

Mrs Michelle Roberts; Mr John Day; Mr Bill Johnston; Dr Kim Hames; Mr David Templeman; Mr Roger Cook;  
Mr Tony Krsticevic

---

**BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE — CHAMBER DECORUM**

*Standing Orders Suspension — Motion*

**MRS M.H. ROBERTS (Midland)** [2.51 pm] — without notice: I move —

That so much of the standing orders be suspended as is necessary to debate the following motion forthwith —

That this house calls on the Speaker to conduct the business of the house in such a way that it is fair and even-handed to both sides of the house.

It is with regret that I find it necessary to move this motion today and I particularly want to reflect on the manner in which some of our members have been treated this week. The most recent incident happened today when the Leader of the Opposition was forced out of the house during question time. The Leader of the Opposition, as you would be aware, Mr Speaker, was constantly goaded by the Premier. The Premier's behaviour this week has been nothing short of disgusting. He is rude to me, the Leader of the Opposition, the member for Girrawheen and others constantly, yet he is practically never named in this house. Today, Mr Speaker, you gave a warning to the Premier and the Leader of the Opposition who were conducting a conversation. Insults were flying across the chamber from the Premier to the Leader of the Opposition—insults that were personal. The Premier was playing the man and not the ball. Rather than deal with the actual issue, he made some snide references to the state in which the Leader of the Opposition was born and so forth, and that continued. Quite correctly, Mr Speaker, you advised both the Leader of the Opposition and the Premier to desist but when they failed to desist, when the Premier kept goading the Leader of the Opposition, you called the Leader of the Opposition to order; you did not call the Premier to order even though they were both advised to desist. Then, when you decided to name the Leader of the Opposition and ask him to vacate the house, you said that he had been warned, that he had to desist and that he had been called. Well, Mr Speaker, you had warned the Premier too and we think the business of this house needs to be conducted in a way that is even-handed.

In question time today there were moments that were very unruly, but it was unruly because we did not perceive that you were dealing with both sides of the house fairly and that there was any kind of even-handed response. Yes, it is wrong for members to interject when members are taking a point of order. It was also out of order for members to continue a conversation across the chamber when a member such as the member for Balcatta was asking his question. It is right for the Speaker to call the chamber to order and to ask members to desist, and insist upon us all being able to hear the member asking the question. But in my view, it is certainly not right to then call to order just a handful of people on this side of the house when there are people on the other side of the house interjecting. Occasionally, one or two people on the other side of the house are called to order during question time but, in return, a dozen or two dozen calls to order are made to members on our side of the house, and we consider that to be unfair.

I make the point that, generally, Speakers have given Leaders of the Opposition some greater latitude than other members of the front bench, let alone the rest of the members of Parliament. I acknowledge that, generally, Mr Speaker, you provide that same latitude to the Leader of the Opposition and others, but today it was blatantly unfair when you did not once call to order the Premier when his behaviour was vastly worse than that of the Leader of the Opposition.

I said at the start of these comments that it was with regret that I move this motion, but the Premier's behaviour was very similar on Tuesday night when the Chair was occupied not by you, Mr Speaker, but by a Liberal member. I was sent out of the chamber with five or 10 minutes of my speech to go; however, the Premier's behaviour was absolutely appalling. He interjected throughout my speech and then when I made a couple of interjections, the Liberal member occupying the Chair called me a third time and very quickly a fourth time and then asked me to go because how dare I interject on his Premier. The Premier interjected on me and directed a number of nasty comments across the chamber at me. In fact, most of the Premier's speech at the time was directed at me. It is no wonder I responded. Although this motion specifically calls on the Speaker of the house to conduct business in a way that is fair and even-handed to both sides, there have been issues. The member for Victoria Park was asked to leave the chamber yesterday. We routinely find members on our side of the house called two and three times. Basically, once a member is called to order for the third time, they are silenced; they are not allowed to say anything because otherwise it is out the door for them. The same should apply to members on the other side of the house. If they are the rules for this house —

**Dr K.D. Hames** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Order, Deputy Premier! I do not want to hear from you. Member for Midland, you must argue why we should suspend standing orders and not talk to the substantive motion.

**Extract from Hansard**

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 11 September 2014]

p6158c-6165a

Mrs Michelle Roberts; Mr John Day; Mr Bill Johnston; Dr Kim Hames; Mr David Templeman; Mr Roger Cook;  
Mr Tony Krsticevic

---

**Mrs M.H. ROBERTS:** I take the opportunity to outline this argument fully because I have had no indication from members on the government side whether they will support the suspension of standing orders.

