

LIVE EXPORT — MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE AND FOOD

Standing Orders Suspension — Motion

MS M.J. DAVIES (Central Wheatbelt — Leader of the Nationals WA) [2.51 pm] — without notice: I move —

That so much of standing orders be suspended as is necessary to enable the following motion to be moved forthwith —

That this house notes raids by DPIRD on Emanuel Exports, withdrawal from the live export market by Livestock Shipping Services, and reports today that the WA live export cattle industry has reduced by 20 000 head over the last three months and calls for the immediate resignation of the Minister for Agriculture and Food to restore WA's reputation as a reliable supplier of livestock and agricultural produce.

Standing Orders Suspension — Amendment to Motion

MR D.A. TEMPLEMAN (Mandurah — Leader of the House) [2.51 pm]: The government is prepared to entertain debate on this motion subject to the following addition to the motion. I move —

To insert after “forthwith” the following —

, subject to the debate being limited to 15 minutes for government members and 15 minutes for non-government members

Amendment put and passed.

Standing Orders Suspension — Motion, as Amended

The SPEAKER: Members, as this is a motion without notice to suspend standing orders, we need an absolute majority in order to succeed. If I hear a dissentient voice, I will be required to divide the Assembly.

Question put and passed with an absolute majority.

Motion

MS M.J. DAVIES (Central Wheatbelt — Leader of the Nationals WA) [2.52 pm]: I move the motion.

The reason the Nationals have moved this motion is that there seems to be a complete disconnect between what the Premier and his cabinet thinks the Minister for Agriculture and Food is achieving on the ground, and what the community and the sector that she is supposed to be working with and representing around that cabinet table is doing. The confidence of the agricultural sector is at an all-time low.

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Belmont and member for Maylands, I call you both to order for the first time.

Ms M.J. DAVIES: The confidence of the sector is at an all-time low. It is not just in relation to live exports, but that may well be the straw that breaks the camel's back. There were reports yesterday that one of Western Australia's exporters had been raided in an effort to secure documents, which may well undermine a federal inquiry that is underway at this time. In addition, we have commentary from the live export cattle industry that it is starting to feel the flow-on impacts. That goes along with the Premier's considerable defence and support of the minister in question time today, when he said the Minister for Agriculture and Food is sensible and reasonable. That defies everything that we hear on the ground and the information that is flowing publicly from the sector that the minister is supposed to work with.

Ms C.M. Rowe interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Belmont, I call you to order for the second time.

Ms M.J. DAVIES: The agricultural sector is pragmatic, and it will work with either side of government—it will. Certainly, we had our fair share of criticism when we were in government. Many remember Hon Kim Chance, a good and honest member for the Labor Party, who was the Minister for Agriculture and Food. He used to go out and listen and work with the sector. But this minister has done none of that.

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Wanneroo, you can hide behind the Speaker, but I can still hear you. Member for Maylands, I call you to order for the second time, and member for Wanneroo, for the first time.

Ms M.J. DAVIES: Hon Kim Chance took the time to listen, and he took the time to reflect the concerns of the sector to cabinet, and, for that, he earned the respect of the sector. That was despite the fact that he was from the Labor Party and that some members opposite think that that is not possible.

Ms Mia Davies; Mr David Templeman; Mr Vincent Catania; Speaker; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Peter Rundle; Mr Ian Blayney; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston

Ms S.E. Winton interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Wanneroo, I call you to order for the second time. Members, this a suspension of standing orders. A motion for the suspension of standing orders is moved only if it is considered important by a party. I will not have anyone interjecting.

Ms M.J. DAVIES: Those days are gone. This minister does not have the confidence of the sector. The Pastoralists and Graziers Association of Western Australia has spoken out and WAFarmers has spoken out. Evidence has been tabled in the other house expressing concerns from some of our major trading partners. That includes the Qatari ambassador, who pointed out, very diplomatically, that although the minister's agenda might be to increase the amount of chilled meat into those markets, their very strong preference is that they would like to continue working to deliver more of the live product that we create so much of in this state. This is a big industry, and diplomats have a diplomatic way of putting that point. However, if anyone who has idea about that industry were to read the letter that was tabled in the other house, they would know that our trading partners are nervous, and they are making that clear to our minister. This is why we asked the Premier a question about why he is not moving to re-instil some confidence in this sector. This is a significant sector for the state of Western Australia.

