

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

**DARLING RANGE — INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS**

*Matter of Public Interest*

**THE SPEAKER (Mr P.B. Watson)** informed the Assembly that he was in receipt within the prescribed time of a letter from the Leader of the Opposition seeking to debate a matter of public interest today.

[In compliance with standing orders, at least five members rose in their places.]

**DR M.D. NAHAN (Riverton — Leader of the Opposition)** [2.58 pm]: I wish to move the following as a matter of public interest —

That this house condemns the McGowan government for failing to budget for or start infrastructure projects in the electorate of Darling Range, including the Tonkin Highway extension, Byford rail line and Mundijong industrial estate, despite handing down two state budgets and funding being provided by the commonwealth government.

A by-election is to occur in Darling Range and the electorate deserves to be told the truth about what the McGowan government is actually doing or is committed to doing in the Darling Range electorate. Let us go through some of the claims that the government is spending hundreds of thousands of dollars to promote and is cashed up to the hilt for. One is the Byford rail. We heard the transport minister railing on that earlier today. She did not say that this government is committed to it just as long as the commonwealth Liberal government funds it. It would not be committed to or funded unless all the funding in the budget comes from the Turnbull Liberal–National government. It should be called the Hastie line.

Several members interjected.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** I tell members what, the government is not moving with haste on this one—not at all! All it does it talk. It has been exposed as having put none of its own money forward, although it went to the election saying, “We will build it. Vote for us”. It did not. The people voted for the Labor Party, but it has done nothing! It put nothing in its first budget for it—nothing at all! Then when the commonwealth gave the state government 50–50 funding, it put some of the commonwealth money in the budget and none of its own. Therefore, this project does not belong to the state government. Once the state government allows somebody else to fund and drive it, it loses the right to claim it. The state government is not putting any money into the Byford line. It is not the state government’s project. When the state government is asked where in the state budget the train station is, it says, “I don’t know. We’re thinking about it.” Then last week it went out and said, “Here’s our plan to build the Byford line”, but it of course failed to say it had put no money into it. No money—no ownership! The state government is not building this; it is simply a contractor for Canberra. The state government does not own it—no money, no ownership.

The key issue in the electorate of Darling Range is not the Byford line; it is the Tonkin Highway extension.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Members on my right!

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** The government has repeatedly promised to build it, but this budget has no money for it, despite getting \$253 million from the commonwealth. The government put in neither that money nor its own, but it is telling the people of Darling Range that it is part of its agenda and that it will build it. It will not. Unless the budget is a lie, the government will not build it. Unless the budget is a false document, the government is not committed to the Tonkin Highway extension; it has no money for it. It has had the commonwealth money, but it is not committed to it. When we asked the Treasurer, he said, “I don’t get out of bed for less than 80 per cent.” In other words, unless the commonwealth stumps up more money, the state government will not consider building it. Therefore, a large amount of that expensive advertising the government is floating around the electorate of Darling Range is false. But that is Labor—say and do anything to get elected. By the way, not too many people around Byford and Mundijong read the budget, but they are onto the government because the local shires have told them that the government is not real on the Tonkin and it is just trying to fool those people—to trick them. To get them to vote Labor one more time, the state government will promise and do anything. The classic one was west Mundijong industrial estate —

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Members, please, I want to hear this. It is a matter of public interest. I do not want any interjections, please.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** The campaign has been mounted as if Barry Urban is still alive and running—the same type of nonsense is out there. This time it is being run by his mentor—the Premier.

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

West Mundijong industrial estate is an interesting one. That government says that will be part of “Lithium Valley”, and will be fed by Westport; Westport will not be built for 15 to 20 years, and the government is saying there will be jobs from it next week. It is ridiculous! Of course, we started and developed that idea into which this government has put no money—none! It has done nothing. Again, it is a story out of Barry Urban; another urban myth perpetrated by the Premier of Western Australia.

This government has said it has done some things on schools. It has committed to Byford Primary School, about which I am glad because it was a commitment we made in our final budget. As with most things this government claims to have done, they were actually initiated, started, developed and promoted by us. It is actually taking total responsibility for Optus Stadium, Perth Children’s Hospital —

Several members interjected.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** Yes! Sit back, people: think of one thing this government has actually done.

**The SPEAKER:** Members, I will not have any more interjections, or I will call you to order. Leader of the Opposition.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** I can tell members certain things the government has done. It has cut funding to the community resource centres. It says that is a minor issue, but tell that to the communities that use the CRCs in places like Mundijong, where services are weak and thin. They do not have all the services Rockingham and other electorates that the government represents have. CRCs hold together all sorts of essential community services, and the government is cutting their budgets by \$40 000 to \$60 000. Why? That is an illustration of what the government thinks of the people of those areas. Landsdale Farm School is another issue. During the last —

Several members interjected.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** If members knew the electorate, they would know there is quite a bit of agriculture in the north east section of it.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Kingsley, I call you to order for the first time.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** In government it is often the little things that count—the essential social services that help hold the fabric of the community together. This government is cutting back on those.

But the most important issues for this electorate are Tonkin Highway, the Byford line and others, and the government has once again told it before the election—Barry Urban won the election on the basis of these projects—that it would build that infrastructure. When it came time for the government to put up the money, it failed to. Why would the government not put any money into that infrastructure? The only conclusion we can come to is that the government does not intend to follow through with these projects. How much money is in the budget for the Tonkin Highway extension? Is the government committed to it? When we ask in this house whether the government is committed to it, it refuses to answer. A refusal to answer means no.

As for the Byford line, the Minister for Transport stands and says the government is committed to it, but it is so committed it refuses to put any money into it, even though it has a proportion from the commonwealth but none of the government’s own money. When we ask where it will be funded from, the minister says she is very proud if Canberra funds everything that this state government does. That is good, but the state government does not own it. If the state government does not drive it, it is not the state government’s responsibility. Show us the money. With no money, there is no responsibility or ownership. It is not the state government’s.

**Dr A.D. Buti** interjected.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** Well, it is the truth. The state government signed up to a deal with the Turnbull government—50–50 funding of that infrastructure. The commonwealth put money into the budget for those projects. It kept to the deal—this government reneged. Why would the state government renege on a deal of that nature?

**Mr T.J. Healy:** “Fully funded, fully costed”?

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** Yes.