**Mr J.H.D. Day:** You have already had six minutes. We will allow 10 minutes for each side to talk; we agree to that. We will keep it short and sharp. The member can make her point in that time quite easily.

**Mrs M.H. ROBERTS:** Thank you for the advice on speaking to the motion. I have moved that so much of standing orders be suspended as is necessary to allow me to move the motion that I have outlined. This motion is worded in such a way that it should be unobjectionable to both sides. But we are not going to sit in this place for another week and cop what seems to us to be very unfair. It is very rare that a Leader of the Opposition has been named and sent out of the house. It is not something that happens very often. His behaviour today was vastly superior to that of the Premier. If, Mr Speaker, you are going to send the Leader of the Opposition out, why do you not send out the Premier as well? I note that the Leader of the House has indicated that we can debate this motion with 10 minutes allocated to each side, so I will conclude my remarks at this time.

*Standing Orders Suspension — Amendment to Motion*

**MR J.H.D. DAY (Kalamunda — Leader of the House)** [3.00 pm]: We will agree, as I just indicated by way of interjection, to a debate of no longer than 10 minutes for government members and 10 minutes for non-government members, bearing in mind that the member for Midland has spoken on the motion to this point for about six minutes, so I think we are being quite reasonable. I move —

To insert after “forthwith” —

, subject to the debate being limited to 10 minutes for government members and 10 minutes for non-government members

Amendment put and passed.

*Standing Orders Suspension — Motion, as Amended*

**The SPEAKER:** Members, as this is a motion without notice to suspend standing orders, it will need the support of an absolute majority to succeed. If I hear a dissentient voice, I will be required to divide the Assembly.

Question put and passed with an absolute majority.

*Motion*

**MRS M.H. ROBERTS (Midland)** [3.02 pm]: I did say that it was with some reluctance that I move —

That this house calls on the Speaker to conduct the business of the house in such a way that it is fair and even-handed to both sides of the house.

Mr Speaker, I realise that, in doing that, we are of course reflecting on you, but we can reflect on the Speaker only by way of substantive motion, and that is why I am required to put the substantive motion to the house. Mr Speaker, I want to say up-front that I know your job is not an easy one. I also know that the Premier’s belligerence makes your job and the job of other persons occupying the role of Speaker very, very difficult. When the Premier’s backbenchers are in the chair, there may be a situation in which they are perhaps looking for a cabinet promotion or something down the track. The fact of the matter is that all people who occupy that chair need to behave fairly. We have had an issue during question time in recent times and I do not suggest for a moment that your job is an easy one, but all I ask, Mr Speaker, is that the Speaker undertake the role fairly and even-handedly and treat both sides of the house in the same way. It is fair enough if people on one side of the house interject more than those on the other side of the house; there will be more calls against one side of the house than the other. However, when we see an example such as we saw today, when the Premier incessantly interjected on people and carried on like a belligerent emperor, it makes it very difficult when you do not call him to order. That is why we have moved this motion today. We have also moved it because we think it was extremely unfair and not even-handed to send the Leader of the Opposition out of the chamber during question time when his behaviour was certainly no worse than that of the Premier or several other members opposite.

**MR W.J. JOHNSTON (Cannington)** [3.04 pm]: I want to let people know that it is not possible for the opposition to move a resolution during question time, so we have moved this resolution at the first available opportunity after the situation with the Leader of the Opposition arose. If anybody reads the speeches and the contributions of the member for Cottesloe in this house since the 1990s, they will find that he has been an obnoxious and disgraceful performer in this chamber. He has a long reputation for over 20 years of insulting as many people as he can. There is not a single person towards whom he has not behaved in that way. People can read it. It is not just me; I am not special. He has been doing it for two decades. That behaviour sets the tone for this chamber.

