

MEMBER FOR HILLARYS — ELECTORATE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Standing Orders Suspension — Motion

MR R.F. JOHNSON (Hillarys) [2.49 pm] — without notice: I move —

That so much of standing orders be suspended as is necessary to allow the following motion to be moved forthwith —

- (1) That this house requests the Procedure and Privileges Committee to undertake an inquiry to determine whether the member for Hillarys has been impeded or obstructed in carrying out his duties as a member of Parliament by the actions of the Liberal Party in —

Dr M.D. Nahan interjected.

The SPEAKER: Silence, please.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: This is very serious.

- (a) blocking access to personal information between the member for Hillarys and his constituents;
 - (b) allowing that personal information to be made available to other members of the Liberal Party without the constituents' knowledge or approval;
 - (c) deliberately changing information on the Google Maps website to state that the member for Hillarys' electorate office is permanently closed; and
 - (d) deliberately deleting the telephone number of the electorate office and substituting the telephone number of the Liberal Party.
- (2) That the Procedure and Privileges Committee report back to the house by 20 October 2016 on whether such actions constitute a breach of parliamentary privilege or contempt.

I am moving this motion because it is a serious question for this house—the democratic process of this house and whether it is a contempt of this Parliament or a matter of privilege for any member of Parliament. Forget it is me; it could be any member being obstructed from doing their duties as a member of Parliament with their constituents. There could be a criminal act involved, even. Section 55 of the Criminal Code states quite clearly that anybody who does things like this—commits a fraud—and interferes with the free exercise of any member of either house commits an offence. I suggest that a fraud has been perpetrated here, but that is a separate matter. That is a legal matter. That is something that the Procedure and Privileges Committee may want to take up. If it believes, the same as I do, that that has happened, it can recommend that certain actions be taken.

The Premier was very flippant. He said, “I can get a 10-year-old boy to do a website.” I would suggest that he stops paying a fortune to his chief spin advisers for sending out his tweets. He would not know how to send a tweet out if it fell over him! He would not have a clue! He does not know how to work a computer, hardly, and yet he makes these disgraceful comments in this house.

I believe a fraud has been committed. I believe that I have been obstructed by the Liberal Party. The Premier may not know this, but the state director of the Liberal Party admitted to an ABC reporter on Sunday—I could not believe he would admit it; could not believe it—that he had authorised altering the details of my electorate office on Google Maps. Google Maps does not belong to the Liberal Party; it is a different organisation completely. What the Liberal Party does with its website is entirely up to it, but it should not go on to Google Maps and alter information that shows where my office is, and indeed put on there that my office is permanently closed. I have evidence; we took screenshots and everything. It states quite clearly there that it is permanently closed. The Premier does not like it because he knows it is true. Somebody is misleading you; if you think what you are saying is truthful, you are being misled—I assure you. I believe that that is a contempt of this Parliament and of any member who is trying to do their job.

It is a very simple thing to do. The house needs to simply agree to the Procedure and Privileges Committee having a look at this, because it could affect any member in this house at any time. If we do not hold sacrosanct an attempt at contempt of this Parliament or the privileges of this Parliament, we should not even be in this place. They are sacrosanct. Any member has the right to be able to communicate with their electorate; it is absolutely sacrosanct. I am not able to do that because a fraud has been committed by deleting information on Google Maps, a public company, and then substituting information that is totally wrong—that is, that my office is permanently closed. I am happy to show anybody the website page. We took a screenshot of it and I will show it to members; it says “permanently closed”. It has changed: they have deleted my telephone number and substituted the telephone number of the head office of the Liberal Party. That is disgraceful.

Extract from Hansard

[ASSEMBLY — Tuesday, 13 September 2016]

p5831b-5838a

Mr Rob Johnson; Mr David Templeman; Mr John Day; Speaker; Mr Colin Barnett

The SPEAKER: Member for Hillarys, in your address now you should be telling us why this is urgent; not the substantive facts of why you want to suspend.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: I would be happy to do that; I just want to get an indication from the Leader of the House whether he is prepared to let me have 10 minutes to explain this.

