$25,587 (including GST).

The Office of Road Safety is administratively supported as part of Main Roads WA and as such the response will be included in the MRWA response under the Minister for Transport.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4929. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Sport and Recreation; Racing and Gaming

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr T.K. WALDRON replied:

Department of Sport and Recreation — \$11,550

Venueswest — Nil

Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor — Nil

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4930. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Planning; Culture and the Arts; Science and Innovation

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

Department of Planning (Including WA Planning Commission) — \$7,532.86 (Ticket Costs of \$6,848.05 plus \$684.81 GST)

Department of Culture and The Arts — \$879.90

West Australian Museum — \$8051.95

Art Gallery of Western Australia — Nil

Perth Theatre Trust — \$5278.28

State Records Office — \$2328.43

State Library of Western Australia — \$3851.31

ScreenWest — Nil

Department Of Commerce — Science and Innovation Division — \$2806.35

Chemcentre — Nil

Landcorp — Nil.

Midland Redevelopment Authority — Zero Cost

Subiaco Redevelopment Authority — Nil.

East Perth Redevelopment Authority — Nil.

Armadale Redevelopment Authority — Nil

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — PERTH–ALBANY SKYWEST TRAVEL

4931. Mr M. McGowan to the minister representing the Minister for Energy; Training and Workforce Development; Indigenous Affairs

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

Department of Indigenous Affairs	\$29,053
Office of Energy	\$1,408.95
Independent Market Operator	Nil
Central Institute of Technology	Nil
Challenger TAFE	Nil
C Y O'Connor Institute	\$521.90
Durack Institute of Technology	\$546.10
Great Southern Institute of Technology	\$91,955
Kimberley TAFE	Nil
Pilbara TAFE	Nil
Polytechnic West	\$1,350.65
South West Institute of Technology	Nil
West Coast Institute of Technology	\$1,043.80
Department of Training and Workforce Development	\$3,583.95
Department of Education Services	\$2,740.85
BCITF	Nil

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4933. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Child Protection; Community Services; Seniors and Volunteering; Women's Interests; Youth

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within her portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

Department For Communities

The answer for the Department for Communities which includes Community Services, Seniors and Volunteering, Women's Interests and Youth is — \$514.75 (including GST) was spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 Months to 1 March 2011.

Department for Child Protection — \$100 596.20 for the Department for Child Protection.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4934. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Local Government; Heritage; Citizenship and Multicultural Interests

Can the Premier please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

Department of Local Government including the Office of Multicultural Interests — \$1,642.49

Heritage Council of Western Australia — \$9,606.50

Metropolitan Cemeteries Board — Nil

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4935. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Agriculture and Food; Forestry; Corrective Services

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

Department of Agriculture and Food — \$136 613.47

Forest Products Commission — \$494.95

Department of Corrective Services — \$99 656

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4936. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Environment; Water

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within his portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

Department of Environment and Conservation — \$63387.85

Office of the Appeals Convenor- \$965.70

Swan River Trust — \$392.10

Perth Zoo — \$389.35

Department of Water — \$32361.08

Water Corporation — \$196975.12

Office of the Environmental Protection Authority, Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority, Aqwest & Busselton Water Board — Nil

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — ALBANY–PERTH SKYWEST TRAVEL

4937. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Mental Health; Disability Services

Can the Minister please advise the total cost that departments within her portfolios spent on Skywest airfares between Albany and Perth for the 12 months to 1 March 2011?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

Mental Health Commission — \$1565.70 (including GST)

Drug and Alcohol Office — \$4,802.30 (including GST)

Disability Services Commission — \$31,523 (including GST)

LOCAL GOVERNMENT — STANDARDS PANEL REVIEW

4938. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Local Government

In relation to the Standards Panel Review, why were those people who had lodged complaints alleging a minor breach of conduct in the current financial year not contacted and advised of the review, as those in the 2007–2008 and 2009–2010 were?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

The planning for the consultation phase for the Review commenced during the 2010/11 financial year. As such, it was decided that only the people that had made complaints in previously completed financial years, 2007–2008, 2008–2009 and 2009–2010 would be specifically contacted and requested to make a submission. Using complete financial year data achieves a better analysis.

Information about the review was placed on the Department's website in December 2010 and in an advertisement in The West Australian on 9 February 2011. This information included details on the options available to members of the community to make a submission to the review.

SMARTPOWER METERS — ENERGY CONSUMPTION

4939. Mr M. McGowan to the minister representing the Minister for Energy

- (1) Is the Minister aware that when a Synergy customer turns off the power at the meter board connected to a SmartPower meter, the meter continues to use power?
- (2) Is the Minister aware that this power use is billed as consumption to the Synergy customer's account?
- (3) What is the amount of energy used to run a SmartPower meter when:
 - (a) the power is turned off at the meter board; and
 - (b) when power is running through the meter?
- (4) How many Synergy residential customers have SmartPower meters installed, as at 1 March 2011?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (1) If the main switch is turned off the smart meter will continue to use power to maintain its communications and internal metering functions, such as the clock time.
- (2) No power consumed by the meter is added to the customer's electricity consumption. The measurement element only records the consumption used by the customer. The internal power used by the meter to operate is not recorded as consumption.
- (3) The smart meter consumes approximately 1 kilowatt hour of energy every 19 days regardless of whether the power is turned on or off at the meter board. This usage is within applicable Australian Standards for allowable meter energy consumption.
- (4) Total 9034 residential customers (single phase 5212, three phase 3822)

SCHOOL BUDGETS — SALARY POOL DEBITING RATES

4940. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Education

In relation to school budgets, I ask:

- (a) by how much have school salary pool debiting rates been increased in 2011; and
- (b) have individual school budgets been increased to reflect this increase?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a) School salary pool debiting rate for teachers has increased by \$50 per teacher relief day. This increase was necessary to reflect increases in teacher's enterprise bargaining salary rates.
- (b) Yes.

PUBLIC HOUSING — WAITLIST

4941. Mr J.C. Kobelke to the Minister for Housing

- (1) How many applicants are wait-listed for Department of Housing rental accommodation for the whole of Western Australia as of 31 January 2011?
- (2) How many applicants are wait-listed for the Department of Housing rental accommodation for the north-west metropolitan zone as of 31 January 2011?
- (3) What is the number of rental properties in the Department of Housing's rental stock for the whole of the state as of 31 January 2011?
- (4) What is the number of rental properties in the Department of Housing's rental stock for the north-west metropolitan zone as of 31 January 2011?
- (5) How many applicants were listed for Department of Housing rental accommodation for the whole of Western Australia as of 1 November 2005?
- (6) How many applicants were listed for Department of Housing rental accommodation for the north-west metropolitan zone as of 1 November 2005?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (1) 24 481
- (2) 9 453
- (3) 36 254
- (4) 11 562
- (5) 13 125 (as at 30 June 2005)
- (6) 4 467 (as at 30 June 2005)

NATIONAL WATER INITIATIVE — WA COMMITMENT

4942. Mr J.C. Kobelke to the Minister for Water

- (1) Is the Barnett Liberal-National Government committed to meeting the requirements of the National Water Initiative (NWI)?
- (2) If yes to (1), then, for each of the following, what are the major outcomes still to be achieved and the dates by which it is intended that they be completed, in order to meet the requirements of the NWI:
 - (a) water planning;
 - (b) understanding surface and groundwater connectivity;
 - (c) water accounting and water data;
 - (d) environmental water;
 - (e) addressing over allocation and overuse;
 - (f) water entitlements;
 - (g) water markets and trading;
 - (h) pricing, demand management and other policy initiatives;
 - (i) risk assignment;
 - (j) structural adjustment and water reform; and
 - (k) urban water?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (1)–(2) Yes, however, it is important to ensure that the clauses of the National Water Initiative (NWI) are implemented appropriately in a Western Australian context given this State's reliance on groundwater. In addition the NWIs inception in a Murray Darling Basin surface water context should also be recognised.

Biennial assessments by the independent National Water Commission show that Western Australia, through the Department of Water, has amended its practices and policies to implement the majority of the NWI, including areas you have inquired about.

There remain, however, some areas which will require significant legislative amendment before they can be delivered. These include water access entitlement regimes, management of interception and risk assignment implementation. Given this, I cannot give a deadline for the implementation of these changes as any legislation will be subject to Parliamentary consideration.

SHIRE OF SHARK BAY — INQUIRY COST

4943. Mr J.C. Kobelke to the Minister for Local Government

With respect to the inquiry into the Shire of Shark Bay which reported in 2010 and was authorised under the *Local Government Act 1995*:

- (a) what was the total of all costs associated with this inquiry; and
- (b) what were the details of the major contributing components to these costs and the amounts for each component?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

- (a) The estimated cost is approximately \$112,000.
- (b) This includes salary of two senior employees, full time, for approximately six months and travel expenses to Shark Bay. This does not include costs for legal advice from the State Solicitor's Office or on-going work such as support and advice to assist the Shire of Shark Bay address the report's recommendations.

Note: The Shark Bay Authorised Inquiry was conducted as a Departmental inquiry and, as such, the cost of this inquiry falls under the Department's existing budget for dealing with complaints and conducting inquiries. No additional funding was sought and no separate financial records are recorded for the specific costs of the Shark Bay Inquiry.

ONE MOVEMENT FOR MUSIC FESTIVAL 2010 — EVENTSCORP FUNDING

4944. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Tourism

In relation to the Minister's answer to Question on Notice No. 4328 which cites commercially sensitive concerns by third parties as a reason for failing to table information I requested in Parliament, and also in light of the fact that the Auditor-General's 23 March 2011 report found that it was not appropriate for a Ministerial colleague not to provide such information to Parliament, I ask:

- (a) did the Minister seek advice from the Solicitor-General on the information requested, or did the Minister rely on advice from the third party, in contravention of the Auditor-General's best practice advice;
- (b) has the Minister fully complied with section 81 and section 82 of the *Financial Management Act 2006*, including the 14 day timeframe to report to the Auditor-General on why the Minister has failed to provide financial information to the Parliament; and
 - (i) if not, why not; and
- (c) will the Minister table any written advice received upon why the Minister should, or should not, have released this information; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

- (a) Tourism Western Australia's advice drew on earlier advice received from the State Solicitors Office.
- (b)–(c) The Auditor General's Report of 23 March has provided clarity on the application of section 81 and section 82 of the Financial Management Act 2006. I can confirm that the information requested by the Member has been provided to the State Parliament via the Legislative Council's Standing Committee on Estimates and Financial Operations.

THE GRADUATE — STATE FUNDING

4945. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Culture and the Arts

In relation to the Minister's failure to answer Question on Notice No. 3795 regarding State funding of *The Graduate*, citing commercial-in-confidence reasons, I ask:

- (a) is the Minister aware of the Auditor-General's 23 March 2011 report finding that it was not appropriate for him not to provide such information to myself and Parliament;
- (b) did the Minister seek advice from the Solicitor-General on the information requested, or did he rely on advice from the third party, in contravention of the Auditor-General's best practice advice;

- (c) has the Minister fully complied with section 81 and section 82 of the *Financial Management Act 2006*, including the 14 day timeframe to report to the Auditor-General on why he has failed to provide financial information to the Parliament; and
 - (i) if not, why not; and
- (d) will the Minister table any written advice received on why the Minister should, or should not, have released this information; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) Yes.
- (b) The Minister wrote to the State Solicitor to seek advice on what information is suitable to be provided.
- (c) (i) Regarding Section 81, the Minister has written to the State Solicitor's Office seeking advice on this matter.

Regarding Section 82, the Minister wrote to the Auditor General who subsequently undertook a review. The opinion of the Auditor General has been published and presented to Parliament.
- (d) (i) The Minister has requested advice from the State Solicitors Office on this matter. The release of information will depend on the advice received.

THE GRADUATE — STATE FUNDING

4946. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Tourism

In relation to the Minister's failure to answer Question on Notice No. 3795 regarding State funding of *The Graduate*, citing commercial-in-confidence reasons, and also light of the fact that the Auditor-General's 23 March 2011 report found that it was not appropriate for the Minister for Culture and the Arts not to provide such information to Parliament, I ask:

- (a) did the Minister seek advice from the Solicitor-General on the information requested, and will he table that advice; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (b) did the Minister rely on advice from the third party, in contravention of the Auditor-General's best practice advice and will he table any third party advice received; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (c) has the Minister fully complied with section 81 and section 82 of the *Financial Management Act 2006*, including the 14 day timeframe to report to the Auditor-General on why the Minister failed to provide financial information to the Parliament; and
 - (i) if not, why not; and
- (d) will the Minister table any written advice received on why the Minister should, or should not, have released this information; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

As Question on Notice 3795 was asked of the Minister for Culture and the Arts, I suggest that the Member direct this question to the Minister for Culture and the Arts.

WORKS REFORM PROGRAM — BUDGET

4947. Mr J.C. Kobelke to the Treasurer

In answer to a Question without Notice on Wednesday 16 February 2011, the Treasurer stated that the total budget of the six major building projects valued at \$50 million or more and commenced after June 2009 has increased by less than two per cent, from \$1.05 billion to \$1.07 billion. He also stated that when that analysis is extended out to all 26 projects valued at \$10 million or more, the increase is less than one per cent. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) what were the six major projects referred to above; and
- (b) what were the 26 projects referred to above?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a) Midland Health Campus;

State Rehabilitation Centre;
 Eastern Goldfields Regional Prison;
 Rectangular Stadium;
 Busselton Hospital; and
 Fast Tracked Prisoner Accommodation (Albany, Hakea and Casuarina)

- (b) Midland Health Campus;
 State Rehabilitation Centre;
 Eastern Goldfields Regional Prison;
 Rectangular Stadium;
 Busselton Hospital;
 Fast Tracked Prisoner Accommodation (Albany, Hakea and Casuarina);
 Kununurra Courthouse — Replacement Building;
 Atwell College (Stage 2) — Additional Stages;
 Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital — Mental Health Unit;
 Ashdale College — Stage 2 — Additional Stage;
 State Netball Centre;
 Kununurra District High School — Phase 2 — Primary School;
 Bullsbrook District High School — Additions;
 Kununurra District High School — Phase 1 — Secondary School;
 Agricultural Colleges — Student and Staff Accommodation Upgrades;
 Meadow Springs Primary School — New;
 South West Regional TAFE — Bunbury — Heavy Duty Automotive;
 Yakamia Primary School — Replacement;
 Exmouth District High School — Additions;
 Warburton Prison Work Camp;
 Lake Gwelup (2011) Primary School;
 Merredin K-12 — Construction of New Primary School on Senior High School site;
 Country Residential Care Program;
 Bunbury Hospital — Critical Care Services Redevelopment;
 Malvern Springs Primary School — New;
 Rossmoyne High School (2011) — Additions; and
 Churchlands High School Music Auditorium Expansion — Additions

At July 2010, there were 27 projects that commenced after June 2009 valued over \$10 million.

EAST PERTH REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY — GIFTS AND BENEFITS PROVIDED

4948. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Planning

Will the Minister table a copy of any record of gifts, hospitality or other benefits provided by the East Perth Redevelopment Authority to any officers in the Department of Premier and Cabinet or located in Ministerial offices, since 23 September 2008; and

(a) if not, why not?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

The Minister will table a copy of any record of gifts, hospitality or other benefits provided by the East Perth Redevelopment Authority (EPRA) to any officers located in the Department of Premier and Cabinet or located in Ministerial offices since 23 September 2008.

See below a table of the recorded gifts that EPRA has provided to the Minister's office since 23 September 2008.

Date	Gift:
January 2011	Two VIP tickets to St Jerome's Laneway Festival
February 2011	Two tickets to Les Girafes pre-function event (free public event)
January 2010	Six VIP tickets to St Jerome's Laneway Festival
January 2009	Three VIP tickets to the St Jerome's Laneway Festival
2009	Five invitations to the market launch event at the Perth Cultural Centre (free public event)

DEPARTMENT OF CULTURE AND THE ARTS — GIFTS AND BENEFITS PROVIDED

4949. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Culture and the Arts

Will the Minister table a copy of any gifts, hospitality or other benefits provided by the Department for Culture and the Arts or its agencies to any officers in the Department of Premier and Cabinet or located in Ministerial offices, since 23 September 2008; and

(a) if not, why not?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) As part of normal business operations and protocols, the Department of Culture and the Arts (DCA) and agencies extend invitations to officers in the Department of Premier and Cabinet and Ministerial offices to attend openings of Government supported exhibitions, performances, launches and events, as appropriate to those officers' work. As officers are being invited in their work capacity, the DCA and agencies do not consider these invitations to be gifts or benefits. Hospitality is generally provided at these events.

Invitations may also be extended to local Members of Parliament and to the Opposition spokesperson for Culture and the Arts. Depending on the nature of the event, invitations may also be extended to Cabinet Ministers or local members of Parliament from the Australian Government.

The DCA and agencies do not consider these invitations to be gifts or a benefit. The officers are invited to attend in an official work capacity. If the receiving agency regards these invitations to be gifts, it is the relevant officer's responsibility to appropriately categorise and record gifts, hospitality and other benefits according to the internal policies of his or her own agency.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFTS AND BENEFITS RECEIVED

4950. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Local Government; Heritage; Citizenship and Multicultural Interests

Will the Minister table a copy of any gifts, hospitality or other benefits received by any officers in his departments and agencies, or located in his Ministerial offices, since 23 September 2008; and

- (a) if not, why not?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

Ministerial Office — Nil

Department of Local Government

Gift Hamper

Invitation to attend the Red Bull Air Race World Championship

2 tickets to Black Swan Theatre Company Play

Attendance at cricket at WACA for networking meeting on Pilbara Initiatives

2 tickets to Hopman Cup

2 tickets to Black Swan Theatre Company Play

2 AFL Tickets 25/4/09 Subiaco Oval

2 tickets to Black Swan Theatre Company Play

2 Tickets to Good Food & Wine Show

Computer mouse

2 Bottles of wine plus leather carry case

Diary & Desk Calendar

Invitation to attend Wheels and Doll baby fashion show

2 bottles of port

Beaded frame

Office of Heritage

4x single movie tickets (Hoyts)

2x Perth Festival tickets

Metropolitan Cemeteries Board

Shopping voucher

BBQ set in carrier bag

Lunch

Christmas lunch

2 x Bottles Wine & Seafood in small carry pack

1 x Bottle Red Wine Blend, 1 x Bottle SSB White Blend, prawns & crayfish

1 x Carton Crown Lager Beer

\$40.00 Cash in appreciation of Greek DA Exhume

1 x Bottle Penfolds Bin 407 C Sav & 1 x Bottle Penfolds Bin 389 C Shiraz

Lunch

Beer glass (2) and calendar

Picnic rug and calendar

Meal

Dinner

Dinner at Conti's Restaurant, Wanneroo
 Apple Iphone (Door Prize)
 Dinner for 2 at Gloucester Park
 Lunch
 Basket of Chocolates
 1 x Carton Beer, 1 x Carton Coke
 1 x Carton Beer
 2 x Cartons Beer
 2 x Cartons Beer
 1 x Carton Beer
 Lunch
 Lunch
 Movie ticket
 1 x Carton Beer
 \$100 Cash
 \$100 Cash
 Large set of gift boxes containing chocolates, sweets etc
 Champagne and Christmas Cake
 1 x Bottle Chardonnay & 1 x Pandoro Italian Cake
 Gift boxes of assorted confectionary
 Christmas Box — 1 x bottle Stone Masons Shiraz, 3 x small pkts of biscuits. Invitation to Gloucester Park & Dinner package
 Dinner at Frasers Restaurant
 Dinner at Frasers Restaurant
 Dinner at Frasers Restaurant
 Hamper
 1 x Bottle of wine & Box of 4 Chinese Moon Cakes
 Opening night tickets to Perth International Arts Festival — Becks Music Box
 BBQ Set
 1 x Carton Crown Lager
 1 x Carton Beer
 1 x Carton Beer
 2 x Bottles of red wine
 1 x Carton Tooheys Extra Dry
 1 x Carton Mid Strength Beer
 1 x Case of Corona Beer — shared amongst Operation Staff
 \$100.00 Cash
 Dinner invitation from Arrow Bronze in Melbourne
 Dinner invitation from Arrow Bronze in Melbourne
 Small Hamper & Pass to Gloucester Park and meal.
 Invitation to dinner with MCB staff
 Invitation to dinner with MCB staff
 Invitation to dinner with MCB staff

TAIPEI ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL OFFICE — GIFT AND BENEFIT PROVIDED

4951. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Premier

In relation to a gift, declared in the Premier's office register, of a study tour to Taiwan — air travel and accommodation, provided by the Taipei Economic and Cultural Office, I ask:

- (a) who received this gift;
- (b) on what dates was the gift enjoyed;
- (c) who did the Premier's representative(s), the recipient(s) of the gift, meet with while in Taiwan; and
- (d) has the Premier informed the Chinese Consulate-General of this gift; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Department of the Premier and Cabinet advises:

- (a)–(d) The Department of the Premier and Cabinet maintains a confidential process of assessing applications under s102 of the Public Sector Management Act 1994 and the Department's Code of Conduct for officers to engage in activities unconnected with their functions including for example acceptance of

invitations to participate in study tours. These assessments and approvals contain confidential information and create records maintained between the Director General and the officers concerned.

Should the member wish to seek specific information on an individual application, it would be more appropriately sought through a Freedom of Information request where consultation occurs with the officer concerned.

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY AND FINANCE — COMMONWEALTH FUNDING

4952. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Treasurer

- (1) How much money did the Department of Treasury and Finance receive from the Commonwealth Government pursuant to the National Education Agreement in 2009 and 2010?
- (2) How much of the money referred to in (1) was passed on to the Department of Education in both 2009 and 2010?
- (3) How much money does the Department of Treasury and Finance expect to receive from the Commonwealth Government pursuant to the National Education Agreement in 2011?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

The National Education Agreement is a policy agreement, not a funding agreement, but is associated with the Schools National Specific Purpose Payment (NSPP). This framework commenced on 1 January 2009. The information below is based on calendar years rather than financial years.

- (1) Table 1: Received by WA Department of Treasury and Finance, by year

Agreement	2009 (\$m)	2010 (\$m)
Schools NSPP*	318.9	341.2
- (2) Table 2: Transferred to WA Department of Education, by year

Agreement	2009 (\$m)	2010 (\$m)
Schools NSPP*	318.9	341.2
- (3) Table 3: Received by WA Department of Treasury and Finance in 2011

Agreement	Jan–Apr 2011 actual (\$m)	Total 2011 estimated (\$m)
Schools NSPP*	117.8	365.9

* Government schools component only

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION — COMMONWEALTH FUNDING

4953. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) How much money did the Department of Education receive from the Commonwealth Government (via the State Department of Treasury and Finance) pursuant to the National Education Agreement in 2009 and 2010?
- (2) How much money referred to in (1) above was actually spent by the Department of Education in both 2009 and 2010?
- (3) How much money does the Department of Education expect to receive from the Commonwealth Government pursuant to the National Education Agreement in 2011?
- (4) Has any of the money received from the Commonwealth Government pursuant to the National Education Agreement been used to achieve the Department's 3 per cent efficiency dividend; and
 - (a) if so, how much of that money was saved as a result of the 3 per cent efficiency dividend in both 2009 and 2010?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (1) National Education Agreement receipts were \$318 918 664 in 2009 and \$341 238 173 in 2010.
- (2) All the revenue referred to in (1) was expended.
- (3) Estimated National Education Agreement revenue for 2011 is \$365 942 309.
- (4) No.

TOURISM WESTERN AUSTRALIA — GIFTS AND BENEFITS PROVIDED

4954. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Tourism

Will the Minister table a copy of any gifts, hospitality or other benefits provided by the Department for Tourism or its agencies since 23 September 2008 to any officers in the Department of Premier and Cabinet or located in Ministerial offices; and

- (a) if not, why not?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

Tourism Western Australia has provided corporate hospitality at events sponsored by Eventscorp, a division of Tourism WA. It has been a long standing practice to extend invitations to attend events to some employees located in the offices of the Premier, Minister for Tourism, Minister for Sport and Recreation and the Minister for Culture and the Arts. However, it is not possible to provide a confirmed list of all employees who actually attended these events.

ELECTRONIC LAND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

4955. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Planning

In relation to the Barnett Government's failure to establish an Electronic Land Development Program (ELDP), I ask:

- (a) is the Minister aware that when a new redevelopment proposal is lodged with the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC), it has to be tracked manually for its four-year journey to finalisation;
- (b) is the Minister aware of how many other States still operate manually;
- (c) what is the costing of introducing an ELDP into the WAPC; and
- (d) will the Minister guarantee that funding for an ELDP will be included in the 2011–2012 budget; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) Subdivision applications are tracked in the electronic Statutory Support System from the time of initial lodgement to the issue of the Deposited Plan allowing Landgate to create the title. Recent improvements to the Statutory Support System include:
 - integration of an electronic document repository into the Statutory Support System, with the aim of obviating the need for paper based storage of relevant documentation;
 - full automation of deposited plan approvals processing, from the electronic receipt of documentation from land development applicants through to the electronic distribution of the subsequent determinations to all stakeholders (including applicants, referral authorities and Landgate); and
 - future inclusion of Structure Plans, which will allow additional tracking of future lots prior to the lodgement of their relevant subdivision to ensure the State has accurate figures of lots that are in the lot supply pipeline.

The development of systems allowing for these automations were designed to be generic to enable electronic rather than paper based processing from other land development determination processes.

These systems will include an audit system allowing departmental staff to track the progress of an application at each stage of the process.

- (b) No States have a fully electronic system for both Statutory and Strategic applications and plans.
- (c) The total cost of introducing an ELDP is estimated to be in excess of \$20m (including GST). The actual cost would depend on negotiations with the suppliers and successful tenderers.
- (d) No.
 - (i) The 2011-12 Budget is yet to be released.

POPULATION FORECAST FOR WESTERN AUSTRALIA

4956. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Treasurer

In relation to Treasury papers used to predict infrastructure and services needs in Western Australia, what population figure does the Treasurer expect Perth and Western Australia to achieve in 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2031, and on what basis does the Treasurer accept these figures?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

The Department of Treasury published its latest set of population projections in the 2010-11 Government Mid-year Financial Projections Statement (Mid-Year Review) in December 2010.

Since these projections are mainly used by Treasury to inform its economic and revenue forecasts for the State as a whole, the projections are not broken down into the Perth or other regions. Treasury does not produce or publish long-range population projections to 2031.

POPULATION FORECAST FOR WESTERN AUSTRALIA

4957. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Planning

In relation to the extremely low projected population figures included in the Government's Directions 2031 planning document, I ask:

- (a) what submissions, emails or correspondence has the Minister or his departments/agencies received regarding the under-estimation of population figures included in Directions 2031; and
 - (i) will the Minister table these documents; and
 - (ii) if not, why not;
- (b) does the Minister accept that if his population growth figures are even more conservative than the conservative Australian Bureau of Statistics figures, his expected outcomes and proposals in Directions 2031 are unrealistic for a growing Western Australia; and
- (c) what population figure does the Minister expect Perth and Western Australia to achieve in 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2031, and on what basis does the Minister accept these figures?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) The final Directions 2031 and Beyond report was released in August 2010 along with the two draft strategies for public comment: the Central Metropolitan Perth and the Outer Metropolitan Perth and Peel Sub-regional strategies. There were 116 submissions (excluding three late submissions) and 248 submissions (excluding two late submissions) received for the Central and Outer strategies, respectively. Altogether nearly 2000 comments were made and these were grouped into key-issue categories.