**Mr T.J. Healy:** Like MAX?

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** This government has the money in its hand, but it refuses to allocate it. There is one possible explanation only for the government doing that: it has no intention of building the Tonkin extension in the next four years. That is what the budget shows. That is what the government has told us in this place, yet it tells the people of Darling Range exactly the opposite.

The reason for this by-election is that the Labor Party put up to the people of Darling Range a person who can only be described as a fraud. He was so fraudulent that the unprecedented recommendation of the Procedure and

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

Privileges Committee was to throw him out of this house. That has definitely never happened in the history of this state—indeed, this nation. That was a disgraceful event. The Labor Party put him there. The government is now trying to speak about him in the past tense—“We had nothing to do with it”. There were indicators, but the government protected him until it could go no further; until the point that the government had no choice. It was so glaringly obvious that he had to go. Now the government is going back out there and telling the people of Darling Range “Urbanisms”. It is the same myth: the government is spending hundreds of thousands of dollars to communicate to the people of Darling Range that it is going to do the Tonkin Highway extension. The government has the money, it was given the money, it has signed the contract with the commonwealth to do it and it is renegeing on it. The government is not going to do it. Tell us the truth.

**Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup:** Hear, hear!

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** Be honest.

**Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup:** Hear, hear!

**The SPEAKER:** I can hear you, member for Dawesville.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** The government should make amends for its last member and do the right thing. I would say to fund the extension. The government has agreed to it, it has promised it, it has the money from the commonwealth, it is needed, it is shovel-ready and it is ready to go.

**Ms R. Saffioti** interjected.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** The Minister for Transport can laugh. The Minister for Transport laughs. She laughed. The extension is ready to go and the government should progress it, but it does not.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Members!

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** The government has advanced all sorts of other things like the Ellenbrook line; it has money set aside for that. Again, that is fully funded by the commonwealth. That will be the Porter line.

**Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup:** Hear, hear!

**The SPEAKER:** If I hear one more “Hear, hear” from you!

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** When it is finished, it will have been fully funded by the commonwealth.

All we ask for the Darling Range by-election is for the government to put Urban aside and tell the truth. The government should stop the myths, be straight with the voters, tell them what it is going to do and follow up on those commitments, especially when the commonwealth, the Turnbull government, has given it the money for both those projects and it has signed a contract to do them. This is disgraceful behaviour on the government’s part.

**MRS L.M. HARVEY (Scarborough — Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** [3.11 pm]: Mr Speaker —

**Dr A.D. Buti** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Armadale!

**Mrs L.M. HARVEY:** I rise in support of this matter of public importance that has been raised in the house today and to build on what the Leader of the Opposition has just put forward. The citizens of the electorate of Darling Range have good reason to be disgruntled with this government. There was a range of election commitments made in the 2017 campaign and we have now seen two budgets passed in this house by this government, but very few of those of commitments have been funded. In fact, I think the only commitment that was funded was the commitment out of the Local Jobs, Local Projects slush fund. The rest of these commitments that the government says are a high priority for the people of Byford and the citizens of Darling Range do not have a jot of commitment from this government in this current budget. The federal government, the commonwealth, has been working with the state government, and the state government, the Premier and the Minister for Transport, has been very vocal, going out to the community saying it has had the money from Roe 8 and Roe 9 repurposed and that it is putting it towards its priorities.

What are those priorities? Let us look at the Yanchep rail line extension. Members might be pleased to understand that north of Butler there are about 22 000 people; that is 22 000 individuals living north of Butler at the moment. South of Armadale, in the catchment for the Byford line, the population is 40 000 people and growing. Did the Byford line get any prioritisation from the Roe 8 and Roe 9 funding? No. There have been two budgets passed. Who has a commitment to the constituents of Darling Range? I will tell members who has a commitment—the Turnbull government. On 27 April 2018, well before the state budget was handed down, the Turnbull government announced the stage 3 extension of Tonkin Highway linking through to the South Western Highway, with a total

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

budget of around \$505 million. Who has put the money towards that in its budget? Who has put it in a budget and said it is committed to it? It was the federal government with \$253 million. Why is the Tonkin Highway extension important? It is because the Mundijong industrial estate cannot function without it. The government has gone out and said it is doing the Mundijong industrial estate, but it is a paddock without a highway connecting to it. How can there be an industrial estate without a highway connecting to it? Who is committed to that project? It is the federal Liberal government.

I turn to the Tonkin Highway gap, which is a widening project to reconfigure, implement and manage better efficiencies of that network. There is \$290 million for that project. Who has made a commitment to that project so it can facilitate the movement of traffic along Tonkin Highway? It is the federal Turnbull government and Andrew Hastie, with \$145 million. The federal government has put the project in its budget and said it is a priority; it has made a commitment to it. Do we see a commitment from this government by it putting money in the budget? No, we do not see a commitment.

The people of Darling Range have seen two budgets go through this house and there is no funding commitment in the out years for any of these projects. We do not even see in 2020–21 state moneys to match the federal contribution to say yes, the project will be shovel-ready in 2020. We do not expect these projects to be shovel-ready with the government having been in office for only 18 months, but we expect that if the government makes a commitment and the feds make a commitment, somewhere in the out years of the budget, the state government will have put in the corresponding state commitment, because that says yes, the project is going to happen, it will happen in 2020 or it will happen in 2021. We do not see that for the constituents of Darling Range and we do not see it for the Byford rail extension. There are 40 000 people in that catchment, many who voted for Labor in a monumental landslide because the Labor Party went out there and said that it would build the Byford rail extension and that it was one of its priorities. When the state government spoke to the federal government and said that it would re-prioritise Roe 8 and Roe 9 money, that it had a big infrastructure commitment with Metronet and listed its top priorities, was the Byford rail extension in that? No, it did not get funded. Only the federal government has committed money to that project. There is \$481 million required for that project and the federal government has committed \$241 million. It is in the budget; it is part of the federal government's budget. There is no matching state commitment even for the commencement of this project in the out years. That tells the people of Darling Range that this government will say and do anything to get their vote, but it is not going to prioritise its election commitments, and the people of Darling Range need to sit up and take notice. If the government was going to prioritise its election commitments, the project would be in the budget like the Yanchep rail extension is, like Stephenson Avenue is and like so many other commitments that this government made are. The people out in Darling Range are not a priority for this government and it has made that abundantly clear by refusing to fund these projects. I feel sorry for the people in Mundijong, Byford, Wungong, Cardup, Whitby, Oakford, Serpentine and Hilbert. I have relatives who live in that electorate and they are not voting Labor this time, I can tell members now. They are not voting Labor in this by-election, because they wanted the Byford rail extension.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Members!

