Annual Report of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations (Ombudsman) for the year ended 30 June 2018


The report has been prepared in accordance with the Financial Management Act 2006 and section 27 of the Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971.

Chris Field
Ombudsman
26 September 2018

About this Report

This report describes the functions and operations of the Ombudsman Western Australia for the year ending 30 June 2018.

First published by Ombudsman Western Australia in September 2018. This report was written, designed, printed and converted for electronic viewing in-house. It is available in print and electronic viewing format to optimise accessibility and ease of navigation. It can also be made available in alternative formats to meet the needs of people with disability. Requests should be directed to the Publications Manager at (08) 9220 7555 or mail@ombudsman.wa.gov.au.

Requests to reproduce any content from this report should be directed to the Publications Manager. Content must not be altered in any way and the Ombudsman Western Australia must be acknowledged appropriately.

ISSN 1832-245X (Print)
ISSN 2205-815X (Online)
Contents

Overview .................................................................................................................. 5
Ombudsman’s Overview .......................................................................................... 7
Year in Brief 2017-18 ............................................................................................. 10
Operational Structure ............................................................................................. 12
Performance Management Framework .................................................................. 22
Our Performance in 2017-18 ................................................................................. 23
Summary of Performance ....................................................................................... 24
Complaint Resolution ............................................................................................. 27
Child Death Review ................................................................................................. 52
Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Review ..................................................... 122
Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement .......................... 168
Collaboration and Access to Services ................................................................... 175
Significant Issues Impacting the Office ................................................................. 189
Disclosures and Legal Compliance ....................................................................... 193
Independent Audit Opinion .................................................................................... 194
Financial Statements .............................................................................................. 199
Key Performance Indicators .................................................................................. 241
Other Disclosures and Legal Compliance ............................................................. 245
Appendices ............................................................................................................ 267
Appendix 1 – Complaints Received and Finalised ................................................. 268
Appendix 2 – Legislation ......................................................................................... 273
Appendix 3 – Publications ...................................................................................... 275
Overview

This section provides an executive summary of the Office’s performance, general information about the Office and the Office’s Performance Management Framework.

- **Executive Summary**
  - Ombudsman’s Overview
  - Year in Brief
- **Operational Structure**
- **Performance Management Framework**
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About the Ombudsman

The Ombudsman is an independent and impartial officer who reports directly to Parliament. The Ombudsman receives, investigates and resolves complaints about State Government agencies, local governments and universities, undertakes own motion investigations, reviews certain child deaths, reviews family and domestic violence fatalities and undertakes inspection and monitoring functions.

The Ombudsman concurrently holds the roles of Energy and Water Ombudsman and Chair, State Records Commission.

Ombudsman’s Overview

A principal role of the Ombudsman is to investigate and resolve complaints from Western Australians. In 2017-18, we received 13,831 contacts, comprised of 11,596 enquiries and 2,235 complaints.

Complaints must be resolved effectively and efficiently. In the last year, 94% of complaints were resolved within three months. The average age of complaints as at 30 June 2007 was 173 days. As at 30 June 2018, it is 33 days. In that same time, the cost of resolving complaints has reduced by 36%, from $2,941 in 2007-08 to $1,879 in 2017-18.

A critical role undertaken by the Ombudsman is the review of certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities. In 2017-18, we made 39 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities. Timely review processes have resulted in nearly two-thirds of all reviews being completed within six months. In 2017-18, we tabled in Parliament the report on a major own motion investigation, Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning, that contained 25 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning.

At the completion of investigations and reviews the Ombudsman has the power to make recommendations. In 2017-18, for the eleventh consecutive year, 100% of my recommendations were accepted. A critical additional step is provided for in the Ombudsman’s legislation to give confidence to Parliament about the steps that have been taken to give effect to these recommendations.
In April 2014, we tabled in Parliament a report titled *Investigation into ways that State Government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*. In 2017-18, we undertook significant work on *A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, to be tabled in Parliament in 2018-19.

Further still, for the first time, we have included a review of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendations arising from the review of child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities. This initiative will now be a permanent part of our reporting to Parliament.

In addition to investigating complaints, reviewing certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities, and undertaking major own motion investigations, we undertake a range of other statutory functions. In 2017-18, in accordance with *The Criminal Code*, my Office provided *A report on the monitoring of the infringement notices provisions of The Criminal Code* to the Minister for Police and the Commissioner of Police. The report was tabled in Parliament by the Minister for Police on 30 November 2017. At over 400 pages in length, across five volumes, this scrutiny, importantly, included review of the impact of the operation of the provisions on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities. Overall, I found that considerable positive work has been undertaken by Western Australia Police (WAPOL) to implement Criminal Code infringement notices effectively. At the same time, I identified opportunities for further work to be undertaken by WAPOL. The report makes 34 recommendations relating to proposed amendments to the relevant regulations made under *The Criminal Code* as well as the proposed introduction of, or amendments to, other legislation, schemes, policies, procedures and other measures. I am very pleased that WAPOL accepted each of the recommendations directed to them.

The Ombudsman serves all Western Australians living and working in our vast State. To enhance awareness of, and accessibility to, our services by Aboriginal Western Australians and those living or working in the regions, we visited Bunbury, Busselton, Collie and Harvey in February and March 2018 and Geraldton in June 2018.

My Office has a profound commitment to listening to, working with, and for, Aboriginal Western Australians. This year we continued implementation of our inaugural *Aboriginal Action Plan* which includes a wide range of strategies and actions to enhance our services for, and engagement with, Aboriginal Western Australians.

My Office is also strongly committed to enhancing awareness of, and accessibility to, our services for children and young people. This year this included a dedicated visiting program to vulnerable groups of children and young people in the child protection system and a range of strategies and activities to enhance awareness of, and access to, our services for children and young people.

I am deeply grateful to my Deputy, Assistant Ombudsmen and staff team for their singular commitment to serving Parliament and citizens. Their level of professionalism, integrity and commitment to public service is of the very highest level.
The information we provide in our annual report is designed not just to ensure transparency of our work, but information that can contribute to improving the administration of the laws of the Western Australian Parliament. As Western Australian Ombudsman, and an officer of the Western Australian Parliament, it is a privilege to present the report of our work in 2017-18.

Chris Field
Ombudsman
Year in Brief 2017-18

- We finalised 94% of complaints within 3 months.

- Since 2007, we have:
  - Decreased the age of complaints from 173 days to 33 days; and
  - Reduced the cost of resolving complaints by 36%.

- 100% of our recommendations were accepted for the eleventh consecutive year.

- We received:
  - 23 investigable child deaths;
  - 16 reviewable family and domestic violence fatalities; and
  - Made 39 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce these deaths and fatalities.
- We tabled in Parliament the report of a major own motion investigation, Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning, that contained 25 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning.

- We provided A report on the monitoring of the infringement notices provisions of The Criminal Code to the Minister for Police and the Commissioner of Police and the report was tabled in Parliament by the Minister for Police on 30 November 2017.

- Significant work was undertaken on A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people.

- We undertook a range of work to implement our inaugural Aboriginal Action Plan.

- We enhanced awareness and access to the Office for children and young people through a range of mechanisms, including continuing our visiting program to vulnerable groups of children in the child protection system.

- We enhanced regional awareness and access to the Office through visits to Bunbury, Busselton, Collie and Harvey in the South West Region and Geraldton in the Mid West Region.
**Operational Structure**

**The Role of the Ombudsman**

The Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations – more commonly known as the Ombudsman – is an independent and impartial officer of the Western Australian Parliament. The Ombudsman is responsible to the Parliament rather than to the government of the day or a particular Minister. This allows the Ombudsman to be completely independent in undertaking the Ombudsman’s functions.

**Functions of the Ombudsman**

The Office has four principal functions derived from its governing legislation, the *Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971*, and other legislation, codes or service delivery arrangements.

**Principal Functions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investigating and resolving complaints</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving, investigating and resolving complaints about State Government agencies, local governments and universities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reviewing certain deaths</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewing certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Undertaking own motion investigations and promoting improvements to public administration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving public administration for the benefit of all Western Australians through own motion investigations and education and liaison programs with public authorities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other functions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undertaking a range of additional functions, including statutory inspection and monitoring functions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Other Functions of the Ombudsman**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complainants and appeals by overseas students</th>
<th>Under the relevant national code, the Ombudsman can receive complaints or appeals by overseas students.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Interest Disclosures</strong></td>
<td>The Ombudsman can receive disclosures of public interest information relating to matters of administration, and public officers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complaints from residents of the Indian Ocean Territories</strong></td>
<td>Under a service delivery arrangement between the Ombudsman and the Australian Government, the Ombudsman can investigate complaints about public authorities in the Ombudsman's jurisdiction that provide services in the Indian Ocean Territories (Christmas and Cocos (Keeling) Islands).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complaints from persons detained under terrorism legislation</strong></td>
<td>Persons detained under relevant terrorism legislation can make a complaint to the Ombudsman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inspection of Telecommunications Interception records</strong></td>
<td>The Ombudsman inspects the records of the Western Australia Police and the Corruption and Crime Commission to ascertain the extent of compliance with relevant telecommunications interception legislation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring functions under the Criminal Organisations Control Act 2012</strong></td>
<td>Under the <em>Criminal Organisations Control Act 2012</em>, the Ombudsman monitors and reports on the exercise of powers conferred on the Commissioner of Police and police officers under the legislation for a five year period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring the Infringement Notices provisions of The Criminal Code</strong></td>
<td>During the year the Ombudsman completed the function to monitor the Infringement Notices provisions of <em>The Criminal Code</em> and report on the first 12 months of operation to the Minister for Police and the Commissioner of Police. The report was tabled in Parliament by the Minister for Police on 30 November 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy and Water Ombudsman</strong></td>
<td>The Energy and Water Ombudsman Western Australia resolves complaints about electricity, gas and water services providers. The Ombudsman undertakes the role of the Energy and Water Ombudsman. The costs of the Energy and Water Ombudsman are met by industry members.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A full list of legislation governing these functions can be found in the Appendices Section in *Appendix 2*. 

---

---
**Our Vision, Mission and Values**

**Our Vision**
Lawful, fair and accountable decision making and practices by public authorities.

**Our Mission**
To serve Parliament and Western Australians by:
- Receiving, investigating and resolving complaints about State Government agencies, local governments and universities;
- Reviewing certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities;
- Improving public administration for the benefit of all Western Australians through own motion investigations and education and liaison programs with public authorities; and
- Undertaking a range of additional functions, including statutory inspection and monitoring functions.

**Our Values**
- **Fair**: We observe the requirements of our legislation at all times, use a ‘no surprises’ approach in all of our work and provide our services equitably to all Western Australians.
- **Independent**: The Ombudsman is an officer of the Parliament, independent of the government of the day and impartial in all of our work.
- **Accountable**: We should be, and are, accountable for our performance and proper expenditure of taxpayers’ money. Being accountable means being:
  - **Rigorous**: We undertake work that is important to the community and our decisions are supported by appropriate evidence.
  - **Responsible**: All recommendations for change to public administration are practical and proportionate to the problem identified and have a net public benefit.
  - **Efficient**: We undertake our work in a timely way at least cost. We value working with other agencies that further good public administration but we never duplicate their work.
Our Strategic Focus

- Complaint resolution that is high quality, independent, fair and timely, with an emphasis on early resolution, practical remedies for members of the public and improvements to public administration.

- Improved public administration through own motion investigations, making practical recommendations for improvement and monitoring their implementation.

- Review of certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities, identifying patterns and trends and making recommendations to public authorities about ways to prevent or reduce these deaths.

- Inspection of certain records and reports to ensure statutory compliance by the Western Australia Police and the Corruption and Crime Commission and monitoring and reporting on the exercise of certain powers by Western Australia Police under defined legislation.

- Collaboration with other Ombudsman and accountability agencies, raising community awareness, making our services accessible and promoting good decision making practices and complaint handling in public authorities.

- Strong and effective governance and attracting, developing and retaining a skilled and valued workforce with a culture that supports high quality, responsive and efficient service.

Management

Management of the Office is undertaken by the Executive Management Group comprised of the Ombudsman, Deputy Ombudsman, Principal Assistant Ombudsman Executive Services and Principal Assistant Ombudsman Investigations and Legal Services, and the Office’s Corporate Executive which includes each member of the Executive Management Group and the leaders of the teams in the Office.

The role of the Corporate Executive is to:

- Provide leadership to staff and model the Office’s values;
- Set and monitor the strategic direction of the Office and monitor and discuss emerging issues of relevance to the work of the Ombudsman;
- Monitor performance, set priorities and targets for future performance; and
- Ensure compliance with relevant legislation and corporate policies.

For more information, see the Disclosures and Legal Compliance section.
Chris Field is the Western Australian Ombudsman. He concurrently holds the roles of Energy and Water Ombudsman and Chair, State Records Commission.

Chris was elected Second Vice President of the International Ombudsman Institute (IOI) in 2016, having served as Treasurer of the IOI between 2014-2016 and President of the Australasian and Pacific Ombudsman Region of the IOI between 2012-2014. He is also a member of the Australian and New Zealand Ombudsman Association.

Chris is an Adjunct Professor in the School of Law at the University of Western Australia and founder and co-coordinator of the unit 'Government Accountability – Law and Practice'. Chris is also the author of a range of publications on law, economics and public policy.

He commenced his career as a lawyer at Arthur Robinson and Hedderwicks (now Allens Linklaters), prior to holding the roles of Executive Director, Consumer Law Centre Victoria and Chairman, Australian Consumers’ Association (now Choice). Immediately prior to his appointment as Ombudsman, he was an inaugural Member of the Western Australian Economic Regulation Authority. He holds Arts and Law (Honours) degrees.
Mary White, Deputy Ombudsman

Mary was appointed Deputy Ombudsman in April 2014 and concurrently holds the role of Deputy Energy and Water Ombudsman. Prior to her appointment Mary worked in a number of senior executive roles in the Office, from February 2008. Mary has more than 30 years’ experience in the public sector, including strategic and corporate leadership roles in line and accountability agencies.

Alan Shaw, Principal Assistant Ombudsman

Executive Services

Alan commenced his role as Principal Assistant Ombudsman Executive Services in June 2017. He has extensive experience in management roles and has been accountable for strategy and financial, and asset management. He has held a number of senior roles in the Western Australian public sector, Government Trading Enterprises and the Not-for-Profit sector.

Lisa Ward, Principal Assistant Ombudsman

Investigations & Legal Services

Lisa commenced her role as Principal Assistant Ombudsman Investigations and Legal Services in November 2015. She is a legal practitioner with significant experience in administrative and criminal law, including 14 years as a member of various tribunals.
Our Corporate Executive

Michelle Bovill, Assistant Ombudsman
Complaint Resolution

Michelle joined the Office in 2007 and commenced the role of Assistant Ombudsman in December 2015. She has more than 18 years’ public sector experience in investigations and complaint handling.

Marcus Claridge, Assistant Ombudsman
Energy and Water

Marcus was appointed to the role of Assistant Ombudsman Energy and Water in April 2018. Prior to his appointment Marcus was Director, Energy and Water Ombudsman and has worked in other investigatory roles since 2011. Marcus has over 30 years regulatory and investigations experience, both within Australia and Asia.

Natarlie De Cinque, Assistant Ombudsman
Reviews

Natarlie joined the office in 2009 and commenced the role of Assistant Ombudsman Reviews in July 2016. She has worked in the State public sector for over 20 years, and has extensive experience working with the issues of child safety and wellbeing, and family and domestic violence.
Kim Lazenby, Assistant Ombudsman
Administrative Improvement

Kim commenced her role as an Assistant Ombudsman in June 2008. She has more than 25 years’ experience in government roles, working at the Commonwealth and State levels, in both line and central agencies, and has a strong background in evaluation.

Paula Parentich, Assistant Ombudsman
Investigations

Paula was appointed as an Assistant Ombudsman in April 2017. She has been a legal practitioner for over 20 years, working in the Commonwealth and State public sectors, and in the non-government sector.

Belinda West, Assistant Ombudsman
Monitoring

Belinda joined the office in 2008 and commenced the role of Assistant Ombudsman Monitoring in August 2014. She has more than 25 years’ experience working in the public sector in financial and performance auditing and leadership roles in both line and accountability agencies.
Our Structure and Teams

Organisational Structure as at 30 June 2018

Ombudsman

Deputy Ombudsman

Principal Assistant Ombudsman Executive Services

Executive Services Team

Corporate Services Team

Information Technology Team

Assistant Ombudsman Complaint Resolution

Energy & Water Team

Intake Team

Early Resolution Team

Assistant Ombudsman Energy and Water

Assistant Ombudsman Investigations

Investigations Team

Legal Services Team

Assistant Ombudsman Administrative Improvement

Monitoring Team

Administrative Improvement Team

Assistant Ombudsman Reviews

Reviews Team
Team Responsibilities

- The **Complaint Resolution Team** includes the Intake Team and the Early Resolution Team and has responsibility for handling enquiries, receiving and assessing complaints, and undertaking the early resolution of complaints, where appropriate, through informal investigations.

- The **Administrative Improvement Team** undertakes own motion investigations and other strategies aimed at improving public administration.

- The **Monitoring Team** monitors and reports on the operation of powers conferred on the Western Australia Police under legislation, undertakes inspections of telecommunications interception records and undertakes other statutory inspection and monitoring functions.

- The **Reviews Team** reviews certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities, identifies patterns and trends arising from these reviews and makes recommendations to relevant public authorities to prevent or reduce these deaths.

- The **Investigations Team** handles the investigation of complaints and the **Legal Services Team** provides legal services across the Office.

- The **Energy and Water Team** has responsibility for handling enquiries and receiving, investigating and resolving complaints about electricity, gas and water services providers.

- The **Executive Services, Corporate Services** and **Information Technology Services Teams** support the Office in strengthening its strategic focus, corporate communications, governance and business services.
Performance Management Framework

The Ombudsman’s performance management framework is consistent with the Government goal of Strong Communities: Safe communities and supported families.

**Desired Outcomes of the Ombudsman’s Office**

The public sector of Western Australia is accountable for, and is improving the standard of, administrative decision making, practices and conduct.

**Key Effectiveness Indicators**

- Where the Ombudsman made recommendations to improve practices or procedures, the percentage of recommendations accepted by agencies.
- Number of improvements to practices or procedures as a result of Ombudsman action.

**Service Provided by the Ombudsman’s Office**

Resolving complaints about decision making of public authorities and improving the standard of public administration.

**Key Efficiency Indicators**

- Percentage of allegations finalised within three months.
- Percentage of allegations finalised within 12 months.
- Percentage of allegations on hand at 30 June less than three months old.
- Percentage of allegations on hand at 30 June less than 12 months old.
- Average cost per finalised allegation.
- Average cost per finalised notification of death.
- Cost of monitoring and inspection functions.
Our Performance in 2017-18

This section of the report compares results with targets for both financial and non-financial indicators and explains significant variations. It also provides information on achievements during the year, major initiatives and projects, and explains why this work was undertaken.

- **Summary of Performance**
  - Key Performance Indicators
  - Summary of Financial Performance
- **Complaint Resolution**
- **Child Death Reviews**
- **Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Review**
- **Administrative Improvement**
- **Collaboration and Access to Services**
Summary of Performance

Key Performance Indicators

Key Effectiveness Indicators

The Ombudsman aims to improve decision making and administrative practices in public authorities as a result of complaints handled by the Office, reviews of certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities and own motion investigations. Improvements may occur through actions identified and implemented by agencies as a result of the Ombudsman’s investigations and reviews, or as a result of the Ombudsman making specific recommendations and suggestions that are practical and effective. Key Effectiveness Indicators are the percentage of these recommendations and suggestions accepted by public authorities and the number of improvements that occur as a result of Ombudsman action.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Effectiveness Indicators</th>
<th>2016-17 Actual</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2017-18 Actual</th>
<th>Variance from Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Where the Ombudsman made recommendations to improve practices or procedures, the percentage of recommendations accepted by agencies</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of improvements to practices or procedures as a result of Ombudsman action</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>+73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another important role of the Ombudsman is to enable remedies to be provided to people who make complaints to the Office where service delivery by a public authority may have been inadequate. The remedies may include reconsideration of decisions, more timely decisions or action, financial remedies, better explanations and apologies. In 2017-18, there were 236 remedies provided by public authorities to assist the individual who made a complaint to the Ombudsman.

Comparison of Actual Results and Budget Targets

Public authorities have accepted every recommendation made by the Ombudsman, matching the actual results of the past four years and meeting the 2017-18 target.

In 2007-08, the Office commenced a program to ensure that its work increasingly contributed to improvements to public administration. Consistent with this program, the 2017-18 actual number of improvements to practices and procedures of public authorities as a result of Ombudsman action (173) has exceeded the 2017-18 target (100). This is the highest number of improvements achieved since this target has
been recorded. There may, however, be fluctuations from year to year, related to the number and nature of investigations finalised by the Office in any given year.

**Key Efficiency Indicators**

The Key Efficiency Indicators relate to timeliness of complaint handling, the cost per finalised allegation about public authorities, the cost per finalised notification of child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities, and the cost of monitoring and inspection functions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Efficiency Indicators</th>
<th>2016-17 Actual</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2017-18 Actual</th>
<th>Variance from Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of allegations finalised within three months</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of allegations finalised within 12 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of allegations on hand at 30 June less than three months old</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>+2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of allegations on hand at 30 June less than 12 months old</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average cost per finalised allegation</td>
<td>$1,889</td>
<td>$1,890</td>
<td>$1,879</td>
<td>-$11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average cost per finalised notification of death</td>
<td>$16,731</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
<td>$17,438</td>
<td>-$62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of monitoring and inspection functions</td>
<td>$412,129</td>
<td>$415,000</td>
<td>$414,311</td>
<td>-$689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison of Actual Results and Budget Targets**

The 2017-18 actual results for the Key Efficiency Indicators met, or were comparable to, the 2017-18 target. Overall, 2017-18 actual results represent sustained efficiency of complaint resolution over the last five years.

The average cost per finalised allegation in 2017-18 ($1,879) met the 2017-18 target ($1,890). Since 2007-08, the efficiency of complaint resolution has improved significantly with the average cost per finalised allegation reduced by a total of 36% from $2,941 in 2007-08 to $1,879 in 2017-18.