A very small proportion of the issues listed in the submissions for both draft strategies (less than 3%) made comments, expressed concern or requested further clarification on population projections.

- (i) No
- (ii) Submissions are currently being analysed by the Department of Planning. A summary report on all submissions received is due to be considered by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) and me in the coming months. This report will be made public by the WAPC later this year, and will directly address how the Central and Outer strategies relate to the projections by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS).
- (b) The population forecasts for the Perth Metropolitan and Peel study area covered by Directions 2031 are the projections endorsed by the WAPC in November 2005 and published in the demographic study Western Australia Tomorrow, which incorporated known demographic trends at the time.

The 72 different population projections prepared by the ABS for all States were released three years later in September 2008, some of which are discussed in the ABS publication — none of which are a forecast. The forecast occurs when the reader chooses which projection to use based on their understanding of the demographic trends driving each projection. Being newer projections, the ABS had knowledge of unexpected changes in demographic trends — namely fertility and overseas migration.

The Perth and Peel study area forecast for Directions 2031 is based on the population forecast presented in Western Australia Tomorrow, except that Directions 2031 spatially redistributes the population within Perth and Peel to formulate population and housing targets for local governments in accordance with the Connected City scenario. As such the Directions 2031 strategy is a planning scenario which seeks to change the future that would result from the trend population forecast of how population settlement would occur within the city.

The WAPC in its continuous cycle of research is presently updating its population forecasts, and these will be made available in the third quarter of 2011. It is expected that the new Western Australia Tomorrow forecast will closely match 'Series B' ABS projections as both use an assumption for overseas migration that is significantly higher than any previous projection. Consequently Directions 2031 will update its population and housing targets upon publication of new projections.

The change in character of overseas migration is reflected in both sets of projections, which anticipate the same strong economic growth that Western Australia has experienced in the past. Both are broadly aligned with the overseas migration assumption used in the Commonwealth's Intergenerational Report 2010. The widespread debate that the Intergenerational report created suggests that a future of high migration is not assured and as such it is not unreasonable to deem projections based on high migration as optimistic.

- (c) Western Australia continues to experience strong population growth as the nation's fastest growing state: 2.1% for the year to the September quarter 2010.

The State's population projections are produced for the WAPC from the demographic expertise housed within the Department of Planning. The forthcoming update to Western Australia Tomorrow incorporates an improved population projection methodology and the most current demographic trends, with the final forecast to be released in the third quarter of 2011.

The as yet unpublished draft population forecast for the State by 2036 is 3.5 million, with improved projection methodology providing a likely expected range of population outcome between 3.3 and 3.7 million persons by 2036.

FIONA STANLEY HOSPITAL — SERVICES CONTRACT TENDERERS

4958. Mr R.H. Cook to the Minister for Health

Can the Minister please detail the tenderers, shortlisted tenderers and preferred tenderers for the Hospital Services contract at Fiona Stanley?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

The preferred bidder for the provision of non-clinical support services at Fiona Stanley Hospital is Serco Australia Pty Ltd, as announced on 19 October 2010.

As the negotiation process is ongoing, information on the other bids received must remain confidential at this time.

MIDLAND HOSPITAL — SERVICES CONTRACT TENDERERS

4959. Mr R.H. Cook to the Minister for Health

Can the Minister please detail the expressions of interest, tenderers, shortlisted tenderers and preferred tenderers for the Midland Hospital Services contract?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

The Invitation for Expressions of Interest into the Design, Build, Operation and Management of the Midland Health Campus was released on 6 October 2010. This Invitation, which was posted on the TendersWA website, offered the opportunity to all experienced Hospital Operators to prepare an EOI response.

Submissions were received on 10 November 2010 and a rigorous evaluation process completed in accordance with the National PPP Guidelines and the approved Procurement Plan for the Project.

The outcome of this evaluation process was announced on 27 January 2011, confirming Ramsay Health Care Ltd and St John of God Health Care Inc as the shortlisted respondents who will be invited to proceed to the Request for Proposal phase.

The Request for Proposals will be released in May 2011 and it is not until the evaluation of these Proposals that a preferred tenderer will be known. This is likely to be late 2011.

CHERITON HOUSE — SALE

4960. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Lands

In relation to the Western Australian Planning Commission giving approval to Landcorp to sell the heritage-listed Cheriton House in Cheriton Street, Lot 1 on Deposited Plan 62743, before it has been rezoned, I ask:

- (a) why was permission given prior to rezoning;
- (b) what guarantees have Landcorp given potential tenderers during briefings regarding the rezoning;
- (c) what meaningful public consultation has been undertaken with the local community;
- (d) how does this land sale deviate from the official Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) amendment process;
- (e) what objections to this land sale has the Minister or his department/agencies received, and will he table those objections; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (f) what MRS conditions currently exist over this piece of land; and
- (g) what MRS conditions will be removed after the sale?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

- (a) WAPC approval was not required.

- (b) The Tender process was conducted by the Department of Regional Development and Lands. No briefings were given.
- (c) Consultation has been carried out with the Town of Vincent, the Heritage Council of Western Australia, the Western Australian Planning Commission, the Public Transport Authority and relevant service authorities by the Department of Regional Development and Lands.
- (d) No deviation has occurred.
- (e) No objections have been received.
 - (i) Not applicable.
- (f) Region Reserve for Public Purposes (Special Uses).
- (g) None.

CARINE TAFE SITE — REDEVELOPMENT

4961. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Lands

Landcorp policies state that the *Western Australian Land Authority Act 1992* requires Landcorp to take account of the social, economic and environmental outcomes of the performance of its functions and to ensure those outcomes are balanced. It also states that Landcorp recognise that the success of the business depends on the integration of community, economic and environmental outcomes as well as design excellence. In relation to the above and to the redevelopment of the former Carine TAFE site, I ask:

- (a) why was the advertised second community open day consultation, referred to in the Carine Vision Bulletin, 2 November 2008, abandoned;
- (b) what efforts were made to inform the community that this important stage of the consultation process was abandoned; and
- (c) how did the Minister and Landcorp ensure that a more detailed Structure Plan would be further modified based on open day feedback if there was no second open day?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

- (a) LandCorp originally identified an option for an additional open day, however it was considered that the community's views had been effectively captured through a community open day, the establishment of a stakeholder reference group, the establishment of a community information and feedback telephone line, and through the City of Stirling's 42 day advertising period for the Structure Plan.
- (b) The community had been kept informed of the project through the consultation process, and as no date or time was set for a second open day, no notification was required.
- (c) Thorough consultation was held through a stakeholder reference group, the City of Stirling's 42 day advertising period (22 March 2011), the establishment of the community information and feedback line, the distribution of 300 invitations to contact the project team, the distribution of a frequently asked questions document to 2600 Carine households,

UPPER SCHOOL ABORIGINAL TUTOR ASSISTANCE SCHEME

4962. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) Has any submission been put to the Corporate Executive of the Department of Education in respect of the operation of the Upper School Aboriginal Tutor Assistance Scheme (ATAS)?
- (2) If the answer to (1) is yes:
 - (a) how many submissions have been put to the Corporate Executive;
 - (b) on what dates were those submissions put to the Corporate Executive;
 - (c) what was the response of the Corporate Executive to those submissions; and
 - (d) will the Minister table a copy of each submission received by the Corporate Executive in respect of ATAS?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

I am advised by the Department of Education that —

- (1) No.
- (2)–(3) Not applicable.

ABORIGINAL TUTOR ASSISTANCE SCHEME — FUNDING

4963. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Aboriginal Tutor Assistance Scheme (ATAS), and I ask:

- (a) what funding was allocated and what funding was expended for Primary ATAS in Western Australian Government schools for:
 - (i) 2009; and
 - (ii) 2010;
- (b) what funding was allocated and what funding was expended for Lower Secondary ATAS in Western Australian Government schools for:
 - (i) 2009; and
 - (ii) 2010;
- (c) what funding was allocated and what funding was expended for Upper Secondary ATAS in Western Australian Government Schools for:
 - (i) 2009; and
 - (iii) 2010;
- (d) what funding was allocated and what funding was expended for 'Follow the Dream' for:
 - (i) 2009; and
 - (ii) 2010;
- (e) what funding for ATAS was allocated for Western Australian Government schools for 2011 for:
 - (i) Primary ATAS;
 - (ii) Lower Secondary ATAS;
 - (iii) Upper Secondary ATAS; and
 - (iv) 'Follow the Dream'; and
- (f) what funding was provided by the Commonwealth Government specifically for ATAS for:
 - (i) 2009;
 - (ii) 2010; and
 - (iii) 2011?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

Please note the following definitions in reference to the answers that follow:

Notional — is the allocation distributed out to schools based on student numbers and the assumption that every student will receive tutoring assistance; this may fluctuate due to children arriving and departing the school during the course of the school academic year.

Expended — is the actual amount of Notional funds spent during the course of the school year. The amount of funds actually spent may vary according to student numbers during the course of the year.

(a)	Primary ATAS	
(i)	2009 notional allocation	\$4 580 000
	2009 expenditure	\$4 023 245
(ii)	2010 notional allocation	\$3 760 000
	2010 expenditure	\$4 782 110
(b)	Lower Secondary ATAS	
(i)	2009 notional allocation	\$3 260 000
	2009 expenditure	\$2 687 466
(ii)	2010 notional allocation	\$3 470 000
	2010 expenditure	\$3 537 133
(c)	Upper Secondary ATAS	
(i)	2009 notional allocation	\$2 400 000
	2009 expenditure	\$ 604 021
(ii)	2010 notional allocation	\$3 650 000
	2010 expenditure	\$1 331 247

- (d) Follow the Dream
- | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------|
| (i) | 2009 notional allocation | \$1 340 000 * |
| | 2009 expenditure | \$1 338 002 * |
- *Note: in addition, during 2009 the Commonwealth provided \$552 042 directly to The Graham (Polly) Farmer for tutoring funding at 11 program sites.
- | | | |
|------|--------------------------|-------------|
| (ii) | 2010 notional allocation | \$1 370 000 |
| | 2010 expenditure | \$1 361 101 |
- (e) 2011 ATAS funding notional allocation:
- | | | |
|-------|------------------|-------------|
| (i) | Primary | \$4 870 000 |
| (ii) | Lower Secondary | \$4 740 000 |
| (iii) | Upper Secondary | \$3 198 567 |
| (iv) | Follow the Dream | \$1 500 000 |
- (f) All Commonwealth funding for ATAS was included in the National Education Agreement funding.

ABORIGINAL TUTOR ASSISTANCE SCHEME — TEACHER SURVEYS

4964. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the compulsory Teacher Surveys that form part of the Aboriginal Tutor Assistance Scheme (ATAS), and I ask:

- (a) will the Minister table the Teacher Surveys for 2009 and 2010; and
- | | |
|------|---|
| (i) | if not, why not; and |
| (ii) | if not, will the Minister table a summary of the Teacher Surveys for 2009 and 2010; and |
| (A) | if not, why not; |
- (b) what outcomes resulted from the Teacher Surveys for 2009 and 2010; and
- (c) how many teachers completed ATAS Teacher Surveys in 2009 and 2010?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a) The surveys are operational and used for planning information sessions for teachers and tutors. As requested, please refer to the attachment for a summary of the Teacher Surveys for 2009 and 2010. [See paper 3325.]
- (A) Not applicable.
- (b) Information and program updates based on the issues identified through the surveys were provided across the State to 188 participants in 2009 and 120 participants in 2010.
- (c) 220 respondents completed the surveys in 2009 and 185 respondents completed the surveys in 2010.

FOLLOW THE DREAM: TERTIARY ASPIRATIONS STRATEGY

4965. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

- (1) What funding was allocated and what funding was expended for Follow the Dream: Tertiary Aspirations Strategy in Western Australian Government schools for:
- | | |
|-----|-----------|
| (a) | 2009; and |
| (b) | 2010? |
- (2) What funding was allocated for Follow the Dream: Tertiary Aspirations Strategy in Western Australian Government schools for 2011?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- | | | | |
|-----|-----|-------------------|-------------|
| (1) | (a) | 2009 allocation: | \$3 303 002 |
| | | 2009 expenditure: | \$3 798 107 |
| (2) | (b) | 2010 allocation: | \$3 535 718 |
| | | 2010 expenditure: | \$4 056 092 |
| (3) | | 2011 allocation: | \$4 128 894 |

Twelve WA public schools receive additional support and funding from private industry sponsors for the Follow the Dream Tertiary Aspirations Strategy through The Graham (Polly) Farmer Foundation.

LAKE CLIFTON FIRE, JANUARY 2011

4966. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

I refer to the fires at Lake Clifton in January 2011 and to the criteria for natural disaster funding, and I ask:

- (a) was an evaluation done of the value of the public infrastructure lost; and
 - (i) if so, when and by whom;
- (b) what was the assessed value of the public infrastructure lost; and
- (c) would the Minister please list all the items included in this assessment and the respective value of those items?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

For the purposes of natural disaster funding under the Natural Disaster Relief and Recovery Arrangement (NDRRA) Determination 2011 the criteria defining an essential public asset is, an asset of an eligible undertaking that, in the judgement of the State is an integral and necessary part of the state's infrastructure and would if lost or damaged, severely disrupt the normal functioning of a community.

An eligible undertaking for the purposes of WANDRRA is body that is one of the following:

- a department or other agency of a state government; or
 - established by or under a law of a state for public purposes (for example, a local government body); and
 - provides community, social or economic services free of charge or at a nominal charge well below the costs of production. It does not include for example Western Power, Water Corporation and other such state bodies that provide their products/services at a commercial rate.
- (a) Based on the above definition, an assessment was conducted by the Shire of Waroona and the State Government Agencies (who are identified as Eligible Undertakings) which have essential public assets in that area. FESA was advised that no eligible essential public infrastructure losses occurred.
 - (i) The assessment was conducted on 18 January 2011 by the Shire of Waroona in consultation with other State Government Agencies.
 - (b) The Shire of Waroona advised that there was no loss of eligible Essential Public Assets.
 - (c) As there were no eligible essential public asset losses reported no items can be itemised for submission.

NATURAL DISASTERS IN WESTERN AUSTRALIA

4967. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

- (1) Can the Minister list the last ten declarations of natural disasters in this State?
- (2) In relation to those at (1), can the Minister further advise the nature of the public infrastructure lost and for which allowance was made in the assessment of loss?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) The last ten declarations of natural disasters in this State are:

Event	Australian Government Reference Number
Monsoonal Low and associated flooding (15 December 2010)	418
Severe Thunderstorm (29 January 2011)	427
Severe Bushfire (5 February 2011)	431
Severe Thunderstorms (10 & 11 February 2011)	433
Tropical Cyclone Carlos and associated flooding (February 2011)	434
Severe Weather Event (assoc with TC Dianne) and subsequent flooding (17 Feb 2011)	435
Severe Thunderstorms and associated flooding (19 & 20 February 2011)	436
Flood Carnarvon (18-24 February 2011)	438
Monsoonal Trough and associated flooding (10 March 2011)	440
Severe Thunderstorm — Toodyay (21 March 2011)	445

(2) See below.

Event	Australian Government Reference No	Expected Eligible Costs \$	Eligible Expenditure covering public infrastructure
Monsoonal Low and associated flooding (15 December 2010)	418	42,870,000	Roads, Evacuation Centres, Category C Grants and Clean up costs
Severe Thunderstorm (29 January 2011)	427	3,675,000	Roads and Clean up costs
Severe Bushfire (5 February 2011)	431	4,625,000	Clean up costs and asbestos removal
Severe Thunderstorms (10 & 11 February 2011)	433	700,000	Roads
Tropical Cyclone Carlos and associated flooding (February 2011)	434	9,000,000	Roads and Clean up costs
Severe Weather Event (assoc with TC Dianne) and subsequent flooding (17 Feb 2011)	435	700,000	Roads
Severe Thunderstorms and associated flooding (19 & 20 February 2011)	436	3,000,000	Roads and Clean up costs
Flood Carnarvon (18-24 February 2011)	438	1,500,000	Roads and Clean up costs
Monsoonal Trough and associated flooding (10 March 2011)	440	5,000,000	Roads
Severe Thunderstorm — Toodyay (21 March 2011)	445	464,000	Roads and Culverts

SHEEP SALEYARDS — KATANNING

4968. Mr M.P. Murray to the Minister for Agriculture and Food

I refer to the recent announcement that the State Government will partner the Shire of Katanning in the construction of new regional sheep saleyards and in relation to the location of the new Katanning saleyards in Nyabing Road, I ask:

- (a) what is the reasoning behind building a saleyard on the opposite side of town to the abattoir and not having the two facilities in one precinct;
- (b) who was involved in the process of choosing the site in Nyabing Road; and
- (c) what community consultation took place during the decision making process?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (a) A site owned by the Shire of Katanning located at lot 2809 Great Southern Highway and on the same side of town to the Western Australian Meat Marketing Company's abattoir was considered but was deemed to be unsuitable due to lack of "buffer" and the close proximity of semi-rural subdivisions to the north, and the Katanning town site to the east.
- (b) In 2009/10 the Department of Agriculture and Food examined several possible sites for a new sheep saleyard in the Shires of Katanning, Wagin and Woodanilling. In consultation with stakeholders the Nyabing Road site was identified as the preferred option based on distribution of sheep populations, transport distance to processors, suitability of site for development and other relevant considerations.
- (c) In December 2010 the Shire of Katanning released for public comment a document entitled "The Katanning Regional Saleyards Business Plan". The period for public comment closed on 11 February 2011. The Shire Council considered the matter on 24 February 2011 and agreed to endorse the Business Plan and for the Project to proceed.

FOOD LABELLING — MINISTER'S POLICY

4969. Mr M.P. Murray to the Minister for Agriculture and Food

Given the Minister's statements that farmers should have freedom of choice on what they wish to grow; that is, genetically modified (GM) or non-GM crops, I ask:

- (a) what is the Minister's policy position on food labelling;
- (b) why will the Minister not support the labelling of end food products, such as pork, that have been sustained by GM feed (for example, pigs that have been fed GM lupins); and
- (c) does the Minister support the labelling of food to identify ingredients such as peanuts and GM produced products?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (a) I support the Australian food labelling system which is overseen by Food Standards Australia New Zealand.
- (b) Safety and public health are the main drivers for food labelling. The recent review of food labelling law and policy stated "it seems unnecessary to pursue GM events down the food chain (e.g., animals having eaten GM feed). This would be unduly onerous, not justified by the present state of knowledge and is required by no country in the world".
- (c) As noted above, I support the Australian food labelling system. Under this system, foods which contain peanuts must be labelled. Foods which contain novel DNA or protein or have altered characteristics must also be labelled. There are exemptions to these requirements for:
 - Flavours which contain GM material but do not exceed a level of one part in a thousand in the final food; and
 - If a food, ingredient or processing aid includes unintentional traces of GM at 1 per cent or less by weight per ingredient.

DAIRY FARMERS — MILK PRICES

4970. Mr M.P. Murray to the Minister for Agriculture and Food

I refer to the Minister's statements in the Legislative Assembly on 17 February 2011 claiming that he would be watching closely and keeping an eye on the milk price war, and I ask:

- (a) has the Minister met with or contacted the Federal Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry to discuss what course of action can be taken to safeguard farm-gate prices for milk;
 - (i) and if not, why not;
- (b) has the Minister met with the Minister for Commerce to discuss what course of action can be taken to safeguard farm-gate prices for milk; and
 - (i) if not why not; and
- (c) has the Minister prepared a plan of action should attempts be made to force the farm-gate milk price lower; and
 - (i) if so, will the Minister table that plan; and
 - (A) if not why not?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (a) Yes. I wrote to the Federal Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry on 17 March 2011 to inform him of the actions the State Government has taken to ensure that the Western Australian dairy industry is not compromised as a result of the intense pricing policies and to raise our concern.
 - (i) Not applicable
- (b) No
 - (i) I am in regular contact with various segments of the dairy supply chain and have received assurances from representatives from the retail sector that the current competition will not directly impact farm gate prices for milk. This is something I will continue to monitor. I have also been in contact with milk processors to discuss the potential implications of the pricing strategy and raised the issue with WA Farmers.
- (c) No specific plan of action has been prepared to respond to any attempt to force the farm-gate milk price lower, however the Department of Agriculture and Food is undertaking a number of activities to

support the dairy industry, including the development of new overseas markets to reduce reliance on the domestic market.

- (i) Not applicable
- (A) Farm gate milk prices are affected by national and international supply and demand factors. This government will not interfere in legal commercial pricing arrangements.

"GOODBYE GRAFFITI" PROGRAM

4971. Mr A.J. Waddell to the Minister for Police

I refer to the 'Goodbye Graffiti' website which states that if a person's information leads to the arrest or caution of an offender, they may be eligible for a reward of up to \$1000, and ask:

- (a) for each year since this reward was first offered, please provide the number of rewards granted;
- (b) for each year since this reward was first offered, please provided a breakdown of what state regions rewards were granted;
- (c) since this reward was first offered, what is the total amount paid out as rewards;
- (d) how much funding is currently allocated to this reward program; and
- (e) how much of this funding is currently unused or has not be awarded?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (a) The number of rewards granted per financial year has been:
 - 2008/2009 financial year — two rewards
 - 2009/2010 financial year — nine rewards
 - 2010/2011 financial year to date — ten rewards
- (b) A breakdown of the regions of reward recipients is unable to be provided as only the recipient's first name, telephone number and bank details are required due to the Crime Stoppers WA policy of anonymity.
- (c) \$6,200.00.
- (d) The allocation per financial year has been:
 - 2008/2009 financial year — \$2000.00
 - 2009/2010 financial year — \$2000.00
 - 2010/2011 financial year — \$5000.00 (increase due to promotion of the Program)
- (e) 2010/2011 financial year to date — \$1650.00

GENETICALLY MODIFIED CANOLA CONTAMINATION — KOJONUP

4972. Mr M.P. Murray to the Minister for Agriculture and Food

I refer to the State Government's commitment to cleaning up the Esperance and Geraldton town-site of lead and nickel contamination, and the Geraldton port from next week, to rule out any risk of lead contamination. I also refer to the Premier's statement that he was taking a hands-on approach to the cleanup, and I ask:

- (a) will the Minister for Agriculture and Food take a hands-on approach for the cleanup of the genetically modified (GM) canola contamination on Steve Marsh's Kojanup organic farm after the recent storms;
- (b) will Western Australian farmers' properties get the same treatment as other contaminated areas within the State; and
- (c) can the Minister explain why Government departments are involved in the cleanup of lead contamination in Esperance but are not involved in the cleanup of GM canola contamination on non-GM farms?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (a) Nickel and lead are harmful to people and the environment so the State Government has committed to cleanup Geraldton town and Esperance port. The Australian Gene Technology Regulator has concluded that GM canola is as safe as non-GM canola to humans and the environment. The Minister and DAFWA have not committed to removing GM plant material from Mr Marsh's property.
- (b)–(c) No, as explained in the previous answer Australian Gene Technology Regulator-licensed GM canola is a legal crop and is not toxic so it is not a contaminant.

MENTAL HEALTH ACT 1996 — ASSISTANCE REQUESTS TO POLICE

4973. Mr P. Papalia to the Minister for Police

I refer to police receiving requests for help under sections 34, 41, 58(1)(c), 71 or 84 of the *Mental Health Act 1996* to apprehend a person and take them to a place for examination, to an authorised hospital in Perth, anywhere else in Western Australia or outside the jurisdiction, in the 12 months to 1 April 2011, and I ask:

- (a) how many police are dispatched to comply with such a request;
- (b) what special training do those police who are carrying out these requests have; and
- (c) what trained professionals accompany the police when such a request is made?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (a) In normal circumstances one vehicle (two officers) is tasked to attend.
- (b) Training for police personnel who are required to apprehend people under the provisions of the Mental Health Act 1996 and convey them to a place for examination is provided by the Police Academy, as follows:
 - Foundation Training (Recruits) Instruction is given in transport orders (Form 3) and the procedures attached to those orders including timeframes and mode of transportation, especially where aircraft transportation is required. Personnel from the Mental Health Emergency Response Line (MHERL) also deliver training to recruits in communication, recognition of symptoms and strategies for dealing with people suffering from mental illness.
 - In Service Training. Instruction is delivered as part of the Officer Enhancement Course for a constable progressing to first class constable. Instruction includes powers to apprehend, transports orders and effective communication.
 - Operational Safety and Tactics Training Unit (OSTTU) OSTTU are developing a package specifically dealing with Mental Health issues. The package includes instruction in recognising different types of mental illness. OSTTU deliver training in tactical communications (including body language) and empty hand control. Whilst not specifically targeted at mental health issues it encompasses procedures about how to deal safely with any person in custody.
- (c) Depending upon the circumstances:
 - Health professionals from the Department of Health.
 - St John's Ambulance officers.
 - Royal Flying Doctor Service health professionals.

MENTAL HEALTH ACT 1996 — ASSISTANCE REQUESTS TO POLICE

4974. Mr P. Papalia to the Minister for Police

I refer to police receiving requests for help under sections 34, 41, 58(1)(c), 71 or 84 of the *Mental Health Act 1996* to apprehend a person and take them to a place for examination, to an authorised hospital in Perth, anywhere else in Western Australia or outside the jurisdiction, in the 12 months to 1 April 2011, and I ask:

- (a) what do police guidelines say about use of force in such circumstances;
- (b) what mode of transport is used to carry out each of these requests;
- (c) if air transport is required, what are the requirements of the air service used to transport such patients;
- (d) has any patient transferred in such circumstances required heavy sedation for the transfer; and
 - (i) if so, how many, and who accompanied each of the patients; and
- (e) has any patient transferred in such circumstances required another form of restraint for the transfer, and
 - (i) if so, what type of restraint?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (a) The following sections provide officers with the power to use force when dealing with mentally ill persons.

Section 200 of the Mental Health Act 1996 states:-

"A police officer may use such force as may be necessary for the purposes of -

- (b) Apprehending a person under this Act; or
- (c) Doing anything authorised by this Division."

Additionally, Section 243 of the Criminal Code states:-

"It is lawful for any person to use such force as is reasonably necessary in order to prevent a person whom he believes, on reasonable grounds, to be mentally impaired from doing violence to any person or property".

The sections refer to the use of reasonable force or force that may be necessary. Police officers are provided with the following training in relation to reasonable force.

'When looking at the provisions for use of force under the Criminal Code it is essential to have an understanding of the term 'reasonable force'. Reasonable force is defined by Butterworths Legal Dictionary as "that degree of force, which is not excessive but fair, proper, and reasonably necessary in the circumstances".

To determine whether or not the force used was reasonable requires asking the question, "Would it be reasonable for a person with the characteristics of an ordinary man, placed into the same situation, to do the same thing?" In this way an objective standard is set by which a person's actions can be measured so that only sufficient force to overcome the threat is used.

Additionally, the Commissioner's orders and procedures contain the following guidelines for officers in relation to the use of any force.

- Members shall not use more force on persons than is reasonably necessary to perform their lawful duties.
- In any circumstances where the use of force is permitted, members should decide whether the use of any force is reasonably necessary.
- In any circumstances where the use of force is reasonably necessary, members should use the minimal amount of force required to establish control. Once control has been achieved, lower force options are to be employed at the earliest opportunity.
- Members must ensure that they do not use excessive force and, in particular, do not use:
 - § Any force where none is needed;
 - § More force than is needed;
 - § Any force or a greater level of force after the necessity for it has ended.