**Extract from Hansard**

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 11 September 2014]

p6158c-6165a

Mrs Michelle Roberts; Mr John Day; Mr Bill Johnston; Dr Kim Hames; Mr David Templeman; Mr Roger Cook;  
Mr Tony Krsticevic

---

Mr Speaker, you have a solemn duty to maintain the standards in this chamber, and the standards start with the Premier. Mr Speaker, if you are not able to keep the Premier under control, the chamber descends into chaos. That is your challenge. You can keep the opposition under control, because at the end of the day you can send us out of the chamber. I do not expect a Liberal Speaker to eject a Liberal Premier from the chamber, but I do expect a Liberal Speaker to maintain the standards of the house and stop the belligerence and bullying behaviour by the Premier towards every person on this side of the chamber. The Deputy Premier is little better than the Premier; the only difference is that he is not as bright. However, you cannot allow the Premier to intimidate you in the way that we saw yesterday and today. You should not allow this intimidation to continue, which is so clear during question time when he looks at you when we interject. This is not acceptable behaviour for a Speaker of the Parliament. You have duties to members on this side of the chamber, not just to those on the other side of the chamber. The Parliament cannot function with this belligerence and bad behaviour by the Premier going unpunished. I do not mind how you do it—have a chat to him in the corridor or meet with him at Dumas House. But some way or another, Mr Speaker, you need to get on top of the Premier's disgraceful behaviour. This man has got to the highest office in the state and for some reason unknown to us, he is not happy. We cannot be held responsible for his unhappiness, and his behaviour in insulting the Leader of the Opposition on the basis of where he was born —

**Dr K.D. Hames** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Minister for Health, I do not want to hear from you until this is finished. I call you to order for the first time.

**Mr W.J. JOHNSTON:** Mr Speaker, as a migrant yourself, I do not see how you could possibly allow the Premier of this state to question the Leader of the Opposition because of where he was born. That is the lowest behaviour possible of a Premier, yet that is exactly —

**Mr A. Krsticevic** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Carine! I want to hear the member for Cannington in silence.

**Mr W.J. JOHNSTON:** That is exactly what happened during question time today. No wonder the Leader of the Opposition was upset.

**MR J.H.D. DAY (Kalamunda — Leader of the House)** [3.07 pm]: I want to make it clear at the outset that we agree with the principle espoused in this motion, but we do not support the motion itself because we do not believe it is necessary. Mr Speaker, of course we agree that you, as Speaker, and whoever else is in the chair at the time, should conduct the business of the house in such a way that it is fair and even-handed to both sides of the house. Of course that should be the case, but there is no reasonable suggestion that that has not been the case. This motion has come from the opposition out of the interactions during question time today. I saw what happened. The Leader of the Opposition continually provoked the Premier. Yes, the Premier responded. He was certainly no shrinking violet—he never is—but the Leader of the Opposition, in short, did not know when to stop. He had latched onto the issue of sharks and drum lines and he goaded the Premier and provoked him continually. He thought he had a political story or some political advantage and was striving to cover up his own bad behaviour probably in one way or another. He clearly provoked the Premier, who certainly responded at times. However, in comparing the behaviour of the two, it was not equal. The Leader of the Opposition continually initiated the interjections, in particular while the member for Balcatta was trying to ask a question, which took some minutes to get out because of the continual interjections. The Leader of the Opposition, given his position in particular and his experience in Parliament, should know when to stop. Obviously, there is a bit of interaction and horseplay between both sides and so on, and interjections are part of the cut and thrust of what happens in here. One would normally expect a greater degree of latitude to be shown to the Leader of the Opposition and to the Premier, the leaders on both sides, and I believe that has occurred. In fact, the Leader of the Opposition might have been called to order more than four times today. The government accepts that some latitude should be shown, but the Leader of the Opposition is not in the chamber now because he simply did not know when to stop.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Order! Member for Kwinana!

**Mr J.H.D. DAY:** That is something that he should reflect on.

The government does not support the motion because it does not believe it is necessary. In effect, it is, without quite so many words, expressing a lack of confidence in the role that you, Mr Speaker, play as Speaker, and the government does not support that. As I said, the government agrees with the principle that is espoused in the

**Extract from *Hansard***

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 11 September 2014]

p6158c-6165a

Mrs Michelle Roberts; Mr John Day; Mr Bill Johnston; Dr Kim Hames; Mr David Templeman; Mr Roger Cook;  
Mr Tony Krsticevic

---

motion. We believe that people should be treated fairly and reasonably, but this motion is not necessary and the government will not support it for those reasons.

**DR K.D. HAMES (Dawesville — Deputy Premier)** [3.11pm]: I want to back up some of the comments that have been made. In fact, Mr Speaker, I think you have been very fair and had no other choice. A reflection of your fairness, Mr Speaker, is calling me to order a few minutes ago when the member for Kwinana interjected three times and was not called to order once.