The average cost per finalised notification of death ($17,438) met the 2017-18 target ($17,500), reflecting continuous improvement of the finalisation of notifications.

The cost of monitoring and inspection functions ($414,311) met the 2017-18 target ($415,000).

For further details, see the **Key Performance Indicator section**.
Summary of Financial Performance

The majority of expenses for the Office (77%) relate to staffing costs. The remainder is primarily for accommodation, communications and office equipment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Performance</th>
<th>2016-17 Actual</th>
<th>2017-18 Target (‘000s)</th>
<th>2017-18 Actual (‘000s)</th>
<th>Variance from Target (‘000s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of services (sourced from Statement of Comprehensive Income)</td>
<td>$11,106</td>
<td>$10,148</td>
<td>$11,931</td>
<td>$+1,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income other than income from State Government (sourced from Statement of Comprehensive Income)</td>
<td>$2,055</td>
<td>$1,989</td>
<td>$2,214</td>
<td>$+225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cost of services (sourced from Statement of Comprehensive Income)</td>
<td>$9,051</td>
<td>$8,159</td>
<td>$9,717</td>
<td>$+1,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity (sourced from Statement of Financial Position)</td>
<td>$2,436</td>
<td>$2,528</td>
<td>$1,031</td>
<td>$-1,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase/decrease in cash held (sourced from Statement of Cash Flows)</td>
<td>-$458</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>-$1,351</td>
<td>-$1,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Numbers</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time equivalent (FTE) staff level at 30 June</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of Actual Results and Budget Targets

The variation between the 2017-18 actual results and the targets for the Office’s total cost of services and net cost of services and the decrease in cash held is primarily due to additional staffing required to enable the Office to manage the workload associated with an increase in complaints to the Ombudsman and one-off costs associated with voluntary separations to enable changes to further enhance the efficiency of complaint resolution services.

The variation between the 2017-18 actual results and the target for the Office’s revenue is primarily due to additional funding approved by the Board of the Energy and Water Ombudsman (Western Australia) to enable the Office to meet the workload associated with an increase in complaints to the Energy and Water Ombudsman and to provide further capacity for activities such as building awareness.

For further details see Note 9.9 ‘Explanatory Statement’ in the Financial Statements section.
Complaint Resolution

A core function of the Ombudsman is to resolve complaints received from the public about the decision making and practices of State Government agencies, local governments and universities (commonly referred to as public authorities). This section of the report provides information about how the Office assists the public by providing independent and timely complaint resolution and investigation services or, where appropriate, referring them to a more appropriate body to handle the issues they have raised.

Contacts

In 2017-18, the Office received 13,831 contacts from members of the public consisting of:

- 11,596 enquiries from people seeking advice about an issue or information on how to make a complaint; and
- 2,235 written complaints from people seeking assistance to resolve their concerns about the decision making and administrative practices of a range of public authorities.
There were 11,596 enquiries received during the year.

For enquiries about matters that are within the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction, staff provide information about the role of the Office and how to make a complaint. For over 40% of these enquiries, the enquirer is referred back to the public authority in the first instance to give it the opportunity to hear about and deal with the issue. This is often the quickest and most effective way to deal with the issue. Enquirers are advised that if their issues are not resolved by the public authority, they can make a complaint to the Ombudsman.

For enquiries that are outside the jurisdiction of the Ombudsman, staff assist members of the public by providing information about the appropriate body to handle the issues they have raised.

Enquiries are encouraged to try to resolve their concerns directly with the public authority before making a complaint to the Ombudsman.
Complaints Received

In 2017-18, the Office received 2,235 complaints, with 2,470 separate allegations, and finalised 2,212 complaints. There are more allegations than complaints because one complaint may cover more than one issue.

Total Number of Complaints and Allegations Received 2007-08 to 2017-18

NOTE: The number of complaints and allegations shown for a year may vary in this and other charts by a small amount from the number shown in previous annual reports. This occurs because, during the course of an investigation, it can become apparent that a complaint is about more than one public authority or there are additional allegations with a start date in a previous reporting year.

Characteristics of Complainants in 2017-18

NOTE: Non-main English-speaking countries as defined by the Australian Bureau of Statistics are countries other than Australia, the United Kingdom, the Republic of Ireland, New Zealand, Canada, South Africa and the United States of America. Being from a non-main English-speaking country does not imply a lack of proficiency in English.
How Complaints Were Made

Over the last 10 years, the use of email and online facilities to lodge complaints has increased and the proportion of people who lodge complaints by letter has declined.

In 2017-18, 66% of complaints were lodged by email or online, compared to 24% by letter and 10% by other methods including during regional visits and in person.

Methods for Making Complaints 2008-09 to 2017-18

Resolving Complaints

Where it is possible and appropriate, staff use an early resolution approach to investigate and resolve complaints. This approach is highly efficient and effective and results in timely resolution of complaints. It gives public authorities the opportunity to provide a quick response to the issues raised and to undertake timely action to resolve the matter for the complainant and prevent similar complaints arising again. The outcomes of complaints may result in a remedy for the complainant or improvements to a public authority’s administrative practices, or a combination of both. Complaint resolution staff also track recurring trends and issues in complaints and this information is used to inform broader administrative improvement in public authorities and investigations initiated by the Ombudsman (known as own motion investigations).
**Time Taken to Resolve Complaints**

Timely complaint handling is important, including the fact that early resolution of issues can result in more effective remedies and prompt action by public authorities to prevent similar problems occurring again. The Office’s continued focus on timely complaint resolution has resulted in ongoing improvements in the time taken to handle complaints.

Timeliness and efficiency of complaint handling has substantially improved over time due to a major complaint handling improvement program introduced in 2007-08. An initial focus of the program was the elimination of aged complaints.

Building on the program, the Office developed and commenced a new organisational structure and processes in 2011-12 to promote and support early resolution of complaints. There have been further enhancements to complaint handling processes in 2017-18, in particular in relation to the early resolution of complaints.

Together, these initiatives have enabled the Office to maintain substantial improvements in the timeliness of complaint handling.

In 2017-18:

- The percentage of allegations finalised within 3 months was 94%; and
- The percentage of allegations on hand at 30 June less than 3 months old was 92%.

Following the introduction of the Office’s complaint handling improvement program in 2007-08, very significant improvements have been achieved in timely complaint handling, including:

- The average age of complaints has decreased from 173 days to 33 days; and
- Complaints older than 6 months have decreased from 40 to 7.

**Complaints Finalised in 2017-18**

There were 2,212 complaints finalised during the year and, of these, 1,693 were about public authorities in the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction. Of the complaints about public authorities in jurisdiction, 953 were finalised at initial assessment, 692 were finalised after an Ombudsman investigation and 48 were withdrawn.

**Complaints finalised at initial assessment**

Over a quarter (26%) of the 953 complaints finalised at initial assessment were referred back to the public authority to provide it with an opportunity to resolve the matter before investigation by the Ombudsman. This is a common and timely approach and often results in resolution of the matter. The person making the complaint is asked to contact the Office again if their complaint remains unresolved.

In a further 276 (29%) complaints finalised at the initial assessment, it was determined that there was a more appropriate body to handle the complaint. In these cases, complainants are provided with contact details of the relevant body to assist them.
Complaints finalised after investigation

Of the 692 complaints finalised after investigation, 88% were resolved through the Office’s early resolution approach. This involves Ombudsman staff contacting the public authority to progress a timely resolution of complaints that appear to be able to be resolved quickly and easily. Public authorities have shown a strong willingness to resolve complaints using this approach and frequently offer practical and timely remedies to resolve matters in dispute, together with information about administrative improvements to be put in place to avoid similar complaints in the future.

The following chart shows how complaints about public authorities in the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction were finalised.

Outcomes to assist the complainant

Complainants look to the Ombudsman to achieve a remedy to their complaint. In 2017-18, there were 236 remedies provided by public authorities to assist the individual who made a complaint to the Ombudsman. In some cases, there is more than one action to resolve a complaint. For example, the public authority may apologise and reverse their original decision. In a further 46 instances, the Office referred the complaint to the public authority following its agreement to expedite examination of the issues and to deal directly with the person to resolve their complaint. In these cases, the Office follows up with the public authority to confirm the outcome and any further action the public authority has taken to assist the individual or to improve their administrative practices.
The following chart shows the types of remedies provided to complainants.

### Remediul Action to Assist the Complainant in 2017-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remedy</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Explanation or reasons provided by public authority</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider or reconsider and make a decision</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apology</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action expedited</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial remedy</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to replace, repair or rectify</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomination of agency contact person to assist complainant</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal or significant variation of original decision</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledgement of error</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assistance to the complainant</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case Study**

**Exercise of discretion reconsidered**

A couple who were tenants were charged for maintenance work and agreed to pay the charges in instalments. When one of the couple passed away, the remaining tenant’s circumstances made it difficult for them to make the payments and they lodged an appeal to the public authority to review its decision relating to the charges. When the public authority informed the tenant the appeal was ineligible on the basis that more than 12 months had passed since the decision to charge the tenant, the tenant complained to the Office.

Following enquiries by the Office, which considered the provisions in the public authority’s policies that enabled the exercise of discretion, the public authority reconsidered its position and agreed to waive the charges.
Outcomes to improve public administration

In addition to providing individual remedies, complaint resolution can also result in improved public administration. This occurs when the public authority takes action to improve its decision making and practices in order to address systemic issues and prevent similar complaints in the future. Administrative improvements include changes to policy and procedures, changes to business systems or practices and staff development and training.

About the Complaints

Of the 2,235 complaints received, 1,715 were about public authorities that are within the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction. The remaining 520 complaints were about bodies outside the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction. In these cases, Ombudsman staff provided assistance to enable the people making the complaint to take the complaint to a more appropriate body.

Public authorities in the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction fall into three sectors: the public sector (1,241 complaints) which includes State Government departments, statutory authorities and boards; the local government sector (361 complaints); and the university sector (113 complaints).
The proportion of complaints about each sector in the last five years is shown in the following chart.

### Complaints Received about Public Authorities within the Ombudsman’s Jurisdiction between 2013-14 and 2017-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public Sector</th>
<th>Local Governments</th>
<th>Universities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>1,081</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Public Sector

In 2017-18, there were 1,241 complaints received about the public sector and 1,219 complaints were finalised. The number of complaints about the public sector as a whole since 2013-14 is shown in the chart below.

### Complaints Received about the Public Sector between 2013-14 and 2017-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public Sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>1,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>1,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>1,241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public sector agencies deliver a very diverse range of services to the Western Australian community. In 2017-18, complaints were received about key services as shown in the following chart.

Of the 1,241 complaints received about the public sector in 2017-18, 78% were about six key service areas covering:

- Corrective services, in particular prisons (415 or 34%);
- Public housing (151 or 12%);
- Police (142 or 11%);
- Transport (125 or 10%);
- Child protection (77 or 6%); and
- Education, including public schools and TAFE colleges (59 or 5%). Information about universities is shown separately under the University Sector.

For further details about the number of complaints received and finalised about individual public sector agencies and authorities, see Appendix 1.

**Outcomes of complaints about the public sector**

In 2017-18, there were 225 actions taken by public sector bodies as a result of Ombudsman action following a complaint. These resulted in 175 remedies being provided to complainants and 50 improvements to public sector practices.

The following case study illustrates the outcomes arising from complaints about the public sector. Further information about the issues raised in complaints and the
outcomes of complaints is shown in the following tables for each of the six key areas and for the other public sector services as a group.

---

**Case Study**

**Reconsideration of decision and improved governance**

A person complained to the Office about the process used by a public authority when making a decision which affected their ability to undertake their work. Following an investigation by the Office, the public authority, in a very positive way, agreed to (among other things):

- Write to the person and outline its concerns about their suitability to undertake their work; and
- Propose a pathway forward to resolve the matter.

Further the public authority informed the Office that it had commenced a project to identify and progress a range of administrative improvements to strengthen its ability to govern bodies and persons carrying out the work on its behalf, including consideration of amendments to relevant regulations.
Public sector complaint issues and outcomes

Corrective Services

Complaints received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most common allegations

- Prisoner property: 57
- Health services: 54
- Placements and transfers: 52
- Officer conduct: 44
- Prisoner communication facilities: 27
- Discipline and punishment: 26

Other types of allegations

- Prisoner employment;
- Facilities and conditions;
- Canteen and prisoner allowance issues;
- Individual Management Plans; and
- Visits.

Outcomes achieved

- ‘Act of grace’ payment or monetary charge reduced/refunded;
- Action to replace, repair or rectify a matter;
- Consider or reconsider a matter and make a decision;
- Apology given;
- Action expedited;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Change to policy, procedure, business systems or practices;
- Update to publications or website;
- Conduct audit or review; and
- Staff training.
## Public Housing

### Complaints received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Complaints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Most common allegations

- Tenant liabilities: 33
- Property condition and maintenance: 32
- Property allocation: 26
- Behaviour of tenants: 24
- Property transfers: 16
- Complaints management: 12

### Other types of allegations

- Rent or bond assistance;
- Debt repayments;
- Tenant’s personal property;
- General communication and provision of information;
- Dividing fence issues; and
- Termination of tenancy.

### Outcomes achieved

- Monetary charge reduced or withdrawn;
- Action to replace, repair or rectify a matter;
- Reversal or significant variation of original decision;
- Consider or reconsider a matter and make a decision;
- Apology given;
- Action expedited;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Senior officer nominated to handle the matter;
- Change to business systems or practices;
- Improved recordkeeping; and
- Staff training.
Police

Complaints received

![Bar chart showing complaints received from 2013-14 to 2017-18.

Most common allegations

- Investigations and prosecutions: 44
- Conduct of police officer: 27
- Fines and infringements: 16
- General communication and provision of information: 11
- Complaints management: 11
- Searches or handling of property: 9

Other types of allegations

- Traffic matters;
- Arrest and detention issues;
- Permits and licences;
- Personal information and privacy issues; and
- Human resource management issues.

Outcomes achieved

- Action to replace, repair or rectify a matter;
- Consider or reconsider a matter and make a decision;
- Apology given;
- Action expedited;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Update to publications or website; and
- Staff training.
Complaints received

- 83 complaints in 2013-14
- 95 complaints in 2014-15
- 111 complaints in 2015-16
- 96 complaints in 2016-17
- 125 complaints in 2017-18

Most common allegations

- Fines and infringements
- Permits, licences (incl. temporary permits, boats and moorings)
- Vehicle registrations and transfers
- Driver's licences and assessments
- Other decision or action by officer or agency
- General communication and provision of information

Other types of allegations

- Policies and procedures of the agency;
- Public transport ticketing (other than infringements); and
- Human resource management issues.

Outcomes achieved

- Consider or reconsider a matter and make a decision;
- Apology given;
- Acknowledgement of error;
- Action expedited;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Senior officer nominated to handle the matter;
- Change to legislation;
- Change to policy, procedure, business systems or practices;
- Update to publications or website;
- Conduct audit or review; and
- Staff training.
Child Protection

Complaints received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Complaints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most common allegations

- Handling of child wellbeing concerns
- Complaints management
- Out of home care and foster care
- General communication and provision of information
- Family or Children's Court proceedings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Handling of child wellbeing concerns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of home care and foster care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General communication and provision of information</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family or Children's Court proceedings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other types of allegations

- Policies and procedures of the agency;
- Human resource management issues;
- Personal information and privacy issues; and
- Special assistance and grants.

Outcomes achieved

- Reversal or significant variation of original decision;
- Consider or reconsider a matter and make a decision;
- Action expedited;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Senior officer nominated to handle the matter;
- Change to policy or procedure; and
- Staff training.
Complaints received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Complaints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most common allegations

- Complaints management: 11
- Human resource management issues: 10
- Fees and charges: 8
- Enrolment: 8
- General communication and provision of information: 4
- Student discipline: 4

These figures include appeals by overseas students under the *National Code of Practice for Providers of Education and Training to Overseas Students 2018* relating to TAFE colleges and other public education agencies. Further details on these appeals are included later in this section.

Other types of allegations

- Transfers between education providers;
- Termination of enrolment;
- Student care; and
- Examinations and assessments.

Outcomes achieved

- Monetary charge reduced, withdrawn or refund given;
- Consider or reconsider a matter and make a decision;
- Apology given;
- Action expedited;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Senior officer nominated to handle the matter;
- Change to business systems or practices; and
- Update to publications or website.
Other Public Sector Services

Complaints received

![Bar chart showing complaints received from 2013-14 to 2017-18.](chart)

Most common allegations

- Complaints management: 51
- General communication and provision of information: 47
- Conduct of officer or agency: 27
- Medical or allied health treatment: 21
- Policies or procedures of agency: 17
- Fees and charges: 14

Other types of allegations

- Enforcement action;
- Service quality;
- Patient’s property;
- Board or committee proceedings;
- Personal information and privacy issues; and
- Human resource management issues.

Outcomes achieved

- ‘Act of grace’ payment or monetary charge reduced, withdrawn or refunded;
- Consider or reconsider and make a decision;
- Apology given;
- Action expedited;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Senior officer nominated to handle the matter;
- Change to policy, procedure, business systems or practices;
- Update to publications or website; and
- Conduct audit or review.
The following case study provides an example of action taken by a public sector agency as a result of the involvement of the Ombudsman.

**Case Study**

**Decision reconsidered due to misunderstanding**

A student informed an educational institution of a planned absence during the semester. Prior to the absence, the educational institution informed the student that there was a scheduled assessment during their absence and it would look at what could be done about the assessment on their return. Subsequently, the student received a zero grade for the assessment. The student complained to the Office about the alleged change in the position of the educational institution.

Following enquiries by the Office, the educational institution agreed to provide the student with a score for the assessment based on their performance in previous assessments.
The local government sector

The following section provides further details about the issues and outcomes of complaints for the local government sector.

Local Government

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaints received</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
<th>2017-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most common allegations

- Development, planning and building decisions: 65
- Enforcement: 60
- Rating: 47
- Environmental and public health: 39
- Complaints management: 35
- Conduct of officer or agency: 30

Other types of allegations

- General communication and provision of information;
- Fines and infringements;
- Liability claims;
- Engineering;
- Approvals and licences (other than development, planning and building); and
- Community facilities.

Outcomes achieved

- ‘Act of grace’ payment or monetary charge refunded or withdrawn;
- Reversal or significant variation of original decision;
- Consider or reconsider a matter and make a decision;
- Apology given;
- Action expedited;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Change to policy, procedure, business systems or practices;
- Update to publications or website;
- Conduct audit or review;
- Improved recordkeeping; and
- Staff training.
Case Study

Request for additional rates payment withdrawn

A resident visited their local government office to pay their annual rates with cash and was issued a receipt by the customer service officer. Sometime later, the local government contacted the resident informing them of an operator error that resulted in an incorrect receipt being issued for the full rates instead of a lower payment option. As a result, the local government said the resident still owed an amount for their rates and requested payment. The resident believed that they had paid the full amount as shown on the receipt and complained to the Office about the local government’s request for further payment.

Following enquiries by the Office, the local government reviewed the circumstances surrounding the incident. As a result of that review, the local government informed the resident that it had decided not to pursue the payment further and would adjust the rate record to reflect that the rates had been paid in full and apologised for any inconvenience and misunderstanding that may have arisen. Further, the local government implemented multiple operational changes to prevent a similar situation occurring in the future.
The university sector

The following section provides further details about the issues and outcomes of complaints for the university sector.

### Universities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaints received</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
<th>2017-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enrolment</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination of enrolment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations and assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These figures include appeals by overseas students under the [National Code of Practice for Providers of Education and Training to Overseas Students 2018](#). Further details on these appeals are included later in this section.

### Other types of allegations

- Management of academic misconduct;
- General communication and provision of information;
- Prizes, awards and scholarships;
- Human resource management issues; and
- Transfers.

### Outcomes Achieved

- Monetary charge reduced, withdrawn or refunded;
- Action to replace, repair or rectify a matter;
- Reversal or significant variation of original decision;
- Consider or reconsider a matter and make a decision;
- Apology given;
- Acknowledgment of error;
- Explanation given or reasons provided;
- Change to policy, procedure, business systems or practices;
- Conduct audit or review; and
- Staff training.
Case Study
Refund of fees and improved refund processes

A prospective student made an application to study a course at a Western Australian university. The student paid the course fees but was later unsuccessful in meeting a pre-requisite for the course and requested a refund of the fees. When the student did not receive a response from the university, they complained to the Office about the university’s delay in refunding the fees.

Following enquiries by the Office, the university informed the Office that there was an oversight in the processing of the refund and that it would refund the fees and undertake a review to understand why the refund was not actioned. Following the review, the university informed the Office that, in addition to the request for the refund occurring at a busy time and human error, there was an administrative process step that needed to be addressed. This was already under review by the university with an estimated completion by the next admission period.

Other Complaint Related Functions
Reviewing appeals by overseas students

The National Code of Practice for Providers of Education and Training to Overseas Students 2018 (the National Code) sets out standards required of registered providers who deliver education and training to overseas students studying in Australian universities, TAFE colleges and other public education agencies. It provides overseas students with rights of appeal to external, independent bodies if the student is not satisfied with the result or conduct of the internal complaint handling and appeals process.

Overseas students studying with both public and private education providers have access to an Ombudsman who:

- Provides a free complaint resolution service;
- Is independent and impartial and does not represent either the overseas students or education and training providers; and
- Can make recommendations arising out of investigations.

In Western Australia, the Ombudsman is the external appeals body for overseas students studying in Western Australian public education and training organisations. The Overseas Students Ombudsman is the external appeals body for overseas students studying in private education and training organisations.

Complaints lodged with the Office under the National Code

Education and training providers are required to comply with 11 standards under the National Code. In dealing with these complaints, the Ombudsman considers whether the decisions or actions of the agency complained about comply with the requirements of the National Code and if they are fair and reasonable in the circumstances.
During 2017-18, the Office received 77 complaints from overseas students, including 61 complaints about public education and training providers. Fifty complaints about public education and training providers were about universities, six were about TAFE colleges and five were about other education providers. The Office also received 16 complaints that, after initial assessment, were found to be about a private education provider. The Office referred these complainants to the Overseas Students Ombudsman.