If, during the apprehension of a person under the Mental Health Act, a police officer is required to use force, the use of such force will be recorded in the station occurrence book or in the officer's notebook.

- (b) Police vehicles are only used to transport mentally ill persons when there are no other alternatives available and a risk assessment has determined the person is an extreme or high risk to themselves or others.
- (c) This is not under the jurisdiction of the Western Australia Police, and should be referred to the Minister for Health.
- (d) This is not information maintained by Western Australia Police.
 - (i) See above.
- (e) This information is not maintained electronically by Western Australia Police. It would require a manual search of records to determine if any restraint was used.
 - (i) Handcuffs may be used and are the only other form of restraint carried by police officers on an aircraft.

MENTAL HEALTH ACT 1996 — SECTION 196 REFERRALS

4975. Mr P. Papalia to the Minister for Police

I refer to Division 2 Police Powers under the *Mental Health Act 1996*, and I ask, in the 12 months to 1 April 2011:

- (a) how many persons arrested in Western Australia have triggered a section 196 referral for examination and what were the outcomes of the section 196 referrals;
- (b) what is the police procedure for a section 195 and 196 referral;
- (c) what training, aids, and/or training procedures do police officers have to help them exercise their section 195 and section 196 powers reasonably and in a timely manner; and
- (d) what is the definition of 'reasonable force' under section 200 of the *Mental Health Act 1996* as provided to police in training?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (a) It would take a significant amount of resources to review each incident to determine if it meets the criteria of a section 196 referral. The Commissioner of Police has advised he is not prepared to divert valuable resources away from core policing activities to review this information and collate the data.
- (b)–(c) The following is the training/procedure provided to recruits in relation to Section 195 and 196 of the Mental Health Act 1996. This also includes reference to completing the task in a timely manner and using the powers conferred by the section as a last resort.

Section 195 of the Mental Health Act 1996 reads as follows:

- (1) A police officer may apprehend a person if the officer suspects on reasonable Grounds that the person -
 - (a) Has a mental illness; AND
 - (b) needs to be apprehended to –
 - (i) Protect the health or safety of the person or any other person; or
 - (ii) Prevent serious damage to property.
- (2) If a police officer apprehends a person under subsection (1), the officer, as soon as is practicable, is to arrange for the person to be examined by a medical practitioner or authorised mental health practitioner for the purposes of Section 29.
- (3) After the examination, the person is to be released unless he or she is referred under Section 29 for examination by a psychiatrist.

If a task regarding a mentally ill person is received or a person is encountered who is believed on reasonable grounds to be suffering a mental illness, the powers under Section 195 should not be used unless that action is required immediately to prevent injury to themselves or any other person or to prevent damage to any property by that person.

When a person appears to be suffering from a mental illness the police officer should contact Mental Health Emergency Team and seek their assistance to establish whether the person requires psychiatric assessment. Attendance by a Mental Health Emergency Team or community mental health worker can be requested should it be deemed necessary.

Once a person has been apprehended under section 195 they must be taken, as soon as practicable, to a medical practitioner or mental health practitioner who will assess them and decide if they should be committed for assessment.

Only use the powers of Section 195 if action is required urgently; otherwise make arrangements with the Psychiatric Emergency Team. The powers of police under Section 195 of the Mental Health Act are not to be used lightly and then only if no other option is available.

Section 196 of the Mental Health Act 1996 states:-

- (1) Where a police officer -
 - (a) Has arrested a person for an offence; and
 - (b) Suspects on reasonable grounds that the person has a mental illness that needs immediate treatment, the officer, as soon as is practicable, is to arrange for the person to be examined by a medical practitioner or authorised mental health practitioner for the purposes of Section 29.
- (2) If the person is ordered to be detained in an authorised hospital as an involuntary patient, Section 55 applies when that detention ceases.
- (3) This section does not prevent a police officer from charging a person with an Offence.

It is reiterated to recruits that the section refers only to those people that require immediate intervention and that any examination must be conducted as soon as is practicable.

- (d) Section 200 of the Mental Health Act 1996 refers to reasonable force. Training on this topic is delivered at the Academy as follows:-

When looking at the provisions for use of force under the Criminal Code it is essential to have an understanding of the term 'reasonable force'. Reasonable force is defined by the Butterworth's Legal Dictionary as "that degree of force, which is not excessive but fair, proper and reasonably necessary in the circumstances"

To determine whether or not the force used was reasonable requires asking the question, "Would it be reasonable for a person with the characteristics of an ordinary man, placed into the same situation, to do the same thing?" In this way an objective standard is set by which a person's actions can be measured so that only sufficient force to overcome the threat is used.

Additionally, the Commissioners orders and procedures contains the following guidelines for officers in relation to the use of any force.

- Members shall not use more force on persons than is reasonably necessary to perform their lawful duties.
- In any circumstances where the use of force is permitted, members should decide whether the use of any force is reasonably necessary.
- In any circumstances where the use of force is reasonably necessary, members should use the minimal amount of force required to establish control. Once control has been achieved, lower force options are to be employed at the earliest opportunity.
- Members must ensure that they do not use excessive force and, in particular, do not use:
 - any force where none is needed;
 - more force than is needed;
 - any force or a greater level of force after the necessity for it has ended.

BALGA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4976. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Balga Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$94,432.40. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ALINJARRA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4977. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Alinjarra Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$165,586.55. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ANZAC TERRACE PREPRIMARY CENTRE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4978. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Anzac Terrace Pre Primary Centre identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$827.80. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ANZAC TERRACE PREPRIMARY CENTRE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4979. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Anzac Terrace Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$195,447.30. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ARBOR GROVE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4980. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Arbor Grove Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$6,639.50. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ASHDALE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4981. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Ashdale Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$16,891.30. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ASHFIELD PREPRIMARY CENTRE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4982. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Ashfield Pre Primary Centre identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program

can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ASHFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4983. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Ashfield Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$245,875.70. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BALGA JUNIOR PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4984. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Balga Junior Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BALGA PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4985. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Balga Pre Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;

- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BLACKMORE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4986. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Blackmore Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$258,463.15. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BALGA SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4987. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Balga Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$212,251.60. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BALLAJURA COMMUNITY COLLEGE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4988. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Ballajura Community College identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$413,580.75. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BALLAJURA PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4989. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Ballajura Pre Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$1,477.75. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BALLAJURA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4990. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Ballajura Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$74,476.35. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;

- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BASSENDAN PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4991. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Bassendean Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$184,236.70. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BAYSWATER PRESCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4992. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Bayswater Pre School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BAYSWATER PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4993. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Bayswater Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$218,133.05. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BEECHBORO PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4994. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Beechboro Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$192,457.65. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BLACKADDER PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4995. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Blackadder Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$1,250.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;

- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

CONSTABLE CARE, MAYLANDS — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4996. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Constable Care Maylands identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$36,630.40. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for Constable Care Maylands has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for Constable Care Maylands;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at Constable Care Maylands be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BOYARE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4997. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Boyare Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$59,041.70. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BULLSBROOK DISTRICT HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4998. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Bullsbrook District High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$368,824.50. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BULLSBROOK PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

4999. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Bullsbrook Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$363,462.50. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (b) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (c) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

BURBRIDGE SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5000. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Burbridge School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$659,091.35. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and

- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

CAMBOON PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5001. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Camboon Pre Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

CAMBOON PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5002. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Camboon Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$543,047.90. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

CAVERSHAM PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5003. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Caversham Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$55,624.50. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

CHIDLOW PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5004. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Chidlow Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$217,145.33. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

CLAYTON VIEW PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5005. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Clayton View Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$8,724.30. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

EAST BEECHBORO PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5006. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for East Beechboro Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$155,233.40. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

COOLBINIA DISTRICT GUIDANCE OFFICE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5007. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Coolbinia District Guidance Office identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$1,100.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this office has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this office;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this office be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

COOLBINIA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5008. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Coolbinia Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$310,389.70. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

CYRIL JACKSON SENIOR CAMPUS — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5009. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Cyril Jackson Senior Campus identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$268,279.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

DARLINGTON PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5010. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Darlington Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$174,362.80. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

DARLINGTON PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5011. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Darlington Pre School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$177,432.80. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

DIANELLA HEIGHTS PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5012. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Dianella Heights Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$426,713.15. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

DRYANDRA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5013. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Dryandra Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$132,607.95. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

DURHAM ROAD SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5014. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Durham Road School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$250,662.40. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

EAST BEECHBORO PREPRIMARY CENTRE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5015. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for East Beechboro Pre Primary Centre identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$743.80. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

GOVERNOR STIRLING SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5016. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Governor Stirling Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$1,028,874.80. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

EASTERN HILLS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5017. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Eastern Hills Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$1,167,782.55. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

EDEN HILL PREPRIMARY CENTRE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5018. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Eden Hill Pre Primary Centre identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$699.75. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)-(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

EDEN HILL PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5019. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Eden Hill Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$95,651.60. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)-(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ELLENBROOK PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5020. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Ellenbrook Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$51,101.60. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

EMBLETON PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5021. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Embleton Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$86,187.90. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

GIDGEGANNUP PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5022. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Gidgegannup Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$29,909.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

GIRRAWHEEN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5023. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Girrawheen

Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$296,584.30. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

GLADYS NEWTON SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5024. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Gladys Newton School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$238,827.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

GLEN FORREST PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5025. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Glen Forrest Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$107,670.50. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ILLAWARRA PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5026. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Illawarra Pre Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

GREENMOUNT PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5027. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Greenmount Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$148,530.40. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

GUILDFORD PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5028. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Guildford Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$92,620.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

HAMPTON PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5029. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Hampton Park Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$163,333.10. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

HAMPTON SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5030. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Hampton Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$762,668.35. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program

can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

HELENA VALLEY PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5031. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Helena Valley Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$68,718.40. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

HERNE HILL PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5032. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Herne Hill Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$150,334.25. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

HIGHGATE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5033. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Highgate Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$524,180.70. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;

- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

HILLCREST PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5034. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Hillcrest Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$526,954.85. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

HUDSON PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5035. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Hudson Park Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$119,955.75. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

LOCKRIDGE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5036. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Lockridge Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$179,257.10. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

ILLAWARRA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5037. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Illawarra Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$116,055.40. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

INGLEWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5038. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Inglewood Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$184,278.15. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;

- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

JOHN FORREST EDUCATION SUPPORT CENTRE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5039. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for John Forrest Education Support Centre identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$1,760.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

JOHN FORREST SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5040. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for John Forrest Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$836,743.90. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

KOONDOOLA PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5041. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Koondoola Pre Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$4,132.45. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

KOONDOOLA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5042. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Koondoola Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$191,011.35. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

KYILLA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5043. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Kyilla Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$85,932.50. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;

- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

LANDSDALE FARM SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5044. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Landsdale Farm School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$60,385.20. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

LANDSDALE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5045. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Landsdale Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$44,191.70. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

NOONGAR COMMUNITY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5046. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Lockridge Primary School offsite Noongar Community School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

LOCKRIDGE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5047. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Lockridge Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$597,226.45. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MARANGAROO PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5048. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Marangaroo Pre Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and

(e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MARANGAROO PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5049. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Marangaroo Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$162,630.00. In relation to this, I ask:

(a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;

(b) what outstanding defects remain;

(c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;

(d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and

(e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MAYLANDS PENINSULA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5050. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Maylands Peninsula Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$4,956.30. In relation to this, I ask:

(a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;

(b) what outstanding defects remain;

(c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;

(d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and

(e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MIDDLE SWAN PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5051. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Middle Swan Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$178,367.80. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MIDVALE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5052. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Midvale Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$19,320.60. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MIRRABOOKA PREPRIMARY CENTRE — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5053. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Mirrabooka Pre Primary Centre identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MIRRABOOKA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5054. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Mirrabooka Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$154,219.20. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MIRRABOOKA SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5055. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Mirrabooka Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$486,643.15. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MOUNT LAWLEY SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5056. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Mount Lawley Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$18,741.85. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MONTROSE PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5057. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Montrose Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$30,460.65. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MOORDITJ NOONGAR COMMUNITY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5058. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Moorditj Noongar Community School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$44,531.80. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and

(e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MORLEY KINDERGARTEN — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5059. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Morley Kindergarten identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$12,516.20. In relation to this, I ask:

(a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;

(b) what outstanding defects remain;

(c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;

(d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and

(e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MORLEY PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5060. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Morley Pre Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$500.00. In relation to this, I ask:

(a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;

(b) what outstanding defects remain;

(c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;

(d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and

(e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

(a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.

(d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf

(e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MORLEY PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5061. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Morley Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$100,587.00. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MORLEY SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5062. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Morley Senior High School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$419,700.90. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MOUNT HELENA PREPRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5063. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Mount Helena Pre Primary identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$21,516.50. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MOUNT HELENA PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5064. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Mount Helena Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$128,163.30. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

MOUNT LAWLEY PRIMARY SCHOOL — MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

5065. Mr B.S. Wyatt to the Minister for Education

I refer to the Minister's statement to the Legislative Assembly on 19 March 2009 where she tabled a building condition assessment of every State Government school. The building condition assessment for Mount Lawley Primary School identified a maintenance backlog with an estimated cost of \$213,042.50. In relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how much of the maintenance backlog for this school has been completed;
- (b) what outstanding defects remain;
- (c) what is the total value of the outstanding maintenance backlog for this school;
- (d) how much of the completed maintenance was financed by the Commonwealth Government; and
- (e) when will the total amount of the maintenance backlog at this school be completed?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(c) Please refer to tabled papers 734 and 3300.
- (d) The amount allocated to each school for maintenance and minor improvements under the Commonwealth Government's Building the Education Revolution — National School Pride program can be found at: http://www.deewr.gov.au/Schooling/BuildingTheEducationRevolution/Documents/NSP/WA_NSP.pdf
- (e) The consolidated data from the Building Condition Assessment process is being analysed at present to ascertain which categories of work could be addressed through targeted program funding. Once works programs have been developed, funding will be allocated on a priority basis.

RESIDENTIAL HOUSING BLOCKS — APPROVALS

5066. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Planning

- (1) Could the Minister advise how many residential housing blocks have been approved for creation per year for the past five financial years?
- (2) Could the Minister advise how many residential housing blocks have been approved for creation between 1 April 2010 and 1 April 2011?
- (3) Of the conditionally approved lots in (1) and (2) above, how many have gone on to meet the conditions of title creation and received final approval?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (1) See table below.

Residential Lot Approvals

Year	Conditional	Final
2009-10	24,307	10,915
2008-09	23,561	12,766
2007-08	23,913	18,135
2006-07	29,759	21,087
2005-06	33,875	20,687

Note: The above lot approval data for 2005/06 to 2008/09 differ from the data reported in *Hansard* on 1 April 2010, due to data revisions. These revisions occur at the end of each financial year. The residential lot approvals were recently revised to:

- include lots in applications which were not fully captured in Department of Planning administrative systems at the time of prior publication, and
- correctly reflect applications which were rightly residential uses, but had been misclassified to other uses.

Conditional approvals reflect: (1) what developers anticipate the future demand will be for residential land within a 3-4 year timeframe; and (2) throughput of determinations by the Western Australian Planning Commission. Final approvals reflect what developers expect to be able to sell within a 0-12 month timeframe. The statistics to June 2010 therefore reflect a fall in confidence by the developers and financiers and a fall in demand from end purchasers.

Approvals statistics along with other key data such as the developers stock of lots with a current conditional approval for subdivision, are published quarterly in the State Lot Activity publication. The annual Urban Growth Monitor (UGM) publication discusses the significance of, and trends behind, these statistics (refer to chapter 6: Subdivision approvals in the 2010 UGM).

Clarification of table

- Conditional approval = housing blocks released by Government for development (on meeting established subdivision conditions).
- Final approval = housing blocks developed and released by developers after meeting the subdivision conditions.

(2) 26,024

(3) 83,590

PUBLIC HOUSING — HEAD CONTRACTOR MAINTENANCE MODEL

5067. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Housing

I refer to the Barnett Government's Head Contractor Maintenance Model for the Department of Housing, and I ask:

- (a) how many tenants have received credits to their accounts as a result of problems associated with the new maintenance system;
- (b) what is the total value of these credits; and
- (c) will the new contractors be reimbursing the State for these payments; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a) 16 (from 1 February 2011 to 15 April 2011 — information for previous dates has already been provided to the Member).
- (b) \$3 984.30 (from 1 February 2011 to 15 April 2011 — information for previous dates has already been provided to the Member).
- (c) No
 - (i) The Department of Housing will bear the cost of these credits as per standard business practice.

PUBLIC HOUSING — NUMBER VACANT

5068. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Housing

As at 31 March 2011, could the Minister advise:

- (a) the total number of vacant Department of Housing houses across Western Australia and the reasons for their vacancy;
- (b) the total number of vacant houses per Department of Housing district;
- (c) the total number of vacant houses per metropolitan suburb;
- (d) the total number of vacant houses in towns and cities outside the metropolitan area; and
- (e) how long each of the houses referred to in (c) and (d) have been vacant?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a)–(b) It should be noted that the "requiring maintenance" figure has declined considerably over the month of March 2011 and as at 31 March 2011 totalled 260 (down from 449 at the start of the month). There were 491 new vacants for March resulting in a net turnaround of 680 properties.

Metro North

Available for Allocation = 75
 Available for Community Housing = 33
 Requiring Maintenance = 78

Metro South

Available for Allocation = 24
 Available for Community Housing = 3
 Requiring Maintenance = 51

Metro South East

Available for Allocation = 34
 Available for Community Housing = 0
 Requiring Maintenance = 43

Great Southern

Available for Allocation = 3
 Available for Community Housing = 0
 Requiring Maintenance = 7

South West

Available for Allocation = 3
 Available for Community Housing = 0
 Requiring Maintenance = 17

Goldfields

Available for Allocation = 4
 Available for Community Housing = 0
 Requiring Maintenance = 11

Midwest/Gascoyne

Available for Allocation = 4

Available for Community Housing = 0
 Requiring Maintenance = 13

Pilbara

Available for Allocation = 4
 Available for Community Housing = 0
 Requiring Maintenance = 13

Kimberley

Available for Allocation = 1
 Available for Community Housing = 8
 Requiring Maintenance = 10

Wheatbelt

Available for Allocation = 16
 Available for Community Housing = 0
 Requiring Maintenance = 14

- (c)–(e) At any time, a number of the 36 409 (as at 31 March 2011) public housing properties will be vacant for a variety of reasons. These include refurbishment at the end of a tenancy to maintain the standard of the property, reallocation to clients between tenancies and also the reallocation to the management of a community housing organisation.

PUBLIC HOUSING — WAITLIST

5070. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Housing

With reference to the wait-list for Department of Housing accommodation as at 31 March 2011, could the Minister advise the number of:

- (a) applicants on the wait-list for Department of Housing accommodation;
- (b) children and dependents associated with applicants on the wait-list for Department of Housing accommodation;
- (c) children and dependents associated with applicants on the wait-list for Department of Housing accommodation per district;
- (d) applicants on the priority housing wait-list;
- (e) applicants on the priority housing wait-list per district;
- (f) children and dependents associated with applicants on the priority housing wait-list; and
- (g) children and dependents associated with applicants on the priority housing wait-list per district?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a) As at 31 March 2011 there were 23 983 applicants on the waiting list.
- (b) 24 024 children and dependants (as at 31 March 2011). (Includes dependent children, adult non dependent children and shared custody children)
- (c) Children and dependants on waitlist per district (as at 31 March 2011):

Metro North = 9 878
 Metro Fremantle = 2 964
 Metro South East = 5 406
 Southern = 546
 South West = 1 248
 Goldfields = 453
 Mid West/Gascoyne = 1 203
 Pilbara = 730
 Kimberley = 1 333
 Wheatbelt = 263

(The figures above consist of children which includes dependent children, adult non dependent children and shared custody children).

- (d) 3 413

- (e) Priority Wait list by application per district (as at 31 March 2011):

Metro North = 1 539
 Metro Fremantle = 592
 Metro South East = 521
 Southern = 111
 South West = 63
 Goldfields = 45
 Mid West/Gascoyne = 95
 Pilbara = 151
 Kimberley = 249
 Wheatbelt = 47

- (f) 3 871

- (g) Children and dependants on priority waitlist per district (as at 31 March 2011):

Metro North = 1 750
 Metro Fremantle = 527
 Metro South East = 613
 Southern = 112
 South West = 63
 Goldfields = 30
 Mid West/Gascoyne = 171
 Pilbara = 227
 Kimberley = 326
 Wheatbelt = 52

(The figures above consist of children which includes dependent children, adult non dependent children and shared custody children).

PUBLIC HOUSING — HEAD CONTRACTOR MAINTENANCE MODEL

5072. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Housing

I refer to the Barnett Government's awarding of Department of Housing maintenance contracts to three major groups, and I ask:

- (a) have any of the three companies been financially penalised for failing to meet the terms of the contracts; and
 (b) if yes to (a), which companies have been penalised, and what is the total amount of any penalties for each company?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a) No
 (b) Not applicable

PUBLIC HOUSING — HEAD CONTRACTOR MAINTENANCE MODEL

5073. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Housing

I refer to the Barnett Government's awarding of Department of Housing maintenance contracts to three companies, and I ask:

- (a) were the contracts put out to tender; and
 (i) if so, on what date did the tenders close; and
 (ii) if not, why not;
 (b) on what date(s) were the contracts signed;
 (c) when do the contracts expire;
 (d) what provisions exist in the contracts for the contracts to be terminated; and
 (e) what, if any, are the financial repercussions for the State of the contracts being terminated?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a) Yes
 - (i) 8 April 2010
 - (ii) Not applicable
- (b) 3 June 2010 (Transfield Services); 8 June 2010 (Program Facilities Management); and 9 June 2010 (Lake Maintenance).
- (c) 30 June 2013 with the possibility of two 2 year extension options.
- (d) The contracts can be terminated by the Department or by Agreement between the Department and the Contractor. Grounds for termination by the Department include continuous default by contractor without an undertaking to remedy the default.
- (e) Additional (unrecoverable) costs to procure maintenance services from third parties, tendering costs and administrative costs of executing fresh tenders.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5074. Mr M. McGowan to the Premier; Minister for State Development

For each agency within the Premier's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Government agencies in the Premier's portfolio advise for the time period of 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011:

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

Department of the Premier and Cabinet:

- (a) Two
- (b)–(d) Bottle of Voyager Estate Shiraz received from Silver Chain Nursing; \$25; No.
Two bottles of wine (festive season gift) from Jones Lang LaSalle; \$50; Yes Building Managers.

Public Sector Commissioner:

- (a) Two
- (b)–(d) One bottle of wine — Ernst and Young; \$35; No
Two bottles of wine — Building Operations Supervisor from Jones Lang LaSalle; \$50; No

Salaries and Allowances Tribunal; Gold Corporation; Lotterywest:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

Department of State Development:

- (a) Four.
- (b)–(d) Christmas food hamper from John Holland Pty Ltd; \$25-\$100; No.
Scarf from the State-owned Asset Supervision and Administration Commission of the State Council; \$25-\$100; No.
Six foot ornate banner depicting Chinese characters and symbols from the Shandong Iron and Steel Group Co Ltd; \$100-\$500; No.
Bottle of champagne from National Australia Bank Ltd; \$100-\$500; No.
2011 leather bound boxed diary from Citic Pacific Mining Management Pty Ltd; \$25-\$100; No.

Apple iPod from Lavasa Corporation Ltd, India; \$100-\$500; No.

Scarf and two cushion covers from Podar Enterprise, India; \$100-\$500; No.

Shanghai Expo 2010 commemorative coins from the Australia-China Natural Gas Technology Partnership Fund; \$25-\$100; No.

Gift box containing a bottle of red wine, chocolates and biscuits from Expotrade Australia Pty Ltd; \$25-\$100; No.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5075. Mr M. McGowan to the Deputy Premier; Minister for Health; Tourism

For each agency within the Deputy Premier's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

From 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011

Department of Health

(a)–(d) [See paper 3317.]

Health and Disability Services Complaints Office

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

Healthway

(a) None of these gifts were accepted by a single individual.

(b)	(c)	(d)
2 produce hampers — Royal Agricultural Society	Approx. \$100 each	Sponsorship arrangement
1 Christmas hamper — Provider IT	Approx. \$100	Provides IT services
2 Christmas hampers — HBF	Approx. \$75 each	Sponsorship arrangement

Tourism Western Australia

(a) Seven officers

(b)	(c)	(d)
2 tickets QANTAS Wine Show Gala Dinner from Hyatt Perth	\$350	No
Gift Voucher from Australian Market & Social Research Society	\$50	No
2 tickets WA Ballet (Don Quixote) from WA Ballet	\$248	No
2 tickets Burswood Box at Hopman Cup from Burswood Entertainment Complex	\$400	No
One night's accommodation and meal at Hyatt Perth from the West Australian Newspaper (won as a door prize)	\$350	No
Laptop accessories from Australian Marketing Institute	\$100	No
4 tickets WASO concert (Salva Girgoryan) from the West Australian Newspaper (won as a door prize)	\$315	No

Rottnest Island Authority

(a) 2

(b)	(c)	(d)
2 bottles of wine — RSM Bird Cameron Partners	Approx. \$45 each	No
2 bottles of wine — McGees Property	Approx. \$45 each	Yes — Rottnest Island Authority property managers.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5076. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Mines and Petroleum; Fisheries; Electoral Affairs

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND PETROLEUM

- (a) 9
- (b)

1.	Bottle of wine	Atlas Geophysics
2.	Small pair of binoculars, small diary binder and two books	BHP
3.	Case of beer	Christiane Vitzthum von Eckstaedt — PhD student Curtin University
4.	Shirt	CNOOC Ltd
5.	Bottle of wine	Golder Associates
6.	Bottle of wine	Hunt & Humphry
7.	Half day market research conference	Research Solutions
8.	Concert performance	Total E&P Australia
9.	Coffee plunger	Schneider Electric

(c)

1.	\$25 — \$100.
2.	\$25 — \$100
3.	\$25 — \$100
4.	\$25 — \$100
5.	\$25 — \$100
6.	\$25 — \$100
7.	\$100 — \$500
8.	\$25 — \$100
9.	\$25 — \$100

(d) No for all of the above.

MINERALS AND ENERGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA

No officer of MERIWA has accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual.

DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES

(a) One officer above level 7.1 has received gifts that require declaration (ie above \$50).

(b)	(c)	(d)
2 bottles of Shenton Ridge Wine and 1kg Prawns (anonymous supplier)	\$50	No
Bottle of Talisker Scotch from Haejoo Group	\$50	No

WESTERN AUSTRALIAN ELECTORAL COMMISSION

(a)–(d) Nil.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5077. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development; Lands; Minister Assisting the Minister for State Development

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

The Department of Regional Development and Lands; Gascoyne, Goldfields Esperance, Great Southern, Kimberley, Peel, Pilbara, South West and Wheatbelt Development Commissions:

No.

(a)–(d) Not applicable.

Mid West Development Commission:

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

- (a) One.
- (b) One framed print to CEO from Sinosteel Midwest to mark the shipment of iron ore from Geraldton port.
- (c) Approx \$100.
- (d) No.

LandCorp:

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

Yes.

- (a) 11 people.
- (b) Refer to Column 1 below.
- (c) Refer to Column 2 below.
- (d) Refer to Column 3 below.

