

**BRIEFING NOTE**

**Issue**

- Subsequent to a hearing of the Standing Committee on Financial Estimates and Operations on the 2010-11 Government Mid-Year Financial Projections Statement on 7 January 2011, the Hon Ken Travers MLC and the Hon Lilijanna Ravlich MLC asked 26 additional questions.

**Question 26**

26.0 Can you provide a list of the agencies included in the "All other" that caused their revenue from the Sale of Goods and Services to be revised up by \$98 million? Can you detail for each agency what is the reason for the increase?

**Suggested answer**

26.0 A list of the agencies which make up the amount of this variation is provided in the table below. The most significant revision is represented by a \$74 million increase in a central provision base growth in agency revenue (i.e. higher than expected goods and services revenue recorded in 2009-10 which are yet to be fully factored into agency budgets – see further detail in the answer to 10.1). Specific information about individual agency fees and charges that make up aggregate sales of goods and services are not held in Department of Treasury and Finance systems.

---

**2010-11 MID-YEAR REVIEW GOODS AND SERVICES REVENUE VARIATIONS**  
General Government Sector

---

Agency	2010-11		Variance \$m
	Budget \$m	Mid-year Review \$m	
Treasury and Finance	13.9	25.9	12.0
Agriculture and Food	24.2	31.2	7.0
Building and Construction Industry Training Board	22.1	28.3	6.2
Fire and Emergency Services Authority	14.4	17.4	3.0
WA Sports Centre Trust	19.4	21.4	2.0
Disability Services Commission	9.5	11.2	1.7
Child Protection	5.0	4.0	-1.0
Agriculture Protection Board of WA	4.1	1.6	-2.6
Legal Aid Commission of WA	9.8	7.2	-2.6
Treasury and Finance (administered items)	14.2	10.4	-3.8
Provision for increases in agency base revenue	21.9	96.3	74.4
Residual (agency variations less than \$1m)	222.4	298.3	1.5
<b>Total 'All Other'</b>	<b>359.0</b>	<b>456.8</b>	<b>97.8</b>

---

**Recommendation/Action**

- That you note the above information.

**Prepared by**

Department of Treasury and Finance

**Date**

9 March 2011

## BRIEFING NOTE

### Issue

- Subsequent to a hearing of the Standing Committee on Financial Estimates and Operations on the 2010-11 Government Mid-Year Financial Projections Statement on 7 January 2011, the Hon Ken Travers MLC and the Hon Lilijanna Ravlich MLC asked 26 additional questions.

### Question 21

21.0 Page 117 – First dot point – reallocation of \$227.7m of capital expenditure to recurrent-

21.1 Does this mean that this money is now considered as grant funding to the 'entities outside the State public sector' for them to build the infrastructure and is this the normal way of accounting for funds like this – as recurrent expenditure?

21.2 Will you provide a list of the 'entities outside the State public sector' and what infrastructure projects they will be building and subsequently owning, and for each project:

- a) The total cost; and
- b) the Royalties for Regions funds component of that cost?

### Suggested answer

21.0 The \$227.7 million was reallocated from capital expenditure to recurrent as per the table below (for explanation purposes).

INITIATIVE	2010/11 Forward Estimate \$m	2011/12 Forward Estimate \$m	2012/13 Forward Estimate \$m	2013/14 Forward Estimate \$m	Total \$m
Pilbara Infrastructure Australia (State Contribution)	5.1	0	0	0	5.1
Pilbara Revitalisation Phase 2***	53.157	14.566	10	67.268	145.0
Gascoyne Development Plan	3.435	11.436	7.316	18.133	40.3
Regional Capital Works Initiative	14.4	22.9	0	0	37.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>76.092</b>	<b>48.902</b>	<b>17.316</b>	<b>85.401</b>	<b>227.7</b>

21.1 Yes, this money is now considered as grant funding to the 'entities outside the State public sector'

21.2 Some of the projects of the Pilbara Revitalisation Phase 2 for 2010-11 outside of the State public sector are listed in the table below. The remaining amounts for the Pilbara Phase 2, Pilbara Infrastructure Australia (State contribution), Gascoyne Development Plan and Regional Capital works Initiative remain under consideration.

Pilbara Revitalisation Phase 2\*\*\*

Entities	Project	Estimated Total Project Cost \$'000	Royalties for Regions Contribution \$'000
Employment Centre	Bloodwood Tree Allocation	2,927	921
FORM Contemp Art &	Roebourne Art Group Art Centre	2,308	1,500
Gumala Aboriginal Corporation	Educational and Cultural Amphitheatre Vision	1,628	1,000
Ngarluma	Woodbrook Law Grounds	820	350
Yinddjibarndi Foundation Ltd	Puntukurnu Aboriginal Medical Service	60	60
Shire of Roeburne	Karratha Education Learning and Training Project	112,000	16,000
YaandinaFamily Centre Inc	Roeburne Youth Centre	4,173	1,000
Kanyirninpa Jukurrpa	Martu Knowledge Program	2,146	2,146
Rio Tinto	Dampier Childcare Centre Upgrade	3,000	1,500
Rio Tinto	Paraburdoo Childcare Centre Upgrade	3,000	1,500
Shire of Asburton	Tom Price Sporting Precinct	5,562	4,962
Shire of East Pilbara	East Pilbara Communications Enhancement	2,360	2,360
Town of Port Hedland	Cemetery Beach/ Koombana Community Parks	6,000	3,000
Town of Port Hedland	GP Housing Project	4,500	1,500
Kariyarra Mugarinya Property Joint Venture	Kariyarra Mugarinya Joint Venture (KMJV)	20,000	11,500
		<b>170,484</b>	<b>49,299</b>

**Recommendation/Action**

- That you note the above information.

**Prepared by**

Department of Treasury and Finance

**Date**

9 March 2011