Mr J.H.D. Day: You've already had four, so use up another six and then you'll be right.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Okay; so the Leader of the House agrees —

Mr C.J. Barnett: No; just keep going.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: No; if the Leader of the House agrees to me being able to move the motion —

Mr J.H.D. Day: No, we're not —

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Will the Leader of the House agree to me being able to move the motion? At the moment it is a simple question that standing orders be suspended. Will the Leader of the House allow standing orders to be suspended so that I can move that motion?

Mr J.H.D. Day: If you were genuine about this, you should have given notice of it.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: This is the first day back after it all happened—what does the Leader of the House think I am supposed to do?

Mr C.J. Barnett: I don't know. Get your act together—that would be a start!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Why do you not get your act together, sunshine! You cannot even use a telephone!

The SPEAKER: Thank you. If you want to now nominate why we should suspend and why it should be urgent.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Okay. So, the Leader of the House has agreed that it should be suspended and will give me 10 minutes on this?

Mr J.H.D. Day: No.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: The Leader of the House has not? So, the Leader of the House is going to gag my debate? Okay.

Mr J.H.D. Day: Not necessarily. If you want to waste the time of Parliament like this, you go for it and you'll be judged appropriately.

Several members interjected.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: This is the reason —

The SPEAKER: Sorry. Let us get some decorum back here. The member for Hillarys has the call.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: That answer is the reason I brought this motion to this house. Not only the contempt of the Liberal Party in what it has done to the Google Maps website to try to stop my constituents being able to talk to me is disgraceful, but also for the Leader of the House now to try to gag this debate in about five minutes shows contempt of this Parliament as well. I say shame on all of you—shame on all of you if members do not vote for this. This is an urgent matter; that is why I moved to suspend standing orders. I can talk about why we should suspend standing orders for another hour quite easily; I have done it before and I will do it again if I have to. I was hoping that a bit of commonsense and decency would prevail here, and that the Leader of the House would agree to suspend standing orders to give me about 10 minutes to actually say what I need to say. But he is not going to do that; he has indicated that he will not do that. They are all looking on their little iPads at the moment, and that is great because I have screenshots of everything that was there beforehand. They might have changed things now. My staff had to get on to the IT department to get that changed; to get that “permanently closed” deleted from that Google Maps website. Apparently, anybody can go on there and edit it.

Mr A.J. Simpson: Yes.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Anybody can.

Mr P.T. Miles: Anybody can.

The SPEAKER: Member for Wanneroo!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Yes, but what happened here is that Andrew Cox or his people got on there —

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Sorry; member for Wanneroo, I call you to order for the second time. Member for Hillarys, I am just going to say to you again that we understand the gist of your argument that your office was taken off Google Maps and your constituents cannot contact you et cetera, but you have to tell us why this is urgent. That is what we are on.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: It is urgent for any member of this Parliament to be able to have access to their constituents. They are the people who vote for us; they are the people we represent. That is why it is urgent. For this house to in any way condone that type of fraudulent action, I think, is a shameful act on this Parliament, and we should not be doing that. The Procedure and Privileges Committee can have a good look at this and find out who is responsible. Andrew Cox, the Liberal Party president, admitted to the ABC reporter on Sunday that he had instructed his IT staff to delete the information, which was my telephone number, and to put the Liberal Party's telephone number on Google Maps, and to put a message on there, "office permanently closed". That is what it said, and I have the evidence here. I am hoping that that has gone by now, because we did get on to the IT department yesterday and said, "Can you do something about this, please?" and they said, "Yes, we can get on there and we can do that." But, unfortunately, they did not delete the Liberal Party head office telephone number; they left that on there. So, they have taken away the bit that says "office permanently closed"—that has been on there for at least a couple of months now, apparently. I do not need to go on there, but some people obviously would have gone on there to try to contact me. I do not actually have a webpage. I prefer to do my business face to face with my constituents; I talk to them every week. I walk through Whitford City and I go to other shopping centres and I talk to them face to face and we spend a lot of time together. But this is an affront; it is a contempt of this Parliament. This Premier is supposed to have integrity—he always says he has integrity; I do not believe he has any integrity, otherwise he would support this motion for the Procedure and Privileges Committee to look at this incident. I was told it was one of his staff who told the director of the Liberal Party to actually deal with me and to cut me out from being able to have access to my constituents.