Why do we need to raise this issue now on a Thursday afternoon? If one more sector comes out, we will be in genuine crisis. Esperance farmers who want their wild dog fence extended have been told, "Go and talk to the federal government." The Premier needs to take better advice about that. His answer in question time was absolutely incorrect. The minister has supported an animal activist group to gain access to Fremantle port.

Ms L.L. Baker interjected

The SPEAKER: Member for Maylands!

Mr V.A. CATANIA: Point of order, Mr Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Excuse me! I am on my feet. Member for Maylands, I call you to order for the third time.

Point of Order

Mr V.A. CATANIA: Mr Speaker, the member for Bassendean walked straight across —

The SPEAKER: No. The member for Bassendean acknowledged me here to go across, and I let him go across.

Mr V.A. CATANIA: And he moved his head forward to the Leader of the Nationals—he did.

The SPEAKER: Member for North West Central, you walked out before to make a phone call and you did not acknowledge me, and you did not acknowledge me on the way back, but I let you go. But if you want to start nitpicking, I will ping everyone.

Debate Resumed

Ms M.J. DAVIES: The minister supported an animal activist group to gain access to Fremantle port, a new and unprecedented arrangement —

The SPEAKER: Minister for Water!

Mr V.A. Catania: He was sledging me as he walked out.

The SPEAKER: When you go across the chamber, you do not lecture people on the way through, okay? You just walk out of the chamber.

Ms M.J. DAVIES: Today, concerns are emerging from the live cattle exporters that numbers have dropped by 20 000 head in three months. It says, and I quote —

"If one falls over it's game over", ...

These are the conversations that are being had within the agricultural sector. The Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development raided the offices of Emanuel Exports, on the basis of claims that it had been blocked from gaining information from the commonwealth, while an investigation is underway by the commonwealth government and our key trading partners.

There is widespread concern. The Premier does not need to hear it from us; he need only turn on any of the radio stations across regional Western Australia and he will get that feedback firsthand. If he actually deigned to go out there and talk to the people this will impact and understand what they are doing and the confidence that they are losing day by day, he would know that his minister is doing enormous damage to a sector that he is supposed to be championing. Our question to him is: when he is going to make sure that that sector has someone representing it who sits around his cabinet table?

Ms Mia Davies; Mr David Templeman; Mr Vincent Catania; Speaker; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Peter Rundle; Mr Ian Blayney; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston

MR D.T. REDMAN (Warren–Blackwood) [3.00 pm]: I want to back up the Leader of the Nationals' position on the massive disconnect that exists between the Minister for Agriculture and Food and the sector that she purports to represent. In fact, during question time today, the Premier acknowledged that she pursues her agenda, and we have seen that before. We have seen her pursue genetically modified crops in Western Australia—despite people wearing cotton—the cotton in the Ord issue and also genetically modified canola. We have seen her stand alone and not go along with national standards in a lot of the agriculture sector, so she is running her own agenda in Western Australia against a national position. We have seen her espouse regenerative agriculture, which uses no pesticides and no artificial fertiliser, but that represents about one per cent of the agriculture sector in Western Australia. She can hardly be standing up for the sector on that. She talks about composting in Katanning, which is about as relevant as anything that applies to agriculture. Now she is pursuing her agenda on animal welfare. We know what happened in Fremantle when she marched for the banning of the live export industry in Western Australia. We know what her position is. She is saying that she is looking after the industry, doing all the right things, making these decisions and supporting the farmers in Western Australia. The straw that broke the camel's back yesterday was the raid on the Perth offices of Emanuel Exports Pty Ltd. This is getting into the federal jurisdiction. I want to know from the Premier today what was the basis of that decision. Was the minister driving this outcome or was it advice from the agency? Did it go to cabinet? Was the Premier made aware that this was going to happen? We want to know the distinction between her driving her own agenda, and we know what that agenda is, and looking after animal welfare, which she rightly should be doing as a minister.