As I was trying to say when I raised a point of order and was drowned out by the opposition, I was sitting next to the Premier listening to what was happening and what the Leader of the Opposition was saying. He was upset by the fact that the Premier said he came from Sydney, but that is all the Premier said. He did not say, “Oh, you terrible person; you’ve come from Sydney.” He said, “You have come from Sydney.” It is a fact that the Leader of the Opposition has come from Sydney. There is nothing derogatory in that.

Several members interjected.

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** Listen to you interjecting, member for Midland. Listen to yourself. There is nothing derogatory in saying that someone has come from Sydney, yet the Leader of the Opposition —

**Ms M.M. Quirk:** That’s why the Premier said it four times.

**The SPEAKER:** Members!

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** There is nothing wrong with the Premier saying the Leader of the Opposition comes from Sydney, because he does.

**Dr A.D. Buti:** Why did he say it, you goose? You don’t know what you are saying.

**The SPEAKER:** Thank you, member for Armadale.

**Dr A.D. Buti:** He said it for a reason.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Armadale!

**Mr P.T. Miles:** You’re a disgrace!

**The SPEAKER:** Right; member for Armadale, I call you to order for the first time. Member for Wanneroo, I call you to order for the first time.

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** The Premier said that the Leader of the Opposition originated from Sydney because the point he was making was that in the state from which the Leader of the Opposition originates they have a policy of catching sharks, and the Premier was asking why it is acceptable there when it is not acceptable in Western Australia. That is the only point the Premier was making—no other. He was not being derogatory at all. The next thing the Leader of the Opposition was going on about was: what if he had come from overseas; would the Premier be derogatory about that as well? It was clearly nonsense. For the large part, the Premier sat quietly, but then the Leader of the Opposition went on and on and on. In fact, you called him five times, Mr Speaker, not four. You called the Leader of the Opposition five times, because I saw the Clerk turn around when you said it was the third time and the Clerk said that it was actually the fourth. He was called to order five times and he still would not stop. The Premier responded. How did he respond? Did he say anything else that was derogatory? He said, “You do come from Sydney.” It is true. That is what the Premier said when he interjected back. When he was being heckled and hassled by the Leader of the Opposition, the Premier responded by saying, “Well, that’s where you come from.”

This motion from the opposition is clearly trying to reflect on you, Mr Speaker, but it actually reflects on the bad behaviour that we have seen on the other side recently, with three frontbench members being evicted from the Parliament. I have to say to members on that side: go back and look at the *Hansard* from when we were in opposition.

**Mrs M.H. Roberts:** We have.

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** So have I, member for Midland. I have been through and added them up. I have not done it for a couple of years now, but a few years ago I went through and looked at the time when Fred Riebeling was in the chair. Every time he opened his mouth, he would stand and say, “I call this member to order, that member to order and another member to order.” He did that more than this Speaker does. We were not better than members opposite; we were not better behaved in opposition than members opposite are. That is what oppositions do. But Fred Riebeling came down on us like a tonne of bricks.

We do see variations when Acting Speakers are in the chair. Sometimes we are told that interjections are not being accepted! I would like to not accept interjections, too. Mr Speaker, would you tell members opposite not to

Mrs Michelle Roberts; Mr John Day; Mr Bill Johnston; Dr Kim Hames; Mr David Templeman; Mr Roger Cook;  
Mr Tony Krsticevic

---

interject at all if I said, “Sorry, I’m not taking interjections”? That is a load of rubbish, Mr Speaker! Interjections happen, and I think what happens on occasions is that you, Mr Speaker, try to keep the balance. Sometimes I find that you call interjections on us just to try to make sure that we do not interject all the time. But, in comparison, you guys interject a thousand times more than we on this side interject. There is nothing wrong with a bit of firing up and a bit of argy-bargy across the chamber. We are not a mob of sissies after all. But you guys are just being pathetic! Go back and look at your history when you were in government.

Several members interjected.

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** Listen to them now! The worst interjector in this house looks at her colleagues every time she interjects to see what they think of her, and looks up to the media to see whether they have noticed. She does that every time she interjects.

**Mrs M.H. Roberts:** This is from a bloke who says we are a mob of sissies!

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Midland!

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** She is the worst interjector in this house, Mr Speaker.

**Mrs M.H. Roberts:** You are a mob of misogynists!

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** The member for Midland should listen to herself. She calls me and other members on this side misogynists, when we have women stand up —

**Mrs M.H. Roberts:** I’m saying that when you use the word “sissy”. That is why.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Midland!

**Mrs M.H. Roberts:** Only a sexist would say that we are sissies.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Midland, I call you now to order for the first time.