The 61 complaints by overseas students about public education and training providers involved 82 separate allegations. There are more allegations than complaints because one complaint may cover more than one issue. The most common issues raised by overseas students were decisions about:

- Termination of enrolment (20);
- Fees and charges (12);
- Enrolment (11);
- Management of academic misconduct (8);
- Examinations and assessments (6); and
- Transfers between education and training providers (5).

During the year, the Office finalised 55 complaints about 75 issues.
Public Interest Disclosures

Section 5(3) of the Public Interest Disclosure Act 2003 allows any person to make a disclosure to the Ombudsman about particular types of ‘public interest information’. The information provided must relate to matters that can be investigated by the Ombudsman, such as the administrative actions and practices of public authorities, or relate to the conduct of public officers.

Key members of staff have been authorised to deal with disclosures made to the Ombudsman and have received appropriate training. They assess the information provided to determine whether the matter requires investigation, having regard to the Public Interest Disclosure Act 2003, the Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971 and relevant guidelines. If a decision is made to investigate, subject to certain additional requirements regarding confidentiality, the process for investigation of a disclosure is the same as that applied to the investigation of complaints received under the Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971.

During the year, three disclosures were received.

Indian Ocean Territories

Under a service delivery arrangement between the Ombudsman and the Australian Government, the Ombudsman handles complaints about State Government departments and authorities delivering services in the Indian Ocean Territories and about local governments in the Indian Ocean Territories. There were three complaints received during the year.

Terrorism

The Ombudsman can receive complaints from a person detained under the Terrorism (Preventative Detention) Act 2006, about administrative matters connected with his or her detention. There were no complaints received during the year.

Requests for Review

Occasionally, the Ombudsman is asked to review or re-open a complaint that was investigated by the Office. The Ombudsman is committed to providing complainants with a service that reflects best practice administration and, therefore, offers complainants who are dissatisfied with a decision made by the Office an opportunity to request a review of that decision.

In 2017-18, 11 reviews were undertaken, representing half of one per cent of the total number of complaints finalised by the Office. In all cases where a review was undertaken, the original decision was upheld.
Child Death Review

Overview

This section sets out the work of the Office in relation to its child death review function. Information on this work has been set out as follows:

- Background;
- The role of the Ombudsman in relation to child death reviews;
- The child death review process;
- Analysis of child death reviews;
- Issues identified in child death reviews;
- Recommendations;
- Major own motion investigations arising from child death reviews;
- Other mechanisms to prevent or reduce child deaths; and
- Stakeholder liaison.

Background

In November 2001, prompted by the coronial inquest into the death of a 15 year old Aboriginal girl at the Swan Valley Nyoongar Community in 1999, the (then) Government announced a special inquiry into the response by Government agencies to complaints of family violence and child abuse in Aboriginal communities.

The resultant 2002 report, *Putting the Picture Together: Inquiry into Response by Government Agencies to Complaints of Family Violence and Child Abuse in Aboriginal Communities*, recommended that a Child Death Review Team be formed to review the deaths of children in Western Australia (Recommendation 146). Responding to the report the (then) Government established the Child Death Review Committee (CDRC), with its first meeting held in January 2003. The function of the CDRC was to review the operation of relevant policies, procedures and organisational systems of the (then) Department for Community Development in circumstances where a child had contact with the Department.

In August 2006, the (then) Government announced a functional review of the (then) Department for Community Development. Ms Prudence Ford was appointed the independent reviewer and presented the report, *Review of the Department for Community Development: Review Report (the Ford Report)* to the (then) Premier in January 2007. In considering the need for an independent, inter-agency child death review model, the Ford Report recommended that:

- The CDRC together with its current resources be relocated to the Ombudsman (Recommendation 31); and
• A small, specialist investigative unit be established in the Office to facilitate the independent investigation of complaints and enable the further examination, at the discretion of the Ombudsman, of child death review cases where the child was known to a number of agencies (Recommendation 32).

Subsequently, the *Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971* was amended to enable the Ombudsman to undertake child death reviews, and on 30 June 2009, the child death review function in the Office commenced operation.

**The Role of the Ombudsman in relation to Child Death Reviews**

The child death review function enables the Ombudsman to review investigable deaths. Investigable deaths are defined in the Ombudsman’s legislation, the *Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971* (see Section 19A(3)), and occur when a child dies in any of the following circumstances:

• In the two years before the date of the child’s death:
  
  o The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Department of Communities (Communities) had received information that raised concerns about the wellbeing of the child or a child relative of the child;
  
  o Under section 32(1) of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*, the CEO had determined that action should be taken to safeguard or promote the wellbeing of the child or a child relative of the child; and
  
  o Any of the actions listed in section 32(1) of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004* was done in respect of the child or a child relative of the child.

• The child or a child relative of the child is in the CEO’s care or protection proceedings are pending in respect of the child or a child relative of the child.

In particular, the Ombudsman reviews the circumstances in which and why child deaths occur, identifies patterns and trends arising from child deaths and seeks to improve public administration to prevent or reduce child deaths.

In addition to reviewing investigable deaths, the Ombudsman can review other notified deaths. The Ombudsman also undertakes major own motion investigations arising from child death reviews.

In reviewing child deaths the Ombudsman has wide powers of investigation, including powers to obtain information relevant to the death of a child and powers to recommend improvements to public administration about ways to prevent or reduce child deaths across all agencies within the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction. The Ombudsman also has powers to monitor the steps that have been taken, are proposed to be taken or have not been taken to give effect to the recommendations.
The Child Death Review Process

**Reportable child death**
- The Coroner is informed of reportable deaths
- The Coroner notifies Communities of these deaths

**Ombudsman notified of child death**
- Communities notifies the Ombudsman of all child deaths notified to it by the Coroner
- The Ombudsman assesses each notification and determines if the death is an investigable death or a non-investigable death

**Ombudsman conducts review**
- All investigable deaths are reviewed
- Non-investigable deaths can be reviewed

**Identifying patterns and trends**
- Patterns and trends are identified, analysed and reported and also provide critical information to inform the selection and undertaking of major own motion investigations

**Improving public administration**
The Ombudsman seeks to improve public administration to prevent or reduce child deaths, including making recommendations to prevent or reduce child deaths arising from reviews and major own motion investigations

**Implementation of recommendations and monitoring improvements**
The Ombudsman actively monitors the implementation of recommendations as well as ensuring those improvements to public administration are contributing over time to preventing or reducing child deaths
**Analysis of Child Death Reviews**

By reviewing child deaths, the Ombudsman is able to identify, record and report on a range of information and analysis, including:

- The number of child death notifications and reviews;
- The comparison of investigable deaths over time;
- Demographic information identified from child death reviews;
- Circumstances in which child deaths have occurred;
- Social and environmental factors associated with investigable child deaths;
- Analysis of children in particular age groups; and
- Patterns, trends and case studies relating to child death reviews.

**Notifications and Reviews**

Communities receives information from the Coroner on reportable deaths of children and notifies the Ombudsman of these deaths. The notification provides the Ombudsman with a copy of the information provided to Communities by the Coroner about the circumstances of the child’s death together with a summary outlining the past involvement of Communities with the child and the child’s family.

The Ombudsman assesses all child death notifications received to determine if the death is, or is not, an investigable death. If the death is an investigable death, it must be reviewed. If the death is a non-investigable death, it can be reviewed. The extent of a review depends on a number of factors, including the circumstances surrounding the child’s death and the level of involvement of Communities or other public authorities in the child’s life. Confidentiality of the child, family members and other persons involved with the case is strictly observed.

The child death review process is intended to identify key learnings that will positively contribute to ways to prevent or reduce child deaths. The review does not set out to establish the cause of the child’s death; this is properly the role of the Coroner.

**Child death review cases prior to 30 June 2009**

At the commencement of the child death review jurisdiction on 30 June 2009, 73 cases were transferred to the Ombudsman from the CDRC. These cases related to child deaths prior to 30 June 2009 that were reviewable by the CDRC and covered a range of years from 2005 to 2009. Almost all (67 or 92%) of the transferred cases were finalised in 2009-10 and six cases were carried over. Three of these transferred cases were finalised during 2010-11 and the remaining three were finalised in 2011-12.
Number of child death notifications and reviews

During 2017-18, there were 23 child deaths that were investigable and subject to review from a total of 73 child death notifications received.

### Comparison of investigable deaths over time

The Ombudsman commenced the child death review function on 30 June 2009. Prior to that, child death reviews were undertaken by the CDRC with the first full year of operation of the CDRC in 2003-04.

The following table provides the number of deaths that were determined to be investigable by the Ombudsman or reviewable by the CDRC compared to all child deaths in Western Australia for the 15 years from 2003-04 to 2017-18. It is important to note that an investigable death is one which meets the legislative criteria and does not necessarily mean that the death was preventable, or that there has been any failure of the responsibilities of Communities.

Comparisons are also provided with the number of child deaths reported to the Coroner and deaths where the child or a relative of the child was known to Communities. It should be noted that children or their relatives may be known to Communities for a range of reasons.
## Child Death Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total WA child deaths (excluding stillbirths) (See Note 1)</th>
<th>Child deaths reported to the Coroner (See Note 2)</th>
<th>Child deaths where the child or a relative of the child was known to Communities (See Note 3)</th>
<th>Reviewable/investigable child deaths (See Note 4 and Note 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-04</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

1. The data in Column A has been provided by the *Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages*. Child deaths within each year are based on the date of death rather than the date of registration of the death. The CDRC included numbers based on dates of registration of child deaths in their Annual Reports in the years 2005-06 through to 2007-08 and accordingly the figures in Column A will differ from the figures included in the CDRC Annual Reports for these years because of the difference between dates of child deaths and dates of registration of child deaths. The data in Column A is subject to updating and may vary from data published in previous Annual Reports.

2. The data in Column B has been provided by the *Office of the State Coroner*. Reportable child deaths received by the Coroner are deaths reported to the Coroner of children under the age of 18 years pursuant to the provisions of the *Coroners Act 1996*. The data in this section is based on the number of deaths of children that were reported to the Coroner during the year.

3. ‘Communities’ refers to the Department of Communities from 2017-18, Department for Child Protection and Family Support for the year 2012-13 to 2016-17, Department for Child Protection for the years 2006-07 to 2011-12 and Department for Community Development (DCD) prior to 2006-07. The data in Column C has been provided by Communities and is based on the date the notification was received by Communities. For 2003-04 to 2007-08 this information is the same as that included in the CDRC Annual Reports for the relevant year. In the 2005-06 to 2007-08 Annual Reports, the CDRC counted ‘Child death notifications where any form of contact had previously occurred with Communities: recent, historical, significant or otherwise’. In the 2003-04 and 2004-05 Annual Reports, the CDRC counted ‘Coroner notifications where the families had some form of contact with DCD’.
4. The data in Column D relates to child deaths considered reviewable by the CDRC up to 30 June 2009 or child deaths determined to be investigable by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009. It is important to note that reviewable deaths and investigable deaths are not the same, however, they are similar in effect. The definition of reviewable death is contained in the Annual Reports of the CDRC. The term investigable death has the meaning given to it under section 19A(3) of the Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971.

5. The number of investigable child deaths shown in a year may vary, by a small amount, from the number shown in previous annual reports for that year. This occurs because, after the end of the reporting period, further information may become available that requires a reassessment of whether or not the death is an investigable death. Since the commencement of the child death review function this has occurred on one occasion resulting in the 2009-10 number of investigable deaths being revised from 23 to 24.

Demographic information identified from child death reviews

Information is obtained on a range of characteristics of the children who have died including gender, Aboriginal status, age groups and residence in the metropolitan or regional areas. A comparison between investigable and non-investigable deaths can give insight into factors that may be able to be affected by Communities in order to prevent or reduce deaths.

The following charts show:

- The number of children in each group for each year from 2009-10 to 2017-18; and
- For the period from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018, the percentage of children in each group for both investigable deaths and non-investigable deaths, compared to the child population in Western Australia.

**Males and females**

As shown in the following charts, considering all nine years, male children are over-represented compared to the population for both investigable and non-investigable deaths.
Further analysis of the data shows that, considering all nine years, male children are over-represented for all age groups, but particularly for children under the age of one, children aged between six and 12 years, and children aged 13 to 17 years.

**Aboriginal status**

As shown in the following charts, Aboriginal children are over-represented compared to the population in all deaths and more so for investigable deaths.

---

**Number of Notifications by Aboriginal Status**

---

**% of Notifications from 30.6.09 to 30.6.18 Compared to Child Population**

---

**Note:** Percentages for each group are based on the percentage of children whose Aboriginal status is known. Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the Aboriginal status of the child.
Further analysis of the data shows that Aboriginal children are more likely than non-Aboriginal children to be under the age of one and living in regional and remote locations.

**Age groups**

As shown in the following charts, children under one year, children aged one year, and children aged between 13 and 17 are over-represented compared to the child population as a whole for both investigable and non-investigable deaths.

A more detailed analysis by age group is provided later in this section.
Location of residence

As shown in the following charts, children in regional locations are over-represented compared to the child population as a whole, and more so for investigable deaths.

**Number of Notifications by Location of Child**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Metro</th>
<th>Regional</th>
<th>Remote</th>
<th>Outside WA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Outside WA includes children whose residence is not in Western Australia, but the child died in Western Australia. Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the place of residence of the child.

**% of Notifications from 30.6.09 to 30.6.18 Compared to Child Population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Investigable</th>
<th>Non-Investigable</th>
<th>Child Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional/Remote</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further analysis of the data shows that 79% of Aboriginal children who died were living in regional or remote locations when they died. Most non-Aboriginal children who died lived in the metropolitan area but the proportion of non-Aboriginal children who died in regional areas is higher than would be expected based on the child population.
Circumstances in which child deaths have occurred

The child death notification received by the Ombudsman includes general information on the circumstances of death. This is an initial indication of how the child may have died but is not the cause of death, which can only be determined by the Coroner. The Ombudsman’s review of the child death will normally be finalised prior to the Coroner’s determination of cause of death.

The circumstances of death are categorised by the Ombudsman as:

- Sudden, unexpected death of an infant – that is, infant deaths in which the likely cause of death cannot be explained immediately;
- Motor vehicle accident – the child may be a pedestrian, driver or passenger;
- Illness or medical condition;
- Suicide;
- Drowning;
- Accident other than motor vehicle – this includes accidents such as house fires, electrocution and falls;
- Alleged homicide; and
- Other.

The following chart shows the circumstances of notified child deaths for the period 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018.
Note 1: In 2010-11, the ‘Other’ category includes eight children who died in the SIEV (Suspected Illegal Entry Vessel) 221 boat tragedy off the coast of Christmas Island in December 2010.

Note 2: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.
The two main circumstances of death for the 783 child death notifications received in the nine years from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018 are:

- Sudden, unexpected deaths of infants, representing 33% of the total child death notifications from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018 (33% of the child death notifications received in 2009-10, 29% in 2010-11, 37% in 2011-12, 30% in 2012-13, 34% in 2013-14, 33% in 2014-15, 36% in 2015-16, 29% in 2016-17 and 37% in 2017-18); and

- Motor vehicle accidents, representing 19% of the total child death notifications from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018 (22% of the child death notifications received in 2009-10, 19% in 2010-11, 20% in 2011-12, 14% in 2012-13, 21% in 2013-14, 24% in 2014-15, 18% in 2015-16, 24% in 2016-17 and 14% in 2017-18).

The following chart provides a breakdown of the circumstances of death for child death notifications for investigate and non-investigate deaths.
There are two areas where the circumstance of death shows a higher proportion for investigable deaths than for deaths that are not investigable. These are:

- Suicide; and
- Alleged homicide.

**Longer term trends in the circumstances of death**

The CDRC also collated information on child deaths, using similar definitions, for the deaths it reviewed. The following tables show the trends over time in the circumstances of death. It should be noted that the Ombudsman’s data shows the information for all notifications received, including deaths that are not investigable, while the data from the CDRC relates only to completed reviews.

**Child Death Review Committee up to 30 June 2009 – see Note 1**

The figures on the circumstances of death for 2003-04 to 2008-09 relate to cases where the review was finalised by the CDRC during the financial year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Accident - Non-vehicle</th>
<th>Accident - Vehicle</th>
<th>Acquired Illness</th>
<th>Asphyxiation /Suffocation</th>
<th>Alleged Homicide (lawful or unlawful)</th>
<th>Immersion/ Drowning</th>
<th>SUDI *</th>
<th>Suicide</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sudden, unexpected death of an infant – includes Sudden Infant Death Syndrome

**Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 – see Note 2**

The figures on the circumstances of death from 2009-10 relate to all notifications received by the Ombudsman during the year including cases that are not investigable and are not known to Communities. These figures are much larger than previous years as the CDRC only reported on the circumstances of death for the cases that were reviewable and that were finalised during the financial year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Accident Other Than Motor Vehicle</th>
<th>Motor Vehicle Accident</th>
<th>Illness or Medical Condition</th>
<th>Asphyxiation /Suffocation</th>
<th>Alleged Homicide</th>
<th>Drowning</th>
<th>SUDI *</th>
<th>Suicide</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sudden, unexpected death of an infant – includes Sudden Infant Death Syndrome*

**Note 1:** The source of the CDRC’s data is the CDRC’s Annual Reports for the relevant year. For 2007-08, only partial data is included in the Annual Report. The remainder of the data for 2007-08 and all data for 2008-09 has been obtained from the CDRC’s records transferred to the Ombudsman. Types of circumstances are as used in the CDRC’s Annual Reports.

**Note 2:** The data for the Ombudsman is based on the notifications received by the Ombudsman during the year. The types of circumstances are as used in the Ombudsman’s Annual Reports. Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.

**Social and environmental factors associated with investigable child deaths**

A number of social and environmental factors affecting the child or their family may impact on the wellbeing of the child, such as:

- Family and domestic violence;
- Drug or substance use;
- Alcohol use;
- Parenting;
- Homelessness; and
- Parental mental health issues.

Reviews of investigable deaths often highlight the impact of these factors on the circumstances leading up to the child’s death and, where this occurs, these factors are recorded to enable an analysis of patterns and trends to assist in considering ways to prevent or reduce future deaths.

It is important to note that the existence of these factors is associative. They do not necessarily mean that the removal of this factor would have prevented the death of a child or that the existence of the factor necessarily represents a failure by Communities or another public authority.
The following table shows the percentage of child death reviews associated with particular social and environmental factors for the period 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social or Environmental Factor</th>
<th>% of Finalised Reviews from 30.6.09 to 30.6.18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family and domestic violence</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug or substance use</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homelessness</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental mental health issues</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One of the features of the investigable deaths reviewed is the coexistence of a number of these social and environmental factors. The following observations can be made:

- Where family and domestic violence was present:
  - Parenting was a co-existing factor in nearly two-thirds of the cases;
  - Alcohol use was a co-existing factor in over half of the cases;
  - Drug or substance use was a co-existing factor in over half of the cases;
  - Homelessness was a co-existing factor in over a quarter of the cases; and
  - Parental mental health issues were a co-existing factor in nearly a third of the cases.

- Where alcohol use was present:
  - Parenting was a co-existing factor in three quarters of the cases;
  - Family and domestic violence was a co-existing factor in over three quarters of the cases;
  - Drug or substance use was a co-existing factor in over half of the cases; and
  - Homelessness was a co-existing factor in over a third of the cases.

**Reasons for contact with Communities**

In child deaths notified to the Ombudsman in 2017-18, the majority of children who were known to Communities were known because of contact relating to concerns for a child’s wellbeing or for family and domestic violence. Other reasons included financial problems, parental support, and homelessness.
Analysis of children in particular age groups

In examining the child death notifications by their age groups the Office is able to identify patterns that appear to be linked to childhood developmental phases and associated care needs. This age-related focus has enabled the Office to identify particular characteristics and circumstances of death that have a high incidence in each age group and refine the reviews to examine areas where improvements to public administration may prevent or reduce these child deaths. The following section identifies four groupings of children: under one year (infants); children aged 1 to 5; children aged 6 to 12; and children aged 13 to 17, and demonstrates the learning and outcomes from this age-related focus.

Deaths of infants

Of the 783 child death notifications received by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018, there were 287 (37%) related to deaths of infants. The characteristics of infants who died are shown in the following chart.
Further analysis of the data shows that, for these infant deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

- Males – 68% of investigable infant deaths and 61% of non-investigable infant deaths were male compared to 51% in the child population;
- Aboriginal children – 66% of investigable deaths and 32% of non-investigable deaths were Aboriginal children compared to 6% in the child population; and
- Children living in regional or remote locations – 52% of investigable infant deaths and 40% of non-investigable deaths of infants, living in Western Australia, were children living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.
An examination of the patterns and trends of the circumstances of infant deaths showed that of the 287 infant deaths, 257 (90%) were categorised as sudden, unexpected deaths of an infant and the majority of these (164) appear to have occurred while the infant had been placed for sleep. There were a small number of other deaths as shown in the following charts.

One hundred and eleven deaths of infants were determined to be investigable deaths.

Note: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.
Deaths of children aged 1 to 5 years

Of the 783 child death notifications received by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018, there were 150 (19%) related to children aged from 1 to 5 years.

The characteristics of children aged 1 to 5 are shown in the following chart.
Further analysis of the data shows that, for these deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

- **Males** – 55% of investigable deaths and 55% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 1 to 5 were male compared to 51% in the child population;

- **Aboriginal children** – 53% of investigable deaths and 10% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 1 to 5 were Aboriginal children compared to 6% in the child population; and

- **Children living in regional or remote locations** – 40% of investigable deaths and 38% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 1 to 5, living in Western Australia, were children living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.
As shown in the following chart, illness or medical condition is the most common circumstance of death for this age group (30%), followed by motor vehicle accidents (25%) and drowning (19%).

Fifty five deaths of children aged 1 to 5 years were determined to be investigable deaths.

**Note:** Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.
Deaths of children aged 6 to 12 years

Of the 783 child death notifications received by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018, there were 90 (11%) related to children aged from 6 to 12 years.

The characteristics of children aged 6 to 12 are shown in the following chart.
Further analysis of the data shows that, for these deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

- Males – 52% of investigable deaths and 75% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 6 to 12 were male compared to 51% in the child population;

- Aboriginal children – 54% of investigable deaths and 11% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 6 to 12 were Aboriginal children compared to 6% in the child population; and

- Children living in regional or remote locations – 74% of investigable deaths and 50% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 6 to 12, living in Western Australia, were children living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.
As shown in the following chart, motor vehicle accidents are the most common circumstance of death for this age group (40%), followed by illness or medical condition (27%) and drowning (10%).