COLUMN 1

1 x Gift Box of Food
 2 x DVD, Wine, Pizza Voucher, Maltesers — Lavan Legal
 1 x The Australian Wine Annual (Book) — PricewaterhouseCoopers
 2 x Hamper — Georgiou
 1 x Bottle of wine — Satterley

COLUMN 2

\$80
 \$80
 \$25
 \$120
 \$25

COLUMN 3

Yes- Marketing Consultants
 Yes — Legal Consultant
 Yes — Taxation advice
 Yes — Developer
 Yes — Developer

1 x Gift Hamper (chocolates & gourmet grinders) — VDM Consulting	\$75	Yes — Consultant
3 x Gift Hamper (balsamic vinegar, nuts & olive oil) — Cedar Woods	\$50	Yes — Developer
1 x Bottle of Wine — ARM	\$25	Yes Architecture Consultant
1 x Flowering Plant — Brand Agency	\$30	Yes — Consultant
4 x Ertech Long Sleeved Hi Vis Vests — Ertech	\$320	Yes — Civil Contractor
2 x bottles of wine — Fulton Hogan	\$40.00	None
Big Night In "Fundraising for Cerebral Palsy — Lavan Legal	\$80.00	Yes— Legal Consultant
2 x bottles of wine — AON	\$40.00	Yes—Insurance Advisors
1 x bottle of wine — Ernst & Young	\$20.00	Yes— Internal Auditors

It should be noted LandCorp has a policy whereby provision of gifts is to be discouraged, however any gifts received are donated to the LandCorp staff Social Club who raffle the gifts and donate the proceeds to charity.

Landgate:

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

Yes.

(a) Five (Please note the total of seven were received by five employees).

(b)–(d) see matrix below.

Name individual/company (b)	Nature (b)	Est Value (c)	Relationship (d)
Practical Logic	Hosted dinner for Chinese international delegation	\$80	None
Linc Interactive	Bottle of Champagne	\$75	Yes — Commercial
Landcorp	Hosted industry breakfast	\$80	None
Vietnam Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (International delegation)	Silk shawl, silk tie, decorative plaque	\$50	None
Vietnam Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (International delegation)	Decorative plate, tea.	\$50	None
Datacom / Oracle	iPad (accepted on behalf of Landgate)	\$700	Yes — Commercial
Virgin Blue Airlines	Complimentary business lounge pass	\$60	None

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5078. Mr M. McGowan to the Treasurer; Attorney General

For each agency within the Treasurer's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

For the period 1 July 2010–6 April 2011.

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

Commissioner for Children and Young People; Equal Opportunity Commission of Western Australia; Corruption and Crime Commission; Insurance Commission of Western Australia; Law Reform Commission of Western Australia; Legal Aid Commission of Western Australia; Legal Practice Board of Western Australia;

Legal Profession Complaints Committee; Office of the Auditor General; Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions; Office of the Information Commissioner; Western Australian Treasury Corporation:

No

(a)–(d) Not applicable

Department of the Attorney General

The Gifts, Benefits and Other Rewards Policy form part of the Department of the Attorney General's Corruption Prevention Plan. The Policy provides that where a gift, benefit or other reward with a value in excess of \$25 has been offered, the individual must ensure that the item is included on the Department's Gift Register and that utilisation should not occur prior to the approval of the delegated authority.

The Policy requires that where a staff member is involved in a procurement or tender process, no gifts should be accepted from the advertising of the tender to the closure and appointment of the successful applicant, and that gifts should not be accepted as a reward for having purchased goods or services.

Officers from the Department of the Attorney General accepted the following gifts from private companies or individuals between 1 July 2010 and 6 April 2011. The Policy also provides that where the gift is consumable, it may be appropriate for the delegated authority to approve utilisation by sharing with colleagues, and this has generally been the case with the items identified in the table below.

(a) Four officers have accepted gifts from a private company or individual.

(b)–(d) [See paper 3318.]

Department of Treasury and Finance

(a) One officer has accepted gifts from a private company or individual.

(b)–(d) [See paper 3318.]

Government Employees Superannuation Board

(a) Two officers have accepted gifts from a private company or individual.

(b)–(d) [See paper 3318.]

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5081. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Police; Emergency Services; Road Safety

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

Please note that this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars, etc.

For the period 1 July 2010–6 April 2011, agencies within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities can advise the following:

Western Australia Police

- (a) One.
- (b) Christmas gift basket from PGD Consulting.
- (c) \$50.00 — \$100.00
- (d) No.

Office of Road Safety

- (a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable

Fire and Emergency Services Authority of Western Australia (FESA)

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5082. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Sport and Recreation; Racing and Gaming

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr T.K. WALDRON replied:

DEPARTMENT OF SPORT AND RECREATION

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality, and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars, etc.

- (a) One
- (b) Accommodation and meals at the opening of the Punmu Basketball Facility, provided by Newcrest Mining.
- (c) \$436 based on Public Service Award allowances.
- (d) Newcrest Mining provides significant support to community Sport and Recreation initiatives in remote Western Australia. The department has a Partnership Agreement with Newcrest to deliver the Indigenous Sport Program in the Western Desert.

VENUESWEST

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

- (a) 2 officers have accepted a gift from a private company or individual
- (b) Officer 1
 - (i) 1x bottle of wine (Urimat)
 - (ii) 1 x bottle of Whiskey (Maintenance City)
 - (iii) 3 sets of 2x bottles of wine in cooler (Quayclean)
 Officer 2
 - (i) 1 x bottle of wine (Ashton Raggatt McDougall [ARM])
 - (ii) 1 x bottle of wine and 1x bottle of olive oil (BGC Construction)
 - (iii) 1 x bottle of wine (ANZSLA — Australian New Zealand Sports Law Association)
- (c) Officer 1 — Items total \$175 in value
Officer 2 — Items total \$105 in value
- (d) Officer 1
 - (i) Yes — cleaning product supplier
 - (ii) Yes — maintenance sub-contractor for the Agency
 - (iii) Yes — Cleaning contractor for the Agency
 Officer 2
 - (i) Yes — Perth Arena Architect

- (ii) Yes — Perth Arena Builder
- (iii) No

DEPARTMENT OF RACING, GAMING AND LIQUOR

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality, and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars, etc.

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5083. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Planning; Culture and the Arts; Science and Innovation

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

This information is for the period from 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011. Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

ARMADALE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Yes

- (a) One;
- (b) A bottle of champagne in a leather carry box — Harrier Resourcing People;
- (c) Approximately \$100. This was a Christmas gift and in keeping with the ARA's policy, it was auctioned internally by the ARA Social Club with the proceeds being donated to the Lord Mayor's Bushfire Disaster Fund;
- (d) Yes, Human Resource consultants.

CHEMCENTRE

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable.

LANDCORP

LandCorp is responding to this PQ via the Minister for Lands

MIDLAND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable.

EAST PERTH REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (EPRA) AND SUBIACO REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (SRA)

Senior staff above level 7.1 from EPRA and SRA have accepted gifts from a private company or individual in line with the corporate policy, which guides staff on protocols accepting any gifts, accommodation and travel. The policy recognises that, from time to time, it may be necessary for staff to accept customary business courtesies in accordance with ethical business practices. However, the policy also encourages staff to politely decline gifts over the value of \$10, within the context of cultural and corporate sensitivities.

- (a) Since 1 July, 2010, 11 gifts have been received by seven EPRA/SRA officers above Level 7.1, from private companies.

EPRA's Entertainment and Gift Policy states that gifts received over the value of \$10 (such as a bottle of wine) are to be pooled and distributed on an equitable basis amongst staff at an appropriate staff function.

- (b)–(d) See table below for outline of nature of the gifts, estimated value and relationship of the private company.

Gift Type	Estimated Value of Gift	Company	Relationship
3 x bottles of Champagne	\$300	Minter Ellison	Consultants — Lawyers
1 x 3 pack of wine	\$50	RBB	Consultants — Quantity Surveyor
1 x bottle of wine	\$30	NW Constructions for Golden Sea Pty Ltd	Developers
4 x bottles of wine	\$60	NS Projects	Consultants — Project Managers
1 x bottle of wine	\$15	NS Projects	Consultants — Project Managers
1 x bottle of wine	\$35	ARM	Consultants — Design

DEPARTMENT OF CULTURE AND THE ARTS

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

ScreenWest

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

West Australian Museum

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

Art Gallery of Western Australia

(a) 1 Officer

(b) Return airfare to Broome, Shinju Matsuri Incorporated

(c) \$1,100.00

(d) No

Perth Theatre Trust

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

State Records Office

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

State Library of Western Australia

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Yes.

(a) One officer

(b) A bottle of wine — Expo Trade (WA Major Projects Conference Organisers)

(c) \$50.00 (approximate)

(d) No — recipient was a Speaker at the Conference

WESTERN AUSTRALIAN PLANNING COMMISSION

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE — SCIENCE AND INNOVATION DIVISION

- (a) Two officers have accepted gifts. No free travel or accommodation has been accepted.
- (b) One bottle of wine were received from each of the following:
 - i. Professor Lyn Beazley, the Premier's Chief Scientist
 - ii. Fremantle Ports — Chamber of Commerce and Industry WA.
- (c)
 - i. \$34.00.
 - ii. Unknown.
- (d)
 - i. Yes. The Premier's Chief Scientist, who is appointed by Cabinet as an independent adviser to the State Government. The Department of Commerce provides executive and administrative support to the Chief Scientist and also accommodates the Chief Scientist within its offices. The Department of Commerce manages the contract within the State Government.
 - ii. No. The officer concerned attended a Trade Conference and won the bottle of wine.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5084. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Energy; Training and Workforce Development; Indigenous Affairs

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) 5
- (b)
 - (i) Coffee table photo book which has been retained for use by the Department of Indigenous Affairs (DIA), from Juluwarlu and Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation.
 - (ii) Two books and a DVD, which have been retained for use by DIA, received from Dumbartung Aboriginal Corporation.
 - (iii) A glass message stick from the Catholic Education Office.
 - (iv) Tickets to Waltzing the Wilarra received from Woodside.
 - (v) Bottle of Wine from Local Government Managers Forum.
- (c)
 - (i) \$50.00
 - (ii) \$85.00
 - (iii) \$25.00
 - (iv) \$180.00
 - (v) \$15.00
- (d)
 - (i) Yes, DIA has provided six minor grants over the past five years.
 - (ii) Yes, DIA has provided two minor grants.
 - (iii) Yes, DIA is providing funding for the Clontarf Accommodation Hostel, owned by the Catholic Education Office, in 2011-12. DIA also funds PALS initiatives at member schools (\$750 per initiative).

(iv) No

(v) No

Office of Energy

(a) 2

(b) (i) Entertainment — Alstrom

(ii) Entertainment — Marchmont Hill

(c) (i) \$100

(ii) \$80

(d) Not applicable.

Central Institute of Technology

(a) 3

(b) (i) Government CIO Summit ticket, meals and accommodation in Gold Coast, Queensland, received from Marcus Evans

(ii) Four travel mouse, four t-shirts and four sports bags received from Enterasys Pty Ltd

(iii) Christmas gift hamper received from Aroma Café

(iv) Two ladies shawls received from Modern Senior Secondary School in Patiala, India

(c) (i) \$2,000

(ii) \$200

(iii) \$50

(iv) \$20

(d) (i) Marcus Evans — None

(ii) Enterasys Pty Ltd — Central holds three-year contract with NEC Australia Pty Ltd for the provision of supply, delivery and installation of Enterasys network products and services.

(iii) Aroma Café — Central and Aroma Café hold a Deed of Licence which entitles Aroma Café to use premises within Central's building and entitles Central to 10 per cent per annum of Aroma Café's gross revenue.

(iv) Modern Senior Secondary School, Patiala, India — None

Challenger Institute of Technology

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

C Y O'Connor Institute

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

Durack Institute of Technology

(a) Nil

(b)–(d) Not applicable.

Great Southern Institute of Technology

(a) Two officers have accepted gifts.

(b) (i) Travel accommodation provided by National Centre for Dairy Education Australia (NCDEA) for National Training Providers' Forum attendance in Melbourne

(ii) Ipod shuffle received from VELG Training as random prize draw at workshop

(c) (i) \$1,850

(ii) \$65

(d) (i) NCDEA provides some course material for delivery

(ii) VELG Training runs regular RTO workshops on a commercial basis

Kimberley TAFE

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable.

Pilbara TAFE

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable.

Polytechnic West

- (a) 3
- (b)
 - (i) Bottle of port received from Beng Singapore Flying College
 - (ii) Bottle of wine received from Catholic Education
 - (iii) Tickets to Fremantle football game received by Telstra
- (c)
 - (i) Port — \$25
 - (ii) Wine — \$20
 - (iii) Tickets — \$415
- (d)
 - (i) Singapore Flying College — None
 - (ii) Catholic Education — None
 - (iii) Telstra — provides telecommunications services to Polytechnic West as per the Common Use Agreement

South West Institute of Technology

- (a) Two officers accepted gifts but neither retained the items
- (b)
 - (i) Three bottles of wine received from Business News as part of a renewal subscription
 - (ii) Four donations of \$5 received from a marketing company as incentive to complete survey
- (c)
 - (i) Wine — \$75 (\$25 each)
 - (ii) Money — \$20 (4 x \$5)
- (d)
 - (i) SWIT holds subscription with Business News to maintain currency of business activity and issues in WA
 - (ii) None — survey was not completed and money was donated to charity

West Coast Institute of Training

- (a) Eight officers have accepted gifts
- (b)
 - (i) Fountain pen received from Professor Wang, Jinan Vocational College
 - (ii) Silk scarf received from Professor Li Qui, Jinan Vocational College
 - (iii) Silk scarf received from Mayor of Jinan
 - (iv) Silk scarf received from Mayor of Jinan
 - (v) Fountain pen received from Professor Wang, Jinan Vocational College
 - (vi) Silk scarf received from Professor Li Qui, Jinan Vocational College
 - (vii) Silk scarf received from Mayor of Jinan
 - (viii) Silk scarf received from Mayor of Jinan
 - (ix) Breakfast function at Murdoch University
 - (x) Peking Opera Miniature Screen received from Jinan Foreign Affairs
 - (xi) One keyring, tie clip, lapel badge, letter and opener received from Shanghai Police College
 - (xii) Two packets of green tea received from Zhejiang Inst. Communications
 - (xiii) Two silk scarves received from Zhejiang Medical College
 - (xiv) Three tee shirts, three balls, three mini mice, USB lamp and headsets received from Enterasys Networks

- (xv) Silk cushion covers received from Zhejiang Foreign Affairs
 - (xvi) Engraved bottle received from Madame Li Min, Jinan Foreign Affairs
 - (xvii) Horse ornament, received from Jinan Vocational College
 - (xviii) Keyring light received from Jinan Vocational College
 - (xix) Small wall hanging picture received from YMCA Hong Kong CCE
 - (xx) Fur toys received from Lincai Ma, Zhejiang Institute of Communications
 - (xxi) Trilobite received from Jinan Vocational College
 - (xxii) Trilobite received from Jinan Vocational College
 - (xxiii) Scrolls received from Zhejiang Medical College
- (c)
- (i) \$15
 - (ii) \$15
 - (iii) \$15
 - (iv) \$15
 - (v) \$15
 - (vi) \$15
 - (vii) \$15
 - (viii) \$15
 - (ix) \$90
 - (x) \$30
 - (xi) \$10
 - (xii) \$12
 - (xiii) \$12
 - (xiv) \$150
 - (xv) \$15
 - (xvi) \$25
 - (xvii) \$35
 - (xviii) \$4
 - (xix) \$5
 - (xx) \$5
 - (xxi) \$25
 - (xxii) \$25
 - (xxiii) \$50
- (d)
- Jinan Vocational College — Auspicing agreement with WCIT
 - Mayor of Jinan — None
 - Murdoch University — Commercial work with WCIT
 - Jinan Foreign Affairs — None
 - Shanghai Police College — Commercial work with WCIT
 - Zhejiang Institute of Communications — Commercial work with WCIT
 - Zhejiang Medical College — None
 - Enterasys Networks — Supplier to WCIT under CUA
 - Zhejiang Foreign Affairs — None
 - YMCA Hong Kong CCE — Auspicing agreement with WCIT

Department of Training and Workforce Development

- (a) Ten officers have accepted gifts. Of these officers, five have retained their gifts and five have handed their gifts to the Department in accordance with the Department of Training and Workforce Development Acceptance and Declaration of Gifts Policy.
- (b) (i) Six bottles of wine: —
 - o Motor Industry Training Association (1) — launch of new facilities
 - o Recall (1) — vendor meeting
 - o Blue Coat (1) — vendor meeting

- o Retail and Personal Services Training Council (1) — end of year function
- o Skills DMC Conference (1) — thank you gift
- o Central Institute of Technology (1) — thank you gift
- (ii) Two gift vouchers received from Chartered Secretaries of Australia
- (iii) Photo frame alarm clock received from Australian Human Resource Institute
- (iv) Two Korean dolls, received from Jeollanamdo Office of Education, South Korea
- (v) One wireless modem received from Telstra
- (vi) One invitation to Christmas function from South Metropolitan Youth Link
- (vii) One box of durable foodstuffs received from Chartered Secretaries of Australia
- (viii) One invitation to Christmas function received from Hospitality Group Training
- (ix) One personalised diary and pen received from Financial, Administrative and Professional Training Council
- (x) Two cosmetic items received from Mt Romance Sandalwood, Albany
- (xi) One MP3 player and book received from IBM
- (xii) One tee shirt received from Kedah Industrial Skills and Management Centre
- (xiii) Two oral care packs received from Kingston Training and Employment
- (xiv) One plastic pen holder for desk received from Anhui Vocational and Technical College, Hefei
- (xv) One set of binoculars received from Chamber of Minerals and Energy
- (c) (i) Bottles of wine: —
 - o \$25
 - o \$60
 - o \$100
 - o \$15
 - o \$19
 - o Unknown
- (ii) Gift vouchers — \$300 (2 x \$150)
- (iii) Photo frame alarm clock — Unknown
- (iv) Korean dolls — \$20
- (v) Wireless modem — \$200
- (vi) Invitation to Christmas function — \$15
- (vii) Box of durable foodstuffs — \$20
- (viii) Invitation to Christmas function — \$15
- (ix) Personalised diary and pen — \$70
- (x) Cosmetics — \$20 (2 x \$10)
- (xi) MP3 player and book — \$50
- (xii) Tee shirt — \$15
- (xiii) Oral care packs — \$100 (2 x \$50)
- (xiv) Plastic pen holder — \$20
- (xv) Binoculars — \$40
- (d) Commercial/financial relationships as follows:
 - Motor Industry Training Association — Group Training Organisation in receipt of funding from the Department
 - Recall — Department holds account with this document storage facility
 - Blue Coat — None
 - Retail and Personal Services Training Council — Industry Training Council in receipt of funding from the Department

Skills DMC Conference — None

Central Institute of Technology — State Training Provider in receipt of funding from the Department.

Chartered Secretaries of Australia — None

(Australian Human Resource Institute — None

Jeollanamdo Office of Education, South Korea — None

Telstra — Communication services purchased from Telstra by department.

South Metropolitan Youth Link — Group Training organisation in receipt of funding from the Department

Hospitality Group Training — Group Training Organisation in receipt of funding from the Department

Financial, Administrative and Professional Training Council — Industry Training Council in receipt of funding from the Department

Mt Romance Sandalwood, Albany — None

IBM — Department purchases software licences from IBM

Kedah Industrial Skills and Management Centre — None

Kingston Training and Employment — Registered Training Organisation in receipt of funding from the Department

Anhui Vocational and Technical College, Hefei — None

Chamber of Minerals and Energy — Industry Training Council in receipt of funding from the Department.

Department of Education Services

- (a) One
- (b) One bottle of Hennessy Brandy from the College of Innovation and Industry
- (c) \$100.00
- (d) No.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5086. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Child Protection; Community Services; Seniors and Volunteering; Women's Interests; Youth

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

Department for Child Protection

- (a) Nil.
Please note that this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

Department For Communities

One officer above level 7.1 in the Department for Communities, since 1 July 2010, has accepted a gift from a private company. Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

- (a) One
- (b) Qantas chairman lounge membership
- (c) Approx. \$470 per annum
- (d) No

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5087. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Local Government; Heritage; Citizenship and Multicultural Interests

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

The following answer refers to the date period of 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011.

National Trust of Australia (Western Australia)

No

- (a) Not applicable
- (b) For the Heritage Council of Western Australia; Metropolitan Cemeteries Board and the Department of Local Government including the Office of Multicultural Interests, please refer to parts (b)–(d).

Agency	(b)	(c)	(d)
Heritage Council of Western Australia	Movie Tickets	\$40.00	No
	Xpresso Delight/Nola De'Souza Perth Festival Tickets	\$70.00	No
	Avant Card		
Metropolitan Cemeteries Board	Dinner Arrow Bronze	\$80.00	Yes (The Board's Supplier of Memorial Plaques)
Department of Local Government including the Office of Multicultural Interests	Movie pass for two	\$30.00	No
	Luna Palace Cinemas Invitation to attend Wheels and Dolls Baby Fashion Show KPMG	\$55.00	Yes (Contract awarded through tender process)
	Beaded frame		
	Anyuak South Sudan Women Bead Project	\$80.00	No

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5088. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Agriculture and Food; Forestry; Corrective Services

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;

- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

The information provided is for the period 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011. Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

Agriculture and Food:

- (a) Yes — 4 individuals (please note that SC 4.1 is considered as L7.1 for the purposes of this question)
- (b)
 1. 2 million Vietnamese Dong in exchange for lectures and costs (handed over for disposal by the Department, not retained by the individual) from the Institute of Mechanics, Vietnam University.
 2. 2 Silk scarves (handed over for disposal by the Department of Agriculture and Food, not retained by the individual), from Dongfa Sun (Huazhoug Agricultural University) and Jancang Qi (Shihezi University).
 3. Gift basket from Paradigm.
 4. Hamper from Paradigm.
- (c)
 1. \$100
 2. \$10
 3. \$50
 4. \$40
- (d)
 1. No
 2. Yes — The Department of Agriculture and Food (DAFWA) is a joint research partner with the two Universities.
 3. Yes — DAFWA engage the services of Paradigm on an as needs basis under the appropriate Common Use Agreement (CUA). Paradigm have been requested to cease this practice.
 4. Yes — DAFWA engage the services of Paradigm on an as needs basis under the appropriate Common Use Agreement (CUA). Paradigm have been requested to cease this practice.

Forestry:

- (a) Ten officers.
- (b)
 1. Bottle of scotch from Albion Trading Group.
 2. Bottle of scotch from Albion Trading Group.
 3. Bottle of scotch from Albion Trading Group.
 4. Bottle of scotch from Albion Trading Group.
 5. Bottle of scotch from Albion Trading Group.
 6. Bottle of scotch from Albion Trading Group.
 7. Bottle of spirits, passport wallet and document folder from CenturyPly.
 8. Bottle of spirits from CenturyPly.
 9. Bottle of spirits and leather goods from CenturyPly.
 10. Commemorative book of 2008 stamps from Deputy DG, State Forest Admin China.
- (c)
 1. Estimated value of bottle of scotch is \$50.00.
 2. Estimated value of bottle of scotch is \$50.00.
 3. Estimated value of bottle of scotch is \$50.00.
 4. Estimated value of bottle of scotch is \$50.00.
 5. Estimated value of bottle of scotch is \$50.00.
 6. Estimated value of bottle of scotch is \$50.00.
 7. Estimated value of bottle of spirits, passport wallet and document folder from CenturyPly is \$70.00.

8. Estimated value of bottle of spirits is \$50.00.
 9. Estimated value bottle of spirits and leather goods is \$140.00.
 10. Estimated value of commemorative book of 2008 stamps is \$99.95.
- (d) Albion Trading Group (project developer for CenturyPly) and CenturyPly are potential customers for native forest timber resource.

Corrective Services:

- (a) One officer has received a gift.
- (b) The gift was a bottle of wine from Australia Post.
- (c) The estimated value of the gift is more than \$25.
- (d) No

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5089. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Environment; Water

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

Aqwest

- (a) Three
- (b)–(d)

From	Gift	Value	Commercial/financial Relationship
Hunter Water	Dinner	\$70.00	Consultant
Hunter Water	Dinner	\$70.00	Consultant
Hunter Water	Bottle of Wine	\$25.00	Consultant
Geographe Civil	Carton of Beer	\$50.00	Contractor
Hunter Water	Bottle of Wine	\$25.00	Consultant
Geographe Civil	Bottle of Whiskey	\$30.00	Contractor

Department of Water

- (a) One
- (b)–(d)

From	Gift	Value	Commercial/financial Relationship
Legal Wise Seminars	Bottle of Wine	\$30.00	No relationship

Office of the Appeals Convenor

- (a) Two
- (b) Officers accepted free travel to private companies' premises for site inspections relating to appeals in respect to the following projects:

Macedon Gas Project, Onslow — BHP Billiton provided free travel (hire car) from Exmouth to Onslow and return;

Roy Hill Iron Ore Project — Roy Hill Iron Ore provided free transport from Newman to Roy Hill and return; and

Central West Coal Project, Eneabba — Central West Coal provided free transport from Eneabba Roadhouse to the project site and return.
- (c) Cost of hire car and/or fuel approximately \$500 inclusive
- (d) No

Perth Zoo

(a) Three

(b)–(d)

From	Gift	Value	Commercial/financial relationship
Kart World	10 passes to buy one 20-lap race and receive one at half price from Kart World	\$425.00	Perth Zoo has no commercial relationship with Kart World nor are they a sponsor.
Deloitte Australia	Golf umbrella, coffee mug and 3 biros	\$70.00	Deloitte is contracted to Perth Zoo to assist in the preparation of Business Cases.
Zaccaria Events	2 tickets to Robin Gibb and WASO at Sandalford Estate	\$450.00	Surplus tickets courtesy of Zaccaria Events, the Zoo's contracted concert service provider.
Challenger Institute of Technology	Flower arrangement	\$50.00	An officer presented at Challenger Institute of Technology, Beaconsfield campus.
CPA Congress	2 tubes of hand cream in presentation box	\$50.00	An officer presented to the CPA Congress on Risk Management. CPA is a professional body and speakers are sought from the business community to present on topics of interest to accountants.
Tenkile Conservation Alliance	Hand-woven bag	Unknown	Perth Zoo conservation partner in Papua New Guinea.
Perth Zoo Docent Association	Bottle of wine	\$15.00	An officer presented on Perth Zoo's Native Species Breed-for-Release Program and Conservation Research at Perth Zoo volunteer Docent training session.

Water Corporation

The Water Corporation's Policy 326 "Receipt and Management of Gifts" requires all gifts in excess of \$150 to be recorded. No gifts over \$150 have been recorded since 1 July 2010.

(a)–(d) A number of staff have presented papers at industry conferences with travel costs paid by conference organisers.