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** I see the line the member for Midland is taking, so I withdraw the word “sissy”. The worst interjectors on women on this side are women on that side—constantly. Every time the member for Scarborough and the member for Central Wheatbelt—also the previous member for Churchlands—get on their feet, collectively the women on that side attack them.

**Mrs M.H. Roberts:** What a load of rubbish!

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** It is true. The member for Midland should stop and listen next time, because that is what I do.

**Ms E. Evangel** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Perth, I call you to order.

**Ms E. Evangel:** I forgot the member for Bayswater.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Perth, I call you to order for a second time.

**Ms E. Evangel:** I mean the member for Bassendean.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Perth, I call you to order for the third time.

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** When I read this motion, it is not one that I would want to oppose. I have to say, Mr Speaker, that we had a discussion about modifying the motion to say that we support your continued fair behaviour, because that is what I believe you have shown in the past. Your behaviour this time is no different from what the former Speaker under the Labor Party did to try to control the house—to try to control a noisy, loud, frequently interjecting opposition, the same as we were when we were on the other side. He used exactly the same tactic to shut us up because there is no other thing that a Speaker can do.

**Mr F.M. Logan** interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Cockburn!

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** There is no other action that a Speaker can take, other than to do what the Speaker has done.

**Mr M.P. Murray:** But you want us to do star jumps.

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** Listen to yourselves!

**The SPEAKER:** Member for Collie–Preston, I think you are on two as well. Do not shout out again.

**Dr K.D. HAMES:** My time has come to an end. We are clearly not going to support the motion, not because the motion says anything untoward, but because we believe the principle is that the opposition is trying to protect

Mrs Michelle Roberts; Mr John Day; Mr Bill Johnston; Dr Kim Hames; Mr David Templeman; Mr Roger Cook;  
Mr Tony Krsticevic

---

a Leader of the Opposition whose behaviour in this house was totally unacceptable. Mr Speaker, you were absolutely right to do what you did.

**MR D.A. TEMPLEMAN (Mandurah)** [3.18 pm]: Mr Speaker, I will be very brief, as one who is always applying the rules of the house. I need to remind the government that this motion is not a motion of dissent, as has been implied by the Deputy Premier. This house is simply calling on the Speaker to conduct the business of the house in such a way that is fair and even-handed to both sides of the house. This is a result of not only a noisy and robust question time, but also a reminder to members of the tactics of the Premier in the conduct of his behaviour in this place over a long period of time.

The facts are that during question time in debate on an important question on the government's shark cull policy, several members continued to interject, including the Premier. Unfortunately, at no time during that time was the Premier called to order. This side of the house saw that as unfair. If the opposition was going to move a dissent to the Speaker's ruling, it could have taken that course of action when he ejected the Leader of the Opposition. That was not the course of action the opposition took. The course of action was to request the Speaker, through this motion, to ensure that the business of the house is conducted in a fair and even-handed way to both sides of the house. That is what the motion is and that is the motion that we should vote upon. It is disappointing that the Deputy Premier and the Leader of the House have indicated now that the government will not support this motion. It is strange that they do not support this motion, given that opposition members could have dissented from your ruling, Mr Speaker, but we have not done so.

**MR R.H. COOK (Kwinana — Deputy Leader of the Opposition)** [3.20 pm]: The motion that the opposition moved today is about standards. The concern that members on this side of the house have is that the Premier is incapable of upholding the standards in this place that we expect from a Premier of this state. In question time today we saw the Leader of the Opposition constantly goaded throughout the Premier's answer to a question about policy failure. The Premier is prepared to bring up issues surrounding the birthplace of the Leader of the Opposition and to lower the standards in this place to such an extent that the Leader of the Opposition felt it necessary to protect himself from those sorts of personal attacks.

We are all very passionate about the policy that remains in place around shark culls. We have all seen the social media activity today condemning the Premier for his attitudes towards the shark cull. What was most deplorable today was the Premier's behaviour, and the failure in this place to treat the Premier in the same way for his behaviour as the Leader of the Opposition was treated. In question time today we saw the capacity for the Premier to continually goad the Leader of the Opposition with such base personal attacks as to criticise him for being born in another state in this country, yet it is considered unreasonable for the Leader of the Opposition to defend himself to the point at which the Leader of the Opposition was ejected from the chamber. The opposition does not think that that is acceptable. Members on this side of the house want the conduct of this chamber to be such that we are all treated equally. If the Leader of the Opposition deserved to be named, as you may have decided, Mr Speaker, there is no way in the world that you cannot accept that the Premier himself should have been named on at least two and maybe three occasions; but he was not. The opposition finds this unacceptable.