That is the other point, while this motion is being moved. There is a lot of private and personal information on that electoral management system that I can no longer get into. The day after I said I am standing as an Independent Liberal now—I want to be an Independent Liberal—they were told, "Cut him off from everything", and they cut me off from the electoral system that I paid \$1 800 for halfway through the year. They refused to give me a refund, and let me just say that is public money.

Mr J.H.D. Day: You're either a member of the Liberal Party or you're not!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: That is public money. This Premier and his people got the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal to give us extra money in our electoral allowance to be able to pay for that. So, the Liberal Party get that money; they get that money over in Canberra, and then they make big political donations to the Liberal Party. For them to say they are not prepared to refund it so that I can do my job properly is another area that needs looking into. But that is typical of what is going on at the moment.

I will conclude now, but I do believe that members should be very, very careful about how they vote here, and not to simply vote me down because I am an Independent now and not a Liberal member anymore. Members need to know what the truth is. I cannot see what the Treasurer is looking at there.

Dr M.D. Nahan: I just google-mapped you. Tell me where you are. Where is your office?

The SPEAKER: Thank you! Carry on, member for Hillarys.

Mr P.B. Watson interjected.

The SPEAKER: That is enough, member for Albany.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: It now does not say that the office is closed permanently, which is what it did when Andrew Cox put the message on there that my office was closed permanently.

Dr M.D. Nahan interjected.

The SPEAKER: That is enough, Treasurer. Thank you, member for Hillarys.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: I will show you the Google Maps, sunshine. I will show you; I have got them here.

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: That is enough!

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: Mr Speaker, this is an urgent issue. This is an issue that I believe goes to the core of this Parliament—that is, parliamentary privilege and what is clearly a contempt of this Parliament. Any interference with the ability of a member of Parliament to carry out their duties—this is a clear case of that—is a contempt of Parliament, in my view. Mr Speaker, I would like your committee to investigate this and to report to this house. If your committee finds nothing wrong, that is fine; if that is the committee's decision, that is fine. But I think, Mr Speaker, that if you investigate this, you will find quite a bit wrong—you really will. I think that should be done as a matter of urgency.

MR D.A. TEMPLEMAN (Mandurah) [3.01 pm]: I would like to speak to the motion, which is —

That so much of standing orders be suspended as is necessary to allow the following motion to be moved forthwith ...

The member for Hillarys has outlined very clearly a very simple and effective parliamentary process to deal with issues of concern regarding the potential impeding or obstructing of a member of Parliament's duties.

This is the first opportunity that we have had to debate this matter since the weekend, when the member for Hillarys highlighted through the media that he had discovered that the state director of the Liberal Party had, it seems by direction, altered via Google Maps information that people would use to become aware of not only where his office is located, but also his contact details. It is appropriate that this Parliament debates this issue today, given that this information was highlighted on the weekend and serious concerns have been raised about how the Liberal Party of Western Australia has purposefully and deliberately, it seems, altered information, and how that will impede members of the public in accessing information about the member for Hillarys. The member for Hillarys is still a member of this Parliament. He is an Independent Liberal member of this place. It is, therefore, imperative on us as members of this place to make sure that when issues such as this are raised—as they have been raised today by the member for Hillarys—they are investigated appropriately.