This motion is about not having confidence in the minister to look after a sector that is worth a significant amount of money to Western Australia. In Western Australia, the sheep sector alone is worth \$1.4 billion, of which \$550 million is in sheepmeat and about half of that is in exported sheepmeat. The overseas live trade industry is worth \$200 million. It is a pretty significant industry that is now under threat. It is right in the balance, as the Leader of the National Party said. We are down to about 14 million sheep in Western Australia. If that is seriously disrupted, there will be a cascade effect. There is uncertainty in the sector, and we have seen that with Livestock Shipping Services, albeit it made a commercial decision, but one of the bases for that commercial decision was the signals it was getting from this government. This is not right for the sector. This minister is not representing the sector as she should. We are also seeing flow-on impacts on the cattle sector, as referred to in the motion we have put forward. Twenty thousand fewer cattle have been exported than last year on the basis of the uncertainty that is rippling right through this sector. This minister in this government is creating that uncertainty in the sector. As the Leader of the National Party said, we saw correspondence from the ambassador of Qatar that highlighted that it has cultural requirements for live export and that if Western Australia wants to keep supplying live sheep, it will be happy, but if WA wants to change that to boxed beef or sheep, it will go somewhere else. It has cultural requirements that have to be met. We know that livestock exports mainly go to Qatar, Jordan and Saudi Arabia. Those markets are in jeopardy because of this government.

No-one, least of all farmers, the National Party or the Liberal Party, accepts the animal welfare outcomes that we saw on telly. That needs to be sorted. That has been ably handled by Minister Littleproud at a federal level.

Several members interjected.

Mr D.T. REDMAN: This minister seems to be prepared to shift into a jurisdiction that is not hers. She is trying to work out what it is. I want to know from the Premier: what is the basis of the decisions that she is pushing? She has an agenda. There is uncertainty in the industry in Western Australia.

MR P.J. RUNDLE (Roe) [3.04 pm]: I will continue. No-one condones the footage that we saw recently. I hear the member for Belmont and the member for Maylands. I fully understand.

Mr D.R. Michael interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Let the member be heard in silence.

Mr P.J. RUNDLE: As a sheep producer for the last 25 years, I am really concerned. We are at a critical stage in this industry. As the member for Warren–Blackwood said, we have around 13 to 14 million sheep. We are right on the threshold and if we lose confidence in the industry, in three, four or five years we will not have an industry. Everyone is prepared to work through it. As we have said, our federal minister is taking a sensible approach.

We have just come through one of the toughest summers on record. Growers have run out of grain and they have run out of hay to feed their sheep, and they need the live export industry as a backstop. Those animals cannot just be switched to the abattoir or frozen meat industry. They are not suitable for that industry. We need a level of understanding here. Unfortunately, the Minister for Agriculture and Food seems to want to inject her agenda into this argument. It is not about that. The agriculture minister is there to support the growers and the regions of Western Australia. I met one of the livestock agents at Woolworths in Katanning the other day and he was quite distraught about the way that this minister is going about her work and the way that she is undermining the industry. Premier, I understand that the wild dog funding is there, but the minister is trying to use it as some sort of leverage

Ms Mia Davies; Mr David Templeman; Mr Vincent Catania; Speaker; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Peter Rundle; Mr Ian Blayney; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston

to extract federal funding. It is not a federal issue; it is a state issue. The funding is there. The minister is quite comfortable for those wild dogs to kill sheep after sheep in the Esperance region, while she withholds that funding that is in the budget.

The other thing that really concerns me is that on *Country Hour* today, both the Western Australian Farmers Federation and the Pastoralists and Graziers Association said that they have lost confidence in this minister. It is a real concern when the leaders of both those grower groups say that. I ask the Premier to take a good look at it. The industry has lost confidence and we need to get back on track.

MR I.C. BLAYNEY (Geraldton) [3.06 pm]: It is true; the industry is telling me that it is fast losing the faith that it did have in the Minister for Agriculture and Food. The attitude has gone from concern to anger to now fear. There is very real concern in the regions that a lot of people could lose their jobs because of the actions of the minister. Is it the minister's agenda to destroy confidence in the live export industry just because she cannot outlaw it? Is it a deliberate attempt to undermine the federal minister? Clearly, these issues lie under federal powers. Surely the minister should be acting in the industry's best interests, not seeking to destroy the industry, and should be working with the federal government on these issues. How is the operator able to communicate with its ships at sea when its offices have been raided and its telephones have been taken away? Is the aim to destroy the state's reputation as a reliable supplier, even if this is at a cost to the state's reputation? This company can operate under its legal framework; instead, this company is being treated like a group of drug dealers or financial sharks. It shows a pattern by this minister and this government—further cuts in the budget, abandoning a focused sheep industry project in Katanning, wasting valuable funds trying to prove the crackpot theories of Dr Charles Massy, ignoring the issue with the saleyards, and taking no interest in the rail access issue between CBH Group and Arc Infrastructure. Frankly, this is a minister in her twilight years trying to establish a legacy for herself.