It is unacceptable that when a member of Parliament has a genuine criticism of the government's policy that the government's response is to vilify that member for his place of birth. It is also unacceptable that we have a chamber in which people on this side are held to a different standard of behaviour from members on the other side. We will not accept that. We will not stand for it today; we will not stand for it in the future.

**The SPEAKER:** Right; further speakers? Member for Carine.

Several members interjected.

**The SPEAKER:** It is time, time, time.

**MR A. KRSTICEVIC (Carine)** [3.23 pm]: There is still one minute, Mr Speaker.

**The SPEAKER:** One minute.

**Mr A. KRSTICEVIC:** I just heard the Deputy Leader of the Opposition —

Several members interjected.

**Mr A. KRSTICEVIC:** It is our time; we have a minute left.

**The SPEAKER:** Carry on, member for Carine.

**Mr A. KRSTICEVIC:** I make the point again that the Deputy Leader of the Opposition is misleading the house by saying that the Premier was acknowledging in some derogatory way where the Leader of the Opposition was born. He was not; he was saying "You were born in New South Wales where they have drum lines as well,

Mrs Michelle Roberts; Mr John Day; Mr Bill Johnston; Dr Kim Hames; Mr David Templeman; Mr Roger Cook;  
Mr Tony Krsticevic

---

where sharks are caught, so why are you so opposed to that?" The Deputy Leader of the Opposition is misleading the house; he is not telling the truth. Not only that, the Leader of the Opposition was 10 times worse than —

Several members interjected.

**Mr A. KRSTICEVIC:** He did not say that, and the behaviour of the Leader of the Opposition was 10 times worse than the Premier. The Leader of the Opposition kept going on and on. He was much louder and much more vocal and aggressive in his gestures. As the Deputy Premier said—he was sitting next to him—the Premier was not engaging in that to any significant degree. Members opposite can keep saying that; that is okay.

**The SPEAKER:** Time member—time, time.

**Ms R. Saffioti:** That minute was ours.

**The SPEAKER:** No, it was not yours. The question is that the motion be agreed to.

*Point of Order*

**Mrs M.H. ROBERTS:** Members on this side of the house genuinely believed there was a minute on the clock when our last speaker sat down. I am not arguing the point with respect to the extra minute that the government Whip just had, because I believe there may well have been a minute left on the clock for the government. It was our clear understanding that there was one minute left for our side.

**Mr J.H.D. DAY:** It is neither the government nor the opposition that keeps the time; it is the clerks. I think the advice of the clerks should be taken.

**The SPEAKER:** The time has run out, member for Midland.

*Division*

Question put and a division taken with the following result —

Ayes (17)

Ms L.L. Baker	Mr D.J. Kelly	Ms M.M. Quirk	Mr B.S. Wyatt
Dr A.D. Buti	Mr F.M. Logan	Mrs M.H. Roberts	Mr D.A. Templeman ( <i>Teller</i> )
Mr R.H. Cook	Mr M.P. Murray	Ms R. Saffioti	
Ms J. Farrer	Mr P. Papalia	Mr C.J. Tallentire	
Mr W.J. Johnston	Mr J.R. Quigley	Mr P.B. Watson	

Noes (32)

Mr P. Abetz	Ms M.J. Davies	Mr A.P. Jacob	Mr N.W. Morton
Mr F.A. Alban	Mr J.H.D. Day	Dr G.G. Jacobs	Mr D.C. Nalder
Mr C.J. Barnett	Ms W.M. Duncan	Mr S.K. L'Estrange	Mr J. Norberger
Mr I.C. Blayney	Ms E. Evangel	Mr R.S. Love	Mr D.T. Redman
Mr I.M. Britza	Mr J.M. Francis	Mr W.R. Marmion	Mr A.J. Simpson
Mr G.M. Castrilli	Mrs G.J. Godfrey	Mr J.E. McGrath	Mr M.H. Taylor
Mr V.A. Catania	Dr K.D. Hames	Mr P.T. Miles	Mr T.K. Waldron
Mr M.J. Cowper	Mr C.D. Hatton	Ms A.R. Mitchell	Mr A. Krsticevic ( <i>Teller</i> )

Pairs

Ms S.F. McGurk	Mrs L.M. Harvey
Ms J.M. Freeman	Dr M.D. Nahan

Question thus negatived.