The Leader of the House refused to allow the member for Hillarys to have 10 minutes—he is asking for only 10 minutes—to explain from his perspective exactly what has occurred, and to explain why, because of a deliberate act by the Liberal Party of Western Australia, his constituents, and other constituents in Western Australia who may have an interest, are being impeded from being able to contact and engage with him as a member of Parliament and as the rightfully elected member for Hillarys. The state director of the Liberal Party admitted in the media over the weekend that he had authorised the altering of this information. We know that the hierarchy in the Liberal Party state branch—the director himself—had altered this information. This motion asks this house to request the Procedure and Privileges Committee of this place to investigate those allegations and to rule or determine whether there has been an impeding of the rights and privileges of the member for Hillarys as a member of Parliament.

If the Premier does not think anything has happened or any mischief has been undertaken by his party, he has nothing to lose in supporting this motion. If the Premier has nothing to hide, he will support this motion. The motion goes on to state —

- (1) That this house requests the Procedure and Privileges Committee to undertake an inquiry to determine whether the member for Hillarys has been impeded or obstructed in carrying out his duties as a member of Parliament by the actions of the Liberal Party in —

The motion then highlights in paragraphs (a) to (d) the areas of concern that the committee should look at. The first is —

- (a) blocking access to personal information between the member for Hillarys and his constituents;

That is a very strong claim, and it has been admitted to by the state director of the Liberal Party, that there has been a deliberate blocking of access to personal information between the member for Hillarys and his constituents. The motion continues —

- (b) allowing that personal information to be made available to other members of the Liberal Party without the constituents' knowledge or approval;

It is important that the committee also looks at this matter, given the allegations that have now surfaced. The motion continues —

- (c) deliberately changing information on the Google Maps website to state that the member for Hillarys' electorate office is permanently closed; and

I ask Liberal backbenchers to imagine what would happen if the Labor Party had hacked into Google Maps to state that the offices of all Liberal members—particularly those in marginal seats or targeted seats that we want to win in the next election—were closed. Imagine the indignation if we had done that! They would not be asking for a privileges committee! They would be asking for a royal commission! They would be bleating about how appalling it is and how important it is that there be a proper and effective investigation. That is what the member for Hillarys is asking for in this motion—that this matter be looked at—and the opposition is going to support him.

Imagine if the member for Geraldton decided to do exactly the same thing. The member for Geraldton is now under great pressure from Hon Paul Brown, a National Party member of the other place—a member of the alliance party. Imagine if the member for Geraldton decided to do exactly the same thing because he is under a bit of threat at the moment and is feeling the heat. Imagine the response from the National Party! It would be outrage! Is that what we should be able to do? Just because someone is our political enemy or is a political threat and we do not like him anymore because he has been telling the truth for the last six months or 12 months, or the last three or four years, about the debt and the deficit in which this government has left the state of Western Australia, should we shut him down in an underhanded and deceitful way through technology? That is not appropriate. It is the right of any member of this place, if this has happened to them—as has been confirmed

Extract from Hansard

[ASSEMBLY — Tuesday, 13 September 2016]

p5831b-5838a

Mr Rob Johnson; Mr David Templeman; Mr John Day; Speaker; Mr Colin Barnett

by the state director of the Liberal Party and the media on the weekend—to come into this place and say they want it to be investigated.

The Leader of the House would not allow the member for Hillarys even 10 minutes to state his case. The Leader of the House should simply have said to the member for Hillarys—he is probably regretting now that he did not say it—“We will give you your 10 minutes in the sun; have your say.” We know what the outcome of this vote will be. All the lambs will fall in behind him and vote against this motion and not allow this investigation into this deliberate attempt to prevent a member of Parliament from carrying out his duties.

The motion continues —

- (d) deliberately deleting the telephone number of the electorate office and substituting the telephone number of the Liberal Party.

It is no use talking to the frontbench members of the Liberal Party anymore—even the National Party. Imagine if this had happened to backbench members.