**Mrs L.M. HARVEY:** They bought a house in Byford and said, "That's great. Mark McGowan says he's building a rail line out to Byford. This is going to be terrific in a few years' time." What have they got? An empty, hollow promise from this government. They know that Andrew Hastie is committed; he has delivered the money. But there is nothing from this government. The government cannot say that the industrial estate is going to be great and that it will be connected to the new outer harbour when it does not even have funding in the budget for the Tonkin Highway extension that will give access to it. How ridiculous! We will make these points to the people in the Darling Range electorate and let them know that they are going to be let down again and again by the McGowan government because they were not a priority in the first two budgets and they will not be a priority in the next budget or the one after that; the government does not consider the needs of the people in that constituency. If it did, there would be matching state government money for all of these commitments that the federal government remains so committed to by ensuring that they are funded.

On that note, I will allow other members to make a contribution to this matter of public interest. I think it is very important that we have these debates in this house in the lead-up to a by-election because it highlights that the government can go out there, get its media grab, post on Facebook and tweet whatever it likes, but it is not backed up by an actual commitment and an agency working on the project, doing the planning to work out where the Byford train station is going to be—whether it is going to be at the *Australind* stop or in the town. People have no idea what the plans are because the planning is not being done, because it is not a commitment.

**The SPEAKER:** Members, the question is the motion be agreed to. I think the ayes have it—sorry, the noes have it. Several members interjected.

*Point of Order*

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

**Mr D.T. REDMAN:** Point of order, Mr Speaker.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes, point of order; I am a bit confused myself.

**Mr D.T. REDMAN:** I seek some clarification from the Chair. As I understand it, I heard you call for the vote and then you made the comment in response to that vote. Is that going to be carried through with?

**The SPEAKER:** I was corrected by this side before I made the call, so I said “the noes have it”. Now it is divide.

**Mr S.K. L'ESTRANGE:** I distinctly heard you say “the ayes have it”.

**The SPEAKER:** I did not quite hear it. I am advised the —

**Mr S.K. L'ESTRANGE:** Sorry, through you: you did seek advice after the event. You actually made the call “the ayes have it”.

**The SPEAKER:** No.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** I will get both sides.

**Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN:** In cases of this, ultimately it is your decision as per the standing orders. There is discretion in these matters.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** The Clerk said I said, “I think the ayes have it” and then I was corrected and said, “I think the noes have it.” The member for Churchlands can shake his head. If you are questioning the Clerk —

**Mr S.K. L'Estrange:** They are questioning you right now.

**The SPEAKER:** Do you want to have a division?

**Dr M.D. Nahan:** You called the division —

**The SPEAKER:** Excuse me.

I will put the question again. I can make a mistake the same as anyone else, but I was corrected. I am quite happy to —

**Ms L. Mettam:** Cover it up.

Several members interjected.

**Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN:** The comment from the member for Scarborough is a direct —

**Mrs L.M. Harvey:** I did not say anything.

**Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN:** — the member for Vasse, then, is directly against your ruling. I ask you to ask her to withdraw—you do not say “cover-up”.

**The SPEAKER:** I did not hear anything.

**Mr M. McGOWAN:** Mr Speaker, further to the point of order, the member for Vasse clearly said —

**The SPEAKER:** I give the call to the member for Warren–Blackwood. He has been on his feet for a couple of minutes.

**Mr D.T. REDMAN:** There have been other occasions in this house over the last 12 months or so when the vote has gone through very quickly and we have endeavoured to put in a division and your ruling has been such that that has been shut down and we have not been able to do it.

**The SPEAKER:** When did that happen, member? Can you tell me when that happened?

**Mr D.T. REDMAN:** I remember having some conversations about it. It was something I was a little sensitised to at the time, so I could probably track that down. I am making the point now that I believe you made the call for the vote. Government members did not get to their feet to make a contribution to this very important debate and I ask that you pursue, consistent with previous decisions, the point that you have called the vote and made it.

*Withdrawal of Remark*

**Mr M. McGOWAN:** My point of order was in respect to the member for Vasse, who alleged that you covered it up. I thought that was highly improper and unparliamentary. I urge you to ask her —

Several members interjected.

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

**Mr M. McGOWAN:** Mr Speaker, there is a long tradition in this house that the Speaker's ruling should be respected and members calling out "you covered it up" is highly inappropriate.

**The SPEAKER:** Member, will you withdraw?

**Ms L. METTAM:** I withdraw.

*Debate Resumed*

**The SPEAKER:** Members, the question is the motion be agreed to.

*Point of Order*

**Mr S.K. L'ESTRANGE:** Point of order!

**The SPEAKER:** I have made the decision on the point of order.

**Mr S.K. L'ESTRANGE:** I am making a point of order on the point of order from the member for Warren–Blackwood. The member for Warren–Blackwood made a point of order. I have yet to hear your ruling on the member for Warren–Blackwood's point of order.

**The SPEAKER:** It is not a point of order.

*Debate Resumed*

**MS R. SAFFIOTI (West Swan — Minister for Transport)** [3.25 pm]: I want to respond to the ludicrous claims put forward by the opposition. Let us get back to the key features. Again, 21 minutes—did they outline one achievement they delivered in the seat of Darling Range in eight and a half years? Did they outline one achievement in eight —

**Mrs L.M. Harvey:** You name one you have achieved!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** I will go through them.

**Mrs L.M. Harvey:** You just keep talking about it.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** The member for Scarborough gave us an enlightening comment. She stood up and said, "The Tonkin Highway gap; Andrew Hastie's really been fighting for that." Does the member for Scarborough know where the Tonkin Highway gap is? I can tell her that it is not in the seat of Canning.

**Mrs L.M. Harvey** interjected.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** She has no idea where the Tonkin Highway gap is. She stood up and said, "The member for Canning, Andrew Hastie, is really fighting for the Tonkin Highway gap." It is nowhere near the seat of Canning!

**Mrs L.M. Harvey** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Scarborough!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** I think Ken Wyatt will be fighting for that one, member for Scarborough, just to give the member a little bit of a hint!