Department of Environment and Conservation

(a) Four

(b)–(d)

From	Gift	Value	Commercial/financial relationship
Satterley Property Group	3 invitations to corporate suite at Subiaco Oval for AFL football match	Undetermined	The company has funded environmental offsets.
Rio Tinto	Invitation to corporate suite at Subiaco Oval for AFL football match	Undetermined	The company has funded research, monitoring and environmental offsets.
Satterley Property Group	2 Bottles of Wine	\$120.00	The company has funded environmental offsets.
Gindalbie Metals Ltd	Invitation to the Ashes Corporate Charity Breakfast	Undetermined	The company has funded research.
The West Australian	Invitation to cricket at WACA	Undetermined	The company has been paid by the agency for advertisements and the agency has purchased published material from the company.
Barbagello Motors	Bottle of Wine	\$25.00	The company supplies vehicles through the agency's lease provider.

Office of the Environmental Protection Authority

- (a) Four
- (b) Air travel on charter flight to Barrow Island paid for by Chevron, air travel to Southern Cross paid for by Cazaly Resources, air travel x2 to Torro Uranium mine site paid for by Torro, air travel x2 to Yeelirrie mine site paid for by BHP Billiton, accommodation paid for by Sinosteel at Weld Range, accommodation paid for by Fortescue Metals Group at Cloudbreak mine site and a gift of a paperweight by Chinese visitors from Jiangsu Shagang Group.
- (c) Paperweight estimated value is \$40.00.
The travel and accommodation estimated cost is \$4017.00.
- (d) No

Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority, Busselton Water Board and Swan River Trust

No

- (a)–(d) Not applicable

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY SENIOR STAFF

5090. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Mental Health; Disability Services

For each agency within the Minister's portfolio of responsibilities, has any officer above level 7.1 within those agencies, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered them;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the agency have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

Date range 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

Disability Services Commission

- (a) 2
- (b) 50/50 cost sharing arrangement with Talent2, the Disability Services Commission's payroll and rostering system provider, for two Commission staff to attend the Talent2 User Group Annual Conference in Sydney, for the purpose of information sharing.
- (c) Air fares: \$1000
Accommodation: \$880
- (d) Yes, the Commission has been using Talent2's Alesco system for payroll and Labour Management System for staff rostering since 2003.

Mental Health Commission

None

- (a)–(d) Not applicable

Drug and Alcohol Office

Yes.

- (a) 1
- (b) Framed and signed team photograph from Fremantle Football Club.
- (c) \$200
- (d) The Drug and Alcohol Office was previously a sponsor of the Fremantle Football Club.

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5091. Mr M. McGowan to the Premier; Minister for State Development

Could the Premier please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

For the period 1 July 2010–6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet record shows for the Office of the Premier:

- (a) One
- (b) Stamps from Anstell Iron and Steel Group
- (c) Not recorded but assessed as minor.
- (d) No.

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5092. Mr M. McGowan to the Deputy Premier; Minister for Health; Tourism

Could the Deputy Premier please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet record shows:

- (a) Nil.
- (b)–(d) Not applicable.

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5093. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Mines and Petroleum; Fisheries; Electoral Affairs

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (a)–(d) (i) The Minister's Chief of Staff accepted an invitation from Chevron to tour their Wheatstone site on 3 August 2010. Associated travel and accommodation costs were paid for by Chevron.

- (ii) The Minister's Media Adviser attended a Helicopter flight on 24 October 2010 provided by CITIC Pacific. The tour of the Sino Iron Project, Cape Preston was part of the tours organised for the Regional Cabinet meeting held in Karratha, 23-25 October 2010.

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5094. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development; Lands; Minister Assisting the Minister for State Development

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

- (a) Nil.
- (b)–(d) Not applicable.

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5095. Mr M. McGowan to the Treasurer; Attorney General

Could the Treasurer please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011 the Department of Premier and Cabinet record shows:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5096. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Education

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within her office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet record shows:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5098. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Police; Emergency Services; Road Safety

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet record shows:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5099. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Sport and Recreation; Racing and Gaming

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr T.K. WALDRON replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011:

- (a) Two
- (b) Honorary Memberships to the WA Turf Club and WA Trotting Association
- (c) \$450 and \$260 each
- (d) No.

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5100. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Planning; Culture and the Arts; Science and Innovation

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

This information is for the period from 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011. Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

- (a) Chief of Staff.

- (b) Return air travel from Perth to Learmonth and one night's accommodation in Exmouth — on 3 and 4 August 2010 — provided by Chevron.
- (c) The Member should seek this information from Chevron.
- (d) No.

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5101. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Energy; Training and Workforce Development; Indigenous Affairs

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a)–(d) My Chief of Staff accepted an invitation from Chevron to tour their Wheatstone site on 3 August 2010. Associated travel and accommodation costs were paid for by Chevron.

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5103. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Child Protection; Community Services; Seniors and Volunteering; Women's Interests; Youth

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within her office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5104. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Local Government; Heritage; Citizenship and Multicultural Interests

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

For the period 1 July 2010–6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet records:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5105. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Agriculture and Food; Forestry; Corrective Services

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet record shows:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5106. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Environment; Water

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within his office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

For the period 1 July 2010–6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet record shows:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

The Office of the Minister for Environment; Water advises on behalf of the former Minister Hon D.E.M. Faragher MLC:

For the period 1 July 2010–6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet record shows:

- (a) One
- (b) Visit to Barrow Island — Chevron flight and accommodation.
- (c) Cost unknown.
- (d) Ongoing monitoring of environmental conditions

MINISTERIAL OFFICES — GIFT ACCEPTANCE BY STAFF

5107. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Mental Health; Disability Services

Could the Minister please advise in relation to staff within her office, has any officer, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, how many ministerial officers have accepted a gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual;
- (b) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel, and what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

For the period 1 July 2010–6 April 2011, the Department of the Premier and Cabinet record shows:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5108. Mr M. McGowan to the Premier; Minister for State Development

Could the Premier advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) if so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr C.J. BARNETT replied:

Office of the Premier advises for the period of 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011:

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

- (a)–(d) The Premier has not accepted any free accommodation or travel. Gifts have been accepted as per the attachments. [See paper 3333.]

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5109. Mr M. McGowan to the Deputy Premier; Minister for Health; Tourism

Could the Deputy Premier advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) if so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011

- (a) Helicopter flight on 24 October 2010.
- (b) CITIC Pacific.
- (c)–(d) The tour of the Sino Iron Project, Cape Preston was part of the tours organised for the Regional Cabinet meeting held in Karratha, 23-25 October 2010.

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5110. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Mines and Petroleum; Fisheries; Electoral Affairs

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- i
 - (a) One way chartered flight on 6 October 2010
 - (b) Chamber of Minerals and Energy (CME)
 - (c)–(d) Flight was organised by CME for visit to Sinosteel Koolanooka and Gindalbie Karara Iron Ore Project.
- ii
 - (a) Helicopter flight on 24 October 2010.
 - (b) CITIC Pacific.
 - (c)–(d) The tour of the Sino Iron Project, Cape Preston was part of the tours organised for the Regional Cabinet meeting held in Karratha, 23–25 October 2010.
- iii
 - (a) Chartered flight on 5 March 2011
 - (b) Cameco
 - (c)–(d) The chartered flight from Saskatoon to McArthur River was organised to tour McArthur River Uranium Mining Operation.

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5111. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development; Lands; Minister Assisting the Minister for State Development

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Yes

- (a)–(c) Please refer to table below. Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

DESCRIPTION	FROM	APPROX \$ VALUE
Red Wine — 1 bottle	UDIA WA	\$30.00
Champagne — 1 Bottle	Mirvac	\$100.00
Tie	WACA	\$25.00
Book	FORM	\$110.00

- (c) No.

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5112. Mr M. McGowan to the Treasurer; Attorney General

Could the Treasurer advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;

- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011.

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

(a)–(d)

Bottle of Wine	Association of Financial Advisors	Approx \$40	No
Book "Australia's Political Lazarus"	Chamber of Commerce & Industry	Approx \$40	No
Commemorative Plaque — forwarded to Office of Native Title	Yawuru Native Title Holders	Value Unknown	No
Bottle of Wine	Cullen Wine	Approx \$35	No

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5115. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Police; Emergency Services; Road Safety

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

For the period of 1 July 2010 — 6 April 2011, records show that no gifts, free accommodation or free travel have been received.

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5116. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Sport and Recreation; Racing and Gaming

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr T.K. WALDRON replied:

- (a)–(d) Please note, aside from invitations to events, hospitality and low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc., Minister Waldron has not accepted any free accommodation, travel or gifts of value.

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5117. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Planning; Culture and the Arts; Science and Innovation

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;

- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

This information is for the period from 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011. Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

- (a) Helicopter flight on 24 October 2010.
- (b) CITIC Pacific.
- (c)–(d) The tour of the Sino Iron Project, Cape Preston was part of the tours organised for the Regional Cabinet meeting held in Karratha, 23–25 October 2010.

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5118. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Energy; Training and Workforce Development; Indigenous Affairs

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) if so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) Nil
- (b)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5120. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Child Protection; Community Services; Seniors and Volunteering; Women's Interests; Youth

Could the Minister advise whether she has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) if so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

For the period 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011

- (a) Helicopter flight — to Cape Preston on the 24 October 2010.
- (b) CITIC Pacific Mining
- (c)–(d) the tour on Cape Preston was part of tours organised for the Regional Cabinet Meeting held in Karratha 23–25 October 2010.

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5121. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Local Government; Heritage; Citizenship and Multicultural Interests

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;

- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

The following answer refers to the date period of 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011.

Please refer to the table below.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Painting — presented by a member of the Wiluna Community to the Minister	A member of the Wiluna Community	\$500.00	No
Book Titled " <i>A Pictorial Parade of Western Australia</i> "	Received in the Mail from Mr Lou Macchia	Unknown	No
Book titled " <i>Western Australian Exploration 1836–1845</i> " and edited by Marion Hercock and Sheryl Milentis with Phil Bianchi. Series Editors Peter Bridge and Kim Epton	At the Book launch on 30 March 2011 by Phil Bianchi	\$95.00	Publication of the book was funded by the Office of Heritage

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5122. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Agriculture and Food; Forestry; Corrective Services

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

For the period from 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011, the Minister has not accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual.

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5123. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Environment; Water

Could the Minister advise whether he has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) is so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

As per the definition of a gift outlined in Appendix D of the Ministerial Code of Conduct, the Minister has not accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel from a private company or individual since 1 July 2010.

(a)–(d) Not applicable

MINISTERS — GIFT OR FREE ACCOMMODATION ACCEPTANCE

5124. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister representing the Minister for Mental Health; Disability Services

Could the Minister advise whether she has, since 1 July 2010, accepted any gift, free accommodation or free travel, from a private company or individual; and

- (a) if so, what was the nature of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (b) if so, what is the name of the individual or private company that offered the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel;
- (c) if so, what is the estimated individual value of the gift(s), free accommodation or free travel; and
- (d) if so, does the State Government have any commercial or financial relationship with the private company or individual, and, if so, what is the nature of that commercial or financial relationship?

Dr K.D. HAMES replied:

Date range 1 July 2010 to 6 April 2011

Please note this information does not include invitations to events, hospitality and excludes low value gifts such as notepads, calendars etc.

No

- (a)–(d) Not applicable.

PUBLIC HOUSING — RENT INCREASE

5125. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Housing

I refer to the Barnett Government's move to increase rental rates for Department of Housing clients following the one-off rise in the pension rate, and ask:

- (a) what was the total number of Department of Housing clients affected by the increase; and
- (b) what was the maximum increase for Department of Housing clients affected by the increase?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a) 16 200 single pensioners (including age and disability pensioners) received the increase in their basic Centrelink pension.
- (b) As a result of the exemption being removed rent will increase by approximately \$7.50 per week at each tenant's next annual rent review.

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY AND FINANCE — IT AND TELECOMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT

5126. Dr A.D. Buti to the Treasurer

In October 2009, the Department of Treasury and Finance entered into a Common User Arrangement (CUA) for Information Technology and Telecommunication equipment and services purchases, and in relation to this, I ask:

- (a) how many satellite phones have been purchased under this CUA; and
- (b) what is the total dollar value of these purchases and the total monthly usage charges?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a) 243 satellite phones have been purchased across government on the new Telecommunications CUA which commenced on 12 April 2010; and
- (b) the dollar value of these purchases of satellite phones is \$398,750 and the total monthly usage charges for satellite phones for the 12 months operation of the new Telecommunications CUA is \$59,290. The monthly charges are a fixed monthly charge of \$30 plus a usage of approximately \$10-20 per month.

NATIVE VEGETATION — CLEARING PERMIT ISSUE

5127. Mr C.J. Tallentire to the Minister for Environment

I refer to the granting of a permit to destroy native vegetation, permit number 4196/1 issued to Mr Ron McLean on 17 March 2011, and ask:

- (a) is part of the vegetation to be destroyed Beard's Vegetation Unit 1049, medium woodland, wandoo, York gum, salmon gum, morrel and gimlet;
- (b) what is the percentage remaining of the original extent of this vegetation unit;
- (c) what is the percentage remaining of the original extent of this vegetation unit held in the conservation estate;
- (d) is the issue of this permit consistent with Clearing Principle (e) which states that native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared;

- (e) did officers from the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) Native Vegetation Conservation Branch inspect this land during the assessment of the permit application; and
 - (i) if not, why not; and
 - (ii) if not, was the advice of the applicant used when considering the decision to grant the permit; and
- (f) since September 2008, on how many other occasions has a clearing permit been issued without a site inspection from DEC officers, but with advice from the applicant?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (a) Yes.
- (b) The estimated percentage remaining of Beard Vegetation Association 1049 is 6.84 per cent, or 56,987 hectares.
- (c) The estimated percentage of the remaining area that is within the formal conservation reserve system is 5.71 per cent, or 3,255 hectares.
- (d) Section 51(O) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 requires the Chief Executive Officer to have regard to the clearing principles so far as they are relevant to the matter under consideration. Mr McLean's application to clear was to remove dead vegetation within an eight hectare area to enable rehabilitation. The assessment of the area found that the vegetation was in a parkland state, that is mainly pasture with scattered trees and shrubs, and did not comprise intact remnant native vegetation because it had been previously extensively cleared.
- (e) No.
 - (i) The analysis of aerial photography clearly showed that the area was severely degraded, and was not significant as remnant vegetation.
 - (ii) Yes, the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) considers all supporting information when making a decision on an application to clear.
- (f) DEC does not keep a separate record of clearing permits granted without site inspection and with supporting information from the applicant.

For each application received, DEC conducts a desktop review of the information provided by the applicant. The assessment approach is based on consideration of the nature of the clearing and the environmental risks associated with the clearing. In some cases, it may be determined that a site inspection is not warranted.

DEC publishes details of each of its decisions and the decision reports on its external website.

COMMUNITY LANGUAGES PROGRAM — APPLICANT FUNDING

5128. Ms J.M. Freeman to the Minister for Education

With regards to the Department for Education's Community Languages Program, for which applications are currently open:

- (a) are organisations which operate language programs but which are not members of the Ethnic Schools Association of Western Australia (ESAWA) eligible to receive funding under the Community Languages Program; and
 - (i) if not, why are applicant organisations required to be financial members of the ESAWA;
- (b) what is meant by 'preferred financial member' of the ESAWA in the eligibility requirements of the 2011 Community Languages Program; and
- (c) does the 'locally operated' eligibility requirement for funding preclude organisations without permanent business premises or which rely on volunteer personnel?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a) Yes.
 - (i) Not applicable.
- (b) The term 'preferred financial member' of Ethnic Schools Association of Western Australia in the eligibility requirements means the Department of Education does not require organisations to be a member of ESAWA but encourages organisations to consider joining ESAWA.
- (c) No.

METROPOLITAN CEMETERIES BOARD — REGULATION

5129. Ms J.M. Freeman to the Minister for Local Government

- (1) Is the Monumental Mason's Policy of the Metropolitan Cemetery Board a regulation within the powers of the *Cemeteries Act 1986*; and
 - (a) if yes, when was it gazetted;
 - (b) if yes, what section of the Act gives it power to regulate; and
 - (i) if no, was the policy subject to public comment; and
 - (A) if yes, when and who was consulted; and
 - (B) if not, why not?
- (2) With respect to the building requirements for the two beam foundation system under the *Cemeteries Act 1986*, does it apply to:
 - (a) Fremantle Cemetery;
 - (b) Guildford Cemetery;
 - (c) Karrakatta Cemetery;
 - (d) Midland Cemetery;
 - (e) Binaroo Valley Memorial Park;
 - (f) Rockingham Regional Memorial Park; and
 - (g) where the answer is no to any of (2)(a) to (2)(f) above, why not?
- (3) With respect to the building requirements for cemeteries under the *Cemeteries Act 1986* for a new beam system, what powers in the Act were used to establish the building requirement?
- (4) What section of the Act gives the Cemetery Board this power?
- (5) Were the building requirements for the new beam system made as regulation or policy?
- (6) If the building requirements for the new beam system are regulations, when were they gazetted?
- (7) If the building requirements for the new beam system are regulations, was a regulatory impact statement done?
- (8) If the building requirements for the new beam system are policy, did the policy go out for public comment; and
 - (a) if yes, when and for how long?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

- (1) The Monumental Masons Policy is not a regulation. Policies, procedures and guidelines enable the Board to supplement its by-laws to ensure that its responsibilities relating to the care, control and management of cemeteries are met, section 5 Cemeteries Act 1986 (the Act).
 - (a)–(b) Not applicable.
 - (c) No.
 - (A) Not applicable
 - (B) The intent of this policy was to enhance the construction of monuments to ensure greater longevity (on average 50 years) and to improve safety, therefore it was not considered necessary to seek public consultation.

The Monumental Mason's Policy was developed in consultation with representatives from the monumental masons industry. The intent of the policy is to establish standards consistent with and supplementary to the Australian Standard AS 4204-1994 Headstones and Cemetery Monuments and to address identified issues relating to Occupational Safety and Health.
- (2) The building requirement for the two beam foundation system applies to some new burial sections where full monumental work is to be allowed, and to renewed full monumental sections (under the Cemetery Renewal Program).
 - (a) It will be applied as areas of the cemetery are subject to redevelopment in the future.
 - (b) Yes.

- (c) Yes.
 - (d) It will be applied to areas of the cemetery subject to redevelopment in the future.
 - (e) No, there are no full monumental sections at Pinnaroo Valley Memorial Park.
 - (f) It will be applied as areas of the cemetery are subject to redevelopment in the future.
 - (g) See (2)(e).
- (3) Under Section 7 of the Act the Board is responsible for the care, control and management of its cemeteries. In order to meet its responsibilities under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, address safe working practices which are scrutinised by WorkSafe, and ensure a safe environment for the public generally, it is incumbent on the Board, as with any authority, to take all steps necessary to ensure compliance and mitigate risk.
- (4) Section 5 (2) of the Act vests the "care, control and management of a cemetery in a Board."
- Section 7 (2) (c) states that a Board is a body corporate and is capable of, among other things, "doing and suffering all such acts and things as bodies corporate may do and suffer." This would reasonably include the making of policy, procedures and guidelines for the care, control and management of a cemetery.
- Section 24 (1) (b) states that the Board shall "preserve and maintain a cemetery in a safe, clean and orderly condition"
- Section 24 (2) (a) states that the Board may provide "other works for the effective management of a cemetery".
- Section 29 states that "the Board shall control the kinds of memorials permitted in a cemetery and may impose different requirements for different areas of a cemetery."
- Clause 44 (1) (b) of the Metropolitan Cemeteries Board By-law 1992 states that a person shall not carry out monumental work on a grave "except in accordance with the plans and specifications for different areas of a cemetery." The Monumental Masons Policy sets out the Board's plans and specifications for these memorials.
- "Memorial" includes headstone, plaque, tombstone, monumental work, inscription, kerbing, enclosure and any other fixture or thing commemorating a grave or the placement of ashes. (Section 3 of the Act)
- (5) Policy.
 - (6)–(7) Not applicable.
 - (8) As per (1) (iii).
 - (a) Not applicable.

ALBANY — JUVENILE REMAND FACILITY

5130. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister for Corrective Services

Is the Minister aware that there is a facility in Albany that can accommodate juveniles on remand, rather than the current and very expensive arrangement whereby juveniles from Albany on remand are transported to Perth to be held pending their court appearance?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

There are no remand facilities for young people without bail in Albany. All young people in Western Australia placed on remand without bail are remanded to Rangeview Remand Centre. For young people granted bail the Department of Corrective Services will work to locate them with responsible adults or local accommodation.

PARDELUP PRISON FARM — BUS AIR CONDITIONING

5131. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister for Corrective Services

Can the Minister advise the House as to whether or not there is a passenger bus at Pardelup Prison farm that is currently not in use due to it not being fitted with an air conditioner; and

- (a) if so, are there any plans to have air conditioning fitted to the bus; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

There is a non air-conditioned bus at Pardelup Prison Farm, but it is not in use for the transport of prisoners. The use of the bus is limited to commuting staff between Pardelup and Walpole Work Camp when no other vehicles

are available. Pardelup are currently using two other buses for prisoner transport, both of which are air-conditioned.

- (a) No. A suitable (air-conditioned) replacement vehicle will be provided.
 - (i) Installing air-conditioning on the non air-conditioned bus is not considered viable due to its age.

ALBANY — PRISONER TRANSPORT FROM PERTH

5132. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister for Corrective Services

- (1) What is the cost to the Department of Corrective Services for transporting prisoners from Perth to Albany for inter-prison transfers?
- (2) Can the Minister advise as to whether or not those prisoners are transported in a luxury tourist type coach?
- (3) What is the cost of each trip using this coach?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (1) The cost to the Department for transporting prisoners from Perth to Albany is \$2250 per return trip. The coach makes one return trip per week with a maximum of 15 prisoners transported at one time.
- (2) The prisoners are transported in a standard type coach with wrist and leg restraints applied.
- (3) As above, each return trip is \$2250.

ALBANY — WATER TANKS USE

5133. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister for Transport

- (1) Can the Minister advise the House whether or not the large water tanks installed at the new Main Roads building in Albany are being used; and
 - (a) if not, why not?
- (2) If the tanks are being used, can the Minister advise what they are being used for?
- (3) Did Main Roads receive any form of grant, concession or subsidy associated with the water tanks?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

Main Roads WA advises:

- (1) Yes, the water tanks are in use.
 - (a) Not applicable
- (2) The tanks are part of the environmentally sensitive design of the building and capture rainwater from the roof of the building which is used to irrigate the landscaping and to flush the toilets in the building.
- (3) Main Roads received no grant, concession or subsidy for the installation or use of the water tanks.

CANNING DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICE — REDEPLOYMENT OF STAFF

5134. Mr C.J. Tallentire to the Minister for Education

I refer to the redeployment of some staff formerly based in District Offices of the Department of Education. Within the geographical area that was previously the Gosnells/Thornlie cluster of the Canning Education District, will the Minister confirm the names and current place of work of the:

- (a) school psychologists;
- (b) social workers;
- (c) participation co-ordinators; and
- (d) Inclusive Education teachers?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

It is not normal practice to reveal names in responses without the prior permission of the people involved due to privacy concerns.

The following information is correct as of 13 May 2011.

- (a) Six primary school-based psychologists will be located at Huntingdale Primary School. Three secondary school-based psychologists have been relocated with one at Southern River College, one at Thornlie Senior High School and one at Canning Vale College.

- (b) One social worker remains at the Canning Local Education Office until suitable accommodation is found in a school.
- (c) Six participation co-ordinators remain at the Canning Local Education Office until suitable accommodation is found in schools.
- (d) One inclusive education teacher remains at the Canning Local Education Office until suitable accommodation is found in a school.

CONTAMINATED SITE, BALLAJURA — CLEAN-UP NOTICE ISSUE

5135. Ms R. Saffioti to the Minister for Environment

I refer to the contaminated site (abandoned petrol station) on Lot 111 on the corner of Illawarra Crescent and Shearwater Terrace in Ballajura, and I ask:

- (a) has the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) issued a clean-up notice for the site; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
 - (ii) if yes, when was this issued; and
 - (iii) by when was the clean-up required to be completed; and
- (b) has DEC had any communication with the owners of this site; and
 - (i) if so, what was the nature of the communication and on what dates did it occur?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (a) (i)–(iii) No. Under section 50(2)(b) of the Contaminated Sites Act 2003 a clean-up notice may be given only if the CEO believes, on reasonable grounds, that appropriate action to remediate the site is not being, or has not been, taken.

In November 2010, the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) received a mandatory auditor's report (MAR) for the site, prepared by an accredited contaminated sites auditor. The auditor reviewed all investigation, remediation, health risk assessment and groundwater monitoring reports prepared between 2003 and October 2010 and concluded that, although groundwater remediation is still in progress, the site is suitable for continued commercial use, including the proposed use as a medical centre, provided groundwater is not used. DEC concurs with the auditor's conclusions.

- (b) Yes
 - (i) DEC responded to the owner's request for a detailed summary of records for the site on 23 May 2007. The owner phoned DEC on 30 January 2008 with a query related to the detailed summary of records search response and to enquire as to progress with the site's classification. On 29 July 2008, DEC notified the owner that, on 22 July 2008, the site was classified contaminated — remediation required. On 23 September 2008, DEC notified the owner that a memorial had been registered on his property title on 19 August 2008, recording the site classification.

In response to a request from the owner dated 12 January 2009, DEC gave written consent for a new mortgage to be registered on the property title on 16 January 2009. On 2 February 2009, DEC responded to a further request from the owner for clarification regarding the site classification, and whether the property was suitable for use as a medical centre. On 24 March 2011 DEC notified the owner that, although the site classification remains contaminated — remediation required because groundwater remediation by monitored natural attenuation is still in progress, the reasons for classification had been updated to reflect the findings of the MAR.

GRANNY FLAT DEVELOPMENTS

5136. Mr T.G. Stephens to the Minister for Planning

- (1) What is the mechanism that prohibits the use of 'granny flat' developments for unrelated parties?
- (2) Can the Minister outline the justification for this impediment?
- (3) Will the Minister undertake to secure the removal of this impediment to 'granny flat' developments for unrelated parties so that they can be used as part of the urban infill strategy to tackle affordability needs of increasing numbers of Western Australians; and
 - (a) if not, why not?
- (4) Is the Minister aware that there is already wide scale use of granny flats by unrelated parties in breach of the current requirements of the R-codes?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (1) State Planning Policy 3.1 — Residential Design Codes (R-Codes) currently specifies that 'ancillary accommodation' to a dwelling, or what is more typically referred to as a 'granny flat', is for family members only. The R-Codes are read into local planning schemes by reference, pursuant to relevant provisions of the Planning and Development Act 2005.
- (2) The provision was included in the first gazetted version of the R-Codes, in 1985, to provide additional housing opportunities for members of large or extended families.
- (3) The Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) has initiated a further review of the R-Codes, and has advised that the review will investigate a significant number of issues in regard to the operation of the R-Codes, including those relating to ancillary accommodation. The issue of non-family members being able to rent or use such ancillary accommodation has been raised as a potential change, as suggested in both the Directions 2031 strategy (WAPC) and the Social Housing Taskforce report, Housing 2020: Future Directions for Affordable Housing (Department of Housing). Public consultation concerning the R-Codes review is expected to commence in the middle of this year and will provide the opportunity for comments to be submitted by interested persons on the issue.
 - (a) Not applicable.
- (4) There are anecdotal reports that some ancillary accommodation is used by non-family members. I am unaware of any data on the extent of this occurring.