Point of Order

Mr J.H.D. DAY: The member has now used eight minutes. If he is going to continue, he needs to make the case why it is urgent that standing orders be suspended so that this motion can be considered this afternoon, rather than it being put on notice and considered during private members’ time. Members want to debate these issues. This matter is hardly so urgent that it has to be done in a way that hijacks Parliament and uses Parliament in the way that is going on this afternoon. The member needs to direct his arguments to why it is urgent.

Mr R.F. JOHNSON: This is not private members’ business. This is an issue for the house to consider as an urgent issue.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. We are dealing with a point of order. Member for Mandurah, I think it is common knowledge now; we know what has happened to the member for Hillarys. This is all set out. He traversed that. He did have 10 minutes, in fact. I have given you quite a lot of licence. You have to tell us why it is urgent. That is what you have to do.

Debate Resumed

Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN: It is interesting that the Leader of the House used the word “hijacks”, because that is exactly what has happened here with the member for Hillarys and the information that was on Google Maps and the electronic processes. They have used processes to deliberately hijack that. Let us look at paragraph (d) of the motion and another reason that it is important that this matter be referred to the Procedure and Privileges Committee.

The SPEAKER: Member for Mandurah!

Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN: Mr Speaker, I am talking about paragraph (d).

The SPEAKER: I am sorry, but I do not want to hear why it should be referred; I want to hear why it is urgent. We have traversed a lot of these issues already.

Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN: If someone has decided or authorised—we know it is alleged in the motion that the state director of the Liberal Party authorised it—the deliberate deletion of the telephone number of an electorate office and substitution with the telephone number of the Liberal Party, that is a reason that this matter is urgent, and this is the first opportunity since Parliament sat at 2.00 pm and after question time for us to raise it. The Minister for Regional Development might think this is funny, but if this happened to his member in Geraldton or others, he would be screaming. The reason it is urgent is that we have a number of weeks left to sit in Parliament. This is the first sitting day after the weekend when these allegations were not only highlighted, but also, indeed, acknowledged and confirmed by the state director of the Liberal Party. The member for Hillarys has used the parliamentary process, as is his right, to raise this matter. This could have been dealt with and finished if the Leader of the House had in all fairness simply given him his 10 minutes to say what he thinks and what he alleges, but the Leader of the House did not do that. It is demonstrative of how he runs this house. He continues to bumble and get things wrong, and that is why the house falls into a sense of chaos. That is why we had the situation when the house closed early—I must admit I was responsible for that—because there were no government members in the place. It is urgent.

The SPEAKER: I have given you 10 minutes and I hope you have finished now.

Mr D.A. TEMPLEMAN: I will finish absolutely. There are two key recommendations in the paragraphs in the motion that highlight the issues that need to be investigated. Yes, it is serious. We know that we have only six weeks of Parliament left. We also now know that we are entering an election campaign process and therefore members of this place need to be treated equally. If this happened to any other member of this place, they would have exactly the same right to raise these concerns and issues. I ask members opposite, particularly backbench members, to consider very carefully against voting against this motion. There is nothing wrong with it. It simply

asks the privileges committee to investigate this matter and report back in a timely manner—by 20 October. What is wrong with that? What have members opposite got to hide? If they have something to hide, they will vote against this motion.

MR C.J. BARNETT (Cottesloe — Premier) [3.14 pm]: This motion is not urgent because it has no substance.

Mr R.F. Johnson interjected.

The SPEAKER: That is enough!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: The member for Hillarys has made accusations that his computer system in his electorate office or his website—apparently, he now does not have one—has been hacked into by the Liberal Party. If that is his concern, he would have produced some evidence. He has not.

Mr R.F. Johnson interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member, that is enough!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: If it continues to be his concern, he can take it to the Electoral Commission if he wishes to. It is not a matter for the Procedure and Privileges Committee. It is not a matter for this Parliament. There is no urgency.