Several members interjected.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** Honestly—40 000. They have discovered 40 000 people in Byford. They have discovered there are people living south of Armadale. Why did the former government ignore them for eight and a half years as though they have moved in in the past 15 months? We know the population growth happened under the previous government. We know they were going into that suburb. What did they say about Byford? The Leader of the Opposition does not know much about Byford. The member for Bateman said the project was not required until 2031 or 2050. That was their plan. Why does it take a while to plan the project? They did nothing. They did not one ounce of preparatory work for that project. Now they walk around as though they are lost in Byford. As I said, as their car travels south, somehow they hit the town of Byford. They discover a population and say, "Jeez, why don't you have a rail line?" Honestly! We have been there for 15 months. They were there for eight and a half years and did not even acknowledge that that project was worthwhile. This whole idea that if we get the commonwealth to pay for things, that is a bad thing—it is ludicrous. No wonder they lost the state \$40 billion. We are not going to be lectured on financial management by the opposition. We are not going to be lectured about —

**Mr D.C. Nalder** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Bateman!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** We are not going to be lectured about —

**Mr D.C. Nalder** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Bateman!

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** We are not going to be lectured by the opposition about negotiating strategies with the commonwealth. The opposition failed to negotiate funding agreement after funding agreement and, yes, we have 50 per cent committed to those projects, but we want 80 per cent—and that is what the Treasurer said. We want them to fund those projects. The idea that the opposition would oppose the state getting more federal funding for projects is again out of this world.

**Mrs L.M. Harvey:** We are applauding them for funding it.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Scarborough!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** You go drive that Tonkin Highway gap, member for Scarborough, and tell me where it is!

**Mrs L.M. Harvey:** I drove through it several times this week.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** You would have realised it is not in the seat of Canning if you had driven through it.

**Mrs L.M. Harvey** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Scarborough!

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** I mention you—this is not a chat fest. I call the member for Scarborough to order for the second time.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** Last week the Leader of the Opposition said the freeway extension north to Romeo Road was a big priority for the people of Darling Range, and today he does not even know where the Tonkin Highway gap project is. I mean, honestly.

**Mrs L.M. Harvey** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Scarborough, you are on two.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** She has had her go. She discovered last week that there were people living south of Armadale and for eight and half years the previous government did nothing on these projects—absolutely nothing. We have been planning on driving these projects. Do we care if the commonwealth government wants to pay the bill? Of course it should pay the bill. We get a bad deal from the GST, so the least it can do is fund our infrastructure projects.

As I said, we heard an incredibly confusing contribution. In 20 minutes there was not one outline. What did the previous government do in Darling Range? It closed a primary school; it closed a couple of primary schools. Why did it close Karragullen Primary School? Why did the Liberal Party close Karragullen Primary School?

**Mr M. McGowan:** Because you went there?

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** My friends went there. Why did the Liberal government close it? I think it argued about numbers at the time. Why did the Liberal Party close Karragullen Primary School?

**Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup** interjected.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** The member for Dawesville said that the Liberal Party closed Karragullen Primary School because there were not enough students!

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Members!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** The Liberal Party closed the Karragullen Primary School —

**Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** I do not care how old the member is, or how many years ago he was 12, but I will call the member for Dawesville to order for the second time for keeping on interjecting.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** The member for Dawesville outlined why the previous government closed Karragullen Primary School—because there were not enough numbers.

**Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Dawesville!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** I am not making a comparison; he is making a comparison. How does the member for North West Central wear a Liberal Party shirt if it is out there closing schools in peri-urban areas?

The previous government had no commitment to Byford; it believed that the project should have been delivered between 2031 and 2050. It did not do one ounce of planning, so we are doing all the planning from scratch. We got some money from the commonwealth government and we would like some more from it, if possible. That is

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

our strategy. Our strategy is to get as much money from the commonwealth for our infrastructure projects as possible. Who would argue against that? People who hate Western Australia and love Canberra and commonwealth politicians—that is who. It is the WA Liberal Party, which will not stand up to Canberra and will not stand up for more infrastructure funding. It thinks we should just say, “Oh, thank you very much. Whatever you give us—thank you very much.” We actually have a strategy to get more funding from the commonwealth. The previous government had a strategy of not delivering infrastructure. Its Metro Area Express commitment was based on 50 per cent funding from the commonwealth government, and it gave up after a few months and said, “Oh well, we didn’t get the money. We’re walking away.”

**Dr D.J. Honey** interjected.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** The previous government’s strategy was to put MAX in the budget. What happened to it? Its strategy was to put in the budget things that it had no idea how to deliver and for which it had no funding.

With regard to the Tonkin Highway extension, I actually feel sorry for the former Liberal member for Darling Range, Tony Simpson. The previous government set up a working group, and it set him up. It set up a working group and said, “Go away and start planning the Tonkin Highway extension.” Remember the last time Tonkin Highway was extended, to Thomas Road? Under which government was it? It was a Labor government. In eight and a half years, there was no progress on this. Is the Leader of the Opposition saying it has all the environmental approvals? Is that what his government sought? The Leader of the Opposition said that the Tonkin Highway extension was “shovel-ready”. In eight and a half years, did his government get any of the environmental clearances? Of course it did not. It did not do the work to have that project ready.

In a newspaper article of 2015, the former shire president said that when he first got the shire president job, he got a letter from Troy Buswell saying that the Tonkin Highway extension would be built in 2017. He then said that the last time he spoke to Dean Nalder, he was saying 2031. This was the previous government’s commitment to the Tonkin Highway extension.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Members!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** There is no proof of delivery throughout Darling Range.

Another key project is Denny Avenue.

**Mr D.C. Nalder:** That’s not Darling Range.

**Dr A.D. Buti:** Of course it is! Do you think people don’t use it?

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Armadale, your own member is on her feet.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** If the member for Bateman does not understand the impact of Denny Avenue on the people of Darling Range —

**Mr D.C. Nalder** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Bateman!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** Is the member comparing the Tonkin Highway gap to Denny Avenue as a direct impact on Darling Range? Seriously, he does not know what he is talking about—please stop. The Leader of the Opposition talked about the Mitchell Freeway extension to Romeo Road as something that significantly impacted the people of Darling Range. I can tell him that Denny Avenue impacts on the people of Darling Range. Does he know why? It is because high school students travelling from Roleystone down to Kelmscott Senior High School go through that level crossing every day. It is one of the only level crossings in the entire area for people moving from east to west. It is one of the key issues for the people of Darling Range, and what did the previous government do about it? Nothing, yet again, and it still thinks it should be the seventeenth priority, not the first priority.