Thirty one deaths of children aged 6 to 12 years were determined to be investigable deaths.

Note: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.
Deaths of children aged 13 – 17 years

Of the 783 child death notifications received by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018, there were 256 (33%) related to children aged from 13 to 17 years.

The characteristics of children aged 13 to 17 are shown in the following chart.

![Characteristics of Children Aged 13-17](chart)
Further analysis of the data shows that, for these deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

- Males – 57% of investigable deaths and 67% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 13 to 17 were male compared to 51% in the child population;
- Aboriginal children – 52% of investigable deaths and 13% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 13 to 17 were Aboriginal compared to 6% in the child population; and
- Children living in regional or remote locations – 59% of investigable deaths and 41% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 13 to 17, living in Western Australia, were living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.
As shown in the following chart, suicide is the most common circumstance of death for this age group (44%), particularly for investigable deaths, followed by motor vehicle accidents (28%) and illness or medical condition (12%).

One hundred and seven deaths of children aged 13 to 17 years were determined to be investigable deaths.
Suicide by young people

Of the 117 young people who apparently took their own lives from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018:

- Five were under 13 years old;
- Six were 13 years old;
- Eleven were 14 years old;
- Twenty six were 15 years old;
- Twenty nine were 16 years old; and
- Forty were 17 years old.

The characteristics of the young people who apparently took their own lives are shown in the following chart.
Further analysis of the data shows that, for these deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

- Males – 52% of investigable deaths and 71% of non-investigable deaths were male compared to 51% in the child population;

- Aboriginal young people – for the 83 apparent suicides by young people where information on the Aboriginal status of the young person was available, 66% of the investigable deaths and 13% of non-investigable deaths were Aboriginal young people compared to 6% in the child population; and

- Young people living in regional and remote locations – the majority of apparent suicides by young people occurred in the metropolitan area, but 61% of investigable suicides by young people and 30% of non-investigable suicides by young people were young people who were living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.

Note: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available.
Deaths of Aboriginal children

Of the 521 child death notifications received from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018, where the Aboriginal status of the child was known, 194 (37%) of the children were identified as Aboriginal.

For the notifications received, the following chart demonstrates:

- Over the nine year period from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018, the majority of Aboriginal children who died were male (60%). For 2017-18, 39% of Aboriginal children who died were male;
- Most of the Aboriginal children who died were under the age of one or aged 13-17; and
- The deaths of Aboriginal children living in regional communities far outnumber the deaths of Aboriginal children living in the metropolitan area. Over the nine year period, 79% of Aboriginal children who died lived in regional or remote communities.
Characteristics of Aboriginal Children who Died

**Note:** Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available.
As shown in the following chart, sudden, unexpected deaths of infants (41%), suicide (20%), and motor vehicle accidents (17%) are the largest circumstance of death categories for the 194 Aboriginal child death notifications received in the nine years from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2018.

**Circumstances of Deaths Aboriginal Children**

- **Sudden, unexpected death of an infant**: 12 notifications (41%) in 2017-18, 10 notifications (35%) in 2016-17, 9 notifications (23%) in 2015-16, 8 notifications (19%) in 2014-15, 7 notifications (18%) in 2013-14, 5 notifications (16%) in 2012-13, 4 notifications (20%) in 2011-12, 3 notifications (3%) in 2010-11, 2 notifications (2%) in 2009-10.
- **Suicide**: 7 notifications (20%) in 2017-18, 7 notifications (23%) in 2016-17, 6 notifications (22%) in 2015-16, 5 notifications (16%) in 2014-15, 4 notifications (17%) in 2013-14, 3 notifications (8%) in 2012-13, 2 notifications (5%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (1%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (1%) in 2009-10.
- **Motor vehicle accident**: 6 notifications (17%) in 2017-18, 6 notifications (19%) in 2016-17, 5 notifications (16%) in 2015-16, 5 notifications (16%) in 2014-15, 4 notifications (17%) in 2013-14, 3 notifications (8%) in 2012-13, 2 notifications (5%) in 2011-12, 2 notifications (3%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (1%) in 2009-10.
- **Illness or medical condition**: 3 notifications (8%) in 2017-18, 3 notifications (10%) in 2016-17, 2 notifications (7%) in 2015-16, 2 notifications (6%) in 2014-15, 2 notifications (7%) in 2013-14, 2 notifications (8%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (2%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (1%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (1%) in 2009-10.
- **Alleged homicide**: 2 notifications (5%) in 2017-18, 2 notifications (7%) in 2016-17, 2 notifications (7%) in 2015-16, 2 notifications (7%) in 2014-15, 2 notifications (7%) in 2013-14, 2 notifications (8%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (3%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (1%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (1%) in 2009-10.
- **Accident other than motor vehicle**: 3 notifications (5%) in 2017-18, 2 notifications (5%) in 2016-17, 2 notifications (5%) in 2015-16, 2 notifications (5%) in 2014-15, 2 notifications (5%) in 2013-14, 2 notifications (5%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (3%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (1%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (1%) in 2009-10.
- **Drowning**: 1 notification (3%) in 2017-18, 1 notification (3%) in 2016-17, 1 notification (3%) in 2015-16, 1 notification (3%) in 2014-15, 1 notification (3%) in 2013-14, 1 notification (3%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (3%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (1%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (1%) in 2009-10.
- **Other**: 1 notification (1%) in 2017-18, 1 notification (1%) in 2016-17, 1 notification (1%) in 2015-16, 1 notification (1%) in 2014-15, 1 notification (1%) in 2013-14, 1 notification (1%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (1%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (1%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (1%) in 2009-10.

**Circumstances of Aboriginal Deaths % of Deaths from 30.6.09 to 30.6.18**

- **Sudden, unexpected death of an infant**: 12 notifications (35%) in 2017-18, 10 notifications (24%) in 2016-17, 9 notifications (23%) in 2015-16, 8 notifications (23%) in 2014-15, 7 notifications (23%) in 2013-14, 5 notifications (23%) in 2012-13, 4 notifications (23%) in 2011-12, 3 notifications (23%) in 2010-11, 2 notifications (23%) in 2009-10.
- **Suicide**: 7 notifications (8%) in 2017-18, 7 notifications (8%) in 2016-17, 6 notifications (8%) in 2015-16, 5 notifications (8%) in 2014-15, 4 notifications (8%) in 2013-14, 3 notifications (8%) in 2012-13, 2 notifications (8%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (8%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (8%) in 2009-10.
- **Motor vehicle accident**: 6 notifications (16%) in 2017-18, 6 notifications (16%) in 2016-17, 5 notifications (16%) in 2015-16, 5 notifications (16%) in 2014-15, 4 notifications (16%) in 2013-14, 3 notifications (16%) in 2012-13, 2 notifications (16%) in 2011-12, 2 notifications (16%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (16%) in 2009-10.
- **Illness or medical condition**: 3 notifications (10%) in 2017-18, 3 notifications (10%) in 2016-17, 2 notifications (10%) in 2015-16, 2 notifications (10%) in 2014-15, 2 notifications (10%) in 2013-14, 2 notifications (10%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (10%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (10%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (10%) in 2009-10.
- **Alleged homicide**: 2 notifications (6%) in 2017-18, 2 notifications (6%) in 2016-17, 2 notifications (6%) in 2015-16, 2 notifications (6%) in 2014-15, 2 notifications (6%) in 2013-14, 2 notifications (6%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (6%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (6%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (6%) in 2009-10.
- **Accident other than motor vehicle**: 3 notifications (5%) in 2017-18, 3 notifications (5%) in 2016-17, 3 notifications (5%) in 2015-16, 3 notifications (5%) in 2014-15, 3 notifications (5%) in 2013-14, 3 notifications (5%) in 2012-13, 2 notifications (5%) in 2011-12, 2 notifications (5%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (5%) in 2009-10.
- **Drowning**: 1 notification (2%) in 2017-18, 1 notification (2%) in 2016-17, 1 notification (2%) in 2015-16, 1 notification (2%) in 2014-15, 1 notification (2%) in 2013-14, 1 notification (2%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (2%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (2%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (2%) in 2009-10.
- **Other**: 1 notification (2%) in 2017-18, 1 notification (2%) in 2016-17, 1 notification (2%) in 2015-16, 1 notification (2%) in 2014-15, 1 notification (2%) in 2013-14, 1 notification (2%) in 2012-13, 1 notification (2%) in 2011-12, 1 notification (2%) in 2010-11, 1 notification (2%) in 2009-10.

**Note:** Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.
**Patterns, trends and case studies relating to child death reviews**

**Deaths of infants**

**Sleep-related infant deaths**

Through the undertaking of child death reviews, the Office identified a need to undertake an own motion investigation into the number of deaths that had occurred after infants had been placed to sleep, referred to as ‘sleep-related infant deaths’.

The investigation principally involved the Department of Health (DOH) but also involved the (then) Department for Child Protection and the (then) Department for Communities. The objectives of the investigation were to analyse all sleep-related infant deaths notified to the Office, consider the results of our analysis in conjunction with the relevant research and practice literature, undertake consultation with key stakeholders and, from this analysis, research and consultation, recommend ways the departments could prevent or reduce sleep-related infant deaths.

The investigation found that DOH had undertaken a range of work to contribute to safe sleeping practices in Western Australia, however, there was still important work to be done. This work particularly included establishing a comprehensive statement on safe sleeping that would form the basis for safe sleeping advice to parents, including advice on modifiable risk factors, that is sensitive and appropriate to both Aboriginal and culturally and linguistically diverse communities and is consistently applied state-wide by health care professionals and non-government organisations at the antenatal, hospital-care and post-hospital stages. This statement and concomitant policies and practices should also be adopted, as relevant, by the (then) Department for Child Protection and the (then) Department for Communities.

The investigation also found that a range of risk factors were prominent in sleep-related infant deaths reported to the Office. Most of these risk factors are potentially modifiable and therefore present opportunities for the departments to assist parents, grandparents and carers to modify these risk factors and reduce or prevent sleep-related infant deaths.

The report of the investigation, titled *Investigation into ways that State Government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce sleep-related infant deaths*, was tabled in Parliament in November 2012. The report made 23 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce sleep-related infant deaths, all of which were accepted by the agencies involved.

**LINKING RECOMMENDATIONS TO THEMES IDENTIFIED**

During 2017-18, the Ombudsman made 19 recommendations in reviews of infant deaths, including two recommendations relating to the provision of safe infant sleeping information, two recommendations relating to undertaking pre-birth planning for the unborn child to promote their living circumstances at birth, two recommendations to improve intra-agency and inter-agency sharing of risk related information, and eight recommendations relating to timely assessment and safety planning to promote the infant’s safety and wellbeing.

The implementation of the recommendations is actively monitored by the Office.
Case Study

Baby A

Baby A died as a result of the actions of a parent. Following a review of Baby A’s death, the Ombudsman made the following recommendations:

1. Communities considers the findings of this review in the circumstances of the current development and implementation of ‘evidence based practice guidelines associated with identifying and responding to infants at high risk of emotional abuse (including exposure to family and domestic violence), harm and/or neglect within the meaning of section 28 of the Children and Community Services Act (2004)’ and incorporates in the ‘evidence based practice guidance’ appropriate practice guidance associated with the investigation of infant injury, including in consultation with health services where medical review is indicated or has occurred.

2. Communities clarifies the requirements outlined in the Casework Practice Manual associated with the appropriate restriction of infants, not in the Chief Executive Officer’s care, from being placed on the Monitored List.

3. Communities considers the findings of this review and whether mandatory safe infant sleeping training (such as completion of the Department of Health’s Safe Sleeping E-Learning Package) is indicated to achieve informed compliance with Communities policy and practice requirements regarding provision of safe infant sleeping information as detailed in Chapter 1.2 Safe infant sleeping of the Casework Practice Manual.

4. Communities provides the Ombudsman with a report on actions taken to give effect to recommendations one to three, including a status report on the development and implementation of ‘evidence based practice guidelines associated with identifying and responding to infants at high risk of emotional abuse (including exposure to family and domestic violence), harm and/or neglect within the meaning of section 28 of the Children and Community Services Act (2004)’ within six months of the finalisation of this child death review.

5. The relevant WA Country Health Service (WACHS) Regional District considers the findings of this review to determine whether further action is required to ensure the appropriate:
   - Inclusion of all risk-relevant information in referrals to Communities from relevant WACHS Regional District maternity hospitals; and
   - Administration of the Special Referral to Child Health Services in accordance with Operational Directive OD 0617/15 including the transfer of all risk-relevant information from relevant WACHS Regional District maternity hospitals to WACHS child health services.

6. WACHS considers the findings of this review to determine whether further action is required to ensure the appropriate implementation of a Child Injury Surveillance Program in all WACHS EDs that treat children in accordance with Operational Directive OD 0606/15 and the associated Guidelines for Protecting Children.
7. WACHS considers the findings of this review, including in collaboration with the Statewide Protection of Children Coordination Unit, to determine whether further action is required to ensure the appropriate administration of the *Guidelines for Protecting Children* by WACHS child health nurses in the circumstances of responding to infant injury and whether a *Child Injury Surveillance Program* equivalent, specific for WACHS child health services, is indicated.

8. WACHS provides a report to the Ombudsman within six months of the finalisation of this child death review outlining the results of WACHS consideration with respect to recommendations five to seven including a status report on the implementation of a *Child Injury Surveillance Program* in all WACHS EDs that treat children.

### Deaths of children aged 1 to 5 years

#### Deaths from drowning


> Children under five continue to account for a large proportion of drowning deaths in swimming pools, particularly home swimming pools. It is important to ensure that home pools are fenced with a correctly installed compliant pool fence with a self-closing and self-latching gate…
>
> (page 8)

The report of the investigation, titled *Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning*, was tabled in Parliament on 23 November 2017. The report made 25 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning, all of which were accepted by the agencies involved.

Further details of *Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning* are provided in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section.

### Deaths of children aged 6 to 12 years

The Ombudsman’s examination of reviews of deaths of children aged six to 12 years has identified the critical nature of certain core health and education needs. Where these children are in the CEO’s care, inter-agency cooperation between Communities, the DOH and the Department of Education (DOE) in care planning is necessary to ensure the child’s health and education needs are met. Where multiple agencies may be involved in the life of a child and their family, it is important that agencies work collaboratively, and from a culturally informed position where relevant, to promote the child’s safety and wellbeing.
Care planning for children in the CEO’s care

Through the undertaking of child death reviews, the Office identified a need to undertake an investigation of planning for children in the care of the CEO of the (then) Department for Child Protection – a particularly vulnerable group of children in our community.

This investigation involved the (then) Department for Child Protection, the DOH and the DOE and considered, among other things, the relevant provisions of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*, the internal policies of each of these departments along with the recommendations arising from the Ford Report.

The investigation found that in the five years since the introduction of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*, these three Departments had worked cooperatively to operationalise the requirements of the Act. In short, significant and pleasing progress on improved planning for children in care had been achieved, however, there was still work to be done, particularly in relation to the timeliness of preparing care plans and ensuring that care plans fully incorporate health and education needs, other wellbeing issues, the wishes and views of children in care and that they are regularly reviewed.

**Case Study**

**Child B**

Child B died in an accident, while playing without adult supervision. Following a review of Child B’s death, the Ombudsman made the following recommendations:

1. Communities provides the Ombudsman within six months of the finalisation of this child death review:
   - An update on the review of the *Aboriginal Services and Practice Framework 2016-2018*, to include the status of progress of the 'strategies for change' documented in the Implementation Plan and how their effectiveness is being evaluated; and
   - Clarification of where Aboriginal leadership is placed in Communities’ organisational structure, to lead the implementation of the *Aboriginal Services and Practice Framework 2016-2018* and Communities’ responsibilities to promote the wellbeing of Aboriginal children and families as required by the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*.

2. The relevant DOE Regional School reviews its actions in this case, from a culturally informed perspective, to identify any learnings to guide its staff in promoting the attendance of Aboriginal students, particularly when there are multiple enrolled children from the same family with 'persistent student absence' and documented challenges impacting on attendance, and provides a report on the outcome to the Ombudsman within six months of the finalisation of this child death review.

The report made 23 recommendations that were designed to assist with the work to be done, all of which were agreed by the relevant Departments.

The implementation of the recommendations is actively monitored by the Office.

**Deaths of primary school aged children from motor vehicle accidents**

In 2017-18, the Ombudsman received three notifications of the deaths of children aged six to 12 years in the circumstances of motor vehicle accidents. Two out of the three deaths occurred in regional Western Australia.

**Deaths of children aged 13 to 17 years**

**Suicide by young people**

Of the child death notifications received by the Office since the commencement of the Office’s child death review responsibility, a third related to children aged 13 to 17 years old. Of these children, suicide was the most common circumstance of death, accounting for over 44% of deaths. Furthermore, and of serious concern, Aboriginal children were very significantly over-represented in the number of young people who died by suicide. For these reasons, the Office decided to undertake a major own motion investigation into ways that State Government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people.

The objectives of the investigation were to analyse, in detail, deaths of young people who died by suicide notified to the Office, comprehensively consider the results of this analysis in conjunction with the relevant research and practice literature, undertake consultation with government and non-government stakeholders and, if required, recommend ways that agencies can prevent or reduce suicide by young people.

The Office found that State Government departments and authorities had already undertaken a significant amount of work that aimed to prevent and reduce suicide by young people in Western Australia, however, there was still more work to be done. The Office found that this work included practical opportunities for individual agencies to enhance their provision of services to young people. Critically, as the reasons for suicide by young people are multi-factorial and cross a range of government agencies, the Office also found that this work included the development of a collaborative, inter-agency approach to preventing suicide by young people. In addition to the Office’s findings and recommendations, the comprehensive level of data and analysis contained in the report of the investigation was intended to be a valuable new resource for State Government departments and authorities to inform their planning and work with young people. In particular, the Office’s analysis suggested this planning and work target four groups of young people that the Office identified.

The report of the investigation, titled *Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, was
tabled in Parliament in April 2014. The report made 22 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce suicide by young people, all of which were accepted by the agencies involved.

During 2017-18, significant work was undertaken to determine the steps taken to give effect to the recommendations arising from this investigation. A report on the findings of this work will be tabled in Parliament in 2018.

Further details of *A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people* are provided in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section.

**Issues Identified in Child Death Reviews**

The following are the types of issues identified when undertaking child death reviews.

It is important to note that:
- Issues are not identified in every child death review; and
- When an issue has been identified, it does not necessarily mean that the issue is related to the death of a child.

- Not undertaking sufficient inter-agency communication to enable effective case management and collaborative responses.
- Not including sufficient cultural consideration in child protection assessment, planning and intervention.
- Missed opportunities to improve agency culturally informed practice and provide cultural leadership.
- Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to Safety and Wellbeing Assessments.
- Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to the *Signs of Safety Child Protection Practice Framework*.
- Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to pre-birth planning.
- Missed opportunities to identify risk of harm and progress to a Safety and Wellbeing Assessment, to determine whether an infant was in need of protection within the meaning of section 28 of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*.
- Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to Safety and Wellbeing Assessments for an infant, in a timely manner.
- Not adequately administering the Monitored List in accordance with policies and procedures.
- Not assessing infant injury in accordance with the *Guidelines for Protecting Children, Child Injury Surveillance Program*. 
• Missed opportunities to promote infant safe sleeping by providing appropriate information.
• Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to family and domestic violence.
• Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to the assessment of parental drug and alcohol use.
• Not adequately meeting policy and procedures relating to the assessment of parental mental health, to provide support to the parenting capacity.
• Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to the assessment of alleged physical abuse and neglect.
• Not adequately meeting policy and procedures to address poor school attendance.
• Missed opportunity to identify child wellbeing concerns associated with poor school attendance.
• Not including sufficient cultural consideration in addressing poor school attendance.
• Missed opportunity to adopt a trauma informed approach and to assess cumulative harm to address factors associated with suicide risk.
• Missed opportunities to recognise and respond to child and adolescent drug and alcohol use.
• Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to the provision of staff supervision and governance processes in approving Safety and Wellbeing Assessments and safety planning.
• Not meeting recordkeeping requirements.

Recommendations

In response to the issues identified, the Ombudsman makes recommendations to prevent or reduce child deaths. The following recommendations were made by the Ombudsman in 2017-18 arising from child death reviews (certain recommendations may be de-identified to ensure confidentiality).

1. As Communities implements the ‘consistent intake’ process, as set out in the Organisational Reform Briefing, Communities considers, in view of the findings of this child death review and Recommendation 1 [Annual Report 2016-17] arising from this office’s review of the death of [Infant A], whether any further steps are required to ensure this ‘consistent intake’ process appropriately responds to hospital social worker referrals regarding infant safety and wellbeing concerns and supports interagency communication and collaboration.

2. Communities assists the relevant Communities Metropolitan District to develop and implement an action plan to:
   • Address the ‘areas of learning opportunities requiring further consideration’ listed in Communities’ response; and
• Identify and address factors adversely impacting upon compliance with Communities’ practice requirements related to assessment and investigation processes, safety planning and use of the Signs of Safety Child Protection Practice Framework when administering Communities’ legislative responsibilities associated with determining whether a child is in need of protection and/or whether action is warranted to safeguard a child’s wellbeing.

3. Communities evaluates the Standards Monitoring Unit processes to determine whether further action is required in response to the receipt of Required Action progress reports to ensure that timely and appropriate action is undertaken by Communities Districts to sustainably address the issues identified by the Standards Monitoring Unit and improve compliance with Communities’ legislative responsibilities, Standards and practice requirements.

4. Communities provides a report to the Ombudsman within six months of the finalisation of this child death review outlining actions taken by the Department to give effect to recommendations 2 and 3.

5. Communities provides a report to the Ombudsman, within six months of the finalisation of this child death review, outlining the steps taken by the relevant Communities Metropolitan District to address the six ‘areas and learning opportunities’ as identified in Communities’ response.

6. Communities takes steps to reiterate to its staff the practice requirements in Communities’ Casework Practice Manual Chapter 1.2 Family Support and Earlier Intervention, Safe infant sleeping, and ensure staff are aware that these practice requirements are supplementary to the responsibilities of health service providers in informing parents and caregivers of safe infant sleeping information.