MR M. MCGOWAN (Rockingham — Premier) [3.08 pm]: What a nasty thing that the member for Geraldton just said; it is beneath him. I thought he was a little better than that. He normally does not act in that way.

The National Party has moved essentially a no-confidence motion in Alannah MacTiernan.

Mr D.C. Nalder: Correct.

Mr M. MCGOWAN: Correct; it is a no-confidence motion in Alannah MacTiernan. I want to tell all members that I have full confidence in Alannah MacTiernan, as do the vast majority of Western Australians.

Several members interjected.

Mr M. MCGOWAN: Yes, they do. Alannah MacTiernan is far better known than anyone in the opposition and has far more confidence —

Mr D.C. Nalder interjected.

Mr M. MCGOWAN: Madam Acting Speaker, I did not interject on the opposition.

I want to raise a few points. Firstly, there is an investigation into potential criminality. The National Party has moved a motion in Parliament to interfere in a criminal investigation.

Ms M.J. Davies interjected.

Mr M. MCGOWAN: You are so rubbish, but that is what you are doing.

Ms M.J. Davies: No, it isn't.

Mr M. MCGOWAN: Yes, you are. I do not propose to use Parliament to interfere in a criminal investigation.

The former Liberal–National government put animal welfare into the Department of Agriculture and Food and it now enforces the Animal Welfare Act, which gives it the opportunity for prosecute under that act and investigate potential prosecutions, and there is an investigation. As I indicated in question time, a consignment of 2 400 sheep died on an Emanuel Exports ship and the department has decided to investigate that. I think that is entirely appropriate. If there is going to be an investigation into the death of 2 400 sheep—2 400 on one ship!—what is wrong with that? Why should that not be investigated? I mean, the idea that somehow this Parliament should tell organisations and state instrumentalities when they can and cannot prosecute matters is, frankly absurd, and is something that we would see in a totalitarian dictatorship, not a western democracy.

My second point is that animal welfare was given to agriculture by the former government and the Minister for Agriculture and Food has responsibility for animal welfare. If it did not want agriculture to have responsibility for it, why did it put it into that department when it was in government? The third point is that, as the member for Warren-Blackwood acknowledged in his address, the decision by Livestock Shipping Services to stop its operations is a commercial decision—he said it himself. But in its motion, the National Party stated that it was somehow Alannah MacTiernan's fault when it was a commercial decision. The advice I have is that it made that

Ms Mia Davies; Mr David Templeman; Mr Vincent Catania; Speaker; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Peter Rundle; Mr Ian Blayney; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston

decision on the basis of the 30 per cent reduction in stocking capacity on ships, which was put in place by the federal government. Do members opposite support that reduction in stocking capacity on ships? Honestly, they are so bad. The Liberals and Nationals are so bad, it is embarrassing. I am embarrassed for them. The federal government put in place a 30 per cent reduction in stocking capacity and, therefore, Livestock Shipping Services decided not to operate and somehow that becomes Alannah MacTiernan's fault in the Alice-in-Wonderland world of the National Party. There has been no move in the export of cattle but, somehow, whatever the reduction is in cattle exports, that is somehow also her fault. There has been no action, nothing in relation to that, but somehow that is also her fault. Perhaps there was a bringing forward of exports, maybe there were commercial reasons or maybe there are reasons overseas why there has been a reduction in live cattle exports. I do not know the answer to that, but to say that the prosecution of someone for animal cruelty in the live sheep export industry has suddenly resulted in a reduction of the export of live cattle from the north of the state is, again, frankly absurd. It is absurd that members opposite would come in here and draw that link.

There is also the broader attack on Alannah MacTiernan: "Alannah is responsible for everything". As I said yesterday, she was the one who brought forward the grains research money that was ending under the former Liberal-National government, an additional \$24 million of research into grains to increase the capacity of grain farmers in the state. She is the one who had \$27 million put in the budget to deal with wild dogs, particularly in the eastern parts of the state and the rangelands. Members opposite are the ones in government who cut the hell out of the agriculture department, did they not? Members opposite basically halved it in size when they were in government.