I turn now to the West Mundijong industrial estate. The previous government did nothing about it. Let us go through the progress. Five weeks after we were elected, I signed off on the metropolitan region scheme. It was signed by the Governor on 20 June 2017, tabled in Parliament, and made effective in October. The local planning scheme was in progress by February 2018. We basically have done everything possible to progress that MRS—to give certainty to landowners and to start developing it as part of our Westport trade plan. The opposition does not like the fact that we have a plan for freight and trade —

**Dr M.D. Nahan** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Leader of the Opposition! Leader of the Opposition, I call you to order for the third time.

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** The Leader of the Opposition continues to embarrass himself. Last week he came in and said that space was the biggest issue, and now he has realised that he has to move something on Darling Range, but yet again he does not know where it is, and in his entire speech today he did not outline one thing that the previous government delivered to the people of Darling Range. It let those people down. I was talking to some landowners on Sunday about Darling Range. They had read some of *Hansard* from last week and they said, “We feel sorry for Tony Simpson; he was actually a good bloke, but we now know that the Liberal Party didn’t care”, and that is the key thing. The Liberal Party did not care about him —

**Dr M.D. Nahan** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Leader of the Opposition, you are on three calls.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Members!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** They said that they now understood, when they put their issues to Tony Simpson, how frustrated he was because Liberal ministers and the Liberal government did not care about the outer suburbs or about Darling Range. They had issues about their town site, for example. They went to Tony Simpson again and again, and nothing happened through the planning processes. The other example is Araluen Botanic Park. Again, local landowners put forward ideas for creating a sustainable Araluen into the future, and the previous Minister for Planning and previous government just ignored it.

We have been in power for 15 months and have secured funding for Byford and Tonkin Highway. We have planning underway for both. We are about to go into contract for Denny Avenue. We have —

**Mr D.C. Nalder** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Bateman!

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** We have progressed a number of other key projects. What did the last Labor government do? The Tonkin Highway extension, the Armadale Hospital expansion, upgrades to Kelmscott and funding for Armadale—these were key projects that really helped people in the growing outer suburbs. In eight and a half years, the previous Liberal government delivered nothing to those people. The opposition comes in here today, having discovered population growth in the outer suburbs, asking why we have not delivered everything in 15 months. It is just not possible to deliver everything in 15 months. I do not see how it can be said that we have not worked hard in the past 15 months in delivering projects around the state.

**Dr M.D. Nahan** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Last warning, Leader of the Opposition.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** This is the nine-to-two Liberal Party. Remember its working hours—nine to two.

**Mr W.J. Johnston:** Sometimes they stay until two.

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** Sometimes they stay until after lunch.

They ignored the people; we are running as fast as we can to deliver the outcomes. Again and again, the performance of the opposition in this place shows that, having done nothing for Darling Range in eight and a half years, members opposite have learnt nothing about Darling Range in the past 15 months. It has never been their priority. The Leader of the Opposition got lost—he walked away, but I think is just coming back—one day in Byford, and discovered that there were people there. The member for Scarborough discovered that there was housing in Byford. Who knew that? “Who knew that there were new housing suburbs in Byford?” says the member for Scarborough. We all did. We knew the challenges of outer suburban growth, sustainability and making sure that the hills have a bright future. We knew the challenges, for example, for Araluen. We knew that Tonkin Highway is a key corridor north and south. The reason we can carry out projects like Denny Avenue is the redistribution of the Perth Freight Link funds.

*Amendment to Motion*

**Ms R. SAFFIOTI:** I move —

that all words after “house” be deleted and the following words be inserted —

notes the McGowan government will deliver job-creating infrastructure in the electorate of Darling Range, including the Metronet Byford rail extension, the Denny Avenue level crossing removal, the Tonkin Highway extension, the West Mundijong industrial estate and the new primary school in Byford, after eight and a half years of neglect by the previous Liberal–National government

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

*Point of Order*

**Dr D.J. HONEY:** My understanding is that an amendment cannot be moved that completely changes the intent of the original motion.

**The SPEAKER:** It is in order, because it is only out of order if it is a direct negative.

*Debate Resumed*

**MR M. McGOWAN (Rockingham — Premier)** [3.42 pm]: Of course, the government rejects the motion moved by the Leader of the Opposition. It is based on a fundamentally flawed premise. The fact of the matter is that we are going to build the Byford rail and the Tonkin Highway extension, and we are putting in place the West Mundijong industrial estate, but we are doing far more than those three things. I note that the government has been in office for a little over a year. As the Minister for Transport so eloquently and elegantly put it, we have managed to secure hundreds and hundreds of millions of dollars towards those projects, while the former government had nothing against those projects.

I want to go to these press articles. As recently as late last year and, I think, early this year, the view of the Leader of the Opposition and the Liberal Party was to scrap the rail projects. The opposition is now running a motion in this house asking why the government has not built this, whereas the opposition's policy is to scrap it. We have secured hundreds of millions of federal dollars towards it, and the opposition's policy is to scrap it. I have the articles here—"Scrap Metronet, WA opposition says". In one article after another, the opposition says to scrap it. The Deputy Leader of the Opposition says that it is not justified. She said that in numerous articles late last year. Now that there is a by-election, the opposition is asking why the government has not built this project. Do members opposite not think that people can see through that? It is absolutely pathetic to come in here and run a motion asking why the government has not done it, when the opposition's own policy is to get rid of it. What is more, the opposition is angry and upset that we got those hundreds of millions of dollars out of the federal government, and we have been so successful getting money out of Canberra when the previous government could not do it.

Let us go to some of the projects. Members opposite all scoff at Denny Avenue, but as someone who lives in the outer suburbs, I can tell members that those projects are important. I was at Denny Avenue the other day, and I had the Liberal candidate trying to stand on my shoulder while I was doing the press conference to claim credit. Here we are delivering Denny Avenue because we had the courage and foresight to cancel the Perth Freight Link, and get the nearly \$2 billion into other projects. It would not have been funded but for that decision. The people of Kelmscott, Byford, Armadale and all those areas will, of course, be huge beneficiaries of that decision. I will go a little bit further afield, to the dualling of Armadale Road and the new bridge over the freeway. Again, this was not funded under the last government.