7. Communities provides the Ombudsman within six months of the finalisation of this child death review:

• An update on the review of the Aboriginal Services and Practice Framework 2016-2018, to include the status of progress of the ‘strategies for change’ documented in the Implementation Plan and how their effectiveness is being evaluated; and

• Clarification of where Aboriginal leadership is placed in Communities’ organisational structure, to lead the implementation of the Aboriginal Services and Practice Framework 2016-2018 and Communities’ responsibilities to promote the wellbeing of Aboriginal children and families as required by the Children and Community Services Act 2004.

8. The relevant DOE Regional School reviews its actions in this case, from a culturally informed perspective, to identify any learnings to guide its staff in promoting the attendance of Aboriginal students, particularly when there are multiple enrolled children from the same family with ‘persistent student absence’ and documented challenges impacting on attendance, and provides a report on the outcome to the Ombudsman by [nominated date].

9. The relevant Communities Regional District considers the findings of the Ombudsman’s child death reviews of [Child B] and [Child C] to determine if any action is required to ensure that where Communities receives reports of concern for a child/or subset of children of a family group, that the safety and wellbeing of
all children of that family group are considered in initial inquires or Safety and Wellbeing Assessments.

10. Communities takes all necessary steps to ensure that administrative processes associated with the completion of Safety and Wellbeing Assessments do not restrict the capacity of Communities in considering the safety and wellbeing of all the children in a family group.

11. Communities provides an outline of the actions taken to address the challenges outlined in the Communities' response.

12. Communities provides the Ombudsman with a report within six months of the finalisation of this child death review on actions taken to give effect to recommendations 9, 10 and 11.

13. The relevant DOE Regional School reviews its actions in this case, from a culturally informed perspective, to identify any learnings to guide its staff in promoting the attendance of Aboriginal students, particularly when there are multiple enrolled children from the same family with ‘persistent student absence’ and documented challenges impacting on attendance, and provides a report on the outcome to the Ombudsman by [nominated date].

14. Communities considers the findings of this child death review in the development of strategies associated with the implementation of the proposed revised Bilateral Schedule: Interagency Collaborative Processes When an Unborn or Newborn Baby Is Identified as at Risk of Abuse and/or Neglect to ensure that pre-birth safety planning is commenced by Communities where indicated in accordance with Chapter 2.2 Assessment and Investigation Processes of the Casework Practice Manual.

15. Communities provides the Ombudsman with a copy of the report arising from the Communities 2017 analysis of pre-birth safety planning by [nominated date] and an outline of Communities’ plans for the ongoing implementation and evaluation of pre-birth safety planning.

16. Communities provides the Ombudsman an outline of Communities’ plans to address the issues identified by the Australian Centre for Child Protection in the ‘Signs of Safety Reloaded Project Phase Two’.

17. Communities clarifies the requirements outlined in the Casework Practice Manual associated with the appropriate restriction of infants, not in the Chief Executive Officer’s care, from being placed on the Monitored List.

18. Communities provides the Ombudsman with a report on actions taken to give effect to recommendations 14, 15, 16 and 17, by [a nominated date] including a status report on the implementation of the revised Bilateral Schedule: Interagency Collaborative Processes When an Unborn or Newborn Baby Is Identified as at Risk of Abuse and/or Neglect and ‘Signs of Safety Reloaded Project Phase Two’.

19. The relevant WACHS Regional District considers the findings of this review to determine whether further action is required to ensure the appropriate:

- Inclusion of all risk-relevant information in referrals to Communities from relevant WACHS Regional District maternity hospitals; and
• Administration of the Special Referral to Child Health Services in accordance with Operational Directive OD 0617/15 including the transfer of all risk-relevant information from relevant WACHS Regional District maternity hospitals to WACHS child health services.

20. WACHS considers the findings of this review to determine whether further action is required to ensure the appropriate implementation of a Child Injury Surveillance Program in all WACHS Emergency Departments that treat children in accordance with Operational Directive OD 0606/15 and the associated Guidelines for Protecting Children.

21. WACHS provides a report to the Ombudsman within six months of the finalisation of this child death review outlining the results of WACHS consideration with respect to recommendations 19 and 20 including a status report on the implementation of a Child Injury Surveillance Program in all WACHS Emergency Departments that treat children.

22. Communities considers the findings of this review in the circumstances of the current development and implementation of ‘evidence based practice guidelines associated with identifying and responding to infants at high risk of emotional abuse (including exposure to family and domestic violence), harm and/or neglect within the meaning of section 28 of the Children and Community Services Act (2004)’ and incorporates in the ‘evidence based practice guidance’ appropriate practice guidance associated with the investigation of infant injury, including in consultation with health services where medical review is indicated or has occurred.

23. Communities clarifies the requirements outlined in the Casework Practice Manual associated with the appropriate restriction of infants, not in the Chief Executive Officer’s care, from being placed on the Monitored List.

24. Communities considers the findings of this review and whether mandatory safe infant sleeping training (such as completion of the Department of Health’s Safe Sleeping E-Learning Package) is indicated to achieve informed compliance with Communities policy and practice requirements regarding provision of safe infant sleeping information as detailed in Chapter 1.2 Safe infant sleeping of the Casework Practice Manual.

25. Communities provides the Ombudsman with a report on actions taken to give effect to recommendations 22, 23 and 24, including a status report on the development and implementation of ‘evidence based practice guidelines associated with identifying and responding to infants at high risk of emotional abuse (including exposure to family and domestic violence), harm and/or neglect within the meaning of section 28 of the Children and Community Services Act (2004)’ within six months of the finalisation of this child death review.

26. The relevant WACHS Regional District considers the findings of this review to determine whether further action is required to ensure the appropriate: inclusion of all risk-relevant information in referrals to Communities from relevant WACHS Regional District maternity hospitals; and administration of the Special Referral to Child Health Services in accordance with Operational Directive OD 0617/15 including the transfer of all risk-relevant information from relevant WACHS Regional District maternity hospitals to WACHS child health services.
27. WACHS considers the findings of this review to determine whether further action is required to ensure the appropriate implementation of a *Child Injury Surveillance Program* in all WACHS Emergency Departments that treat children in accordance with Operational Directive OD 0606/15 and the associated *Guidelines for Protecting Children*.

28. WACHS considers the findings of this review, including in collaboration with the Statewide Protection of Children Coordination Unit, to determine whether further action is required to ensure the appropriate administration of the *Guidelines for Protecting Children* by WACHS child health nurses in the circumstances of responding to infant injury and whether a *Child Injury Surveillance Program* equivalent, specific for WACHS child health services, is indicated.

29. WACHS provides a report to the Ombudsman within six months of the finalisation of this child death review outlining the results of WACHS consideration with respect to recommendations 26, 27 and 28, including a status report on the implementation of a *Child Injury Surveillance Program* in all WACHS Emergency Departments that treat children.

30. That Communities, in developing the *Action Plan for At Risk Youth* and any action plan associated with the *Western Australian Alcohol and Drug Interagency Strategy 2017-2021*, considers whether there is a need for developing detailed guidelines for undertaking assessment when children and young people are identified as using alcohol and/or drugs, and guidelines for developing associated safety plans and treatment referrals.

**Steps taken to give effect to recommendations**

The steps taken to give effect to the recommendations arising from child death reviews in 2015-16

The Ombudsman made 19 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce child deaths in 2015-16. The Office has requested that the relevant public authorities\(^1\) notify the Ombudsman regarding:

- The steps that have been taken to give effect to the recommendations;
- The steps that are proposed to be taken to give effect to the recommendations; or
- If no such steps have been, or are proposed to be taken, the reasons therefor.

---

\(^1\) In this section, Department refers to, prior to 1 July 2017, the Department for Child Protection and Family Support, and subsequent to 1 July 2017, Communities.
Recommendation 1: That the Department takes all reasonable steps to achieve timely compliance with the Department’s assessment policies and practice requirements in implementing and monitoring safety planning to promote the wellbeing of an unborn child/infant.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department (the Department’s 2017 report). Additional information was provided by the Department on 19 July 2018, containing a report prepared by the Department (the Department’s 2018 report).

In the Department’s 2018 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

**High Risk Infant – Casework Practice Manual**

The Department continues to focus on case practice and strategies to improve Signs of Safety Pre-birth planning and assessment with consideration of enhanced practice guidelines, additional monitoring and data analysis, and promoting learning, development of staff.

The Casework Practice Manual will include an entry titled ‘High Risk Infant’ to guide departmental staff to respond to the specific considerations of infants within a child protection context. The Casework Practice Manual entry has been drafted and is due to be discussed with Perth Children’s Hospital in early August 2018, following which time it will be considered by the Department’s Joint Service Delivery Management Meeting to update the Casework Practice Manual.

The new High Risk Infant Casework Practice Manual entry will incorporate risk factors for infants including Safe Sleeping practices. The Department is due to meet with the Perth Children’s Hospital in early August 2018, to finalise information to be published in the Casework Practice Manual.

The practice requirements of the High Risk Infant Casework Practice Manual entry will be incorporated into the Department’s compulsory Orientation training program 1 and 3, once finalised.

**Bilateral Schedule – Interagency Collaborative Processes when an Unborn or Newborn Baby is identified as at risk of abuse and/or neglect (2013)**

In December 2017 the Professional Practice Unit (formerly known as Service Delivery and Practice Unit) completed a report titled, Interagency Pre-Birth Protocol Position Paper (‘the paper’). The purpose of the paper was to review the history and current process regarding the facilitation of Pre-birth planning meetings and provide recommendations on how the current process can be improved.

The recommendations include managing an increased demand for Pre-birth planning, skilful and consistent facilitation of Pre-birth meetings, recording and data collection.

The implementation of recommendations are ongoing, due completion at the end of August 2018. The process includes consultation with key stakeholders including the Department of Health, various Aboriginal health organisations, Aboriginal Legal Service, Aboriginal Family Law Service and others.

The Bilateral Schedule (the Schedule) between the Department and the Department of Health remains subject to review. It was envisaged that an updated Schedule will...
be approved and operation by mid-2018 however the review process has been impacted by the machinery of government changes.

The existing Schedule remains fully functional, pending the outcome of the joint review. In line with the Schedule, local protocols have been developed in conjunction with external agencies (maternity hospitals and Aboriginal health services), to improve local strategies to respond to unborn or newborn children.

**Signs of Safety Reloaded – Knowledge Bank**

To promote excellence in practice and to best assist workers to view completed work in Signs of Safety, a collection of completed work has been compiled on line in the Knowledge Bank.

The Knowledge Bank is a contribution from districts of exemplary work, quality assured by the Professional Practice Unit. Additional context and reflections are included for each piece of work under the following headings, noting those highlighted that support the Ombudsman recommendation;

- **Best Beginnings Plus**
- Cultural Tools
- Danger Statements
- Family Finding
- Harm Statements
- Mappings
- Parent Support
- Safe and Together Model
- Safety Goals
- **Safety Plans**
- Trajectories
- Words and Pictures.

The website has been completed. Documents to be included in the Knowledge Bank website continue to be gathered and quality assured. The Knowledge Bank is due to ‘go live’ on 23 July 2018. A Communication strategy will be implemented at this time to ensure that workers are aware of the Knowledge Bank and its purpose.

**Signs of Safety Reloaded – Capability Matrix**

The Capability Matrix (the Matrix) focuses on child protection workers attitudes, behaviours, skill and knowledge in regards to Signs of Safety child protection practice. The Matrix will support the continuous improvement through case practice guidance, learning and development strategies and quality assurance in Signs of Safety practice application to achieve greater consistency with staff, children, parents including their networks and stakeholders. Furthermore, the capability matrixes will support self-reflection and supervision processes for staff.

The Matrix is due to be available for caseworkers, team leaders and management by 31 August 2018.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.
Recommendation 2: That the Department undertakes a home visit, where appropriate and possible, to assess infant sleeping arrangements and provide parents with safe infant sleeping information, in accordance with the Department’s Casework Practice Manual, Chapter 3.2 Safe Infant Sleeping [Chapter 1.2 at July 2018], when working with parents who smoke tobacco or are alleged to have a history of alcohol or drug abuse or illegal drug use is alleged to be occurring currently.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department. Additional information was provided by the Department on 19 July 2018, containing a second report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2017 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

Practice requirements outlined in the Casework Practice Manual Chapter 3.2 [Chapter 1.2 at July 2018] include resources, guidelines and tools to reflect Health’s Safe Infant Sleeping statement/framework.

The Department provided a copy of Chapter 3.2, with the following paragraph highlighted:

When working with a family with an infant, child protection workers and Best Beginnings home visitors advise about co-sleeping and factors that increase or reduce this risk. Child protection workers and Best Beginnings home visitors must do this in the first four weeks of the baby’s birth (where involved), and, where appropriate, provide information and the following resources:

- Women and Newborn Health Service of WA: Safe Infant Sleeping Information for Parents, Carers and Families
- SIDS and Kids WA: Reducing the Risk of SUDI in Aboriginal Communities
- SIDS and Kids webpage: Safe Sleeping in Other Languages, and
- Quitnow webpage: Pregnancy and Quitting for information on:
  - the impact of smoking during pregnancy
  - the effects of second-hand smoke on infants, and
  - smoking and SIDS.

In the Department’s 2018 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

Casework Practice Manual

The Casework Practice Manual has been modified with new chapter references and entries ensuring cross referencing of ‘Safe Infant Sleep’, throughout Chapter 1, prompting workers for a specific response to this significant risk factor.

- 1.2 Family Support and Earlier Intervention
- 1.2.2 Best Beginnings Plus
- 1.4 Mental Health and Alcohol and Other Drugs

Additional related resources are also included and cross referenced.
Learning and Development/Training

Infant, Child and Family Mental Wellbeing

Participants learn to observe infants and young children in interaction with their parents to inform assessment and to plan appropriate supportive interventions.

The online program aims to reduce the risk of sudden unexpected deaths in infants, including the risk that can occur when babies co-sleep. It provides professionals working with families with infants, current evidence based information on safe sleeping practices.

All Best Beginnings officers are required to complete this package. It is also recommended for child protection workers engaging with families and infants.

The training provides links to Safe Sleeping Pamphlets, the Review of Safe Infant Sleeping Policy and Framework 2013, access to the website for newborn and child health website (Department of Health) and to view the safe infant sleeping website.

The Learning outcomes are:

- Develop an understanding of safe sleeping practices.
- Recommend and ensure the safest possible environment for mothers and babies.
- Reduce the risk of sudden unexpected infant death associated with co-sleeping.
- Provide parents with adequate information to make an informed decision.
- Understand and show sensitivity to the emotional, cultural and physical needs of the mother and her family.

Safe Sleeping

The module was developed in 2013 by SIDS and KIDS and WA Health and includes information on background, risks, benefits, cultural considerations and harm minimisation.

Alcohol and Other Drugs

Provides a fundamental understanding of the issues associated with problematic Alcohol and Other Drug (AOD) Use. Topics include drug use in perspective, models of alcohol and drug use, drug classification, intoxication and withdrawal effects, responding to drug overdose, AOD agencies and services. Information and learnings promote further consideration of the impact of substance misuse with regards to the impact of children, including the newborn.

In March 2017, Learning and Development Unit provided a one day training, ‘Recognising and Responding to Amphetamine Intoxication/Toxicity and Opioid Overdose’ delivered by the Mental Health Organisation.

It is noted that the Department has taken steps to improve training relating to safe infant sleeping, and that the relevant sections of the Department’s Casework Practice Manual outline when safe infant sleeping information must be provided, and that it is anticipated that ‘Best Beginning home visitors’ can provide safe infant sleeping information while undertaking a ‘home visit’.

**Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.**
Recommendation 3: That the Department considers, in accordance with the definition of ‘at risk’ youth as outlined in the At Risk Youth Strategy 2015-2018, the development of guidelines to recognise alleged alcohol and drug use by children and adolescents as an indicator of cumulative safety and wellbeing concerns warranting assessment and action where appropriate.

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2017 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

> Updated practice requirement [now Chapter 2.2 Assessment and Investigation, Assessment and investigation processes], of the Casework Practice Manual:

> Where a young person’s alcohol and drug use has been reported to the Department as a safety and wellbeing concern, the duty officer should look at the behaviour in the context of the young person’s circumstances as a whole. Where drug and alcohol use co-exists with other issues such as isolation, disengagement from education, family and domestic violence and/or other youth at-risk issues, these should be considered as part of the assessment. In addition, a referral to Parent Support should be considered.

It is noted that Recommendation 3 required the Department to consider the development of guidelines to recognise alleged alcohol and drug use by children and adolescents as an indicator of cumulative safety and wellbeing concerns warranting assessment and action where appropriate. The Department’s response indicates that, at the time of the response, the development of such guidelines was not intended. The updated practice requirement directs, where ‘a young person’s alcohol and drug use has been reported’ that this issue ‘should be considered as part of the assessment’.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

**Recommendation 4: That the Department takes all reasonable steps to recognise where poor school attendance may be a cumulative indicator of child safety and wellbeing concerns.**

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2017 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

> Education is an area covered in the Signs of Safety Prompts for neglect.

This Office reviewed the Signs of Safety Prompts for neglect which, under the heading of ‘education’ states:
• Does the child attend school?
• What age appropriate educational stimulation does the child receive?
• Are the parents interested in the child’s schooling?

The Office also considered the Department:

• *Casework Practice Manual Chapter 1.1 At Risk Youth*; and
• *At Risk Youth Strategy 2015-18*.

Chapter 1.1 states, consistent with the *At Risk Youth Strategy 2015-18*:

‘At risk’ can mean:

- Behavioural indicators - truancy, emotionally unstable, disruptive behaviour, displaying suicidal intent or self-harm, antisocial behaviour, violent or aggressive in the community, social isolation, juvenile offending, vandalism, drug and/or alcohol abuse, rejecting parental support, low self-esteem, lack of social and communication skills
- Situational indicators - unemployed, homeless, socially disadvantaged, family and domestic violence, alcohol and other drug use in the home, family breakdown, transient families, lower socio-economic families, abused children, and
- Educational indicators – underachieving academically, not coping in classroom situations, poor literacy and numeracy skills, suspended from school or excluded.

Chapter 1.1 and the *At Risk Youth Strategy* identifies that poor school attendance and associated poor academic performance can indicate ‘risk’ to a young person’s safety and wellbeing. It is noted that current Department policy identifies poor school attendance and/or academic performance may indicate child wellbeing risk.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

**Recommendation 5:** That the Department continues to improve interagency communication and collaboration associated with the management of the Department of Education’s (DOE) *Students Whose Whereabouts is Unknown list* (SWU List) in the context of child safety and wellbeing concerns.

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department. Additional information was provided by the Department on 19 July 2018, containing a second report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2017 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

The Department focusses on those children on the *Student’s Whose Whereabouts is Unknown List* (SWU) for whom it has guardianship responsibility.

The SWU list is matched against children in care in week five of each academic/school term in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with DOE/ the Department.

The Department’s Principal Education Officer and Student Tracking Coordinator meet
in week six of each academic/school term.

The Department’s Principal Education Officer sends a courtesy email to the child’s case manager, Assistant District Director and district Education Officer to enquire as to why the child is not attending the school, and where possible provide updated information to DOE (i.e. child changed enrolment to private school and original school not notified).

The Department and DOE have been rolling out Collaborative Practice/MOU key messages workshops over the last three years (and continuing into the future). These workshops place the Department and local school principals in the same room. They provide an opportunity for the Department to provide detail to schools about child protection processes as well as an opportunity to consider and plan for how at risk children are responded to by each agency.

In the Department’s 2018 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

**Joint Meeting – Department of Education (DoE) and Department of Communities Students Whose Whereabouts are Unknown**

- The joint meeting between Departments focusses on those children on the *Student’s Whose Whereabouts is Unknown List* (the list) who are in the care of the CEO of the Department. The meeting looks at each matched child and the reasons for their non-engagement and/or possible engagement in alternative mainstream options.

- The Department’s Principal Education Officer and Student Tracking Coordinator from DoE meet four times a year, in week six of each academic/school term. The meeting looks at process (for example removing a child in care that is engaged in alternative to mainstream education from the SWU list) and reasons for non-attendance – such as children becoming parents or severe mental health concerns.

- When schools identify additional concerns for the safety and wellbeing of a child they can refer to the Department, in accordance with the Reciprocal Memorandum of Understanding that exists between Departments to respond to child protection concerns.

- Where poor or non-school attendance is an indicator of child safety and wellbeing concerns, it is assessed by the Department within the investigation of neglect/child protection concerns. Schools can also engage their local Regional Education Offices to consider attendance interventions such as Assessment Panels.

- The Department’s Principal Education Officer remains available to district Education Officers and case management teams to provide additional advice and support for those children in the care of the CEO, who are not attending school, usually due to very complex reasons. Specialist programs for educationally at risk students, intervention programs for older students, such as the Participation Program and tutoring, and other support services such as occupational therapy, are incorporated into individual Education Plans.

- The Department and DoE have maintained Collaborative Practice/MOU key messages workshops over the last three years (and continuing into the future). These workshops provide the opportunities for agencies to work together at a local level. They provide an opportunity for the Department to provide detail to schools about child protection processes as well as an opportunity to consider and plan for how at risk children are responded to by each agency.
Children at Risk/Youth at Risk Meetings

Districts convene ‘Children at Risk Meetings’ and ‘Youth at Risk Meetings’ that invite local key stakeholders, including DoE, to contribute information to assist in the identification of children considered at risk in the community. These local forums provide additional contextual information to assist the Department and other agencies respond to the needs of individual students. DoE will share information with the Department when children are not attending school including those on the Whereabouts Unknown Lists.

When a school has made efforts to locate an absent child, including raising concerns for this child at local ‘Children at Risk’ or ‘Youth at Risk’ meetings convened by the Department (as outlined above), the student’s name is placed on the centrally managed SWU List. This Office has been informed that DoE provides the Department with a copy of the updated SWU List on a monthly basis. On the information provided by the Department in the May 2017 and July 2018 reports, the Department currently considers those names on the SWU List where the Department has ‘guardianship responsibility’ (i.e in the Chief Executive Officer’s care).

