

DOUBLE JEOPARDY LAW REFORM — JAY WRAGG CASE

Nonconforming Petition

MR D.A. TEMPLEMAN (Mandurah) [2.57 pm]: Again, Mr Speaker, I have documentation that is signed by 5 073 people. Again, unfortunately, the petition does not comply, but the spirit of that is similar to the petition I have just tabled. It reads —

Natasha Wragg's Husband **MR JAY WRAGG** was brutally **murdered** by a hit to the head with a cricket bat. **MR WRAGG'S** murder trial made it quite clear that certain laws were Inadequate and **NEED** to be changed now. It is Natasha and the families hope, that by signing the below petition, you will help these laws to be rectified and this can stop any further injustice and agony to another family.

This petition will be forwarded to CHRISTIAN PORTER the ATTORNEY GENERAL in the hope for his action.

We the undersigned are fully Supporting Natasha Wragg in her fight for the below law to be changed.

The Current Law: "**THE RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT**".

To be changed so the accused would have to make a statement to police or forego his right to speak during his/her trial.

This is a significant petition although technically it does not comply. I again would seek to lay on the table that part of the presentation this afternoon.

The SPEAKER: Member for Mandurah, there is certainly one signature there that would seem to conform to the requirements of the Legislative Assembly. That petition is tabled. I would say to you, member, that certainly you could table those other documents for the remainder of today's sitting. If you wish to speak to the Attorney General about those documents, I would advise you to do the same. While I have the opportunity and am talking about petitions, some of us, upon receiving a petition, are confronted with discovering that it is not in the appropriate words and in the right form. That is something that all members need to consider.

[The petition was tabled for the information of members.]