**Mr D.C. Nalder** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Bateman, I call you to order for the second time.

**Mr M. McGOWAN:** Seriously, because we had the courage to cancel the Perth Freight Link, again nearly \$2 billion comes in, and we can fund those sorts of projects. Then I heard the Leader of the Opposition say that we do not intend to follow through on rail.

*Point of Order*

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** Mr Speaker, what are we debating? Is it the original motion or the amendment?

**The SPEAKER:** The amendment.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** So, should we not have time starting over again?

**The SPEAKER:** No, this is a matter of public interest.

*Debate Resumed*

**Mr M. McGOWAN:** Dear me—the Liberal Party is mistaken. Richard Court must be so embarrassed. I think Richard Court is quite embarrassed by the Liberal Party in this state.

I heard the Leader of the Opposition say that we do not intend to follow through on that rail—like we did not follow through on the Mandurah rail and the Joondalup rail. When we say we are going to build rail lines to benefit the people of the outer suburbs, we do it. We saw, under the last government, the Metro Area Express light rail campaign, which won the previous government seats throughout the northern suburbs, and then it failed to deliver. That is the Liberal Party's record when it comes to rail. Now the opposition has the hide to go out and say "scrap it", and then to come in here and ask why it has not been built. Is it not a bit ridiculous for the opposition to run those two arguments at the same time?

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

We will be building the Byford rail because we understand that the people of the outer suburbs deserve that sort of important infrastructure to ensure that they are connected to employment, and all the opportunities on offer throughout the metropolitan area. We support it, and we will be building the Byford rail. We have secured about \$250 million out of the federal government towards that. I worked with the Prime Minister to get that money, but I tell you what—we want more federal money. The opposition seems to object to federal money coming in, but we want more federal money, so we are fighting for more GST, and we will be fighting for more money under the national partnership agreements.

**Mr D.C. Nalder:** Hypocrite!

*Withdrawal of Remark*

**Mr W.J. JOHNSTON:** Point of order, Mr Speaker.

**The SPEAKER:** Yes, you will withdraw that remark, member for Bateman.

**Mr D.C. NALDER:** The “hypocrite”?

**The SPEAKER:** Are you going to say it again?

**Mr D.C. NALDER:** I am just asking if that is what I am being asked to withdraw. I withdraw it.

*Debate Resumed*

**Mr M. McGOWAN:** The Liberal Party is of such low quality, it is embarrassing for them.

We will be arguing for more federal money. We have around eight months to a year until the next federal election. We already have an additional \$2 billion commitment from the federal opposition. We will be seeking more money out of the federal government towards these sorts of projects. What is wrong with that? Why are members opposite complaining about that? I think the people of Darling Range want us to get more GST. I think they want us to fight for more money for transport and other projects around Western Australia. Other states have done so, and have been successful. At last, we have a government in Western Australia that is getting a better deal out of Canberra. That is what is occurring here, and the Liberal Party in this state hates it. Members opposite hate that we work with their federal colleagues to get a better deal for Western Australia, but we are not giving up on it. We are going to seek more and more and more, because that is what Western Australia deserves in the current environment. The federal government has let us down on GST, and we are seeking a better deal in relation to other forms of funding. Let us go to the other point. The other point was the Tonkin Highway extension. Mr Keith Ellis, the then president of the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale, said in 2015, and I quote —

“Last time —

This is when the former government was in office —

we spoke to Dean Nalder, he was saying 2031. It will cost about \$240 million to do it properly but no one seems to have the money for it.”

A couple of years ago, the then shire president said that under the former government’s plan and according to the then transport minister, it was a 2031 project. However, under the former government’s Perth and Peel@3.5 million planning document, which went up to 2050, it was a 2050 project. Under the Liberal–National government, Tonkin Highway would be extended in either 2031 or 2050. This government remains committed to that project and we have at least half the funding for it—all in the space of one year—yet somehow, according to the Liberal Party, that is some huge failure! In eight and a half years, there was no delivery and no money. The former government planned it for 2031 or 2050; under us, the project is more than half funded and planning is underway. Planning work is underway on all these projects, yet somehow members opposite are saying that is some sort of failure. What a pathetic —

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** I did not hear it.

*Withdrawal of Remark*

**Dr A.D. BUTI:** Mr Speaker, you may not have heard it, but I heard the Leader of the Opposition refer to the Premier as a fraud. I ask you to ask him to withdraw.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** I did not accuse the Premier of being a fraud; I did not. “It’s fraud”—his actions, not him.

**Dr A.D. BUTI:** Mr Speaker, if you are referring to someone’s actions as being a fraud, you are referring to them as being a fraud.

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

**The SPEAKER:** Leader of the Opposition, will you withdraw—yes or no? Will you withdraw? Otherwise, I will call you to order and you will go home.

**Dr M.D. NAHAN:** Yes, I withdraw.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you.

*Debate Resumed*

**Mr M. McGOWAN:** In the course of a year, we have managed to progress these projects more than the last government, or any government, could ever have dreamt of. The Liberal Party remains opposed to the Byford rail. The Liberal Party's policy is to oppose it. Our policy is to deliver projects like Denny Avenue, underway, and Armadale Road, underway. We have half the funding for Byford and we are seeking more funding from the commonwealth, and we are going through the planning and business case for that project. Our policy is to build the Tonkin Highway extension to South Western Highway. That is our policy, we have around half the money and we are seeking more from the commonwealth government. Is that not a pretty good achievement in the course of one year? Yet members opposite have the gall to come in here, after having done nothing on those projects, and criticise us for that remarkable achievement on all those projects over the course of the last year.

The people of Darling Range deserve a decent member in Tania Lawrence. They deserve a decent government that stands up for the issues that matter to them. They deserve a decent government that is delivering for them. All these projects will be delivered by Labor. They never would have been delivered by the Liberal Party.

**MR D.C. NALDER (Bateman)** [3.52 pm]: I appreciate the opportunity to talk about this matter of public importance. I will start by responding to the comments that have been made by the Minister for Transport and the Premier. They have just accused the former administration of not caring and not doing these projects. It is important to put a few things on the record. For a start, in September 2015, Tony Abbott, the then Prime Minister, and I went out and committed to the dualling of Armadale Road, a \$145 million commitment from the federal government.