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

Recommendation 6: That the DOE review of the Child Protection policy includes consideration of poor school attendance as a cumulative indicator of safety and wellbeing concerns warranting consideration of consultation with and/or referral to the Department

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that DOE inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, DOE provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 22 June 2017, containing a report prepared by DOE (the DOE report).

In the DOE report, DOE relevantly informed the Office that the DOE’s:

- …revised Child Protection policy and procedures and updated supporting documents highlight issues relating to ongoing student absence and school avoidance as a possible indicator of emotional abuse, neglect, sexual abuse and family or domestic violence.

- Amended procedures for a principal’s response when a student is at immediate risk of harm state that the principal must call the Department District Office. Principals are advised that if these calls receive no response, they can escalate the request for support to the Department Team Leader or the relevant Assistant District Director or District Director.

In its report, DOE further relevantly informed the Office that:

The revised Child Protection policy is scheduled for release in June 2017. Supporting documents, including Child Protection and Abuse Prevention: A resource for schools, will be released to support the policy. On release of the policy both documents will be available online.

This Office has reviewed the above documentation, which was effective from 25 July 2017 and is currently available at [http://www.det.wa.edu.au/policies](http://www.det.wa.edu.au/policies). ‘School
attendance issues’ are mentioned in the associated DOE document *Fact sheet – possible indicators of abuse*, which guides schools in identifying ‘types of abuse’ (that is, physical abuse, emotional abuse, family and domestic violence, neglect and sexual abuse).

The *Child Protection* policy directs that ‘Principals must refer all child protection concerns received which relate to physical abuse, emotional abuse, family and domestic violence, or neglect to the local the Department District Office’.

**Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.**

**Recommendation 7:** That DOE takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the *Student Attendance* policy and *Case management of persistent absences* policy.

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that DOE inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, DOE provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 22 June 2017, containing a report prepared by DOE.

In the DOE report, DOE relevantly informed the Office that:

As part of its response to similar recommendations in the *Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, the Department:

- reviewed and clarified the *Student Attendance* policy;
- reviewed and improved access for users to web based information regarding policy, guidelines and procedures for school staff and parents;
- published policy information and resources on the Student Attendance website;
- established a *Connect* e-community for sharing of information and resources by school and regional staff;
- provided training to assist regional staff (including members of the School Psychology Service and other complimentary services) on the implementation and compliance of the revised *Student Attendance* policy;
- undertook an internal audit of compliance with *Student Attendance* policy; and
- strategically focused on the increased use of measures available in relevant legislation to manage attendance, such as attendance panels and responsible parenting agreements (RPAs). A train the trainer program, resource package, and RPA guidelines were developed.

In addition to these measures, a *Student Attendance Toolkit* (the *Toolkit*) was developed in 2016. The *Toolkit* provides schools comprehensive guidance for:

- planning for improved student attendance; including target setting;
- adopting practices that lead to improved student, parent and community engagement in school; and
- selecting and implementing targeted strategies that address the causes of absence.

The *Toolkit* consists of five modules to assist leadership teams to build attendance
into strategic, operational and classroom planning and aligns to the *School Improvement and Accountability* framework.

The *Toolkit* contains over 100 resources and strategies to support schools to strengthen student and parent engagement and reduce barriers to attendance. Resources for schools include:

- Student engagement;
- Teaching and Learning;
- Parent engagement;
- Community engagement;
- Health;
- School culture;
- Special Education Needs;
- Complex cases; and
- Others.

DOE’s compliance with the *Student Attendance* policy and *Case management of persistent absences* policy was examined in the Office’s major own motion investigation into ways that State Government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people. The report of the investigation, titled *Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, was tabled in Parliament in April 2014 and made a number of recommendation in this area, specifically Recommendations 15-21. During 2017-2018, significant work was undertaken to determine the steps taken to give effect to the recommendations arising from this investigation. A report on the findings of this work will be tabled in Parliament in 2018-19, which will further examine the implementation and effectiveness of the steps identified in DOE’s response.

**Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.**

**Recommendation 8: That DOE takes all reasonable steps to ensure that, prior to placing a student on the SWU List, child safety and wellbeing concerns are recognised, responded to and relevant interagency communication and collaboration occurs.**

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that DOE inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, DOE provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 22 June 2017, containing a report prepared by DOE.

In the DOE report, DOE relevantly informed the Office that:

- The Department’s delegations register has been reviewed, and Regional Executive Directors have now been delegated:
  - responsibility for ensuring that schools have taken all reasonable measures to locate students suspected of being missing; and
powers for confirming a student as whereabouts unknown.

This ensures that Department and non-Department service providers involved in supporting the student are aware that the student is suspected missing and are able to participate in attempts to locate the student prior to the student being placed on the Students Whose Whereabouts are Unknown [SWU] list.

Once a student has been verified as whereabouts unknown, Statewide Services ensures that student information is verified and then communicated to any identified agencies, such as the Department, Department of Corrective Services, Department of Education Services, Catholic Education Western Australia, Association of Independent Schools Western Australia, that have had contact with the student during the students educational career.

A measure taken by the Department to minimise risk is to audit the SWU list against enrolment information held by the School Curriculum and Standards Authority. This ensures that for any student previously identified as at risk, the student's prior school is notified of the student's new enrolment, thereby assisting with the transfer of information to the new school.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

**Recommendation 9: That DOH considers the development of procedural guidelines for assessing and responding to the safety and wellbeing of children and adolescents presenting to health services where alcohol and drug use issues have been identified.**

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that DOH inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the WA Country Health Service (WACHS) and the Child and Adolescent Health Service (CAHS) provided a range of information in letters to this Office dated 15 June 2017 and 7 July 2017, respectively, containing reports prepared by WACHS (the WACHS report) and CAHS (the CAHS report).

In the WACHS report, WACHS relevantly informed the Office that:

- A Clinical Nurse Specialist for Community Health has recently been employed in a regional position within the Wheatbelt. This role has a responsibility in consulting and providing clinical leadership to the multi-disciplinary health teams in assisting to coordinate care for children and families identified as vulnerable within the community setting. A major focus of the role is to support community nurses in the case management of identified vulnerable clients and families within the child and school health environment. The role also supports the implementation of Community Health policy’s and guidelines as well as establishing local processes and procedures.

- The Wheatbelt Population Health Team has implemented a system for monitoring clients of concern as per the recommendations outlined in the WA Health Neglect Protocol. This document guides staff in the use and management of this monitoring tool. Community nursing staff have received training on the WA Health Protection of Children Policy and attend regular updates on the accompanying framework – Guidelines for Protecting Children 2015. Wheatbelt flow-charts guide staff in the process of completing and reporting Child Protection Concern.

- The Education and Health Department work collaboratively to complete annual
SLA [Service Level Agreements] agreements across the schools in the Wheatbelt. Specifically in relation to drug and alcohol related issues in schools, school staff actions are guided by the School Drug Policy which remains the responsibility of the Education Department. The main role for the school health nurses in relation to this area is health counselling which is guided by using the HEADSS psychosocial assessment tool. Nurses work within their scope of practice which is guided by the ‘working with youth guide’. Referral pathways guide Wheatbelt staff to access Holyoake for drug and alcohol counselling services. Staff from Wheatbelt Population Health have established links with this organisation. School Drug Education and Road Awareness (SDERA) continues to provide education to schools and is a community resource for students and parents.

- The management of first aid within the school environment remains the responsibility of the Education Department. A MOU exists between Education and the Health Department to outline this relationship. It is however well understood that school health nurses are a resource to be utilised within the school in the event of an emergency first aid situation. In these situations nurses are guided by their scope of practice.

In the CAHS report, CAHS relevantly informed the Office that:

Statewide child protection guidelines are already in effect to address children at risk. These guidelines have been developed for use across agencies working with children and their families. The identification of drug and alcohol use issues triggers the use of these child protection guidelines.

This Office notes that the *DOH Guidelines for Protecting Children (2015)* was in place when this recommendation was made. This document, and the version revised May 2017, have been examined and, in this Office’s opinion, does not appear to provide direction regarding responding to child/adolescent alcohol and drug use issues.

In the CAHS report, CAHS further relevantly informed the Office that:

There are school health services tailored for adolescent students. They provide an easy access point to health care for students. The school health service may carry out health assessment and provide information, advice, referrals and support for students. Students can seek information, guidance and support about a range of issues including (but not limited to) smoking, alcohol and drug use.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.
Recommendation 10: That DOH continues to work with DOE to develop opportunities for interagency communication and collaboration to identify children on the SWU List.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that DOH inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, WACHS and CAHS provided a range of information in letters to this Office dated 15 June 2017 and 7 July 2017, respectively, containing reports prepared by WACHS and CAHS.

In the WACHS report, WACHS relevantly informed the Office that:

There is no standardised and approved system for sharing information between the Education Department and the Health Department in relation to the ‘student’s whose whereabouts are unknown list’. Locating student’s whereabouts remains the responsibility of the Education Department. Current barriers to implementing a system involve issues around confidentiality. However a forum for raising students of concern forms part of the role of the ‘Student Services Meeting’.

In the CAHS report, CAHS relevantly informed the Office that:

There is close collaboration between CAHS and Department of Education already in place particularly with the presence of CAHS School Health Nurses working within the public school system.

There are ongoing efforts to improve lines of communication and sharing of appropriate information for at risk children between CAHS and Department of Education.

The management of ‘students whose whereabouts is unknown’ is challenging and requires the development of an agreed notification system. This would be best managed through the Department. This would provide an avenue for Schools/Department of Education to notify the Department of the name and relevant details for ‘students whose whereabouts is unknown’ who are then able to notify the Police, Department of Health and CAHS to alert them to the situation and enable an alert to be posted on the relevant health patient management system. If the child presented to a health service then the Department would be notified.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

Recommendation 11: That the Department, in consultation with the Department’s Aboriginal Engagement and Coordination Directorate, undertakes a review of this case to determine whether any additional action is required in the Regional District to facilitate culturally informed assessment, planning and intervention when working with Aboriginal families.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department.
In the Department’s 2017 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

The case was reviewed by the Aboriginal Practice Leader in consultation with the District Director and Executive Director Aboriginal Engagement and Coordination. The review concluded that although consultation occurred in the assessment phase, the Aboriginal Practice Leader could have been included in an ongoing manner.

An Aboriginal Practice Leader is now assigned to the centralised intake team and oversees and provides consultation to all new intakes.

Pilbara staff undergo mandatory cultural awareness training in the District. The District is working with the Martu people to gain further cultural competence and bring an elected Martu representative to work alongside Pilbara office staff to provide not only cultural advice and to support families but also walk alongside staff and families to strengthen this relationship and hopefully reduce the need for child protection services. The Memorandum of Understanding is nearing completion.

In its report, the Department further relevantly informed the Office that:

Pilbara District has implemented an Elder Engagement strategy for the Newman team. The District has identified two Elders who are consulted in relation to child protection matters (new work) and existing children in care (around placements and reunification work).

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

Recommendation 12: That the Department considers the appropriateness of any further strategies and actions and their implementation for the Regional District, which considers the challenges in undertaking pre-birth planning in remote communities and engages with Aboriginal health service providers in the Regional District, to ensure the requirements of DOH’s Bilateral Schedule: Interagency Collaborative Processes When an Unborn or Newborn Baby is Identified as at Risk of Abuse and/or Neglect (2014) can be implemented across the Regional District.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2017 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

An MOU involving two out of three Aboriginal Medical Services in the Pilbara and the Ngaanyatjarra Health women’s group based in the Northern Territory has been developed and signed off.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.
Recommendation 13: That the Department takes all reasonable steps to ensure that engagement with families is not focussed on ‘single events’ but adopts a 'holistic' child-centred approach to assessments of child safety and wellbeing concerns.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2017 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

- Practice requirements require staff to document and update a chronology of events to inform current and future assessment, and to recognise cumulative harm. There is a comprehensive focus on Cumulative Harm in the three-day training available to staff on Assessing Child Abuse and Neglect using Signs of Safety features.

This recommendation was made in the context of a child death review where the Department reported to this Office that:

- …a total of nine interactions were recorded between October 2014 and February 2015 for this family. Staff have responded to single events rather than assessing and responding in a holistic manner … It is evident that during this timeframe there was escalation in reported concerns and domestic violence. The likelihood of future violence combined with other risk factors including mental health and drug issues was not subject to rigorous assessment.

The Office also notes that the Department has, in July 2017, commenced operation of the new metropolitan Central Intake team which the Department has informed this office ‘will create a consistent approach to managing work coming into the Department’. The Department has provided this Office with a presentation on the Central Intake process and associated risk assessment tool, and it is noted that this process requires consideration of all prior information documented in the Department’s files, in assessing risk of harm.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.
Recommendation 14: That the Department takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the administration of Safety and Wellbeing Assessments and use of the Signs of Safety Child Protection Practice Framework when investigating allegations of neglect and assessing whether a child and/or unborn child is in need of protection within the meaning of sections 28 and 33A of the Children and Community Services Act 2004.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department. Additional information was provided by the Department on 19 July 2018, containing a report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2018 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

**Compliance and Monitoring**

Standards Monitoring Unit (SMU) assess, at regular intervals, whether the Districts are meeting standards, identify excellence in service provision and highlight required actions and opportunities for service improvement. Cycle 6 has commenced for the next two year period with the elevated priority of monitoring of Safety and Wellbeing Assessments (SWAs). Standards Monitoring Reports are regularly provided to the Ombudsman.

**Signs of Safety (SoS) Reloaded**

In 2016 the Signs of Safety (SoS) Reloaded Project commenced the aim to strengthen Western Australia’s SoS child protection practice approach across all service delivery units through integrating contemporary child protection knowledge and learning and development initiatives. The project seeks to address the gaps in the Department implementation of SoS as well as refocus attention on consistency in the application of core child protection practice.

**Casework Practice Manual (CPM)**

On 2 May 2018 the Department’s new CPM entry ‘Neglect’ was updated to align information with new Intensive Family Support, Best Beginnings Plus and Parent Support CPM entries.

On 6 June 2017 information was inserted to check Family and Domestic Violence triage application in Duty Interaction.

Related resources include Signs of Safety prompts for neglect.

On 4 May 2018 CPM 2.2.2 [Assessment and investigation processes] was updated to include working with other agencies, memorandum of understanding and information sharing.

**CPM Review Project**

The Department is revising the presentation and accessibility of the information in the CPM, given the complexity and breadth of information. Presently the review is at the consultative phase. Approval of the Action Plan is listed for the week ending 31 August 2018. KPMG have been contracted to assist the Department and are currently due to present the Interim Findings Report. KPMG are scheduled to deliver the report.
to the Department on 18 July 2018. Next steps are:

- KPMG to develop the new structure of the revised CPM which is comprised of two stages:
  - Map compliance requirements and develop a skeleton framework. This will then be tested at a workshop with key stakeholders.
  - Following the workshop, KPMG will design the structure of the CPM Framework, index and template. These will be provided to us for review and feedback and then this will [be] incorporated into the final document.

**Learning and Development/Training**

**Orientation Training Program 1, 2, 3 and 4 (‘the Programs’)**

Throughout the Department’s compulsory training for caseworkers, information about the Children and Community Services Act 2004 (CCSA 2004), underpinning the Department’s policy and practice, is highlighted. All workers are required to complete the Programs during the first six months of their employment, after a district induction period of 4 to 6 weeks during which time they complete an online induction course. After completion of Orientation 1 and 2 workers can be allocated a half caseload, respectful of the learners’ need to continue their professional learning and development.

During their learning journey, workers must demonstrate their skill in the application of their knowledge to a scenario that remains a theme across the program areas; with continuous additional information to challenge the participants: for example, medical neglect of a baby is one of the concerns played out in the scenario to test workers application of their learning. During Program 4 the scenario includes a pregnancy and tests workers on their knowledge and application to respond via Pre-birth planning. Safety planning, with a trajectory of six months, is required as they work the scenario from duty, intake, safety and wellbeing assessment and intensive family support.

Perth Children’s Hospital present information regarding physical, sexual abuse and neglect during Orientation Program One. Invited professionals from other agencies provide extension programs on specific topics such as Alcohol and Other Drugs.

**Assessing Child Abuse and Neglect Using Signs of Safety**

Workers learn to use the Signs of Safety Child Protection Practice Framework to enhance their ability to apply policies and procedures when responding to allegations of significant harm.

The Assessing Child Abuse and Neglect is divided into two sessions. The first two sessions focus on applying trauma sensitive practices in child protection work and the last three days focus on Assessing the Safety and Wellbeing of children using Signs of Safety.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.
Recommendation 15: That the Department takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the administration of Safety and Wellbeing Assessments and use of the Signs of Safety Child Protection Practice Framework when investigating allegations of physical abuse and assessing whether a child is in need of protection within the meaning of section 28 of the Children and Community Services Act 2004.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department. Additional information was provided by the Department on 19 July 2018, containing a report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2018 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

**Critical Incident Collaborative Inquiry**

On 15 December 2015 the Department implemented the Signs of Safety Critical Incident Collaborative Inquiry to assess the tragic death of [a young person], which invited key agencies to examine practice and other considerations alongside the Department, with the aim of reflective learnings.

The Department participates in Department of Health, ‘Root Cause Analysis' meetings with the WA Country Health Services when these are convened to examine child deaths or major incidents.

**Safety and Wellbeing Assessment (‘SWA’) Review Project**

- The SWA Project will focus on revisiting and resetting the purpose of a SWA to ensure that changes promote better critical thinking and analysis of information concerning allegations of harm against a child, and to bring about better clarity and consistencies of SWA’s across Western Australia.

- The aims of the project are:
  - Staff to have a better understanding of the purpose of conducting a SWA inclusive of its intersection with policy, frameworks and legislation,
  - Staff to develop knowledge, assessment and analysis skills in assessments,
  - All staff to have access to training and professional development opportunities in relation to conducting a SWA,
  - To develop, integrate and strengthen consistency of practice and recording across the state in relation to SWA’s.

- Currently in the pre-implementation and consultation phase
  - Consults have occurred with District Staff – Country and Metro inclusive of District Directors, Senior Practice Development Officers, Psychological Services; Policy
  - Consults pending with Legal; Client Applications; Duty of Care Unit, Complaints Management Unit and Information Research and Evaluation

- Research of the National Landscape has occurred with feedback received from all Jurisdictions

- Review of other developmental projects and reviews has occurred to assess any
intersection with SWA project such as the Royal Commission into Institutional Response to Child Sexual Abuse; Legislative Review; Signs of Safety Reloaded Project; District Structural Review; Centralised Intake and the Interaction Tool; Pre-Birth Planning Project.

- Project timeframe expectation is end of June 2018.

Phase 1, the consultative phase, of the SWA Review has been completed. Phase 2 to reset, review and develop the process, has commenced, due for completion at the end of October 2018. Phase 3, the implementation phase, will include revised training modules and state-wide rollout due to commence in December 2018.

**Keeping Children Front and Centre – Workbook and Video**

The Department's workbook and training video released November 2017 is available internally and for external agencies (via YouTube).

The film and workbook was developed by staff in the former Department for Child Protection and Family Support. The film features professional actors and over 30 departmental and partner agency staff in a variety of employment roles, enacting scenes encountered by staff on a daily basis. Accompanying the story is commentary by senior staff, highlights key messages about issues and good practice while implementing the Department’s Signs of Safety Framework.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

**Recommendation 16: That the Department considers, where appropriate, the provision of interim support to Districts where it is identified that workload management issues are preventing the management and allocation of cases on the Monitored List.**

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that the Department inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, the Department provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 17 May 2017, containing a report prepared by the Department.

In the Department’s 2017 report, the Department relevantly informed the Office that:

- The Monitored List is not a list of children considered at risk waiting to be assessed. New or existing cases that cannot be allocated to a caseworker are allocated to the Team Leader and monitored regularly by the Team Leader on a case by case basis. The ‘Monitored List’ refers to these cases.
- Children aged five years and younger may only be placed on the Monitored List after a Safety and Wellbeing Assessment has commenced and the children are not considered at risk of harm.
- The Department has guidelines in place to ensure that children aged five years and younger are not on the Monitored List without approval by the District Director. If concerns are received for any child on the Monitored List, then an urgent review occurs and immediate allocation is required.
- The Department actively scrutinises the Monitored List on a regular basis and provides monthly reports on the numbers to both the Union and Corporate Executive.
In its report, the Department further relevantly informed the Office that:

A Statewide Relieving Team is now available to provide support to districts experiencing unusually high workload demands or where workers can come in to target a particular area of practice.

Staff are available for country and metropolitan deployment for up to two weeks at a time. The team is staffed by specified callings level 2 workers who have capacity to work within child safety teams, care teams and intensive family support.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

**Recommendation 17:** That DOE takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the development and implementation of documented attendance, behaviour management and education plans in accordance with procedural requirements included in the *Student Attendance policy*, *Behaviour Management in Schools policy* and *Documented Plans. Supporting Education for All. Guidelines for Implementing Documented Plans in Public Schools policy.*

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that DOE inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, DOE provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 22 June 2017, containing a report prepared by DOE.

In the DOE report, DOE relevantly informed the Office that:

The previous guideline *Documented Plans, Supporting Education for All. Guidelines for Implementing Documented Plans for Government Schools* has been revised and will be replaced with new guidelines on personalised learning and support. A new resource, currently undergoing consultative review, is under development to assist schools with implementation of the new guideline.

Procedures for monitoring Documented Educational Plans (DEP) for Children in the Care of the CEO of the Department have been significantly strengthened in the revised *Child Protection policy*. Principals must:

- verify a DEP is developed within 30 working days of receiving information from the Department that a child is in care;
- record on Integris the date when the DEP was forwarded to the Department and the due date for review;
- review the DEP at the start of every school year to ensure the child remains in care;
- review the DEP at least twice a year;
- review details on Integris as required;
- review the DEP twice yearly; and
- review details for the child in care monthly.

The Student Support Services Directorate in the Department monitors accuracy of information every month.
In its report, DOE further relevantly informed the Office that:

The revised *Child Protection* policy is scheduled for release in June 2017 and includes improved procedures for compliance with [this recommendation], including:

- principals must review the DEP at least twice yearly and review details for a child in care monthly in Integris; and
- the level of compliance by schools on children in care must be reported in the Department’s Annual Report.