I want to speak about the broader issue for one moment. This is actually very important to the future of the entire state of Western Australia. The live sheep industry is worth around \$230 million per annum. It is an important industry and we are doing our best to help it through its current difficulties, and I will talk about that first. What Alannah MacTiernan, the Minister for Agriculture and Food, is trying to do is save them from themselves. Members opposite can shake their heads, but do they think that the Australian public will cop another example of what happened on the *Awassi Express*? Do they think that would be acceptable? I can tell members opposite that people at the highest levels in the agricultural industry have advised me that if there is another such incident, that will be the end of the industry. Do members opposite think that that would be acceptable to the Australian public? If they do, they have their heads in the sand. If they do, they are so ignorant of where the Australian public is at and, frankly, that is shocking. If it happens again and similar footage appears, that will be the end of the industry. Very few people will support it. Alannah MacTiernan, the minister, is trying to ensure that the industry and those communities understand the situation that they are in. I think most people in those communities and most people in regional Western Australia probably understand this. In fact, to be honest, I think most people in regional Western Australia want the industry to close. I think most people in those communities would accept that there is a significant problem and we need to ensure that the shippers lift their game. That is what she is trying to do. She is trying to save them from themselves. When we are trying to save someone from themselves, it is not too often that we find that they do not want to be saved. If those two characters have been on radio trying to withdraw people's confidence in Alannah MacTiernan, if what members opposite say is correct, they do not want to be saved. Whatever happens from this point forward, if there is another incident, be it on their heads because it will be their fault.

The big issue is that the industry itself—its trade in the Middle East with Qatar and Kuwait, as I understand it—is worth \$230 million, which is a lot of money and no doubt important to those communities. The argument has been—I have seen it on the front page of the newspaper—that this is an important trading relationship. I want to mention another trading relationship—China. Our relationship with China is worth \$62 billion of Western Australian exports, which is 300 times the size of the live sheep industry, and compared with the live sheep industry it provides multiple hundreds of jobs for Western Australians and is therefore an important relationship. What has gone on at the national level —

Mr D.C. Nalder interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Member for Bateman, I have sat here and timed you talking together for three minutes and you stopped to interject. That is not orderly. Maybe think about that.

Mr M. McGOWAN: Our relationship with China is far bigger and far more important, and the agricultural representatives with whom I have met acknowledge that. The fact that that relationship has been so badly damaged by some of the commentary nationally is very bad for our state. As I have pointed out before, Barnaby Joyce—he is the one example I will give—compared China with Islamic State. If members opposite do not think things like that cause grief for industry in this state, again, they do not know what is going on. It is a massive and important relationship that generates employment for hundreds of thousands of Western Australians. Members opposite do not seem to care about that relationship and the national commentary that goes on. The National Party does not seem to care about it. There is never a whisper about that yet, with this one, the National Party moves a motion

Ms Mia Davies; Mr David Templeman; Mr Vincent Catania; Speaker; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Peter Rundle; Mr Ian Blayney; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston

and asks questions every single day as though this one is more important than that one. Frankly, for Western Australia's sake, the federal government—the foreign minister, in particular—needs to lift its game in relation to our relationship with China because hundreds of thousands of jobs depend on it. It is a far more important relationship, particularly in trade terms, and deserves far more coverage in the state's media than does the other issue.

Alannah MacTiernan is doing a terrific job. She is trying to save the industry. If through the National Party's actions something further happens and the industry is destroyed, it will be their fault.

MR W.J. JOHNSTON (Cannington — Minister for Mines and Petroleum) [3.19 pm]: I am very pleased to be able to stand here and defend one of the best ministers in Western Australia; namely, Hon Alannah MacTiernan. The idea that somehow Hon Alannah MacTiernan needs to deal with the live export industry to create a legacy is simply ridiculous. She is a woman who has made more contribution to this state than almost any other person who has occupied any part of this chamber. It is bizarre.