**Dr A.D. Buti** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Armadale!

**Mr D.C. NALDER:** There was a commitment to do it.

What we have also seen from the previous administration was the widening of Kwinana Freeway south. Members opposite might say that does not impact on people in the Darling Range electorate. The Darling Range electorate includes Wellard and Baldivis. Those people have benefited from the widening of Kwinana Freeway and being able to get home —

**Dr A.D. Buti** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Armadale, I call you to order for the second time!

**Mr D.C. NALDER:** The widening of Kwinana Freeway south impacts the people of the Darling Range electorate. The Premier said that our government was not committed to rail projects. What an absolute joke! We extended the rail line north through to Butler. We increased the number of railcars by 30 per cent, at a cost of a quarter of a billion dollars. We increased the number of buses by 30 per cent. The east metropolitan bus network was supported by gas-powered buses that had a problem, because they were exploding. Sorry; I take that back. That network did not get the gas-fired buses that had been committed, because the former Labor government did not have the power to fill the buses, so it kept the 30-year-old Renault buses going in the eastern suburbs and up in the hills; it could not actually support the gas-fired buses. The former administration renewed the whole bus fleet, at a cost of \$470 million, and increased the size of the bus fleet by 30 per cent.

When the previous Labor government built the Mandurah rail line, it did not put in the bus connections. It also did not put in the car parking. The Liberal government improved the car parking by adding 5 000 parking bays across the rail network. Members opposite sit there and tell us that we were not committed to rail projects. We signed and started the construction of the Forrestfield–Airport Link in our planning for the Metronet project. Members opposite talk about what they are doing for people, but they fail to acknowledge the hard work that was done by the former administration on important infrastructure for the Perth metropolitan area. Under our administration, we had the \$1 billion Gateway WA project. That was the largest road project ever undertaken by Main Roads. That feeds in for the people of the Darling Range electorate because it shifts the freight network through the metropolitan area. People from the south, from Byford way, who come to the city, and people who head south, through Byford way, benefit from the Gateway project. People from Roleystone and Kalamunda who come to the city go through the Berkshire Road intersection. Every 10 days, there was an accident at that intersection. That intersection is now a lot safer because of the infrastructure that the former government has put in place for the

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

people of Perth. So do not tell us that we do not care and are not committed to these projects. Do not try to claim the dualling of Armadale Road.

The government made a joint announcement with the federal government. The press release from the Premier and the Prime Minister states, and I quote —

The Turnbull and McGowan Governments have agreed to build historic, major infrastructure projects that will create thousands of jobs, stimulate economic growth and crush congestion, allowing commuters to get home more quickly and safely.

The problem is: why is it not in the budget? The government has put the federal funding in the budget. The state government has artificially inflated its revenue lines and it has artificially understated the net debt position of the state. The government booked the commonwealth funding for the project but it did not book the state funding. The government is now telling us that it is trying to get some more money out of the commonwealth. It is fine to chase more money—we have no problems with the government chasing more money. However, the government should have booked the state expense and put in a line item to say that it is going to chase more money from the commonwealth. The government has not put in anything for the state, yet it has put out a press release that states, and I quote again —

- Extension of the Armadale line to Byford, (indicative estimated cost of \$481 million, with \$241 million in federal funding);

The government has booked the federal funding in the budget but it has not booked the state funding. The government is misleading the people of Western Australia. The press release from the Premier and the Prime Minister states also —

- Stage 3 Extension—extending Tonkin Highway from Thomas Road to the South West Highway, including grade separations at Thomas, Orton and Mundijong Roads and South Western Highway and a grade separated interchange at Bishop Road (indicative estimated cost of \$505 million, with \$253 million in federal funding)

I will go back to what I read earlier —

The Turnbull and McGowan Governments have agreed ...

It is either an agreement or it is not. If it is not an agreement, why did the government book the federal funding in the budget, other than to artificially overstate the revenue lines and artificially understate the net debt position of the state? Why? There is no response. There is dead silence. That is misleading this house. It is misleading the people of Western Australia. It is not responsible financial management. We had to put up with that nine times in the budget speech from the Treasurer. It is not responsible financial management whatsoever. The Premier talked about his government's commitments to the people of the Darling Range electorate. He said, "We are going to build this, and we have an agreement with the federal government. Aren't we good? Look at what we got from the federal government!" The government has booked the money from the federal government in the budget. Where is the state component? The Premier has said it has been agreed. The Premier said in his press release that it is 50 per cent and it is pretty straightforward and pretty standard. It is pretty poor financial management and pretty poor disclosure to the people of Western Australia and the people of Darling Range. Those people have already had one person mislead them, and we are seeing more activities from this government that are further misleading the people of Darling Range, and they should not accept that whatsoever. The government may fool some of the people some of the time, but it will not fool all of the people all of the time. That is what it is trying to do here. It is not appropriate and it should know far better.

**DR A.D. BUTI (Armadale)** [4.00 pm]: Today is a historic day in the life of this Parliament. We have been here for nearly 14 months and we have heard the shadow Minister for Transport talk about transport. I think it may have been the first time, but her contribution was lacking because she knows very little about Darling Range. The member for Bateman just made a speech about our commitments to Darling Range. We have many commitments to Darling Range. Tell us one commitment of the Liberal Party in this by-election. Not one commitment has been made by the Liberal Party to the electors of Darling Range—not one commitment. We tried to prise it out of opposition members last week during private members' time, but they would not commit to one thing, yet they are having a go at the government, which has committed to many projects in Darling Range.

It is interesting that the motion moved by the Leader of the Opposition did not refer to Denny Avenue, but our amendment of course refers to Denny Avenue. In the few minutes I have, I would like to talk about Denny Avenue. It is interesting that the member for Bateman said that Denny Avenue is not relevant to Darling Range. It is only about 500 metres from the electorate border. As the Minister for Transport mentioned, people from Clifton hills, Kelmscott hills, Karragullen and Roleystone have to cross the railway line via Denny Avenue, so to say that Denny Avenue is not relevant to the electorate shows that the Liberal Party has no idea about Denny Avenue.