The first I had any knowledge of these accusations being made by the member for Hillarys was when I saw the item on the ABC news on Sunday evening, as I said. I had no knowledge at all of it. We saw the member for Hillarys parading up and down and making accusations about the Liberal Party hacking into his electorate system and changing information and the like. I have been advised by the Liberal Party of its understanding of the event, and I would like to relay that to the house because I think it makes the point that there is no urgency at all about this matter. The first point—this is from the Liberal Party —

Several members interjected.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: Members opposite might listen, if they are interested. If they are keen to see this matter go to the privileges committee, they might listen to some of the facts.

Mr R.F. Johnson: These aren't facts.

The SPEAKER: Thank you!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: I have not started yet.

All Parliamentary Liberal Party members have an account set up under the Liberal Party's system, which includes a Google Maps link. When the member for Hillarys was a member of the Liberal Party, he authorised the party to establish the link to Google Maps and to maintain that link.

Mr R.F. Johnson interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: The member for Hillarys' access to the Liberal Party system was removed when he resigned as a member of the Parliamentary Liberal Party. The Liberal Party removed that access to the Liberal Party system. In addition, all information relating to the member for Hillarys on the Liberal Party system was deleted from the system. This included the link to Google Maps. It was deleted; of course it was, because the member was no longer any part of the Liberal Party. As a result of the link to Google Maps being removed, Google Maps no longer showed Mr Johnson's office as open. That is how the system works. The member for Hillarys today still has a capability to claim the link to Google Maps and reinstate his office presence on Google Maps. The same applies to another member who resigned, Hon Nigel Hallett. That is what happened. The member for Hillarys, like other Liberal members, had the link to the party system, which included the link to Google Maps. When he resigned, it was simply deleted.

Mr R.H. Cook: It wasn't deleted; it was sabotage.

The SPEAKER: Member for Kwinana!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: The member says that it was sabotage.

Mr R.H. Cook: You changed the number.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: No, no, no.

The SPEAKER: Member for Kwinana, I call you to order for the first time.

Mr R.H. Cook interjected.

The SPEAKER: Do you want to be called for the second time?

Mr C.J. BARNETT: That is it. The member for Hillarys resigned from the Liberal Party. He is no longer a member of the party; therefore, his electorate office link to the Liberal Party system was simply cancelled. As that happened, it automatically cancelled the link through the Liberal Party to Google Maps. That is what happened.

Mr R.F. Johnson: You don't understand.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: That is the explanation.

Mr R.F. Johnson interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Hillarys!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: The member has no proof at all. Indeed, if members want to go down this pathway, it is a complete race to the bottom.

Mr R.F. Johnson interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Hillarys, I call you to order for the first time.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: I am not going to be part of that race to the bottom. Sometimes members do the wrong things, sometimes inadvertently, and that is not the way this Parliament needs to go. That is it. He resigned as a Liberal member of Parliament. The Liberal Party quite properly discontinued any link through the Liberal Party system for managing the electorate office and feedback and all the rest of it. Therefore, that automatically deleted the link to Google Maps. It is within the capacity of the member for Hillarys to re-establish, in his own right, the link to Google Maps and put on what he wants. The accusation of hacking is just fanciful.

Mr R.F. Johnson: I'll give you a copy.

The SPEAKER: Member for Hillarys!

Mr C.J. BARNETT: I am not interested in a copy.

Mr R.F. Johnson interjected.

The SPEAKER: Member for Hillarys, I call you to order for the second time.

Mr C.J. BARNETT: That is the account that has been given to me by the Liberal Party. I and my office know nothing more about it. Members opposite have taken a self-righteous view. I am not going to do this, but if I had an inclination to go into some of their conduct as members of Parliament, we would bring this place to its knees. I am not going to do that.

Question to be Put

MR J.H.D. DAY (Kalamunda — Leader of the House) [3.19 pm]: I move —

That the question be now put.