Let us think about what has happened here. The National Party is asking us to note the raids by the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development on Emanuel Exports. Let us understand that. It is a criminal investigation. The Nationals are saying that they would like to stand with the mob being investigated rather than the investigators. What sort of National Party do we have in this state that stands up for people being investigated for criminal offences and not for the people who are investigating them on behalf of the people of this state? Why have the Nationals not come here to congratulate the people enforcing the laws of our state? Why are they worried about what will be found by criminal investigation? I do not know what result will come from that criminal investigation, but I wish it well. If it finds criminal conduct, that criminal conduct should be prosecuted. That is what should occur. The idea that we will somehow interfere in the investigation of a criminal offence is disgraceful. How many of the people being investigated are known to the members of the National Party?

I remind them that there is form on this. I will give them a name—Veronica Macpherson, who ran a \$180 million Ponzi scheme through a company called Macro Group Australia. We all remember that because we know who was in the videos shown in Singapore and Malaysia to steal money from Asian investors. Whose image was in those videos endorsing the project? The former Leader of the National Party, Brendon Grylls. That matter has still not been fully investigated and I look forward to the conclusion of all the criminal investigations. Ms Macpherson has been banned from being involved in the investment industry by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission, but the underlying criminal activities have not yet been dealt with. Is the National Party going to come back here and ask us not to have any investigation into those criminal offences? If they do, would that be because their friend is being investigated? What a disgrace?

I am proud that we have a minister who is responsible for animal welfare matters and who is looking at animal welfare matters. In 1994, my brother, who is a farmer, was living here in Western Australia with me and while he was between jobs, he was working casually in a warehouse. He was offered a job on one of these export ships as a stockman. Do you know, Acting Speaker, what he described his job to be? "Take that one up the top; take that one down below; throw that one over the side". That is how he described what his job would be. He said to me that he was not prepared to do that. He is a cattleman but for his entire life he has worked with sheep as well. Many stockmen do not want to work on these vessels because of the enormous suffering of the sheep. It was in 1994 that my brother talked about the suffering of the sheep.

A government member: Nothing has changed.

Mr W.J. JOHNSTON: Nothing has changed, and that is the problem. This steam train has been coming towards this industry for years.

Several members interjected.

Mr W.J. JOHNSTON: Here is a final question that the National Party never spoke about: What did National Party members do for eight and a half years when they had the opportunity to save this industry and do something about the appalling conditions on these vessels? They sat on their hands because their friends were involved. They were happy to have their friends break the law because they did not care. They had the chance to do something. This is an industry that needs to transition to a sustainable footing. Those members had that opportunity and they failed. Let me make it clear to the Pastoralists and Graziers Association and the WA Farmers Federation—this criminal investigation arises directly out of the failure of the National Party in government to do anything about it. It is their fault. Farmers Federation and the PGA—it is the National Party's fault.

Division

Question put and a division taken with the following result —

Ayes (11)

Extract from *Hansard*
[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 21 June 2018]
p3703d-3709a

Ms Mia Davies; Mr David Templeman; Mr Vincent Catania; Speaker; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Peter Rundle; Mr Ian Blayney; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston

Mr I.C. Blayney
Mr V.A. Catania
Ms M.J. Davies

Mrs L.M. Harvey
Mr P. Katsambanis
Mr A. Krsticevic

Mr S.K. L'Estrange
Mr D.C. Nalder
Mr D.T. Redman

Mr P.J. Rundle
Ms L. Mettam (*Teller*)

Noes (31)

Ms L.L. Baker
Dr A.D. Buti
Mr J.N. Carey
Mrs R.M.J. Clarke
Mr R.H. Cook
Ms J. Farrer
Mr M.J. Folkard
Ms J.M. Freeman

Ms E. Hamilton
Mr T.J. Healy
Mr W.J. Johnston
Mr D.J. Kelly
Mr F.M. Logan
Mr M. McGowan
Mr S.A. Millman
Mr M.P. Murray

Mrs L.M. O'Malley
Mr P. Papalia
Mr S.J. Price
Mr D.T. Punch
Mr J.R. Quigley
Ms M.M. Quirk
Mrs M.H. Roberts
Ms C.M. Rowe

Ms R. Saffioti
Mr C.J. Tallentire
Mr D.A. Templeman
Mr R.R. Whitby
Ms S.E. Winton
Mr B.S. Wyatt
Mr D.R. Michael (*Teller*)

Pairs

Mr K. O'Donnell
Mr R.S. Love
Mr J.E. McGrath

Ms S.F. McGurk
Mr P.C. Tinley
Ms A. Sanderson

Question thus negatived.