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

Where has the Leader of the Opposition been in this campaign? The candidate for the Liberal Party put out a video supporting Denny Avenue after we made the announcement. Who had a starring role in it? I did. I was in the video, but the Leader of the Opposition does not appear. He is not in the video, but I am, because I have been fighting for Denny Avenue for many years. The late member for Canning, Don Randall, said in a speech in the federal Parliament in 2015 —

I wish to bring the attention of the House to an ongoing issue of critical significance within the electorate of Canning. Denny Avenue and the poorly designed intersections with Streich Avenue and Albany Highway, combined with its strategic location in the centre of the Kelmscott Town Centre ... continues to endanger the lives of motorists and pedestrians alike, to the point where I receive daily feedback from concerned constituents about how dangerous it is to travel through this area.

He finishes with —

I ask Minister Nalder, the Department of Transport and Main Roads Western Australia, again: how many people must be injured and killed before this matter is taken seriously?

If Don Randall were still alive and Dean Nalder were still the Minister for Transport, unfortunately Don Randall would still be asking that question. As we know, last week the member said that it was his seventeenth priority. The voters of Darling Range should know that the Liberal Party is not committed to fixing Denny Avenue. Don Randall and I tried numerous times to get the then minister down there and he would not come, but of course during the Canning by-election, there was an article titled “Dean visits Denny” and the member is pictured with the then candidate for Canning, Andrew Hastie. The article from 27 August 2015 quotes him as saying that the ultimate solution is a grade separation. Who is going to do that? The McGowan Labor government is going to do that, and the electors of Darling Range will know that. How about the Leader of the Opposition makes at least one commitment to do one thing in Darling Range if he is successful in two and a half years? He puts his hands up. He has no idea. He does not know where Darling Range is. His former Minister for Transport has no idea. He gave no priority to Denny Avenue. The shadow Minister for Transport finally decided to talk about transport today. She knows a little more about Darling Range than the Leader of the Opposition, but not too much.

We are committed to the Byford extension, Denny Avenue, the dualling of Armadale Road and giving long-term security to Araluen Botanic Park. The member for Churchlands scoffed at that last week and said that the voters of Darling Range are not concerned about that and are concerned only about bread-and-butter issues. The people of Darling Range also can enjoy the economic benefits of tourism. It is not just those in the western suburbs who can enjoy the benefits of tourism.

*Division*

Amendment put and a division taken with the following result —

Ayes (38)

Ms L.L. Baker	Mr M. Hughes	Mr P. Papalia	Mrs J.M.C. Stojkovski
Dr A.D. Buti	Mr W.J. Johnston	Mr S.J. Price	Mr C.J. Tallentire
Mr J.N. Carey	Mr D.J. Kelly	Mr D.T. Punch	Mr D.A. Templeman
Mrs R.M.J. Clarke	Mr F.M. Logan	Mr J.R. Quigley	Mr P.C. Tinley
Mr R.H. Cook	Mr M. McGowan	Ms M.M. Quirk	Mr R.R. Whitby
Ms J. Farrer	Ms S.F. McGurk	Mrs M.H. Roberts	Ms S.E. Winton
Mr M.J. Folkard	Mr K.J.J. Michel	Ms C.M. Rowe	Mr B.S. Wyatt
Ms J.M. Freeman	Mr S.A. Millman	Ms R. Saffioti	Mr D.R. Michael ( <i>Teller</i> )
Ms E. Hamilton	Mr M.P. Murray	Ms A. Sanderson	
Mr T.J. Healy	Mrs L.M. O'Malley	Ms J.J. Shaw	

Noes (17)

Mr I.C. Blayney	Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup	Mr J.E. McGrath	Mr P.J. Rundle
Mr V.A. Catania	Mr A. Krsticevic	Dr M.D. Nahan	Ms L. Mettam ( <i>Teller</i> )
Mrs L.M. Harvey	Mr S.K. L'Estrange	Mr D.C. Nalder	
Dr D.J. Honey	Mr R.S. Love	Mr K. O'Donnell	
Mr P. Katsambanis	Mr W.R. Marmion	Mr D.T. Redman	

Pair

Mr Y. Mubarakai

Ms M.J. Davies

Amendment thus passed.

**Extract from Hansard**  
[ASSEMBLY — Tuesday, 19 June 2018]  
p3543c-3556a

Speaker; Dr Mike Nahan; Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr Terry Redman; Mr Sean L'Estrange; Mr David Templeman; Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Mr Dean Nalder; Dr Tony Buti

---

*Motion, as Amended*

**The SPEAKER:** The question now is that the motion, as amended, be agreed to.

*Division*

Question put and a division taken with the following result —

Ayes (38)

Ms L.L. Baker	Mr M. Hughes	Mr P. Papalia	Mrs J.M.C. Stojkovski
Dr A.D. Buti	Mr W.J. Johnston	Mr S.J. Price	Mr C.J. Tallentire
Mr J.N. Carey	Mr D.J. Kelly	Mr D.T. Punch	Mr D.A. Templeman
Mrs R.M.J. Clarke	Mr F.M. Logan	Mr J.R. Quigley	Mr P.C. Tinley
Mr R.H. Cook	Mr M. McGowan	Ms M.M. Quirk	Mr R.R. Whitby
Ms J. Farrer	Ms S.F. McGurk	Mrs M.H. Roberts	Ms S.E. Winton
Mr M.J. Folkard	Mr K.J.J. Michel	Ms C.M. Rowe	Mr B.S. Wyatt
Ms J.M. Freeman	Mr S.A. Millman	Ms R. Saffioti	Mr D.R. Michael ( <i>Teller</i> )
Ms E. Hamilton	Mr M.P. Murray	Ms A. Sanderson	
Mr T.J. Healy	Mrs L.M. O'Malley	Ms J.J. Shaw	

Noes (17)

Mr I.C. Blayney	Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup	Mr J.E. McGrath	Mr P.J. Rundle
Mr V.A. Catania	Mr A. Krsticevic	Dr M.D. Nahan	Ms L. Mettam ( <i>Teller</i> )
Mrs L.M. Harvey	Mr S.K. L'Estrange	Mr D.C. Nalder	
Dr D.J. Honey	Mr R.S. Love	Mr K. O'Donnell	
Mr P. Katsambanis	Mr W.R. Marmion	Mr D.T. Redman	

---

Pair

Mr Y. Mubarakai

Ms M.J. Davies

Question thus passed.