Division

Question put and a division taken with the following result —

Ayes (30)

Mr P. Abetz	Mr J.M. Francis	Mr S.K. L'Estrange	Mr D.C. Nalder
Mr F.A. Alban	Mrs G.J. Godfrey	Mr R.S. Love	Mr J. Norberger
Mr C.J. Barnett	Mr B.J. Grylls	Mr W.R. Marmion	Mr D.T. Redman
Mr I.M. Britza	Dr K.D. Hames	Mr J.E. McGrath	Mr A.J. Simpson
Mr G.M. Castrilli	Mrs L.M. Harvey	Mr P.T. Miles	Mr T.K. Waldron
Ms M.J. Davies	Mr C.D. Hatton	Ms A.R. Mitchell	Mr A. Krsticevic (<i>Teller</i>)
Mr J.H.D. Day	Mr A.P. Jacob	Mr N.W. Morton	
Ms W.M. Duncan	Dr G.G. Jacobs	Dr M.D. Nahan	

Noes (17)

Ms L.L. Baker	Mr D.J. Kelly	Ms M.M. Quirk	Mr B.S. Wyatt
Mr R.H. Cook	Mr F.M. Logan	Mrs M.H. Roberts	Mr D.A. Templeman (<i>Teller</i>)
Ms J. Farrer	Mr M. McGowan	Ms R. Saffioti	
Ms J.M. Freeman	Mr M.P. Murray	Mr P.C. Tinley	
Mr R.F. Johnson	Mr J.R. Quigley	Mr P.B. Watson	

Pairs

Ms L. Mettam	Mr P. Papalia
Mr V.A. Catania	Mr W.J. Johnston
Mr M.J. Cowper	Ms S.F. McGurk
Mr M.H. Taylor	Mr C.J. Tallentire
Ms E. Evangel	Dr A.D. Buti

Question thus passed.

Standing Orders Suspension — Motion Resumed

THE SPEAKER: As this is a motion without notice to suspend standing orders, it will need an absolute majority in order to succeed. If I hear a dissenting voice, I will be required to divide the Assembly.

Extract from *Hansard*
[ASSEMBLY — Tuesday, 13 September 2016]
p5831b-5838a

Mr Rob Johnson; Mr David Templeman; Mr John Day; Speaker; Mr Colin Barnett

Division

Question put and a division taken with the following result —

Ayes (17)

Ms L.L. Baker	Mr D.J. Kelly	Ms M.M. Quirk	Mr B.S. Wyatt
Mr R.H. Cook	Mr F.M. Logan	Mrs M.H. Roberts	Mr D.A. Templeman (<i>Teller</i>)
Ms J. Farrer	Mr M. McGowan	Ms R. Saffioti	
Ms J.M. Freeman	Mr M.P. Murray	Mr P.C. Tinley	
Mr R.F. Johnson	Mr J.R. Quigley	Mr P.B. Watson	

Noes (30)

Mr P. Abetz	Mr J.M. Francis	Mr S.K. L'Estrange	Mr D.C. Nalder
Mr F.A. Alban	Mrs G.J. Godfrey	Mr R.S. Love	Mr J. Norberger
Mr C.J. Barnett	Mr B.J. Grylls	Mr W.R. Marmion	Mr D.T. Redman
Mr I.M. Britza	Dr K.D. Hames	Mr J.E. McGrath	Mr A.J. Simpson
Mr G.M. Castrilli	Mrs L.M. Harvey	Mr P.T. Miles	Mr T.K. Waldron
Ms M.J. Davies	Mr C.D. Hatton	Ms A.R. Mitchell	Mr A. Krsticevic (<i>Teller</i>)
Mr J.H.D. Day	Mr A.P. Jacob	Mr N.W. Morton	
Ms W.M. Duncan	Dr G.G. Jacobs	Dr M.D. Nahan	

Pairs

Mr P. Papalia	Ms L. Mettam
Mr W.J. Johnston	Mr V.A. Catania
Ms S.F. McGurk	Mr M.J. Cowper
Mr C.J. Tallentire	Mr M.H. Taylor
Dr A.D. Buti	Ms E. Evangel

Absolute majority not achieved; question thus negated.