

PARLIAMENTARY INSPECTOR OF THE CORRUPTION AND CRIME COMMISSION —
REPORT INTO EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE BY POLICE

588. Dr A.D. BUTI to the Minister for Police:

I ask a supplementary question. How does the minister reconcile his answer to my question without notice when in fact person “A” wrote to him on 9 December 2010, and the minister responded in a letter to person “A” dated 31 January 2011?

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Members!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON replied: Mr Speaker, the member is referring to letters back in 2010 and —

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: The member for Armadale has asked the question; nobody else has asked the question. Member for Albany, I formally call you to order for the first time today.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: The member for Armadale is referring to letters that were sent to me back in December of last year, I think it was, or the latter part of last year, and further ones that I responded to, I believe the member is saying, in January of this year. That is some months ago, quite frankly.

Several members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: I get hundreds of questions from members opposite every day of the week—every single day of the week —

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Armadale, you have asked a supplementary question. You are getting an answer at the moment.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: If the member was really serious about getting an accurate answer, he would have given me some notice of the question so that I could provide him with an accurate answer. The trouble is I get questions—not from the member for Armadale, I have to say; I respect him—from the member for Girrawheen, and they bear no resemblance to the truth whatsoever; and she asked me some a couple of weeks ago, and I will come to that in due time. But I will get back to the member for Armadale with the answers to the questions that he asked today, for sure.