DOE’s compliance with the development and implementation of documented attendance, behaviour management and education plans was examined in the Office’s major own motion investigation into ways that State Government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people. The report of the investigation, titled *Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, was tabled in Parliament in April 2014 and made a number of recommendation in this area, specifically Recommendations 15-21. During 2017-2018, significant work was undertaken to determine the steps taken to give effect to the recommendations arising from this investigation. A report on the findings of this work will be tabled in Parliament in 2018-19, which will further examine the implementation and effectiveness of the steps identified in DOE’s response.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

**Recommendation 18: That DOE takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the relevant guidelines related to attendance, learning, behaviour management and continuity of service provision.**

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that DOE inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, DOE provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 22 June 2017, containing a report prepared by DOE.

In the DOE report, DOE relevantly informed the Office that:

Explicit mention has been made of professional practice guidelines in newsletters to all School Psychology Service staff:

- February 2016: An article about all professional practice guidelines;
- March 2016: Student Learning;
- June 2016: Updates about School Response and Planning Guidelines for Students with Suicidal Behaviour and Non-Suicidal Self-Injury;
- September 2016: Spotlight on professional practice guideline – Behaviour;
- December 2016: Handover of Psychology Cases to Another School Psychologist.

Professional Practice Guidelines have been an ongoing discussion topic at teleconferences among Lead School Psychologists. This included:

- 13 June 2016: Suicide and NSSI Guidelines;
- 24 October 2016: Suicide and NSSI Guidelines; and
- 28 November 2016: Behaviour, Attendance, Mental Health and Handover of Student Files were explicitly discussed with reminders to all staff.
The School Psychology Service contributed to the Department’s *Student Attendance Toolkit*, which included awareness for all about the Professional Practice Guideline for school psychologists relating to student attendance.

Professional learning (PL) for school psychologists about assessment of students with specific learning disorders has been rolled out across the state. The PL refers specifically to the professional practice guideline in learning.

An additional professional practice guideline dealing with specific learning disorders has been developed and is currently pending approval.

All graduate school psychologists and new school psychologists participate in the School Psychology Service Graduate Induction Program. In the Orientation sessions, all professional practice guidelines are introduced to the new graduates.

DOE’s compliance with the relevant guidelines related to attendance, learning, behaviour management and continuity of service provision was examined in the Office’s major own motion investigation into ways that State Government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people. The report of the investigation, titled *Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, was tabled in Parliament in April 2014 and made a number of recommendation in this area, specifically Recommendations 15-21. During 2017-2018, significant work was undertaken to determine the steps taken to give effect to the recommendations arising from this investigation. A report on the findings of this work will be tabled in Parliament in 2018-19, which will further examine the implementation and effectiveness of the steps identified in DOE’s response.

**Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.**

**Recommendation 19: That DOE considers the development of guidelines and staff education related to recognising and responding to alleged drug and alcohol use by children as an indicator of cumulative harm associated with potential abuse and or/neglect warranting consultation with and/or referral to the Department.**

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that DOE inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, DOE provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 22 June 2017, containing a report prepared by DOE.

In the DOE report, DOE relevantly informed the Office that:

New requirements related to the *Student Behaviour* policy were introduced in 2016. These include requirements related to students suspected of being intoxicated on school sites, which were developed in consultation with *School Drug Education and Road Aware*. The overarching *Student Behaviour* procedures require that risks associated with cumulative harm be taken into consideration when implementing all student behaviour-related requirements.

**Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.**
Timely Handling of Notifications and Reviews

The Office places a strong emphasis on the timely review of child deaths. This ensures reviews contribute, in the most timely way possible, to the prevention or reduction of future deaths. In 2017-18, timely review processes have resulted in over two-thirds of all reviews being completed within six months.

Major Own Motion Investigations Arising From Child Death Reviews

In addition to taking action on individual child deaths, the Office identifies patterns and trends arising out of child death reviews to inform major own motion investigations that examine the practices of public authorities that provide services to children and their families. During the year, the Ombudsman tabled in Parliament a report, *Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning*. The report of this major own motion investigation was tabled in Parliament in November 2017.

The Office also actively monitors the steps taken to give effect to recommendations arising from own motion investigations, including:

- *Planning for children in care: An Ombudsman’s own motion investigation into the administration of the care planning provisions of the Children and Community Services Act 2004*, which was tabled in Parliament in November 2011;
- *Investigation into ways that State Government departments can prevent or reduce sleep-related infant deaths*, which was tabled in Parliament in November 2012; and
- *Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, which was tabled in Parliament in April 2014.

Details of own motion investigations are provided in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section.

Other Mechanisms to Prevent or Reduce Child Deaths

In addition to reviews of individual child deaths and major own motion investigations, the Office uses a range of other mechanisms to improve public administration with a view to preventing or reducing child deaths. These include:

- Assisting public authorities by providing information about issues that have arisen from child death reviews, and enquiries and complaints received, that may need their immediate attention, including issues relating to the safety of a child or a child’s siblings;
• Through the Ombudsman’s Advisory Panel (the Panel), and other mechanisms, working with public authorities and communities where children may be at risk to consider child safety issues and potential areas for improvement, and highlight the critical importance of effective liaison and communication between and within public authorities and communities;

• Exchanging information with other accountability and oversight agencies including Ombudsmen in other States to facilitate consistent approaches and shared learning; and

• Undertaking or supporting research that may provide an opportunity to identify good practices that may assist in the prevention or reduction of child deaths.

**Stakeholder Liaison**

**The Department of Communities**

Efficient and effective liaison has been established with Communities to support the child death review process and objectives. Regular liaison occurs between the Ombudsman and the Director General of Communities, together with regular liaison at senior executive level, to discuss issues raised in child death reviews and how positive change can be achieved. Since the jurisdiction commenced, meetings with Communities’ staff have been held in all districts in the metropolitan area, and in regional and remote areas.

**The Ombudsman’s Advisory Panel**

The Panel is an advisory body established to provide independent advice to the Ombudsman on:

• Issues and trends that fall within the scope of the child death review function;

• Contemporary professional practice relating to the wellbeing of children and their families; and

• Issues that impact on the capacity of public sector agencies to ensure the safety and wellbeing of children.

The Panel met four times in 2017-18 and during the year, the following members provided a range of expertise:

• Professor Steve Allsop (National Drug Research Institute of Curtin University);

• Ms Jocelyn Jones (Health Sciences, Curtin University);

• Professor Donna Chung (Head of the Department of Social Work, Curtin University);

• Ms Dorinda Cox (Consultant);

• Ms Angela Hartwig (Women’s Council for Domestic and Family Violence Services WA);

• Ms Victoria Hovane (Consultant);

• Dr Michael Wright (Health Sciences, Curtin University);

• Mr Ralph Mogridge (Consultant); and
Observers from Communities, the Department of Health, the Department of Aboriginal Affairs, the Department of Education, the Department of Justice, the Mental Health Commission and Western Australia Police also attended the meetings in 2017-18.

**Key stakeholder relationships**

There are a number of public authorities and other bodies that interact with, or deliver services to, children and their families. Important stakeholders with which the Office liaised in 2017-18 as part of the child death review jurisdiction include:

- The Coroner;
- Public authorities that have involvement with children and their families including:
  - Department of Communities;
  - Department of Health and Health Service Providers;
  - Department of Education;
  - Department of Justice;
  - Department of Aboriginal Affairs;
  - The Mental Health Commission;
  - Western Australia Police; and
  - Other accountability and similar agencies including the Commissioner for Children and Young People;
- Non-government organisations; and
- Research institutions including universities.

A Memorandum of Understanding has been established by the Ombudsman with the Commissioner for Children and Young People and a letter of understanding has been established with the Coroner.

**Aboriginal and regional communities**

In 2016, the Ombudsman appointed a Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer to:

- Provide high level advice, assistance and support to the Corporate Executive and to staff conducting reviews and investigations of the deaths of certain Aboriginal children and family and domestic violence fatalities in Western Australia, complaint resolution involving Aboriginal people and own motion investigations; and
- Raise awareness of and accessibility to the Ombudsman’s roles and services to Aboriginal communities and support cross cultural communication between Ombudsman staff and Aboriginal people.

A Senior Aboriginal Advisor was appointed in January 2018 to assist the Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer in this important work. With the leadership and support of the Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer and Senior Aboriginal Advisor, significant work was undertaken throughout 2017-18 to continue to build relationships relating
to the child death review jurisdiction with Aboriginal and regional communities, for example by communicating with:

- Key public authorities that work in regional areas;
- Non-government organisations that provide key services, such as health services to Aboriginal people; and
- Aboriginal community members and leaders to increase the awareness of the child death review function and its purpose.

Additional networks and contacts have been established to support effective and efficient child death reviews. This has strengthened the Office’s understanding and knowledge of the issues faced by Aboriginal and regional communities that impact on child and family wellbeing and service delivery in diverse and regional communities.

As part of this work, Office staff liaise with Aboriginal community leaders, Aboriginal Health Services, local governments, regional offices of Western Australia Police, Communities and community advocates.
Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Review

Overview

This section sets out the work of the Office in relation to this function. Information on this work has been set out as follows:

- Background;
- The role of the Ombudsman in relation to family and domestic violence fatality reviews;
- Analysis of family and domestic violence fatality reviews;
- Issues identified in family and domestic violence fatality reviews;
- Recommendations;
- Major own motion investigations arising from family and domestic violence fatality reviews;
- Other mechanisms to prevent or reduce family and domestic violence fatalities; and
- Stakeholder liaison.

Background

The National Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children 2010-2022 (the National Plan) identifies six key national outcomes:

- Communities are safe and free from violence;
- Relationships are respectful;
- Indigenous communities are strengthened;
- Services meet the needs of women and their children experiencing violence;
- Justice responses are effective; and
- Perpetrators stop their violence and are held to account.

The WA *Strategic Plan for Family and Domestic Violence 2009-13*, included the following principles:

1. Family and domestic violence and abuse is a fundamental violation of human rights and will not be tolerated in any community or culture.
2. Preventing family and domestic violence and abuse is the responsibility of the whole community and requires a shared understanding that it must not be tolerated under any circumstance.
3. The safety and wellbeing of those affected by family and domestic violence and abuse will be the first priority of any response.
4. Perpetrators of family and domestic violence and abuse will be held accountable for their behaviour and acts that constitute a criminal offence will be dealt with accordingly.
5. Responses to family and domestic violence and abuse can be improved through the development of an all-inclusive approach in which responses are integrated and specifically designed to address safety and accountability.
6. An effective system will acknowledge that to achieve substantive equality, partnerships must be developed in consultation with specific communities of interest including people with a disability, people from diverse sexualities and/or gender, people from Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.
7. Victims of family and domestic violence and abuse will not be held responsible for the perpetrator’s behaviour.
8. Children have unique vulnerabilities in family and domestic violence situations, and all efforts must be made to protect them from short and long term harm.

The associated *Annual Action Plan 2009-10* identified a range of strategies including a ‘capacity to systematically review family and domestic violence deaths and improve the response system as a result’ (page 2). The *Annual Action Plan 2009-10* sets out 10 key actions to progress the development and implementation of the integrated response in 2009-10, including the need to ‘research models of operation for family and domestic violence fatality review committees to determine an appropriate model for Western Australia’ (page 2).

Following a Government working group process examining models for a family and domestic violence fatality review process, the Government requested that the Ombudsman undertake responsibility for the establishment of a family and domestic violence fatality review function.

On 1 July 2012, the Office commenced its family and domestic violence fatality review function.

In 2017, the State Government released the *Stopping Family and Domestic Violence Policy*, which sets out 21 new initiatives for responding to family and domestic violence. This document supersedes *Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022: Creating Safer Communities (State Strategy)* and the *Freedom from Fear Action Plan 2015*. Also in 2017, the first Minister for the Prevention of Family and Domestic Violence was appointed. In 2018, the Department of Communities has convened a family and domestic violence policy consortium, comprising representatives from government, community sector services, Aboriginal Community Controlled Organisations and academia, to develop a comprehensive project plan for the development of a 10-year across-government
strategy to reduce family and domestic violence. The Office, as an observer, has contributed to this policy consortium. The findings and recommendations from the Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and major own motion investigations will contribute to the development of this new State strategy.

It is essential to the success of the family and domestic violence fatality review role that the Office identified and engaged with a range of key stakeholders in the implementation and ongoing operation of the role. It is important that stakeholders understand the role of the Ombudsman, and the Office understands the critical work of all key stakeholders.

Working arrangements have been established to support implementation of the role with Western Australia Police (WAPOL) and the Department of Communities (Communities) and with other agencies, such as the Department of Justice (DOJ) and relevant courts.

The Ombudsman’s Child Death Review Advisory Panel was expanded to include the new family and domestic violence fatality review role. Through the Ombudsman’s Advisory Panel (the Panel), and regular liaison with key stakeholders, the Office gains valuable information to ensure its review processes are timely, effective and efficient.

The Office has also accepted invitations to speak at relevant seminars and events to explain its role in regard to family and domestic violence fatality reviews, engaged with other family and domestic violence fatality review bodies in Australia and New Zealand and, since 1 July 2012, has met regularly via teleconference with the Australian Domestic and Family Violence Death Review Network.

**The Role of the Ombudsman in Relation to Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews**

Information regarding the use of terms

Information in relation to those fatalities that are suspected by WAPOL to have occurred in circumstances of family and domestic violence are described in this report as family and domestic violence fatalities. For the purposes of this report the person who has died due to suspected family and domestic violence will be referred to as ‘the person who died’ and the person whose actions are suspected of causing the death will be referred to as the ‘suspected perpetrator’ or, if the person has been convicted of causing the death, ‘the perpetrator’.

Additionally, following Coronial and criminal proceedings, it may be necessary to adjust relevant previously reported information if the outcome of such proceedings is that the death did not occur in the context of a family and domestic relationship.

WAPOL informs the Office of all family and domestic violence fatalities and provides information about the circumstances of the death together with any relevant information of prior WAPOL contact with the person who died and the suspected perpetrator. A family and domestic violence fatality involves persons apparently in a ‘family relationship’ as defined by section 4 of the *Restraining Orders Act 1997*. 
More specifically, the relationship between the person who died and the suspected perpetrator is a relationship between two people:

(a) Who are, or were, married to each other; or  
(b) Who are, or were, in a de facto relationship with each other; or  
(c) Who are, or were, related to each other; or  
(d) One of whom is a child who —  
   (i) Ordinarily resides, or resided, with the other person; or  
   (ii) Regularly resides or stays, or resided or stayed, with the other person; or  
(e) One of whom is, or was, a child of whom the other person is a guardian; or  
(f) Who have, or had, an intimate personal relationship, or other personal relationship, with each other.

‘Other personal relationship’ means a personal relationship of a domestic nature in which the lives of the persons are, or were, interrelated and the actions of one person affects, or affected the other person.

‘Related’, in relation to a person, means a person who —  

(a) Is related to that person taking into consideration the cultural, social or religious backgrounds of the two people; or  
(b) Is related to the person’s —  
   (i) Spouse or former spouse; or  
   (ii) De facto partner or former de facto partner.

If the relationship meets these criteria, a review is undertaken.

The extent of a review depends on a number of factors, including the circumstances surrounding the death and the level of involvement of relevant public authorities in the life of the person who died or other relevant people in a family and domestic relationship with the person who died, including the suspected perpetrator. Confidentiality of all parties involved with the case is strictly observed.

The family and domestic violence fatality review process is intended to identify key learnings that will positively contribute to ways to prevent or reduce family and domestic violence fatalities. The review does not set out to establish the cause of death of the person who died; this is properly the role of the Coroner. Nor does the review seek to determine whether a suspected perpetrator has committed a criminal offence; this is only a role for a relevant court.
The Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Review Process

Ombudsman informed of suspected family and domestic violence fatalities
Western Australia Police informs the Ombudsman of all suspected family and domestic violence fatalities

Ombudsman conducts reviews
- Fatalities are reviewed
- Demographic information, circumstances and issues are identified, analysed and reported
- Patterns and trends are identified, analysed and reported and also provide critical information to inform the selection and undertaking of major own motion investigations

Improving public administration
The Ombudsman seeks to improve public administration to prevent or reduce family and domestic violence fatalities, including making recommendations to prevent or reduce family and domestic violence fatalities arising from reviews and major own motion investigations

Implementation of recommendations and monitoring improvements
The Ombudsman actively monitors the implementation of recommendations as well as ensuring those improvements to public administration are contributing over time to preventing or reducing family and domestic violence fatalities
Analysis of Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews

By reviewing family and domestic violence fatalities, the Ombudsman is able to identify, record and report on a range of information and analysis, including:

- The number of family and domestic violence fatality reviews;
- Demographic information identified from family and domestic violence fatality reviews;
- Circumstances in which family and domestic violence fatalities have occurred; and
- Patterns, trends and case studies relating to family and domestic violence fatality reviews.

Number of family and domestic violence fatality reviews

In 2017-18, the number of reviewable family and domestic violence fatalities received was 16, compared to 15 in 2016-17, 22 in 2015-16, 16 in 2014-15, 15 in 2013-14 and 20 in 2012-13.

Demographic information identified from family and domestic violence fatality reviews

Information is obtained on a range of characteristics of the person who died, including gender, age group, Aboriginal status, and location of the incident in the metropolitan or regional areas.

The following charts show characteristics of the persons who died for the 104 family and domestic violence fatalities received by the Office from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018. The numbers may vary from numbers previously reported as, during the course of the period, further information may become available.
Compared to the Western Australian population, females who died in the six years from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018, were over-represented, with 56% of persons who died being female compared to 50% in the population.

In relation to the 58 females who died, 54 involved a male suspected perpetrator, three involved a female suspected perpetrator, and one involved multiple suspected perpetrators of both genders. Of the 46 men who died, eight were apparent suicides, 19 involved a female suspected perpetrator, 17 involved a male suspected perpetrator and two involved multiple suspected perpetrators of both genders.
Compared to the Western Australian population, the age groups 30-39 and 60-69 are over-represented, with 31% of persons who died being in the 30-39 age group compared to 19% of the population, and 19% of persons who died being in the 60-69 age group compared to 13% of the population.

Compared to the Western Australian population, Aboriginal people who died were over-represented, with 37% of people who died in the six years from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018 being Aboriginal compared to 3.3% in the population. Of the 39 Aboriginal people who died, 23 were female and 16 were male.
Compared to the Western Australian population, fatalities of people living in regional or remote locations were over-represented, with 43% of the people who died in the six years from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018 living in regional or remote locations, compared to 26% of the population living in those locations.

In its work, the Office is placing a focus on ways that public authorities can prevent or reduce family and domestic violence fatalities for women, including Aboriginal women. In undertaking this work, specific consideration is being given to issues relevant to regional and remote Western Australia.
Of the 104 family and domestic violence fatalities received by the Ombudsman from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018, coronial and criminal proceedings were finalised in 44 cases.

Information is obtained on a range of characteristics of the perpetrator including gender, age group and Aboriginal status. The following charts show characteristics for the 44 perpetrators where both the coronial process and the criminal proceedings have been finalised.

Compared to the Western Australian population, male perpetrators of fatalities in the six years from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018 were over-represented, with 75% of perpetrators being male compared to 50% in the population.

Ten males were convicted of manslaughter and 23 males were convicted of murder. Eight females were convicted of manslaughter, one female was convicted of unlawful assault occasioning death and two females were convicted of murder.
In the 11 fatalities where the perpetrator was female, the person who died was male. Of the 33 fatalities where the perpetrator was male, in 27 fatalities the person who died was female, and in six fatalities the person who died was male.

**Perpetrator by Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Perpetrators</th>
<th>WA Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Age of Perpetrators Compared to WA Population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Perpetrators</th>
<th>WA Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 and over</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared to the Western Australian population, perpetrators of fatalities in the six years from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018 in the 18-29, 30-39 and 40-49 age groups were over-represented, with 21% of perpetrators being in the 18-29 age group compared to 16% in the population, 27% of perpetrators being in the 30-39 age group compared to 15% in the population, and 30% of perpetrators being in the 40-49 age group compared to 14% in the population.

**Perpetrator by Aboriginal Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Perpetrators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Aboriginal</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aboriginal Status of Perpetrators Compared to WA Population

Compared to the Western Australian population, Aboriginal perpetrators of fatalities in the six years from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018 were over-represented with 55% of perpetrators being Aboriginal compared to 3.3% in the population.

In 22 of the 24 cases where the perpetrator was Aboriginal, the person who died was also Aboriginal.

Perpetrator by Location

The majority of people who died lived in regional or remote areas.

Compared to the Western Australian population, the people who died in the six years from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018, who were living in regional or remote locations, were over-represented, with 59% of the people who died living in regional or remote locations compared to 26% of the population living in those locations.
Circumstances in which family and domestic violence fatalities have occurred

Information provided to the Office by WAPOL about family and domestic violence fatalities includes general information on the circumstances of death. This is an initial indication of how the death may have occurred but is not the cause of death, which can only be determined by the Coroner.

Family and domestic violence fatalities may occur through alleged homicide, apparent suicide or other circumstances:

- Alleged homicide includes:
  - Stabbing;
  - Physical assault;
  - Gunshot wound;
  - Asphyxiation/suffocation;
  - Drowning; and
  - Other.

- Apparent suicide includes:
  - Gunshot wound;
  - Overdose of prescription or other drugs;
  - Stabbing;
  - Motor vehicle accident;
  - Hanging;
  - Drowning; and
  - Other.

- Other circumstances includes fatalities not in the circumstances of death of either alleged homicide or apparent suicide.

The principal circumstances of death in 2017-18 were alleged homicide by gunshot wound and stabbing.

The following chart shows the circumstance of death as categorised by the Ombudsman for the 104 family and domestic violence fatalities received by the Office between 1 July 2012 and 30 June 2018.
Family and domestic relationships

As shown in the following chart, married, de facto, or intimate personal relationship are the most common relationships involved in family and domestic violence fatalities.
Of the 104 family and domestic violence fatalities received by the Office from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018:

- 68 fatalities (65%) involved a married, de facto or intimate personal relationship, of which there were 59 alleged homicides, seven apparent suicides and two in other circumstances. The 68 fatalities included 12 deaths that occurred in six cases of alleged homicide/suicide and, in all six cases, a female was allegedly killed by a male, who subsequently died in circumstances of apparent suicide. The seventh apparent suicide involved a male. Of the remaining 53 alleged homicides, 38 (72%) of the people who died were female and 15 (28%) were male;

- 25 fatalities (24%) involved a relationship between a parent and adult child, of which there were 20 alleged homicides, one apparent suicide and four in other circumstances. Of the 20 alleged homicides, six (30%) of the people who died were female and 14 (70%) were male. Of these 20 fatalities, in 13 cases (65%) the person who died was the parent or step-parent and in seven cases (35%) the person who died was the adult child or step-child; and

- There were 11 people who died (11%) who were otherwise related to the suspected perpetrator (including siblings and extended family relationships). Of these, four (36%) were female and seven (64%) were male.