STATE THEATRE CENTRE — BOOKINGS

5137. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Culture and the Arts

In relation to the Minister's statements regarding the main theatre and the smaller 200-seat studio at the State Theatre Centre being 91 percent commercially booked out for this year, I ask:

- (a) what amount of the venue capacity has been booked out for this year to date;
- (b) who or which bodies booked the venues;
- (c) for what type of production, event or function were the venues booked;
- (d) what has been the financial return to the State of each booking;
- (e) what amount of the venue capacity has been booked out for the remainder of the year;
- (f) who or which bodies have booked the venues for the remainder of the year;
- (g) for what type of production, event or function have the venues been booked for the remainder of the year; and
- (h) what has been, or will be, the financial return to the State of each booking for the remainder of the year?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) The venue is booked at 95% capacity for the year to date.
- (b) The organisations that have booked are:
 - Black Swan Theatre Centre
 - Institute of Architects
 - Wood and Grieves
 - Chamber of Commerce
 - Healthways
 - DramaWest
 - Midnite Youth Theatre
 - Westpac
 - X-Media-Lab
 - Western Australian Academy of Performing Arts
 - Perth Theatre Company
 - ThinIce
- (c) The events were:
 - Theatre
 - Function
 - Conference
 - Film
 - Music

· Fashion Event

- (d) A financial return is not calculated for each booking. The venue hire charges for users of the State Theatre Centre of Western Australia (STCWA) were based on the charges for The Playhouse Theatre with allowances for the additional technology available in the venue and for the additional seating capacity in Heath Ledger Theatre. For 2010/11 the Government has provided recurrent funding of \$909,000 to meet the net operating costs of the STCWA. This figure is based on estimated expenditure of \$2.13m and revenue of \$1.22m. The estimated venue hire rental for the financial year 2010/11 is \$340,000.
- (e) The venue is booked at 85.7% capacity for the remainder of the year.
- (f)–(h) A range of organisations have made bookings and enquiries but it would be inappropriate to release information at this time without the agreement of the relevant Companies.

BELVEDERE AND AQUA CARAVAN PARKS — RESIDENT SUPPORT

5138. Mr D.A. Templeman to the Minister for Housing

I refer to the Minister's response dated 8 March 2011 to my correspondence regarding the closure of the Belvedere and Aqua Caravan Park in my electorate of Mandurah and the Interagency Working Group reconvened by the Department of Housing, and ask:

- (a) how many times has the Interagency Working Group met since it was reconvened;
- (b) what supports have been offered to residents of both caravan parks and how many have received direct assistance from the Department of Housing and the Interagency Group; and
- (c) can the Minister detail how many residents from Aqua Caravan Park and Belvedere Caravan Park have been offered accommodation from the Department of Housing and how many have been housed to date by the department?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a) Three
- (b) More than 40 residents at the Aqua Caravan Park have been assisted by the Department of Housing and the Peel Development Commission through:
- Private rental bond loan assistance;
- Social housing (where eligible);
- Assistance in applying to a non-government organisation for furniture removal costs; and information on other caravan parks that may have sites available.
- Belvedere Caravan Park residents concerned about redevelopment in the next two-three years were encouraged to apply for social housing assistance.
- (c) From the Aqua Caravan Park:
- Fourteen residents have been housed by the Department of Housing or Community Housing Organisations since the closure was announced in December 2010;
- Three residents have been given private rental bond loan assistance; and;
- Three current residents await priority access to Department of Housing or Community Housing Organisation properties.

CARAVAN PARKS — PEEL REGION

5139. Mr D.A. Templeman to the Minister for Planning

I refer to the 2009 Economics and Industry Standing Committee Inquiry into the Provision, Use and Regulation of Caravan Parks and Camping Grounds in Western Australia, and ask:

- (a) has any land been identified in the Peel Region for future caravan parks by the Minister's department; and
- (i) if not why not; and
- (b) has the Department of Planning identified any land in the South West Region for future caravan parks; and
- (i) if not, why not?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) The Department of Planning, in consultation with the various State government agencies and local governments, is currently examining several sites in the Peel region which may be potentially suitable for a caravan park development. Some of these sites are on government land and some are on privately owned land. However, further planning investigations and consultations with government agencies are required to confirm their suitability.
- (b) No.
 - (i) Investigation of sites for future caravan parks has not been a priority in the South West as it has been for the Peel Region. Individual local governments can establish priorities for caravan parks through their local planning strategies and local tourism strategies.

COCOS ISLANDS — GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

5140. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Regional Development

In relation to services provided to residents on Cocos (Keeling) Islands, I ask:

- (a) is liaison for Cocos (Keeling) Islands facilitated through the Midwest Regional Development Commission or any other Commission;
- (b) what support from the Royalties for Regions fund has been allocated for services on the most remote region from Perth, the Cocos islands; and
- (c) is there any support planned in the future from the Royalties for Regions fund for the Cocos Islands; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

- (a) No
- (b) No Royalties for Regions funding has been allocated to Cocos (Keeling) Islands to date. The Department of Regional Development and Lands provides support to the Cocos (Keeling) Islands Community Resource Centre and State Land Services through a Service Delivery Agreement with the Federal Government.
- (c) Not at this stage.
 - (i) Royalties for Regions funds can only be used for projects that benefit towns and regions defined under the Regional Development Commissions Act 1993. Cocos (Keeling) Islands do not qualify under that definition. All funding agreements with Cocos (Keeling) Islands are arranged through a Service Delivery Agreement with the Federal Government.

COCOS ISLANDS — GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

5141. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Education

In relation to services provided to residents from Cocos (Keeling) Islands, I ask:

- (a) what support does the Western Australian Education Department provide for English as a Second Language (ESL) students living and attending school in the Cocos Islands; and
- (b) what support is provided in Perth and mainland schools specifically for Cocos students?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

The Member will need to clarify what he means by "support".

Please note that these schools are Commonwealth funded and owned schools.

VIENNA PHILHARMONIC ORCHESTRA — PERTH PERFORMANCES

5142. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Culture and the Arts

In relation to the decision to bankroll the two performances of the Vienna Philharmonic Orchestra in Perth by up to \$150,000 of taxpayers' money, I ask:

- (a) what is the minimum possible level of subsidy and what are the key performance indicators (KPIs) to be met to achieve this;
- (b) will the Minister table the business plan to justify the levels of subsidy; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (c) who originated the proposal to subsidise these two performances;

- (d) will the Minister table the initial proposal and any counter-proposals offered by his agencies or staff; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (e) is the Western Australian taxpayer subsidy cross-subsidising performances being held in Sydney and Brisbane;
- (f) what due diligence has the Minister undertaken to ensure that Western Australian taxpayers are not cross-subsidising the Sydney and Brisbane performances and will the Minister table that information; and
 - (i) if not, why not; and
- (g) has the Minister complied with the *Financial Management Act 2006* which provides that an agency must not enter into an agreement that cannot be tabled in Parliament; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

The State Government does not 'bankroll' performances in the sordid manner insinuated by the Member for Perth. The State Government instead supports high calibre cultural events for the benefit of the community.

- (a) Perth Theatre Trust (PTT) has committed up to \$150,000 for the two Perth performances. There are a number of criteria applied, including presenting performances by world-class artists in Western Australia and maximising use of PTT venues.
- (b) PTT has a Programming Policy which is attached. Proposals are assessed within this policy context and from an affordability perspective. A Venture Proposal presenting projected budgets, including expenditure and income from ticket sales and marketing was presented to the PTT Programming and Finance Committee and PTT Board for consideration and approval.
 - (c) The venue management company contracted by PTT to operate the Perth Concert Hall for the benefit of the people of Western Australia.
 - (d) As outlined in (b) a Venture Proposal was prepared for these two performances. A summary is attached. There were no counter proposals offered by PTT. It should be noted that international negotiations such as this take several years to bring to fruition. [See paper 3334.]
 - (e) No
 - (f) Each venue is responsible for its own budget for the performances as is normal business practice. This requirement will be included in the contract. The cost of the performances at the Perth Concert Hall includes only those costs applicable to WA.
 - (g) Arrangements will comply with the Financial Management Act 2006.

SCHOOL DONATIONS

5143. Ms J.M. Freeman to the Minister for Education

In the 2009–2010 Department of Education Annual Report there were 13,861,000 donations to schools. Can the minister detail:

- (a) which schools received donations;
- (b) how much did each school receive;
- (c) was this outlined in the information given to the Federal Government for the purpose of the MySchool website; and
- (d) were the donations received tax deductible?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

I assume the Member means "In the 2009-2010 Department of Education Annual Report there were \$13 861,000 in donations to schools".

- (a)-b) [See paper 3326.]
- (c) Yes. The amounts which fell in the 2009 calendar year were reported on the My School website.
- (d) No.

POLICE OFFICERS — CRIMINAL ASSOCIATIONS

5144. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

How many officers have nominated criminal associations since the establishment of the Criminal Associations Database in late 2009?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

Since the establishment of the register 71 employees, being 61 police officers and 10 police staff, have declared associations.

JUDICIAL OFFICERS — CULTURALLY AND LINGUISTICALLY DIVERSE

5145. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Attorney General

In relation to the appointment of culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) magistrates and judges, I ask:

- (a) how many magistrates and judges still serving in Western Australia have an Asian CALD background and how does this compare to the general community demographic; and
- (b) how many magistrates and judges have been appointed since the election of the Barnett Government and how many are of an Asian CALD background?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (a)–(b) Data on personal characteristics, such as racial or ethnic backgrounds, of the State's judicial officers is not collected or catalogued by the State governments. Further, there is no statutory or other requirement for such characteristics to be a necessary element of the judicial appointment process. For example, section 8(1) of the Supreme Court Act 1935 (WA) indicates the qualifications required for appointment.

As to the first part of the question, since 23 September 2008, there have been: 16 Judges appointed; 5 Magistrates appointed and 1 re-appointed in the Magistrates Court of Western Australia; 1 Magistrate appointed on a full time basis (who was previously appointed on a part time basis) in the Children's Court of Western Australia; and 1 Magistrate appointed in the Family Court of Western Australia.

LEGAL PROFESSION — GENDER EQUITY POLICY

5146. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Attorney General

In relation to external briefs for criminal trials, I ask:

- (a) does the Attorney General's department and the Department of Public Prosecutions have a gender equity policy regarding employment and also in the contracting out of briefs;
- (b) from the election of the Barnett Government until December 2009, how many external briefs were sent out, how many were allocated to male, and how many to female, lawyers and barristers;
- (c) will the Attorney General table the list of lawyers and barristers that briefs were allocated to; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (d) since December 2009, how many external briefs were sent out, how many were allocated to male, and how many to female, lawyers and barristers; and
- (e) will the Attorney General table the list of lawyers and barristers that briefs were allocated to; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions

- (a) Yes. The Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions (ODPP) has an Equal Employment Opportunity Management Plan 2010-12 which includes specific targets and strategies to promote gender equity in the ODPP. The ODPP has also adopted the Law Council of Australia's national Model Equal Opportunity Briefing Policy for Female Barristers and Advocates. The ODPP employs 128 legal staff of which 67 are women lawyers.

Most significantly, of the employed senior prosecutors (that is positions at Senior State Prosecutor Class 3/Level 6LG or above with a salary greater than \$163 711 per annum) 44.4 per cent are currently held by women lawyers. This achievement is a reflection of the ODPP's commitment to attracting, retaining and promoting female practitioners.

- (b) Male: 963 briefed trial or circuit days.
Female: 276 briefed trial or circuit days.
- (c) Lawyers and Barristers Briefed by the ODPP Until December 2009: See following table.

P Bevilaqua	C Hofmann	J Prior	R Birmingham
M Holgate	H Quail	L Black	A Karstaedt
S Rafferty	G Braddock SC	B Lonsdale	L Robbins
P Chong	D Maclean	D Steahli SC	A Derrick
J McGrath	M Tedeschi	T Derrick	M Mischin
L Tovey	E De Vries	J Myers	S Van Dongen
G Edwards	R Nash	S Watters	A Elliott

- (d) Male: 1 141 briefed trial or circuit days.
Female: 97 briefed trial or circuit days.

The ODPP seeks to ensure an equitable number of criminal prosecutions are briefed to women lawyers. The most experienced women barristers became unavailable during this period due to other professional appointments. This has impacted on the ODPP's ability to secure women counsel for criminal trials.

- (e) Lawyers and Barristers Briefed by the ODPP Since December 2009:

M Blandford	B Lonsdale	J Seif	P Chong
D Maclean	S Shadgett	P Dixon	I Marshall
M Tedeschi	G Edwards	J Myers	L Tovey
A Elliott	S O'Sullivan	A Troy	A Eyers
T Offer	P Urquhart	E Fitzpatrick	J Prior
S Van Dongen	A Hills-Wright	H Quail	K Vernon
C Hofmann	S Rafferty	S Watters	M Holgate
K Ratneser	I Weldon	A Karstaedt	D Renton

State Solicitors Office

The State Solicitor's Office (DotAG) does not conduct criminal trials in indictable matters. These are dealt with by the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions. The State Solicitor's Office does conduct prosecutions in Magistrates Court but has not, within the date range specified, engaged outside lawyers or barristers to take conduct of any trial within the criminal jurisdiction of that court.

The State Solicitor's Office is not aware whether the Department of the Attorney General has a gender equity policy with respect to the contracting out of legal briefs however the Office is aware and mindful of the Law Society's "Equality of Opportunity Model Briefing Policy" which is Schedule 5 to "The Law Society of Western Australia Professional Conduct Rules".

The State Solicitor's Office is also aware of and complies with the Department of the Attorney General's Equal Employment Opportunity Policy and Equal Employment Opportunity Management Plan 2010-2014.

PERTH UNDERGROUND TRAIN STATION — PUBLIC ART COMPONENT

5147. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Transport

In relation to the Perth underground rail station environs, I ask:

- what was the total cost of the Perth underground rail project and what was the percentage and the actual cost of the public art component;
- what public art has been installed in the project; and
- how much public art has been installed, and in which locations has it been installed, on the station's walls?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Public Transport Authority advises:

- \$419.8m. The total cost of the public art works at both Perth Underground and Esplanade stations was \$277 250. This is 0.17 per cent of the total cost of the two underground stations.
- (c) The public art at the stations are:

Perth Underground: Underground concourse link: 'Light, Space and Place'. A linear composition of 21 lighting units incorporated into the wall cladding of the walkway between Perth Underground and Perth Station. The artist is Anne Neil.

Concourse Level: 'Take it or Leave it'. Coloured sandstone panels built into the side wall cladding at the concourse level. The panels incorporate 'stream of consciousness' words relating to the themes of time and motion. The artists are Jurek Wybraniec and Stephen Neille.

Esplanade: Concourse/Entry Level: 'Journey as One'. A mixed media, three dimensional relief mosaic made of glass, ceramic tiles, natural stone and aluminium. The artists are Norma MacDonald, Les MacDonald and Robyn Templeton.

WATER BILLS — PENALTY FOR NON-PAYMENT

5148. Mr E.S. Ripper to the Minister for Water

- (1) How many residents are currently experiencing restrictions on their water supplies for non-payment of water bills?
- (2) How many residents had their water supplies restricted for non-payment of water bills for the financial years:
 - (a) 2007–2008;
 - (b) 2008–2009;
 - (c) 2009–2010; and
 - (d) to date, 2010–2011?
- (3) What is the non-payment threshold before restriction to supply is implemented?
- (4) What proportion of customers in arrears subsequently settle their accounts before legal action is required?
- (5) Will the Minister provide a geographical breakdown, by suburb, of where the customers in arrears reside, and the numbers of customers in each area?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (1) Water supply restrictions are used as a method to engage with the customer and not as punishment for non payment of account. If after 14 days the customer has not contacted the Water Corporation, full flow is restored. As of 6 May 2011, there are 27 customers with a restricted water flow.
- (2) 2007-08 2,164
 - (a) 2008-09 119 (low due to the Water Corporation suspending restrictions)
 - (b) 2009-10 2,342
 - (c) To date 2010-11 1,107 (as at 30 April 2011)
- (3) \$250.
- (4) Over 99%.
- (5) A customer is considered to be in arrears if they have an outstanding balance that is past the due date for payment. [See paper 3335.] for the list detailing all suburbs where customers in arrears reside, and number of customers in arrears in those areas, as of 30 April 2011.

It is important to note that the Water Corporation will not take legal or restriction action against those customers with arrears of less than \$250. Of the 85,408 customers noted on the attached table, the vast majority (61,125 customers, or 72%) have arrears of less than \$250.

THEATRE COMPANIES — FAIR PAY FOR PERFORMERS

5149. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Culture and the Arts

In relation to fair wages and fees for actors and other West Australian performers, what extra funding has the Minister provided to Black Swan and other theatre companies providing simulcasts of live performances, so that actors are being paid correctly for extended enjoyment of their craft; and

- (a) if this has not happened, why not?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

None. The company receives annual program funding and is responsible for its own negotiations and payments to employees.

- (a) See above.

INDONESIAN PRISONERS — MONEY HELD BY DEPARTMENT

5150. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Corrective Services

In relation to the imprisonment of under-age and adult Indonesians in Western Australian adult prisons, I ask:

- (a) how much money is being held by the Department of Corrective Services, and from how many ex-prisoners;

- (b) how much money is being held by the Department, and from how many current prisoners;
- (c) has the Minister, or any Minister since the election of the Barnett Government, issued a directive to the Department to correctly forward money and wages due to former Indonesian prisoners; and
 - (i) if so, why has the directive not been complied with?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (a)–(b) There are no Indonesians currently held in WA State prisons who have been confirmed as below 18 years of age, so the following applies only to adults in custody.

As at 15 April 2011, a total of \$2982.67 from the Gratuities and Private Cash accounts of all 84 former Indonesian prisoners is being held by the Department of Corrective Services. As at 15 April 2011, a total of \$59,165.55 from the Gratuities and Private Cash accounts of all 163 current Indonesian prisoners is being held by the Department of Corrective Services. These monies may be held for a number of reasons, including the prisoner has not opted to spend/transfer his earnings, the prisoner has moderated their spending and/or the prisoner has requested to transfer money but due to the complexities of foreign transfers or lack of existing bank account, the money has been returned or the transaction could not be finalised.

In April, the Commonwealth Attorney General's department issued a directive to State and Territory authorities to cease transfers of money earned by Indonesian prisoners. Due to the lack of clarity around the current requirements for release of gratuities to people convicted of people smuggling offences, representatives from DCS and the Department for Immigration and Citizenship will be meeting in the near future to discuss the matter and establish a formal process. A full and comprehensive review of Policy Directive 69 — 'Management of Prisoners' Money' will be undertaken in parallel with the development of a policy to guide the management of foreign national prisoners.

- (c) No
 - (i) Not applicable

INDONESIAN PRISONERS — AGE DETERMINING PROCESSES

5151. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Corrective Services

In relation to the imprisonment of under-age Indonesians in Western Australian adult prisons, I ask:

- (a) since the election of the Barnett Government, what information on the validity of bone-density testing, triple-testing, or any other age determining processes has been received by the Minister's office or the relevant Department;
- (b) will the Minister table that information; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (c) has the Minister or his Department sought any expert advice from the University of Western Australia anthropology, or other university professionals, on this issue; and
 - (i) if not, why not;
- (d) has the Minister or his Department received any information from third parties regarding expert advice available from the University of Western Australia; and
- (e) is the Minister aware that there are at least 25 under-age Indonesians currently in Western Australia's adult prisons; and
 - (i) if not, why not?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

- (a) The Department has not received any information on the validity of bone-density, triple-testing, or any other age determining process.
- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) The Department has not sought any expert advice, from UWA or elsewhere, regarding this issue.
 - (i) The Department relies upon the relevant documentation supplied by the Australian Federal Police (AFP) the Commonwealth Courts to ensure remanded prisoners are at least 19 years of age or older.
- (d) The Department has not received any such information.

- (e) The Minister is aware of multiple cases where current prisoners have alleged to be under-age, however all cases (as at 18 April 2011) have been reconfirmed as at least 19 years of age or older by the Department in consultation with the AFP.
- (i) Not applicable.

PEGGY GUGGENHEIM COLLECTION — ADVERTISING APPLICATION

5152. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Culture and the Arts

I refer to the advertising application entitled 'Publicity Campaign for the *Peggy Guggenheim: A Collection in Venice Exhibition*' at the Western Australian Art Gallery, and ask:

- (a) on what date did the Departmental office sign off on this application;
- (b) on what date did the Departmental Chief Executive Officer or Director General sign off on this application;
- (c) on what date did the Chief of Staff sign off on this application;
- (d) did any of the above three positions not sign off on this advertising application;
- (e) if any of the above three positions did not sign off on this application, can the Minister confirm if this application proceeded;
- (f) can the Minister confirm that it is a mandatory requirement that such an application should bear the signature of the Minister's Chief of Staff; and
- (g) if any of the above three positions did not sign off on this application, can the Minister please provide information as to how this application was able to proceed?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) 25 August 2010
- (b) 25 August 2010
- (c) 26 August 2010
- (d) No
- (e) Not applicable.
- (f) Yes
- (g) Not applicable.

PEGGY GUGGENHEIM COLLECTION — REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE

5153. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Culture and the Arts

In relation to the Peggy Guggenheim exhibition, I ask:

- (a) what was the revenue target and what was actual revenue;
- (b) what was the breakdown of sources for actual revenue, and will the Minister table that information; and
- (i) if not, why not;
- (c) what was the total contribution of EventsCorp;
- (d) what was the media placement expenditure;
- (e) how much was spent on the post-campaign analysis; and
- (f) will the Minister table the post-campaign analysis; and
- (i) if not, why not?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) Target: \$1,108,000; Actual gross revenue: \$868,975 (exclusive of EventsCorp)
- (b) Ticket sales — \$816,372 and Audioguide sales — \$52,603.
- (i) Not applicable.
- (c) \$350,000
- (d) \$183,116.43
- (e) \$9,400
- (f) (i) The AGWA has not yet received the post-campaign analysis commissioned by EventsCorp.

COCOS ISLANDS — EDUCATIONAL RESOURCING TO STUDENTS

5154. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Education

In relation to services provided to residents from Cocos (Keeling) Islands, I ask:

- (a) is the Minister aware that Cocos residents became citizens of Australia in 1984;
- (b) does the Minister devote equal resourcing to students on Cocos as she devotes to other mainland West Australian students;
- (c) how many mainland Western Australian schools continue English as a second language (ESL) classes for students for longer than three years before the students join mainstream classes; and
- (d) how many Cocos students are being taught ESL beyond three years, and how many have been educated with ESL for the full kindergarten to 10 years of schooling?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a) Yes.
- (b)&(d) Please note that Cocos Island District High School is a Commonwealth funded and owned school. The Hon Simon Crean MP, Minister for Regional Australia is the Minister responsible for students enrolled at Cocos Island District High School. Questions regarding this school can only be answered by Minister Crean's office.
- (c) Individual schools determine the nature of support provided to their English as a Second Language/Dialect students.

LPG REGISTERED VEHICLES — REGIONAL CENTRES

5156. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister for Transport

In regards to the answer to Question on Notice No. 4714, will the Minister provide the number of LPG registered vehicles in each of the following regional centres:

- (a) Albany;
- (b) Bunbury;
- (c) Geraldton; and
- (d) Kalgoorlie?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Department of Transport advises:

- (a)–(d) 234, 454, 302, 321.

ALBANY — FREE PUBLIC TRANSPORT FOR SENIORS

5157. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister for Transport

I refer to the Government policy of free public transport for seniors between 9.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m. For suburbs outside of central Albany there is only one scheduled service into Albany and that departs before 9.00 a.m. and returns after 2.00 p.m. In relation to this, I ask, can the Minister exempt those regional centres which have limited bus services from this time restriction?

Mr T.R. BUSWELL replied:

The Public Transport Authority advises:

The current Seniors free travel period is between 9:00am and 3:30pm Monday to Friday and all day Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays, not 2.00pm as the Member stated. The same period of free travel applies across the State as it would be inequitable to exclude specific locations and routes from the constraints of the free travel period to suit local circumstances without appropriate demand.

SOUTHDOWN MAGNETIC MINE PROPOSAL — ELECTRICITY REQUIREMENTS

5158. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister representing the Minister for Energy

In relation to the proposed Southdown magnetite mine of Grange Resources, I ask:

- (a) at what stage is planning for the power supply that will be needed for the mine;
- (b) what power requirements have Grange Resources identified as being needed for the mine;
- (c) what are the power supply options that have been considered so far;

- (d) will any new power supply to the mine result in an upgrade of capacity for the grid servicing Wellstead; and
 - (i) if so, what will it be; and
- (e) will any new power supply for the mine result in an upgrade of capacity for the grid servicing the area west of Wellstead to Walpole; and
 - (i) if so, what will it be?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) Western Power has had advanced discussion with Grange Resources and completed a detailed assessment of the connection options available and is currently in the process of developing a Regulatory Test submission to the Economic Regulation Authority (ERA) recommending a preferred overall connection option. Work continues to secure environmental approvals for the overhead line routes.
- (b) Grange Resources has indicated that the maximum power consumed by the mine will be 180 MW.
- (c) Western Power has studied a range of options for supplying the Southdown mine utilising a number of transmission voltages and line routes. A number of these including reinforcement to Albany and supply via a new line from Albany.
- (d) The proposed 330kV transmission infrastructure will supply only the Southdown mine in the first instance. However the transmission infrastructure will be available to reinforce the wider electrical network in the Wellstead area in the future subject to financial and regulatory approvals
- (e) The network to the west of Wellstead as far as Walpole is currently supplied from existing transmission substations in Mount Barker and Albany. The capacity of the transmission network to supply Mt Baker and Albany is limited by voltage constraints and the thermal ratings of the transmission lines. Depending on the option selected for the new line section between Muja and Kojonup, the voltage constraint on the existing transmission network south of Kojonup may be relaxed yielding a small increase in capacity.

SOUTHDOWN MAGNETIC MINE PROPOSAL — WATER REQUIREMENTS

5159. Mr P.B. Watson to the Minister for Water

In relation to the proposed Southdown magnetite mine of Grange Resources, I ask:

- (a) what planning has been done for any upgrade of water resources to service the mine;
- (b) at what stage is this planning; and
- (c) as a result of the mine, will there be any expansion of potable water services to the Wellstead community?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (a)–(b) Planning for all services and requirements for the mine is ongoing and is coordinated through the Southdown Working Group, chaired by the Great Southern Development Commission. The Department of Water (DoW) and the Water Corporation (Corporation) are members of this group. The mine's present proposal is to self supply some 10-12 gigalitres (GL) per year using seawater desalination. The mine has detailed plans for this option, has undertaken some initial consultation and advised it will be submitting a formal proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority in the near future.

The mine is also proposing to utilise groundwater in the Redmond — King river area northwest of Albany, and has undertaken studies in consultation with the DoW to assess the impact of this abstraction. The mine has submitted applications to construct the groundwater bores, and anticipates applying for a licence to abstract 1-2 GL by the end of the year. In addition, the mine has discussed with the Corporation the use of the Corporation's effluent wastewater for use in the pipeline carrying the magnetite to the port.

- (c) The potable water supply at Wellstead is presently at capacity and an expansion of this service is required. The Corporation is presently reviewing the available options to increase this supply.

