

- (2) Kalgoorlie-Kwinana: 27.91 kilometres.
Kalgoorlie-Esperance: 37.953 kilometres.
- (3) Temporary speed restrictions are currently imposed for the following reasons: Irregularities in track formations and crossings; derailment sites; general maintenance work being carried out, including bridge restoration and re-sleepering; level crossing visibility; and revised approach speeds within town limits.

KARRINYUP PRIMARY SCHOOL - COMMERCIAL ARRANGEMENT FOR PARKING ON OVAL

472. Hon LJILJANNA RAVLICH to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Education:

- (1) Can the minister confirm that the Karrinyup Primary School has entered, or is about to enter, into a commercial arrangement with the Karrinyup Shopping Centre for the use of the school's oval for car parking?
- (2) If yes to (1), who made this decision?
- (3) Were parents of students at the school consulted prior to the decision being made?
- (4) If yes to (3), when; and if not, why not?

Hon N.F. MOORE replied:

- (1) Yes. Karrinyup Shopping Centre approached the principal -
Hon Ljiljanna Ravlich: What a disgrace! Fancy selling that off.

The PRESIDENT: Order! If the member does not want the answer, the Leader of the House may as well sit down.

Hon N.F. MOORE: I wonder why the member asked the question. I will make another attempt to answer the question, Mr President; I am sorry that so much of question time is being wasted.

Karrinyup Shopping Centre approached the principal of Karrinyup Primary School in order to establish an arrangement to provide secure staff parking facilities during school holidays in the peak Christmas shopping period from 17 to 31 December. The school was happy to accept the terms of the agreement. Hon Ljiljanna Ravlich should have waited for the answer before she shot her mouth off.

- (2) The principal, Mr Ian Lonnie.
- (3) No.
- (4) It is within the school principal's authority to enter into such an arrangement. However the matter was discussed with staff and at the subsequent parents and citizens' meeting attended by approximately 30 parents, who he advised of the arrangement. Scarborough Senior High School has had the same arrangement with Karrinyup Shopping Centre for a number of years. As well as a \$1 500 payment and the establishment of good relations between the school and the shopping centre, the centre will provide security guards, security lighting and make good any damage to the grounds. This arrangement will also provide valuable protection from potential vandalism during this period.

It sounds like a very good deal to me.

DYER, MR KEITH - WARDEN'S COURT HEARING

473. Hon GIZ WATSON to the Minister for Mines:

Some notice of this question has been given. I refer to the minister's response to my question without notice 422 and his response to my adjournment debate speech of Thursday, 29 October 1998, and the tabling of the transcript of the Warden's Court hearing for prospecting licence 26/2458.

- (1) Did Mr Keith Dyer give evidence at the Warden's Court hearing for prospecting licence 26/2458?
- (2) If no, why has the minister repeatedly stated that Mr Keith Dyer gave evidence?
- (3) Will the minister investigate why the magistrate Mr Kieran Boothman stated that he based his decision in this case on the evidence of a witness who never attended that hearing?
- (4) If no to (3), will the minister please explain why?
- (5) Will the minister call for the magistrate's decision to be set aside and referred back to the Warden's Court for re-hearing?

The PRESIDENT: I am not sure if the last part of that question is in order. I do not think that the minister has the power.

Hon N.F. MOORE replied:

I was about to tell the member that. I thank the member for some notice of this question. I appreciate the chance to answer it because it will save me making a ministerial statement as a result of her comments the other day in the adjournment debate.

- (1) Mr Keith Dyer gave evidence at the Warden's Court hearing on 20 September 1994 of applications for prospecting licences 26/2458, 26/2471, 26/2483 and 26/2510. I am informed that these four applications were listed and heard together on 20 September 1994 because two of the applications were over the same ground and the other two affected ground already held by Homestake Gold of Australia Ltd and Gold Resources Pty Ltd. The face sheet of the transcript of proceedings for the hearing on 20 September 1994, which I table, lists for hearing these four applications and the cross-objections.

[See paper No 401.]

The nature of Mr Dyer's evidence - contained in pages 174 to 207 of the transcript of proceedings - related to the survey of general purpose lease 26/15, located in the immediate vicinity of application for prospecting licence 26/2458, and the position of former miscellaneous licence 26/20, which comprised part of the ground included in application for prospecting licence 26/2458. The Warden delivered his reserved decision to dismiss Mr Ray Kean's objection and grant application for prospecting licence 26/2458 on 24 February 1995, after hearing all the evidence given at the hearing on 20 September 1994 and at an earlier hearing of these four applications on 2 September 1994. While the substance of Mr Kean's objection against application for prospecting licence 26/2458 may have been tested in the earlier part of the hearing on 20 September 1994, all evidence given at that hearing could logically and reasonably be considered to relate to all of the four applications listed for hearing in the presence of legal counsel appearing for the opposing parties.

It is apparent that the Warden found Mr Dyer's evidence to be relevant to his consideration of the merits of application for prospecting licence 26/2458 because, in his reserved decision to grant this application, he stated: Essentially this issue came down to the evidence given by the two experts, Mr Scanlon for the objector Kean, and Mr Keith Dyer, the licensed surveyor who originally made the plan which was subsequently submitted to the then Mines Department, accepted by the department and worked upon for a considerable number of years.

- (2) Not applicable.
- (3)-(4) No. As outlined in answer (1) Mr Dyer attended and gave evidence at the hearing of applications for prospecting licences 26/2458, 26/2471, 26/2483 and 26/2510 on 20 September 1994.
- (5) No. I have no authority under the Mining Act 1978 to call for the Warden's decision to grant application for prospecting licence 26/2458 to be set aside and referred back to the Warden's Court for rehearing.

ALBANY HOSPITAL BUDGET ALLOCATION

474. Hon BOB THOMAS to the Minister for Finance representing the Minister for Health:

In relation to the Albany Hospital -

- (1) What was the budget allocation for the hospital in 1997-98?
- (2) What was the allocation in 1998-99?
- (3) Can the minister confirm that current levels of spending indicate the hospital will go over budget this financial year?

Hon MAX EVANS replied:

I thank the member for some notice of this question.

- (1)-(2) The Health Department of Western Australia has a memorandum of understanding with the Lower Great Southern Health Service. In 1997-98 the value was \$23.286m. In 1998-99 this is valued at \$25.563m in total. The Lower Great Southern Health Service is responsible for constructing and allocating operating budgets to its hospitals and health services, incorporating all sources of revenue. The Lower Great Southern Health Service advises its allocation to Albany Regional Hospital was -

1997-98	\$16 586 200
1998-99	\$16 192 900

- (3) The health service has reported that strategies have been put in place to manage the expenditure within the allocation provided. Current expenditure is in line with the cashflow determined by the health service.