GARVOUR ROAD AGED CARE FACILITY, WATTLE GROVE — ZONING

5160. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Planning

In relation to the Gavour Road Aged Care Facility proposed in Wattle Grove, I ask:

- (a) can the Minister detail why it has taken him over two years, after receiving all the necessary documentation, to attempt to make a decision on changing the zoning for the Gavour Road Aged Care facility proposal;
- (b) can the Minister confirm that he requested and received additional information relating to the rezoning last September;
- (c) has the Minister, or any staff or officers in his Ministerial office or Department, declared any conflict, or perception of conflict, of interest relating to this application;
- (d) when will the Minister make a decision; and
- (e) why has the Minister apparently decided that the rezoning application and the Shire of Kalamunda's local planning strategy are to be linked together, when clearly they are separate issues?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) I have not received all the necessary documentation, which includes the strategic justification for the proposal and a recommendation from the Western Australian Planning Commission relating to the final determination of the scheme amendment.
- (b) Yes — if this question refers to my request for the Shire of Kalamunda to prepare a local planning strategy to provide a framework in which to consider the scheme amendment. This document has been received and was considered by the Western Australian Planning Commission at its April meeting.
- (c) Yes.
 - Ms Elizabeth Taylor declared an impartiality interest in this matter when the Statutory Planning Committee, of which she is a member, considered the request to advertise the amendment on 7 July 2009.
 - Ms Sue Burrows, the Independent Planning Reviewer, has advised the Director General of the Department of Planning of a perceived conflict of interest as she had previously dealt with this amendment when employed at the Shire of Kalamunda.
 - Ms Taylor and Ms Burrows both declared an impartiality interest when the Statutory Planning Committee, of which they are both members, considered a request to advertise the Shire of Kalamunda Local Planning Strategy on 27 April 2011.
- (d) I will make a decision on the matter when the Western Australian Planning Commission provides its recommendation relating to finalisation of the amendment.
- (e) The scheme amendment proposes to rezone land to allow a significant urban development in a rural area. Such development needs to be considered having regard to its strategic context in terms of future land uses and service provision rather than an ad hoc basis. Local planning strategies are required to accompany all local planning schemes for each local government to provide a strategic framework for sustainable growth and development based on estimates of future population increase and a comprehensive evaluation of the economic, infrastructure, social and environmental issues in the area. As I requested, the Shire of Kalamunda has submitted a local planning strategy to the Western Australian Planning Commission and the document will be advertised for public comment in the next few months.

CARINE VISION LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN

5161. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Planning

In relation to the Government's sell-off of the former Carine TAFE site, and local community opposition to Landcorp's Carine Vision Local Structure Plan, I ask:

- (a) is the Minister aware that his proposal contains:
 - (i) more than three times the *Directions 2031* density target for Greenfield sites (15 dwellings per gross urban hectare);
 - (ii) almost three times the *Directions 2031* indicative value for high density urban infill (17 dwellings per gross urban hectare); and
 - (iii) 8.1 times the current dwelling density across Carine; and
- (b) why was the Government so concerned to provide even more public open space in the Premier's electorate by gifting the identical-sized Sunset Hospital site as open space but denying Carine residents equal treatment with the Carine TAFE site?

Mr J.H.D. DAY replied:

- (a) (i) The Member should first be aware that the redevelopment of the former Carine TAFE site is a LandCorp managed project. LandCorp appointed Cedar Woods and the St Ives Group as preferred development partners.

Secondly, it will be important for the Member to familiarise himself with the Planning and Development Act 2005 and understand that the Minister for Planning does not have a decision making role on structure plans.

The City of Stirling is currently considering submissions received during advertising of the Structure Plan and it is yet to be forwarded to the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) for assessment under section 6A.9.1 of Local Planning Scheme No. 3. A final decision on the Structure Plan will be made by the WAPC based on the outcomes of this assessment.

(ii) See (a)(i) above.

(iii) See (a)(i) above.

- (b) There is no direct comparison to be made. The Sunset Hospital site is set aside as an "A" Class reserve for the purpose of "Retirement Village, Parks and Recreation, Community and Ancillary Commercial Purposes", with approximately two thirds of the site, comprising most of the heritage buildings, reserved "Public Purpose — Hospital" in the Metropolitan Region Scheme. The remainder of the site is reserved "Parks and Recreation" in the Metropolitan Region Scheme. The reservations, unless changed, continue to guide the use of that land.

The WAPC will consider public open space provision for the Carine TAFE site when it receives the Structure Plan for assessment.

Note also that the Sunset Hospital site is not in the Premier's electorate.

BOTANIC GARDENS AND PARKS AUTHORITY — CUSTOMER SERVICE

5162. Mr J.N. Hyde to the Minister for Environment

- (1) Is the Minister aware that email enquiries to the Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority respond with an automated email that states that it will endeavour to respond within fifteen working days?
- (2) Does the Minister accept that three weeks turnaround time for an enquiry is good customer service; and
- (a) if not, what can the Minister do to improve the service?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (1) Yes
- (2) The Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority receives on average 2,000 enquiries to their general enquiries email address per year which require direct action and response.

I am advised that the automated email advice of a 15 day response time is the maximum limit which has been set due to the often complex and range of enquiries received. The BGPA has advised that in the majority of cases enquiries are responded to within 5 working days.

A key performance indicator for the BGPA is to respond to 90-95% of complaints within 28 days and I am advised that the BGPA has met or exceeded this target since 2006/07.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — ALBANY GRANT

5163. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the City of Albany Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the City of Albany for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$25,000 for the day care centre:
- (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
- (iii) who owns the centre;
- (iv) if the centre is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation;

- (v) if the centre is owned privately, was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan, and if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) grant; or
 - (C) work undertaken by the City, and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by City employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors;
- (c) for the allocation of \$227,000 for the enviroloo amenities:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$246,000 for the soccer grounds:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who owns the soccer grounds;
- (e) for the allocation of \$133,347 for the Emu Point Enhancement Project:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (f) for the allocation of \$902,300 for shared path extensions:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (g) for the allocation of \$34,400 for the North Road footpath:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

[See paper 3320.]

The Member should note that specific information requested such as total cost of projects, exact location, other sources of funding and ownership of projects needs to be addressed directly to the relevant local government in question who are responsible for the management of these projects.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — ASHBURTON GRANT

5164. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Ashburton Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Ashburton for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$175,000 for sports pavilion:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;

- (c) for the allocation of \$416,000 for footpath network:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$35,000 for Paraburdoo shopping precinct:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (e) for the allocation of \$30,000 for sporting facilities:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — AUGUSTA GRANT

5165. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Augusta Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) does the Shire of Augusta label refer to the Shire of Augusta-Margaret River;
- (b) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Augusta-Margaret River for 2008–2009; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$1,123,196 for water recycling:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BEVERLEY GRANT

5166. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Beverley Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Beverley for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$605,681 for retirement village units:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BODDINGTON GRANT

5167. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Boddington Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Boddington for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$486,196 for the HACC Facility:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BOYUP BROOK GRANT

5168. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Boyup Brook Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Boyup Brook for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$531,490 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BRIDGETOWN–GREENBUSHES GRANT

5169. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Bridgetown–Greenbushes Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Bridgetown–Greenbushes for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$300,000 for railway reserve:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$271,154 for various road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$140,000 for the shire administration office:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BROOKTON GRANT

5170. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Brookton Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Brookton for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$30,000 for leasehold improvements – Reserve 9635:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who holds the lease on this leasehold and what are the details of the lease arrangement;
- (c) for the allocation of \$238,937 for the sports court project:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$247,000 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BROOME GRANT

5171. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Broome Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Broome for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$249,000 for the shire depot:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$345,700 for street and beach lighting:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$200,000 for the water park:

- (i) what is the total cost of the project;
- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BROOMEHILL–TAMBELLUP GRANT

5172. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Broomehill–Tambellup Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 50, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Broomehill–Tambellup for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$498,000 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) For the allocation of \$100,000 for Diprose Park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$78,507 for Holland Park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BRUCE ROCK GRANT

5173. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Bruce Rock Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Bruce Rock for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$260,000 for the bowling club:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$497,473 for the recreation centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BUNBURY GRANT

5174. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the City of Bunbury Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the City of Bunbury for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$384,818 for the Cobblestone Drive carpark:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?
- (c) for the allocation of \$350,731 for Hay Park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$228,101 for Ski Beach, Koombana Bay:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — BUSSELTON GRANT

5175. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Busselton Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Busselton for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$1,263,255 for the Dunsborough playing fields:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CAPEL GRANT

5176. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Capel Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Capel for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$246,577 for Capel IHC:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?
- (c) for the allocation of \$351,331 for road works:
- (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$23,980 for Peppermint Grove Beach:
- (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CARNAMAH GRANT

5177. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Carnamah Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Carnamah for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$369,118 for housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$80,583 for Eneabba Tennis Courts:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CARNARVON GRANT

5178. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Carnarvon Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Carnarvon for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$800,000 for the Carnarvon townsite:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and

- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$175,000 for the hospital precinct:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$207,716 for the Civic Centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CHAPMAN VALLEY GRANT

5179. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Chapman Valley Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Chapman Valley for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$235,270 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$141,579 for transfer stations:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$23,012 for Park Falls:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CHITTERING GRANT

5180. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Chittering Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Chittering for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$200,512 for the footpath network:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$224,151 for road works:

- (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$118,286 for the shire depot:
- (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — COLLIE GRANT

5181. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Collie Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 51, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Collie for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$202,017.45 for the central business district pedestrian crossing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$387,732.64 for drainage upgrades:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$199,772.74 for the footpath replacement program:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — COOROW GRANT

5182. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Coorow Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 52, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Coorow for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$20,000 for the Coorow Administration Centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$65,340 for the sports club at Green Head:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and

- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CORRIGIN GRANT

5183. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Corrigin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 52, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Corrigin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$500,000 for the Corrigin Public Swimming Pool:
 - (i) is this project for the existing Corrigin War Memorial Swimming Pool and, if not, what other pool is this funding for;
 - (ii) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (iii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iv) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$129,609 for the adventure playground:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CRANBROOK GRANT

5184. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Cranbrook Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 52, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Cranbrook for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$133,000 for the bowling green:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$260,000 for Frederick Square:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$32,471 for environmental initiatives:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (e) for the allocation of \$69,799 for Frankland River townsite:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CUBALLING GRANT

5185. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Cuballing Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 52, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Cuballing for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$140,570 for clearing works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) are any of the clearing works for privately owned land and, if so, what are the details of the land and the ownership;
- (c) for the allocation of \$186,155 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$117,694 for Dryandra Regional Equestrian Park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (e) for the allocation of \$37,459 for various town halls:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project and the locations of the town halls?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CUE GRANT

5186. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Cue Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 52, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Cue for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$30,000 for staff housing upgrade:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and

- (iv) is the staff housing owned by the Shire and, if not, who owns the housing;
- (c) for the allocation of \$196,000 for the airfield:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$400,000 for central business district footpaths:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) how many metres of footpath were built/refurbished during this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — CUNDERDIN GRANT

5187. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Cunderdin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 52, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Cunderdin for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$17,783 for health housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) For the allocation of \$229,741 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (d) for the allocation of \$120,000 for the waste transfer station:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (e) for the allocation of \$246,231 for streetscape:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DALWALLINU GRANT

5188. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Dalwallinu Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 53, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Dalwallinu for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$802,548 for the Community and Enterprise Centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DANDARAGAN GRANT

5189. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Dandaragan Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 53, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Dandaragan for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$100,000 for the administration office:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$539,400 for the Jurien Bay jetty and foreshore:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DARDANUP GRANT

5190. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Dardanup Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 53, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Dardanup for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$113,796 for Banksia Road:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$116,004 for Collie River:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$12,500 for Dardanup Tennis Club:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (e) for the allocation of \$290,514 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DENMARK GRANT

5191. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Denmark Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 53, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Denmark for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$456,800 for the Denmark central business district streetscape:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project
- (c) for the allocation of \$107,600 for the Denmark central business district parking:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$269,771 for road re-seals:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DERBY–WEST KIMBERLEY GRANT

5192. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Derby–West Kimberley Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 53, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Derby–West Kimberley for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$282,994.80 for Fitzroy Crossing amenities:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project and where is it located;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and

- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$454,779.19 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$261,968.46 for the Derby library:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (e) for the allocation of \$327,600.40 for the Derby oval:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DONNYBROOK–BALINGUP GRANT

5193. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Donnybrook–Balingup Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 54, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Donnybrook–Balingup for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$1,960 for Bendigo Bank:
 - (i) what is the purpose of this payment;
 - (ii) is this payment the total cost of the payment and, if not, what is the total cost;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken or the service paid for as part of this project;
 - (iv) was the payment in the form of a loan, a grant or work in kind; and
 - (v) if the payment was a loan, what are the repayment arrangements;
- (c) for the allocation of \$1,947 for the Collins Street storage units:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$10,000 for the dental surgery:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (e) for the allocation of \$25,000 for the medical centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;

- (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (f) for the allocation of \$36,486 for the administration centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (g) for the allocation of \$35,402 for an administration centre building:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (h) for the allocation of \$182,056 for the footpath network:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DOWERIN GRANT

5194. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Dowerin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 54, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Dowerin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$368,000 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$150,573 for road reconstruction:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163..

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DUMBLEYUNG GRANT

5195. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Dumbleyung Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 54, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Dumbleyung for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$45,000 for aged persons units:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;

- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$350,505 for staff housing:
- (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — DUNDAS GRANT

5196. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Dundas Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 54, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Dundas for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$125,000 for the civic precinct:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$60,000 for drainage improvements:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$138,609 for sports courts:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (e) for the allocation of \$112,000 for the footpath network:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — EAST PILBARA GRANT

5197. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of East Pilbara Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 54, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of East Pilbara for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$125,945 for Newman tree planting:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$1,000,000 for town centre revitalisation:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (d) for the allocation of \$70,000 for administration and toilet block:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (e) for the allocation of \$70,251.15 for council chambers:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (f) for the allocation of \$220,961.74 for pool and boundary fencing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — ESPERANCE GRANT

5198. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Esperance Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 54, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Esperance for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$220,000 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$1,000,000 for Tanker Jetty:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — EXMOUTH GRANT

5199. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Exmouth Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 54, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Exmouth for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$521,045 for townscape:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$150,000 for the skate park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — GERALDTON–GREENOUGH GRANT

5200. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Geraldton–Greenough Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 54, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Geraldton–Greenough for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$1,200,000 for the airport security upgrade project:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) For the allocation of \$500,007 for the library relocation project:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — GINGIN GRANT

5201. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Gingin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Gingin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$555,900 for Cowalla Road:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
- (c) for the allocation of \$151,228 for Seabird Beach:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$131,253 for the Robinson/Lefroy/Edgar Pathway:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — GNOWANGERUP GRANT

5202. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Gnowangerup Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Gnowangerup for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$110,000 for Gnowangerup Medical Centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$340,000 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — GOOMALLING GRANT

5203. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Goomalling Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Goomalling for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$35,349 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and

- (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$37,710 for sewerage pump stations:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (d) for the allocation of \$45,044 for the caravan park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (e) for the allocation of \$33,140 for the senior citizens building:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — HALLS CREEK GRANT

5204. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Halls Creek Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Halls Creek for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$1,526,029 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — HARVEY GRANT

5205. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Harvey Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Harvey for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$35,000 for the cattle pound:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$767,266 for the Harvey Works Complex:
- (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (d) for the allocation of \$55,000 for Yarloop:
- (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — IRWIN GRANT

5206. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Irwin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Irwin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$392,000 for the indoor recreation centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$319,480 for the administration building:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — JERRAMUNGUP GRANT

5207. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Jerramungup Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Jerramungup for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$586,158 for staff housing:

- (i) what is the total cost of the project;
- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — KALGOORLIE–BOULDER GRANT

5208. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the City of Kalgoorlie–Boulder Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the City of Kalgoorlie–Boulder for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$629,241.76 for the Oasis Recreation Centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$343,766.43 for Digger Daws Oval:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$332,674 for the central business district upgrade:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — KATANNING GRANT

5209. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Katanning Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Katanning for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$1,085,325 for the Aquatic Centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project;
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
 - (v) has any part of the CLGF funds been used for on-going operational costs?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — KELLERBERRIN GRANT

5210. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Kellerberrin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Kellerberrin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$438,524 for the council works depot:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$3250,000 for the sport and recreation facility:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — KENT GRANT

5211. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Kent Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 55, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Kent for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$320,000 for the sewerage system:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$150,000 for the transfer station:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$68,470 for the water harvest project:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — KOJONUP GRANT

5212. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Kojonup Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 56, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Kojonup for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$251,042 for the sporting complex – stage 1:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$10,000 for the recreational vehicle (RV) friendly park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (d) for the allocation of \$158,548 for the swimming pool refurbishment:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — KONDININ GRANT

5213. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Kondinin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 56, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Kondinin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$261,667 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$236,000 for the recreation ground:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — KOORDA GRANT

5214. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Koorda Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 56, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Koorda for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$76,977 for staff housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (c) for the allocation of \$370,520 for community/allied health:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies;
- (d) for the allocation of \$33,000 for Reserves 33226 and 33227:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — KULIN GRANT

5215. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Kulin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 56, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Kulin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$250,289 for retirement homes:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$300,000 for the caravan park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project; and
 - (iv) who will own the resulting infrastructure and, if non-government ownership, what are the details of the transfer of ownership to private persons or bodies?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — LAKE GRACE GRANT

5216. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Lake Grace Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 56, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Lake Grace for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$200,000 for the child care centre and the \$250,000 for the Newdegate medical centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns each centre;
 - (iv) if either centre is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation; and
 - (v) if either centre is owned privately, was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan and, if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) grant; or
 - (C) work undertaken by the Shire, and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$100,341 for the waste management project:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MANJIMUP GRANT

5217. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Manjimup Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 56, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Manjimup for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$164,777 for building improvements:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MENZIES GRANT

5218. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Menzies Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 56, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Menzies for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$300,000 for the caravan park:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;

- (iii) who owns the caravan park;
- (iv) if the caravan park is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation; and
- (v) if the caravan park is owned privately was the funding given to the organisation as:
 - (A) a loan and, if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) a grant; or
 - (C) a work undertaken by the Shire and, if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MERREDIN GRANT

5219. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Merredin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 56, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Merredin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$100,000 for Cohn Creek and \$78,000 for Mitchell Street:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$634,402 for the Cummins Theatre project:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns the theatre;
 - (iv) if the theatre is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation; and
 - (v) if the theatre is owned privately was the funding given to the organisation as:
 - (A) a loan and, if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) a grant; or
 - (C) a work undertaken by the Shire and, if so what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MINGENEW GRANT

5220. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Mingenew Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 57, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Mingenew for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$25,388 for the child care centre and the \$15,197 for the aged care units:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns each centre;
 - (iv) if either centre is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation; and

- (v) if either centre is owned privately, was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan and, if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) grant; or
 - (C) work undertaken by the Shire, and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MOORA GRANT

5221. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Moora Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 57, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Moora for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$19,580 for the Moora Child Care Centre and the \$66,000 for the Moora Lifestyle Village:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns each centre;
 - (iv) if either centre is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation; and
 - (v) if either centre is owned privately was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan and, if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) grant; or
 - (C) as work undertaken by the Shire and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MORAWA GRANT

5222. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Morawa Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 57, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Morawa for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$504,873 for the Community and Business Enterprise Centre and \$9,200 for SBS Television:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MOUNT MAGNET GRANT

5223. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Mount Magnet Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 57, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Mount Magnet for 2008–2009; and

- (b) for the allocation of \$718,005 for the Mining and Pastoral Heritage Precinct:
- (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MOUNT MARSHALL GRANT

5224. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Mount Marshall Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 57, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Mount Marshall for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$320,927 for the aged persons homes:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns the homes;
 - (iv) if the homes are owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation; and
 - (v) if the homes are owned privately, was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan, and if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) grant: or
 - (C) as work undertaken by the Shire, and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MUKINBUDIN GRANT

5225. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Mukinbudin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 57, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Mukinbudin for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$250,000 for the residential subdivision:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MULLEWA GRANT

5226. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Mullewa Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 57, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Mullewa for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocations of \$62,400 for the depot, \$140,516 for the Mullewa sewerage system and \$16,000 for water storage tanks:

- (i) what is the total cost of each project;
- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund these projects and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — MURRAY GRANT

5227. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Murray Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 57, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Murray for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocations of \$78,593 for the footpath network, \$40,056 for the Murray Water Boulevard traffic project and \$542,091 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund these projects and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — NANNUP GRANT

5228. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Nannup Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Nannup for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$378,546 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — NAREMBEEN GRANT

5229. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Narembeeen Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Narembeeen for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$30,000 for Go Narembeeen Inc:
 - (i) what is the funding to be spent on;
 - (ii) what is the total cost of this project; and
 - (iii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (c) for the allocation of \$200,000 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — NARROGIN GRANT

5230. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Narrogin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Narrogin for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$449,389 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — NGAANYATJARRAKU GRANT

5231. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Ngaanyatjarraku Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Ngaanyatjarraku for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$1,085,756 for the Warburton community resource centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — NORTHAM GRANT

5232. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Northam Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Northam for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$245,000 for drainage – stage 1:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (i) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — NUNGARIN GRANT

5233. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Nungarin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Nungarin for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$224,000 for Railway Avenue:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — PERENJORI GRANT

5234. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Perenjori Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Perenjori for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$310,000 for flat pack housing:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — PINGELLY GRANT

5235. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Pingelly Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Pingelly for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$300,814 for a doctor's house:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns the doctor's house;
 - (iv) if the house is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation;
 - (v) if the house is owned privately, was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan, and if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) grant;
 - (C) work undertaken by the Shire, and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — PLANTAGENET GRANT

5236. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Plantagenet Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Plantagenet for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$\$38,272 for Lowood Road, \$60,000 for stack signs and \$191,541 for Yellanup, Spencer, Reynolds, Old Coach and Springs Roads:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — PORT HEDLAND GRANT

5237. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Town of Port Hedland Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 58, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Town of Port Hedland for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$96,000 for a records facility:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — QUAIRADING GRANT

5238. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Quairading Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Quairading for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$658,191 for the community resource centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — RAVENSTHORPE GRANT

5239. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Ravensthorpe Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Ravensthorpe for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of 90,880 for Raglan/Birdwood Streets, Hopetoun and \$94,648 for Spence Street, Ravensthorpe:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — SANDSTONE GRANT

5240. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Sandstone Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59 and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Sandstone for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$189,543 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — TAMMIN GRANT

5241. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Tammin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Tammin for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$70,000 for Donnan Park and \$80,000 for Kadjinny Kep:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — THREE SPRINGS GRANT

5242. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Three Springs Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59 and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Three Springs for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$283,469 for the swimming pool:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — TOODYAY GRANT

5243. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Toodyay Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59 and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Toodyay for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$110,000 for the Alma Beard Medical Centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns the medical centre;
 - (iv) if the centre is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation; and
 - (v) if the centre is owned privately, was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan, and if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) grant; or
 - (C) work undertaken by the Shire, and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what is the name of the contractor?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — TRAYNING GRANT

5244. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Trayning Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Trayning for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$627,982 for the Trayning aquatic centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — UPPER GASCOYNE GRANT

5245. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Upper Gascoyne Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Upper Gascoyne for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$53,824 for Hatch Street:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — VICTORIA PLAINS GRANT

5246. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Victoria Plains Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Victoria Plains for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$460,000 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WAGIN GRANT

5247. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Wagin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 59, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Wagin for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$6,285 for the bushfire repeater building, \$101,000 for Category 4 intersections, \$71,000 for the footpath network and \$31,277 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;

- (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
- (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WANDERING GRANT

5248. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Wandering Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 60, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Wandering for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$74,263 for a fuel outlet stage 1 and \$70,000 for a shade structure:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WAROONA GRANT

5249. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Waroona Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 60, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Waroona for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$25,000 for Bouvard Place, Beachcast Close and Fouracre Street; \$151,199 for road works and \$10,000 for shire signage:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WEST ARTHUR GRANT

5250. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of West Arthur Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 60, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of West Arthur for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$83,000 for road works:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WICKEPIN GRANT

5251. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Wickepin Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 60, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Wickepin for 2008–2009;
- (b) for the allocation of \$45,464 for the Wickepin telecentre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WILLIAMS GRANT

5252. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Williams Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 60, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Williams for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$50,000 to the St John Ambulance sub-centre:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns the sub-centre;
 - (iv) if the sub-centre is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation; and
- (b) if the sub-centre is owned privately was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan, and if so, what are the repayment terms;
 - (B) grant;
 - (C) as work undertaken by the Shire, and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WONGAN–BALLIDU GRANT

5253. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Wongan–Ballidu Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 60 and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Wongan–Ballidu for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$709,849 for the National Rural and Remote Health Infrastructure Program:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this program;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this program and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this program?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WOODANILLING GRANT

5254. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Woodanilling Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 60, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Woodanilling for 2008–2009; and

- (b) for the allocation of \$31,897.19 for drainage, \$194,988.97 for gravel sheeting and \$72,731.75 for Yairabin Street:
- (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — WYALKATCHEM GRANT

5255. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Wyalkatchem Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 60, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Wyalkatchem for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$20,000 for the footpath program:
 - (i) what is the total cost of this project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund this project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for this project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — YALGOO GRANT

5256. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Yalgoo Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 61, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Yalgoo for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$20,000 for broadband and \$31,524 for a depot:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLS replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — YILGARN GRANT

5257. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of Yilgarn Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 61, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of Yilgarn for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$14,842.61 for the Masonic Lodge:
 - (i) what is the total cost of the project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund the project and how much was provided from each source of funds;
 - (iii) who owns the Masonic Lodge;
 - (iv) if the Masonic Lodge is owned by a private organisation, what is the name and status of that organisation;
 - (v) if the Masonic Lodge is owned privately was the funding given to the organisation as a:
 - (A) loan, and if so, what are the repayment terms;

- (B) grant;
- (C) work undertaken by the Shire, and if so, what are the details of the work, was the work undertaken by Shire employees or private contractors, and, if private contractors, what are the names of the contractors?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

COUNTRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND — YORK GRANT

5258. Mr M. McGowan to the Minister for Regional Development

I refer to the *Royalties for Regions Progress Report 2009–2010* and the Shire of York Country Local Government Funded (CLGF) Projects 2008–2009 on page 61, and I ask:

- (a) what was the total CLGF grant to the Shire of York for 2008–2009; and
- (b) for the allocation of \$608,157 for the community resource centre and \$108,156 for Thorn Street:
 - (i) what is the total cost of each project;
 - (ii) what are the other sources of the balance of the cost, if any, to fund each project and how much was provided from each source of funds; and
 - (iii) what are the details of the work undertaken for each project?

Mr B.J. GRYLLES replied:

Please refer to Legislative Assembly Question on Notice 5163.

JURIEN BAY — WATER SOURCE PROTECTION PLAN

5259. Mr J.C. Kobelke to the Minister for Water

- (1) What was the date of the last change to the Jurien Water Reserve Drinking Water Source Protection Plan?
- (2) As a result of this change, what area of land was transferred:
 - (a) out of Priority One (P1);
 - (b) into Priority One (P1);
 - (c) out of Priority Two (P2); and
 - (d) into Priority Two (P2)?
- (3) Do these changes to the Jurien Water Reserve Drinking Water Source Protection Plan boundaries decrease the volume of potable water available for future draw from the aquifer protected by this plan?
- (4) What is the estimated net effect of these changes on the volume of potable ground water available for annual extraction from the area covered by the protection plan?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (1) The most recent water source protection plan was published in December 2009. This replaced the December 2008 published plan.
- (2) 14.5 square kilometres.
 - (a) 16 square kilometres.
 - (b) 16 square kilometres.
 - (c) 14.5 square kilometres.
- (3) The allocation of water for public water supply is not affected by these changes.
- (4) Not applicable

WATER CORPORATION — AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL WATER BILLS

5260. Mr E.S. Ripper to the Minister for Water

I refer to residential water bills issued by the Water Corporation, and I ask, what was the average bill for each of the following months:

- (a) January 2008;
- (b) January 2009;

- (c) January 2010; and
- (d) January 2011?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

It should be noted that the Water Corporation does not read residential water meters on a monthly basis. Residential water meters are read every six months (metropolitan area) and four months (country area) and the readings are staggered across the State.

Given this, the answer to this question can only reflect the average of the bills issued in January, being mostly scheduled reads together with once-off adjusted charges (the result of an investigation, e.g. leak allowance applications, etc.) and unscheduled meter readings.

- (a) \$100.84
- (b) \$106.64
- (c) \$116.91
- (d) \$152.56

WATER CORPORATION — AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL WATER BILLS

5261. Mr E.S. Ripper to the Minister for Water

For the months of January 2008, January 2009, January 2010 and January 2011, what was the average residential water bill issued by the Water Corporation in the suburbs of:

- (a) Spencer Park;
- (b) Balcatta;
- (c) Dalyellup;
- (d) High Wycombe;
- (e) Kingsley;
- (f) Clarkson;
- (g) Noranda;
- (h) Yokine;
- (i) Currambine;
- (j) Parkwood;
- (k) Innaloo;
- (l) Huntingdale;
- (m) Ellenbrook;
- (n) Darch; and
- (o) Peppermint Grove?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

- (a)–(o) It should be noted that the Water Corporation does not read residential water meters on a monthly basis. Residential water meters are read every six months in the metropolitan area and every four months in country areas and the readings are staggered across the State.

Of the 15 suburbs referred to in the question only three suburbs (Clarkson, Dalyellup and Yokine) have the water meters read in January. The average residential water bill issued for these suburbs for the months of January 2008, January 2009, January 2010 and January 2011 are presented in the table below.

The average residential water bill issued for the remaining suburbs for the months of January 2008, January 2009, January 2010 and January 2011 reflect the average of scheduled reads (where they occur) together with once-off adjusted charges (the result of an investigation, e.g. leak allowance applications, etc.) and unscheduled meter readings. Given the variable nature of these readings it is not appropriate to compare these readings from year to year.

Average Residential Water Bill: January 2008 to January 2011				
Suburb	January 2008	January 2009	January 2010	January 2011
Clarkson	\$117.99	\$135.91	\$133.02	\$171.70
Dalyellup	\$114.91	\$119.80	\$132.29	\$162.83
Yokine	\$ 86.47	\$ 96.43	\$102.27	\$137.05

FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES AUTHORITY — CONSULTANTS

5262. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

Please advise the number, name and role of each of the consultants which the Fire and Emergency Services Authority is currently employing?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

One.

Refer to answer provided to Legislative Assembly, Question on Notice 5264.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES AUTHORITY — CONSULTANTS — PAUL ZORZI

5263. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

What is the role of consultant Mr Paul Zorzi, with the Fire and Emergency Services Authority, what are the terms and purpose of his appointment, and what is the remuneration agreed to be paid to him either as a whole of contract amount or on an hourly basis?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

The Fire and Emergency Services Authority (FESA) advises:

Mr Paul Zorzi has been engaged as a contractor in line with Premier's Circular 2005/08, to act as the Key Negotiator on behalf of FESA for the negotiations of the replacement WA Fire Services Enterprise Bargaining Agreement.

The contract is for the period of 21 October 2010 to 20 October 2011.

The fixed price contract remuneration is as follows:

- Hourly rate (inc GST) 0–8 hours: \$275.00 per hour
- Daily rate (inc GST) 8–12 hours: \$2,200.00 per day

FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES AUTHORITY — CONSULTANTS — JACK GREGOR

5264. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

What is the role of consultant Mr Jack Gregor with the Fire and Emergency Services Authority, what are the terms and purpose of his appointment, and what is the remuneration agreed to be paid to him either as a whole of contract amount or on an hourly basis?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

Mr Jack Gregor has been engaged by FESA for the provision of employee relations services.

The contract is for the period of 21 October 2010 to 20 October 2011.

The fixed price contract remuneration is as follows:

- Hourly rate (inc GST): \$440.00 per hour

POLICE — INFRINGEMENT NOTICE PROCESSING

5265. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

- (1) Is the contracting out of infringement notice processing currently under active consideration; and
 - (i) if so have tenders gone out?
- (2) Is there a time frame for implementing the above change?
- (3) Has the measure of contracting out of this processing been factored into planning for releasing frontline officers?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) Yes.
 - (i) Yes, a Request for Tender was published on 23 February 2011 and closed on 13 April 2011. All Respondents and other stakeholders have been informed that the first stage of this process is to conduct a High Level Desktop Review and extract sufficient information from the Responses for comparison against an optimised insourced model. The outcomes from this High Level Desktop Review will provide Cabinet sufficient information upon which to reach an informed decision on outsourcing. Respondents are aware

that a contract will not be awarded if Cabinet does not decide to outsource infringement processing.

- (2) There have been no timeframes for implementation established.
- (3) Any model adopted will seek to optimise resources usage including the potential to release frontline officers.

KIMBERLEY — EMERGENCY EVACUATION CENTRES

5266. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

What are the nominated evacuation centres for the Kimberley?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

The identification of evacuation centres in Western Australia is conducted jointly between the Department of Child Protection and the relevant Local Government. For the emergency management district of the Kimberley, the centres identified are:

Broome:

Broome Recreation & Aquatic Centre
 Broome District High School
 Broome Police & Community Youth Centre
 Broome Camp School
 Broome Civic Centre
 Kimberley TAFE

Derby:

Derby Civic Centre
 Derby Recreation Centre
 Derby District High School
 Scallywags Child Care Centre
 Derby Youth Centre

Fitzroy Crossing:

Fitzroy Crossing Recreation Centre
 Fitzroy Crossing District High School

Kununurra:

Kununurra Leisure Centre
 Kununurra Youth Centre
 Kununurra District High School

Wyndham:

Peter Reid Memorial Hall
 Wyndham Recreation Centre
 Wyndham District Hospital
 Wyndham District High School
 Ngowar Aerwah Sobering Up Shelter
 St. Joseph's Catholic School

Halls Creek:

Halls Creek Civic Centre
 Halls Creek Recreation Centre
 Halls Creek District High School
 Department for Child Protection Children's Hostel
 Halls Creek Race Course

PILBARA — EMERGENCY EVACUATION CENTRES

5267. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

What are the nominated evacuation centres for the Pilbara?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

The identification of evacuation centres in Western Australia is conducted jointly between the Department of Child Protection and the relevant Local Government.

For the emergency management district of the Pilbara, the centres identified are:

Karratha:

- Pilbara TAFE
- St. Luke's College
- Karratha Entertainment Centre
- RSL Hall

Dampier:

- Rio Tinto Training Centre

Roebourne:

- Roebourne Community Hall

Wickham:

- Wickham School Atrium 1 & 2
- Community Hall

Port Hedland:

- Andrew McLaughlin Centre
- Port Hedland Primary School

South Hedland:

- JD Hardie Centre — currently under redevelopment due for completion July 2011
- South Hedland TAFE
- Hedland Senior High School

Newman:

- Newman Recreation Centre
- Newman Senior High School

Marble Bar:

- Recreation Centre
- Community Centre
- Marble Primary School

Nullagine:

- Gallop Hall
- Nullagine Primary School

Tom Price:

- Tom Price Recreation Centre
- Tom Price Community Centre
- North Tom Price Primary School

Paraburdoo:

- Ashburton Hall
- Paraburdoo Primary School

Onslow:

- Onslow Multi-Purpose Centre
- Onslow Primary School
- RM Forrest Hall

SPEED AND RED LIGHT CAMERAS — REVENUE

5268. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Road Safety

What is the total revenue from speed and red light cameras for the 2010 calendar year?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

Under the Road Safety Council Act 2002, the Road Trauma Trust Fund receives one third of revenue resulting from red light and speed camera infringements.

In 2010, it received \$14,569,430. The total revenue, including funds returned to the Consolidated Fund, was \$43,708,290.

CARNARVON POLICE AND JUSTICE COMPLEX — BUILDING TENDERS

5269. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

- (1) Have tenders yet been sought for the building of the Carnarvon justice complex; and
 - (i) if so, when, and when does that tender close?
- (2) Has a tender been let; and
 - (i) if so, who was the successful tenderer?
- (3) Given the conditional approval of the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) in relation to the proposed site, what additional measures need to be taken to comply with EPA conditions and will this impose additional costs?
- (4) What is the estimated date of completion of building?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) No.
 - (i) Not applicable.
- (2) No.
 - (i) Not applicable.
- (3) To be answered by the Department of the Attorney General.
- (4) September 2014.

POLICE REGIONAL RADIO NETWORK

5270. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

I refer to the Government Communications Committee which is overseeing a number of communications projects, and I ask:

- (a) at what stage are deliberations on the Police Regional Radio network;
- (b) what is the likely completion date for this project; and
- (c) will additional funds be required to complete this project?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (a) Component A — Conventional Digital Radio Network
 - Evaluation of Offers received in response to a Request released in January 2011 is anticipated to be completed in July 2011. Contract Award is anticipated for October 2011.

Component B — Trunked Radio Network

 - Preferred Respondent selected on 13 April 2011 and design finalisation activities underway. Contract Award is anticipated for June 2011.

Component C — Digital Terminal Equipment

 - Evaluation completed and Preferred Respondent selected on 13 April 2011. Police awarded a Contract on 19 April 2011 for their requirements. FESA and DCS have commenced commercial and contract negotiations with the Preferred Respondent with a view to awarding Contracts by June 2011.

Component D — Site Infrastructure Services

 - Police are working with existing contractors, Department of Treasury and Finance Building Management and Works (BMW) and radio site owners to progress these activities at a number of locations throughout Regional WA.
 - Additional Request is being prepared for specialist goods and services required. Panel Contracts for these goods and services is anticipated to be awarded by August 2011.
- (b) Component A — Conventional Digital Radio Network will be delivered over a 5 year period, and is scheduled for completion in 2016.

Component B — Trunked Radio Network will be delivered over an 18 month period, and is scheduled for completion in early 2013.

Component C — Digital Terminal Equipment is anticipated to be delivered for all agencies (Police, DCS and FESA) by July 2011 and deployed over a three year phase.

Component D — Site infrastructure Services — Staged delivery over 5 years in line with rollout schedule for Components A and B.

- (c) It is currently anticipated that no additional funds will be required to complete this project.

WESTERN AUSTRALIAN EMERGENCY RADIO NETWORK

5271. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

I refer to the Government Communications Committee which is oversighting a number of communications projects, and I ask:

- (a) at what stage are deliberations on the Fire and Emergency Services Authority Western Australian Emergency Radio Network;
- (b) what is the likely completion date for this project; and
- (c) will additional funds be required to complete this project?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (a) The WAERN project is in the final stages of implementation.
- (b) The project will be 95% complete by December 2012. Subject to operational and seasonal considerations, it is anticipated the remaining 5% will be completed by mid 2012.
- (c) Yes.

WESTERN AUSTRALIAN EMERGENCY RADIO NETWORK

5272. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

- (1) How far is the Western Australian Emergency Radio Network (WAERN) from completion?
- (2) What is the estimated extent of coverage of the WAERN?
- (3) Are additional funds required to complete the project; and
- (i) if so, what is the amount of those additional funds?
- (4) What is the total cost of the project to date?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) Refer to answer provided to question 5271 Part (b).
- (2) Overall the WAERN will provide improved coverage over the existing network spanning from Esperance in the Great Southern to Kununurra in the Kimberley and east to Kalgoorlie in the Goldfields region.
- (3) Yes.
- (i) \$3.7 million.
- (4) \$15.25 million.

GOVERNMENT REGIONAL OFFICERS' HOUSING — DISTRICT ALLOWANCES

5273. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

Given that additional district allowance was given to police officers in the North West region of the State, but not to those elsewhere in regional Western Australian, what measures are being taken in relation to Government Regional Officers' Housing cost increases in the South West, Great Southern and regions other than the North West?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

Police Officers in all areas of Regional WA receive a number of allowances and incentives relative to their particular location. These include:

- District Allowance paid to all eligible regional Public Sector employees, including Police Officers, for the general disadvantages associated with living in regional WA such as climate, isolation and cost of living.
- The whole of Government District Allowance flows to all applicable WA Police employees in regional WA, not just those based in the North West region.
- The whole of Government tenant rent setting framework is based on a number of factors including distance from Perth, distance from a major regional centre, amenity services available and the age and size of the relevant property.

- WA Police Officers pay less than the current housing costs experienced by other Public Sector employees in the same location.
- Any rent increases are capped at a maximum of \$10 per week per annum for existing WA Police tenants.
- Subsidised housing is a separate regional benefit and does not interact with the application of District Allowance.

BENNET SPRINGS DRIVE — PRIMARY SCHOOL PROPOSAL

5274. Ms R. Saffioti to the Minister for Education

I refer to the land on Bennett Springs Drive that was earmarked for a primary school, and I ask:

- (a) was this land bought by the Education Department; and
 - (i) if so, when and for how much;
- (b) has the land been sold to other parties; and
 - (i) if yes, for how much and for what purpose?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a) Yes.
 - (i) 21 June 2000 for \$550 000.
- (b) No.
 - (i) Not applicable.

GOVERNOR STIRLING SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL — YEAR 7 INCLUSION

5275. Ms R. Saffioti to the Minister for Education

I refer to the construction of the new Governor Stirling Senior High School, and ask, does this upgrade cater for the inclusion of Year 7s to the school; and

- (a) if yes, has this been communicated to other local high schools; and
- (b) if no, if a decision is made on the intake of Year 7s in the near future, will this school be able to accept Year 7s?

Dr E. CONSTABLE replied:

- (a)–(b) The decision about whether Year 7 students is under Government consideration.

POLICE — BURGLARY ATTENDANCE POLICY

5276. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

What is the current policy of police attending at burglary scenes?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

It is premised that most often complaints of burglary are received by telephone at the Police Assistance Centre (PAC) via the 131444 police assistance number. In these instances, the staff member at the PAC receiving the complaint commences an Incident Report. The PAC will then forward the report to the Frontline Support (FLS) desk for the relevant district where the offence occurred. The FLS will then log a Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) job and prioritise as applicable.

An assessment is to be made by the FLS desk with respect to the complaint, to prioritise the response type and attendance time. It is a requirement that all offences of burglary be attended. The decision to be made here is what resource is required and in what timeframe. Key factors in this consideration should be:

- Victim type
- Time and place of offence
- Seriousness
- Impact
- Forensic value
- Building type
- Possible connected offences

Attendance

Burglary scenes are to be attended by the District Forensic Investigation Officer (DFIO). The objective is to deliver a 'one stop shop' to the following aspects of burglary reporting, investigation and follow-up activities.

- Initial forensic assessment and crime scene examination
- Exhibit collection
- Additional Incident Report detail including property lists
- Witness statements as required
- The flagging of related Vehicles of Interest and the logging of future required forensic examination of these VOI, when located
- Commence the 5 Key Investigative Strategies (5KIS) process, also including:
 - Initial basic crime prevention advice, through the provision of 'burglary kits'
 - Victim support referral
 - Door to door inquiries
 - Complainant advice of the process and procedures to follow
 - Obtain signed 'Other Stakeholder Authority Form'

"Hot Burglary" strategy

Upon a burglary offence being recognised as a "recent" offence, Police Operations Centre (POC) alert police vehicles over the primary radio channel by identifying the incident as a "Hot Burglary".

All available vehicles are directed to the area. This includes those vehicles that are not currently on the road, but in offices.

The minimum number of vehicles, upon appropriate risk assessment, is to be sent to the crime scene. All other vehicles are to enter the "hot zone" and conduct a logical and thorough search of the area.

Coordination of the response will be conducted by POC either on the primary radio channel or where responding unit numbers preclude successful coordination of the response on that channel, on the POC Minor Incident Room radio channel.

FIREARMS LICENSING — INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY EXPENDITURE

5277. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

- (1) What amount has been spent on information technology (IT) in the firearms licensing area in the past 12 months?
- (2) For what specific purpose has that money been expended?
- (3) Are there plans to expend additional money to upgrade existing IT?
- (4) For what specific purpose will that additional expenditure be made, and what is the timeframe for implementation of this technology?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) Approximately \$730,000 by completion of the project in May 2011.
- (2) To improve the data integrity and performance of the Firearms Registry System and to re-activate the issuing of infringements.
- (3) Yes.
- (4) As a result of planned regulation changes to facilitate an alternative application process for some applicants, minor expenditure (approximately \$13,000) may be required. These changes will be implemented by mid June 2011.

FIREFIGHTING APPLIANCES — GPS TECHNOLOGY

5278. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

- (1) Have geographical positioning systems (GPS) been installed in fire appliances for career fire-fighters; and
 - (i) if not, why not?
- (2) If there are plans to install GPS, when is that expected to be completed?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) No.
 - (i) Trials of GPS navigational aids involving career appliances were conducted during 2009. As a consequence of these trials GPS navigational aids have not been installed in career fire appliances as there were issues relating to accuracy, consistency and timeliness of information.
- (2) The review of the GPS navigational aids functionality is currently being conducted as part of a project to refresh Mobile Data Terminal equipment in career appliances. If the concerns identified in the

previous trials can be addressed then the navigational aids will be installed in career appliances by November 2011.

POLICE — SEXUAL HARASSMENT INVESTIGATION

5279. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

- (1) How many cases are currently being investigated by police internal affairs for allegations of sexual harassment, bullying or discrimination?
- (2) How many cases were investigated by police internal affairs in 2010 for allegations of sexual harassment, bullying or discrimination and proved?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) As at 27 April 2011 there are 6 ongoing investigations.
- (2) During 2010 there were 5 substantiated (i.e. 'proved') investigations.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES — FATIGUE MANAGEMENT

5280. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

Are any proposals currently under consideration for fatigue management at the Fire and Emergency Services Authority; and

- (a) if so, what are those proposals; and
- (b) if not, why not?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

Yes.

- (a) Review of rostering and crew rotation practices.
- (b) Not applicable.

WATER PRESSURE IN PERTH SUBURBS

5281. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Water

Please list the ten suburbs in the metropolitan area with the lowest water pressures?

Mr W.R. MARMION replied:

The ten suburbs, based on the weighted averages within each suburb, are:

Atwell
 Aubin Grove
 Brigadoon
 Hammond Park
 Hope Valley
 Orelia
 Parmelia
 South Lake
 Success
 Yangebup

FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES — RETIREMENTS

5282. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

How many retirements were there in the Fire and Emergency Services Authority in the following financial years:

- (a) 2007–2008;
- (b) 2008–2009;
- (c) 2009–2010; and
- (d) 2010–2011 to date?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

The Fire and Emergency Services Authority (FESA) advises:

- (a) 24
- (b) 19
- (c) 13
- (d) 10.

WESTERN AUSTRALIA POLICE — RETIREMENTS

5283. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

How many retirements were there in Western Australia Police in the following financial years:

- (a) 2007–2008;
- (b) 2008–2009;
- (c) 2009–2010; and
- (d) 2010–2011 to date?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

Financial Year	Total
2007–2008	107
2008–2009	75
2009–2010	81
2010–31/03/11	64

WESTERN AUSTRALIA POLICE — CAPITAL WORKS PLANNED

5284. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

Please list the capital works planned for Western Australia Police for the next four years?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

This information is publicly available in the 2010/11 Budget Statement and the 2010/11 Midyear Review.

Both of these documents are available on the Department of Treasury and Finance website.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES — CAPITAL WORKS PLANNED

5285. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Emergency Services

Please list the capital works planned for the Fire and Emergency Services Authority for the next four years?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

The Fire and Emergency Services Authority (FESA) advises:

Capital works planned for the next four years are detailed in the 2010-11 budget paper number two, volume two, page 497 — 498.

GRAFFITI REMOVAL — STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT COOPERATION

5286. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Local Government

Has the Minister been approached by, or have representations made by, representatives of the Western Australian Local Government Association to secure better arrangements between local government and State government agencies on service level agreements on graffiti removal; and

- (a) if so, when did this occur; and
- (b) if so, what measures has the Minister taken in response to those representations?

Mr G.M. CASTRILLI replied:

No

(a)–(b) Not applicable

POLICE ASSISTANCE CENTRE — CALL OPERATORS' STRESS

5287. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

- (1) What measures or programs exist at the Police Assistant Centre to manage stress of call operators?
- (2) What measures or programs exist at the Police Assistant Centre to manage fatigue issues in call operators?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) The Health and Welfare Branch provide a number of services to assist staff at the Police Assistance Centre manage stressful situations. These include:
 - Access to the external Employees Assistance Program, specialised counselling, psychological support and assessment;

- Services of Police Chaplains for spiritual support;
- Emergency on call after-hours assistance on a dedicated number;
- Customised Stress Management training for PAC call-takers;
- Training for Peer Support Officers to assist call takers;
- Advisory service for Managers on stress-related issues specifically related to call-takers;
- Fitness for Duty Evaluation Service;
- Vocational Rehabilitation Unit available to assist injured and ill employees and those returning to work on reduced duties;
- Access to an on-site gym and periodic health appraisals and information regarding nutrition and generic exercise provided by Fit for LIFE program.

Other measures include:

- Training in Dealing with Difficult Customers as part of induction training;
 - A break out room available at the PAC to relax during breaks;
 - The ability to take unscheduled breaks if needed after a more difficult call;
 - Ability to preference shifts to suit personal circumstances;
 - Roster flexibility to assist with managing unexpected family/medical issues;
 - Unplanned absences patterns monitored and staff recommended to alter their preferences where concerns are identified i.e. night shift to days or afternoons;
 - Where part-time vacancies exist, full-time staff can elect to move to part-time;
 - Return to Work programs for staff off on extended leave to ensure staff are up to date with any workplace changes on their return.
- (2) The Occupational Safety and Health Branch provide advice and assistance regarding fatigue issues. Fatigue is included in the Occupational Safety and Health Commitment and Principles and is incorporated into policies that relate to rostering, shift work, secondary employment, critical skills and use of force.

Western Australia Police are currently developing a Fatigue Management Policy which will include guidelines and plan templates to assist both managers and officers.

POLICE EMERGENCY NUMBER — WAIT TIMES

5288. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

- (1) In the last calendar year (2010) what is the longest time that a person remained on hold after having dialled 13 14 44?
- (2) What is the average wait on hold?
- (3) How many calls were received in the 2010 calendar year, and of those, how many were placed on hold for some period?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) Longest wait/queued time having dialled 131 444 was 21 minutes 54 seconds.
- (2) One minute 20 seconds for calls waiting to be answered by call agent, after reaching welcome message. 16 seconds for all calls (including calls answered that didn't wait/queue) to be answered by a call agent.
- (3) Total calls received by all PAC queues (including 131 444) was 670,130.
553,293 calls were received on 131 444.

Of those 553,293 calls:

121,882 calls waited in 131 444 prior to being answered by a call agent.

20,204 callers ended the call while waiting prior to being answered by a call agent.

BOMB RESPONSE OFFICERS — TRAINING

5289. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

- (1) What amount is spent each year on training bomb response officers?

- (2) Has there been any capability gap analysis in respect to the bomb response team at Western Australia Police; and
 - (i) if so, when was that last done?
- (3) Is there sufficient below water line capability at present; and
 - (i) if not, what measures are being taken to improve this?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

- (1) The predominant cost of training Bomb Technicians at the Bomb Response Unit (BRU) is wages. Additional funding is provided by the National Counter Terrorism Committee in the form of skills enhancement courses/training. WA Police does not control these funds.
- (2) The BRU response capability is continuously monitored against the risks identified through a regime involving national and internal protocols.
 - (i) The last capability assessment was made by the Australian Government Attorney-General's Department — National Security Capability Development Division in July 2010.
- (3) WA Police has no below water line bomb response capability.
 - (i) The Australian Defence Force in Western Australia maintains the required skills and equipment and arrangements are in place to access this capability if required.

TACTICAL RESPONSE GROUP — LADDER COST

5290. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Police

For what reason does the Tactical Response Group at Western Australia Police need a ladder costing \$48,000?

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied:

At a cost of \$41,950.00 (ex GST), the ladder is highly specialised in its component material so as to be to be lightweight, corrosion resistant in sea water, with a high safe working load and portable.

FIREARMS — CALIBRATION LEVEL FOR GAME AND LIVESTOCK

5291. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Agriculture and Food

Is there a minimum calibre firearm recommended by the Minister's Department in respect to game and livestock, and what is it for each animal?

Mr D.T. REDMAN replied:

[See paper 3332.]

KUNUNURRA COURT HOUSE — PARKING ISSUE

5292. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Attorney General

- (1) What provision is being made for parking at the planned Kununurra Court House?
- (2) Have representations been received concerning this parking issue; and
 - (a) if so, from whom, and what action was taken?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (1) The Department of the Attorney General (DotAG) has briefed the commissioned architects to allow for staff and public car bays and a Department of Corrective Services' custody vehicle within the current site boundaries.
- (2) The Shire of Wyndham-East Kimberley (SWEK) is not supportive of full utilisation of the existing road reserve for public parking.
 - (a) The DotAG and the commissioned architects have met with the Shire to seek support for minimal additional off site parking within the existing road reserve adjacent to Messmate Way. Currently, the architects are developing sketch plans for further meetings with the SWEK.

ECONOMIC AUDIT COMMITTEE — RECOMMENDATIONS

5293. Mr J.C. Kobelke to the Treasurer

- (1) When did the Government receive the report from the Economic Audit Committee?
- (2) How many recommendations were made by Economic Audit Committee in its report to Government?

- (3) What was the total expenditure incurred by the Economic Audit Committee in the conduct of its inquiry?
- (4) Did the Premier, in December 2009, commit to considering the committee's recommendations in coming months?
- (5) If yes to (4), how many of the recommendations of the Economic Audit Committee have now been properly considered by the Government?
- (6) How many of these recommendations have been considered to the point where Government has taken a decision to adopt them or not?
- (7) How many of these recommendations has the Government decided to fully implement?
- (8) How many of these recommendations has the Government decided to partially implement?
- (9) How many of these recommendations has the Government decided not to implement?
- (10) Can the Premier provide a list of all the Economic Audit Committee recommendations now fully implemented?

Mr C.C. PORTER replied:

- (1) The Economic Audit Committee's Final Report Putting the Public First: Partnering with the Community and Business to Deliver Outcomes was presented to Cabinet on 30 November 2009.
 - (2) The Final Report contained 43 recommendations.
 - (3) The total cost of the Economic Audit Committee was \$1 387 122.
 - (4) At the time of the Report's release the Government did not formally endorse any particular Economic Audit Committee recommendations. Instead, Cabinet requested that detailed implementation proposals be developed and brought to Cabinet for consideration. Implementation continues with several recommendations currently before Government for consideration [See paper 3319.] The Tabled Paper also illustrates that some recommendations have developed implementation strategies faster than others, which essentially has been driven by Government direction and priority.
- (5)–(10) [See paper 3319.]
-