Patterns, Trends and Case Studies Relating to Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews

State policy and planning to reduce family and domestic violence fatalities

At the time of writing this report, the Communities website Family and Domestic Violence Strategic Planning page (available at www.dcp.wa.gov.au) states Communities is the lead agency responsible for family and domestic violence strategic planning in Western Australia. Communities is currently leading the development of a 10-year across-government strategy to reduce family and domestic violence.

The Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and the Ombudsman’s major own motion investigation Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, have identified that there is scope for State Government departments and authorities to improve the ways in which they respond to family and domestic violence. In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that, consistent with the National Plan:

Recommendation 1: DCPFS, as the lead agency responsible for family and domestic violence strategy planning in Western Australia, in the development of Action Plans under Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022: Creating Safer Communities, identifies actions for achieving its agreed Primary State Outcomes, priorities among these actions, and allocation of responsibilities for these actions to specific state government departments and authorities.

---

2 In this section, DCPFS refers to the (then) Department of Child Protection and Family Support (now Communities), and DOTAG refers to the (then) Department of the Attorney General (now DOJ).
A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that steps have been taken to give effect to the Ombudsman’s recommendation.

**Type of relationships**

The Ombudsman finalised 90 family and domestic violence fatality reviews from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018.

For 60 (67%) of the finalised reviews of family and domestic violence fatalities, the fatality occurred between persons who, either at the time of death or at some earlier time, had been involved in a married, de facto or other intimate personal relationship. For the remaining 30 (33%) of the finalised family and domestic violence fatality reviews, the fatality occurred between persons where the relationship was between a parent and their adult child or persons otherwise related (such as siblings and extended family relationships).

These two groups will be referred to as ‘intimate partner fatalities’ and ‘non-intimate partner fatalities’.

For the 90 finalised reviews, the circumstances of the fatality were as follows:

- For the 60 intimate partner fatalities, 51 were alleged homicides, seven were apparent suicides, and two were other circumstances; and
- For the 30 non-intimate partner fatalities, 24 were alleged homicides, one was an apparent suicide, and five were other circumstances.

**Intimate partner relationships**

Of the 51 intimate partner relationship fatalities involving alleged homicide:

- There were 36 fatalities where the person who died was female and the suspected perpetrator was male, one where the person who died was female and there were multiple suspected perpetrators of both genders, 11 where the person who died was male and the suspected perpetrator was female, one where the person who died was male and the suspected perpetrator was male, and two where the person who died was male and there were multiple suspected perpetrators of both genders;
- There were 21 fatalities that involved Aboriginal people as both the person who died and the suspected perpetrator. In 13 of these fatalities the person who died was female and in eight the person who died was male;
- There were 24 fatalities that occurred at the joint residence of the person who died and the suspected perpetrator, nine at the residence of the person who died or the residence of the suspected perpetrator, five at the residence of family or friends, and 13 at the workplace of the person who died or the suspected perpetrator or in a public place; and
- There were 26 fatalities where the person who died lived in regional and remote areas, and in 19 of these the person who died was Aboriginal.
Non-intimate partner relationships

Of the 30 non-intimate partner fatalities, there were 21 fatalities involving a parent and adult child and nine fatalities where the parties were otherwise related.

Of the 24 non-intimate partner fatalities involving alleged homicide:

- There were six fatalities where the person who died was female and the suspected perpetrator was male, three where the person who died was female and the suspected perpetrator was female, 11 where the person who died was male and the suspected perpetrator was male, and four where the person who died was male and the suspected perpetrator was female;
- There were five non-intimate partner fatalities that involved Aboriginal people as both the person who died and the suspected perpetrator;
- There were 12 fatalities that occurred at the joint residence of the person who died and the suspected perpetrator, nine at the residence of the person who died or the residence of the suspected perpetrator, and three at the residence of family or friends or in a public place; and
- There were seven fatalities where the person who died lived in regional and remote areas.

Prior reports of family and domestic violence

Intimate partner fatalities were more likely than non-intimate partner fatalities to have involved previous reports of alleged family and domestic violence between the parties. In 31 (61%) of the 51 intimate partner fatalities involving alleged homicide finalised between 1 July 2012 and 30 June 2018, alleged family and domestic violence between the parties had been reported to WAPOL and/or to other public authorities. In seven (29%) of the 24 non-intimate partner fatalities involving alleged homicide finalised between 1 July 2012 and 30 June 2018, alleged family and domestic violence between the parties had been reported to WAPOL and/or other public authorities.

Collation of data to build our understanding about communities who are over-represented in family and domestic violence

The Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, found that the research literature identifies that there are higher rates of family and domestic violence among certain communities in Western Australia. However, there are limitations to the supporting data, resulting in varying estimates of the numbers of people in these communities who experience family and domestic violence and a limited understanding of their experiences.

Of the 38 family and domestic violence fatalities involving alleged homicide where there had been prior reports of alleged family and domestic violence between the parties, from the records available:

- Three fatalities involved a deceased person with disability;
- None of the fatalities involved a deceased person who identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans or intersex;
- 22 fatalities involved a deceased Aboriginal person; and
- 22 of the people who died lived in regional/remote Western Australia.

Examination of the family and domestic violence fatality review data provides some insight into the issues relevant to these communities. However, these numbers are limited and greater insight is only possible through consideration of all reported family and domestic violence, not just where this results in a fatality. The report found that neither the then State Strategy nor the Achievement Report to 2013 identified any actions to improve the collection of data relating to different communities experiencing higher rates of family and domestic violence, for example through the collection of cultural, demographic and socioeconomic data. In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 2: In developing and implementing future phases of Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022: Creating Safer Communities, DCPFS collaborates with WAPOL, DOTAG and other relevant agencies to identify and incorporate actions to be taken by state government departments and authorities to collect data about communities who are overrepresented in family and domestic violence, to inform evidence-based strategies tailored to addressing family and domestic violence in these communities.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that steps have been taken, and are proposed to be taken, to give effect to this recommendation.

In relation to data collation about communities over-represented in family and domestic violence, and how this is used to inform evidence-based strategies tailored to addressing family and domestic violence in these communities, the Ombudsman will continue to monitor the development, implementation and effectiveness of the proposed 10-year family and domestic violence strategy for Western Australia, and plan for responding to Aboriginal family violence.

Identification of family and domestic violence incidents

Of the 38 family and domestic violence fatalities involving alleged homicide where there had been prior reports of alleged family and domestic violence between the parties, WAPOL was the agency to receive the majority of these reports. The Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, noted that DCPFS may become aware of family and domestic violence through a referral to DCPFS and subsequent assessment through the duty interaction process. Identification of family and domestic violence is integral to the agency being in a position to implement its family and domestic violence policy and processes to address perpetrator accountability and promote victim safety and support. However, the Ombudsman’s reviews and own motion investigations have identified missed opportunities to identify family and domestic violence in interactions.

In the report, the Ombudsman made two recommendations (Recommendations 7 and 39) that WAPOL and DCPFS ensure all reported family and domestic violence is correctly identified and recorded. A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that WAPOL and DCPFS had proposed steps to be taken to give effect to
these recommendations. The Office will continue to monitor, and report on, the steps being taken to implement these recommendations.

Provision of agency support to obtain a violence restraining order

As identified above, WAPOL is likely to receive the majority of reports of family and domestic violence. WAPOL is not currently required by legislation or policy to provide victims with information and advice about violence restraining orders when attending the scene of acts of family and domestic violence. However, its attendance at the scene affords WAPOL with the opportunity to provide victims with information and advice about:

- What a violence restraining order is and how it can enhance their safety;
- How to apply for a violence restraining order; and
- What support services are available to provide further advice and assistance with obtaining a violence restraining order, and how to access these support services.

Support to victims in reported incidences of family and domestic violence

The Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, examined WAPOL’s response to family and domestic violence incidents through the review of 75 Domestic Violence Incident Reports (associated with 30 fatalities). The report found that WAPOL recorded the provision of information and advice about violence restraining orders in 19 of the 75 (25%) instances. In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 9: WAPOL amends the Commissioner’s Operations and Procedures Manual to require that victims of family and domestic violence are provided with verbal information and advice about violence restraining orders in all reported instances of family and domestic violence.

Recommendation 10: WAPOL collaborates with DCPFS and DOTAG to develop an ‘aide memoire’ that sets out the key information and advice about violence restraining orders that WAPOL should provide to victims of all reported instances of family and domestic violence.

Recommendation 11: WAPOL collaborates with DCPFS and DOTAG to ensure that the ‘aide memoire’, discussed at Recommendation 10, is developed in consultation with Aboriginal people to ensure its appropriateness for family violence incidents involving Aboriginal people.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that WAPOL had taken steps and/or proposed steps to be taken to give effect to these recommendations. The Office will continue to monitor, and report on, the steps being taken to implement these recommendations.

Support to obtain a violence restraining order on behalf of children

The Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, also examined the response by DCPFS to prior reports of family and domestic violence
involving 30 children who experienced family and domestic violence associated with the 30 fatalities. The report found that DCPFS did not provide any active referrals for legal advice or help from an appropriate service to obtain a violence restraining order for any of the children involved in the 30 fatalities. In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 44: DCPFS complies with the requirements of the *Family and Domestic Violence Practice Guidance*, in particular, that ‘where a VRO is considered desirable or necessary but a decision is made for the Department not to apply for the order, the non-abusive adult victim should be given an active referral for legal advice and help from an appropriate service’.

Further, the report noted DCPFS’s *Family and Domestic Violence Practice Guidance* also identifies that taking out a violence restraining order on behalf of a child ‘can assist in the protection of that child without the need for removal (intervention action) from his or her family home’, and can serve to assist adult victims of violence when it would decrease risk to the adult victim if the Department was the applicant. In the report, the Ombudsman made three recommendations relating to DCPFS’s improved compliance with the provisions of its *Family and Domestic Violence Practice Guidance* in seeking violence restraining orders on behalf of children (Recommendations 45, 46 and 47), including:

Recommendation 45: In its implementation of section 18(2) of the *Restraining Orders Act 1997*, DCPFS complies with its *Family and Domestic Violence Practice Guidance* which identifies that DCPFS officers should consider seeking a violence restraining order on behalf of a child if the violence is likely to escalate and the children are at risk of further abuse, and/or it would decrease risk to the adult victim if the Department was the applicant for the violence restraining order.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that in relation to Recommendations 44, 45, 46 and 47 DCPFS had taken steps and proposed steps to be taken to give effect to all these recommendations. The Office will continue to monitor, and report on, the steps being taken to implement these recommendations.

**Support during the process of obtaining a violence restraining order**

The *Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*, November 2015, identified the importance of opportunities for victims to seek help and for perpetrators to be held to account throughout the process for obtaining a violence restraining order, and that these opportunities are acted upon, not just by WAPOL but by all State Government departments and authorities. In the report the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 14: In developing and implementing future phases of Western Australia’s *Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022: Creating Safer Communities*, DCPFS specifically identifies and incorporates opportunities for state government departments and authorities to deliver information and advice about violence restraining orders, beyond the initial response by WAPOL.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family
and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that DCPFS had taken steps to give effect to this recommendation.

The findings and recommendations from the Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and major own motion investigations will contribute to the development of the 10-year across-government strategy to reduce family and domestic violence. The Office will also monitor the implementation of Recommendation 14 from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015 in the development of the new state strategy.

**Support when a violence restraining order has not been granted**

The Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, examined a sample of 41,229 hearings regarding violence restraining orders and identified that an application for a violence restraining order was dismissed or not granted as a n outcome of 6,988 hearings (17%) in the investigation period. In cases where an application for a violence restraining order has been dismissed it may still be appropriate to provide safety planning assistance. In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 25: DOTAG, in collaboration with DCPFS, identifies and incorporates into Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022: Creating Safer Communities, ways of ensuring that, in cases where an application for a violence restraining order has been dismissed, if appropriate, victims are provided with referrals to appropriate safety planning assistance.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that DOTAG and DCPFS had proposed steps to be taken to give effect to this recommendation.

The findings from the Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and the own motion investigations will contribute to the development of the 10-year across-government strategy to reduce family and domestic violence.

**Provision of support to victims experiencing family and domestic violence**

In November 2015, Communities launched the Western Australia Family and Domestic Violence Common Risk Assessment and Risk Management Framework (Second edition) (available at [www.dcp.wa.gov.au](http://www.dcp.wa.gov.au)). This across-government framework states that:

The purpose of risk assessment is to determine the risk and safety for the adult victim and children, taking into consideration the range of victim and perpetrator risk factors that affect the likelihood and severity of future violence.

Risk assessment must be undertaken when family and domestic violence has been identified…

Risk assessment is conducted for a number of reasons including:

- evaluating the risk of re-assault for a victim;
- evaluating the risk of homicide;
- informing service system and justice responses;
• supporting women to understand their own level of risk and the risk to children and/or to validate a woman’s own assessment of her level of safety; and
• establishing a basis from which a case can be monitored. (pages 36-37)

The Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, have noted that, where agencies become aware of family and domestic violence, they do not always undertake a comprehensive assessment of the associated risk of harm and provide support and safety planning.

In the report, the Ombudsman made eight recommendations (Recommendations 40 – 44 and 48 – 50) to public authorities that they ensure compliance with their family and domestic violence policy requirements, including assessing risk of future harm and providing support to address the impact of experiencing family and domestic violence.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that DCPFS had taken steps and proposed steps to be taken to give effect to all these recommendations. The Office will continue to monitor, and report on, the steps being taken to implement these recommendations.

In 2017-18, through the reviews of family and domestic violence fatalities, the Office has continued to examine compliance with family and domestic violence policy, in relation to promoting victim safety, and has made recommendations to agencies to improve family and domestic violence policy compliance.

### Case Study

**Case Study A**

Mr A had been in prison for offences that had occurred in the context of family and domestic violence. Following his release from prison, Mr A fatally assaulted his intimate partner, Ms Z. Mr A has been convicted of murder. The Ombudsman made the following recommendation in 2017-18:

DOJ considers what additional action can be taken to promote the safety of family and domestic violence victims and the community, prior to the release of all prisoners convicted of offences committed in the context of family and domestic violence and assessed as a high risk of committing further offences, irrespective of whether they are released on parole or to freedom, and provides a report to the Ombudsman by 31 December 2017 outlining actions to address this issue.

**Agency interventions to address perpetrator behaviours**

Based on the information available to the Office, in 31 (61%) of the 51 intimate partner fatalities involving alleged homicide finalised between 1 July 2012 and 30 June 2018, prior family and domestic violence between the parties had been reported to WAPOL and/or other public authorities. The Ombudsman’s reviews identify where perpetrators have a history of reported violence, with one or more
partners, and examines steps taken to hold perpetrators to account for their actions and support them to cease their violent behaviors, in accordance with the intent of the State Strategy.

**Fatalities with no prior reported family and domestic violence**

Based on the information available to the Office, in 20 (39%) of the 51 intimate partner fatalities involving alleged homicide finalised between 1 July 2012 and 30 June 2018, the fatal incident was the only family and domestic violence between the parties that had been reported to WAPOL and/or other public authorities. It is important to note, however, research indicating under-reporting of family and domestic violence. The Australian Bureau of Statistics’ Personal Safety Survey 2016 ([www.abs.gov.au](http://www.abs.gov.au)) collected information about help seeking behaviours, noting that:

- In the most recent incident of physical assault by a male, women were most likely to be physically assaulted by a male that they knew (92% or 977,600).
- Two-thirds of men and women who experienced physical assault by a male did not report the most recent incident to police (69% or 908,100 for men and 69% or 734,500 for women).

The Ombudsman's reviews provide information on family and domestic violence fatalities where there is no previous reported history of family and domestic violence, including cases where information becomes available after the death to confirm a history of unreported family and domestic violence, drug or alcohol use, or mental health issues that may be relevant to the circumstances of the fatality.

The Ombudsman will continue to collate information on family and domestic violence fatalities where there is no reported history of family and domestic violence, to identify patterns and trends and consider improvements that may increase reporting of family and domestic violence and access to supports.

**Family violence involving Aboriginal people**

Of the 90 family and domestic violence fatality reviews finalised from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018, Aboriginal Western Australians were over-represented, with 29 (32%) persons who died being Aboriginal. In all but one case, the suspected perpetrator was also Aboriginal. There were 23 of these 28 fatalities where the person who died lived in a regional or remote area of Western Australia, of which 19 were intimate partner fatalities.

The Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and the investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, identify the over-representation of Aboriginal people in family and domestic violence fatalities. This is consistent with the research literature that Aboriginal people are ‘more likely to be victims of violence than any other section of Australian society’ (Cripps, K and Davis, M, Communities working to reduce Indigenous family violence, Brief 12, June 2012, Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse, New South Wales, p. 1) and that Aboriginal people experience family and domestic violence at ‘significantly higher rates than other Australians’ (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commissioner, Ending family violence and abuse in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities – Key Issues, An overview paper of research and findings by...
Contextual Factors for family violence involving Aboriginal people

As discussed in the *Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*, November 2015, the research literature suggests that there are a number of contextual factors contributing to the prevalence and seriousness of family violence in Aboriginal communities and that:

…violence against women within the Indigenous Australian communities need[s] to be understood within the specific historical and cultural context of colonisation and systemic disadvantage. Any discussion of violence in contemporary Indigenous communities must be located within this historical context. Similarly, any discussion of “causes” of violence within the community must recognise and reflect the impact of colonialism and the indelible impact of violence perpetrated by white colonialists against Indigenous peoples … A meta-evaluation of literature...identified many “causes” of family violence in Indigenous Australian communities, including historical factors such as: collective dispossession; the loss of land and traditional culture; the fragmentation of kinship systems and Aboriginal law; poverty and unemployment; structural racism; drug and alcohol misuse; institutionalisation; and the decline of traditional Aboriginal men’s role and status - while “powerless” in relation to mainstream society, Indigenous men may seek compensation by exerting power over women and children…


The report notes that, in addition to the challenges faced by all victims in reporting family and domestic violence, the research literature identifies additional disincentives to reporting family and domestic violence faced by Aboriginal people:

Indigenous women continuously balance off the desire to stop the violence by reporting to the police with the potential consequences for themselves and other family members that may result from approaching the police; often concluding that the negatives outweigh the positives. Synthesizing the literature on the topic reveals a number of consistent themes, including: a reluctance to report because of fear of the police, the perpetrator and perpetrator’s kin; fear of “payback” by the offender’s family if he is jailed; concerns the offender might become “a death in custody”; a cultural reluctance to become involved with non-Indigenous justice systems, particularly a system viewed as an instrument of dispossession by many people in the Indigenous community; a degree of normalisation of violence in some families and a degree of fatalism about change; the impact of “lateral violence” … which makes victims subject to intimidation and community denunciation for reporting offenders, in Indigenous communities; negative experiences of contact with the police when previously attempting to report violence (such as being arrested on outstanding warrants); fears that their children will be removed if they are seen as being part of an abusive household; lack of transport on rural and remote communities; and a general lack of culturally secure services.


This research report undertakes a critical inquiry into responses to family violence in a number of remote communities from the perspective of Aboriginal people who either work within the family violence space or have had experience of family violence. It explicitly foregrounds Indigenous knowledge of family violence, arguing that Indigenous knowledge departs from what we call in this report “mainstream knowledge” in a number of critical respects. The report is based on qualitative research in three sites in Australia: Fitzroy Crossing (Western Australia), Darwin (Northern Territory), and Cherbourg (Queensland). It supports the creation of a network of regionally based Indigenous family violence strategies owned and managed by Indigenous people and linked to initiatives around alcohol reduction, intergenerational trauma, social and emotional wellbeing, and alternatives to custody. The key theme running through our consultations was that innovative practice must be embedded in Aboriginal law and culture. This recommendation runs counter to accepted wisdom regarding intervention in family and domestic violence, which tends to assume that gender trumps other differences, and that violence against women results from similar forms of oppression, linked to gender inequalities and patriarchal forms of power. While not disputing the role of gender and coercion in underpinning much violence against Indigenous women, we, nonetheless, claim that a distinctively Indigenous approach to family violence necessitates exploring causal factors that reflect specifically Indigenous experiences of colonisation and its aftermath. (page 9)

The Ombudsman’s reviews and report have identified that Aboriginal victims want the violence to end, but not necessarily always through the use of violence restraining orders.

**A separate strategy to prevent and reduce Aboriginal family violence**

In examining the family and domestic violence fatalities involving Aboriginal people, the research literature and stakeholder perspectives, the *Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*, November 2015, identified a gap in that there is no strategy solely aimed at addressing family violence experienced by Aboriginal people and in Aboriginal communities.

The findings of the report strongly support the development of a separate strategy that is specifically tailored to preventing and reducing Aboriginal family violence. This can be summarised as three key points.

Firstly, the findings set out in Chapters 4 and 5 of the report identify that Aboriginal people are over-represented, both as victims of family and domestic violence and victims of fatalities arising from this violence.

Secondly, the research literature, discussed in Chapter 6 of the report suggests a distinctive ‘...nature, history and context of family violence in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities’ (National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Women’s Alliance, *Submission to the Finance and Public Administration Committee Inquiry into Domestic Violence in Australia*, National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Women’s Alliance, New South Wales, 31 July 2014, p. 5). The research literature
further suggests that combating violence is likely to require approaches that are informed by and respond to this experience of family violence.

Thirdly, the findings set out in the report demonstrate how the unique factors associated with Aboriginal family violence have resulted in important aspects of the use of violence restraining orders by Aboriginal people which are different from those of non-Aboriginal people.

The report also identified that development of the strategy must include and encourage the involvement of Aboriginal people in a full and active way, at each stage and level of the development of the strategy, and be comprehensively informed by Aboriginal culture. Doing so would mean that an Aboriginal family violence strategy would be developed with, and by, Aboriginal people. In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 4: DCPFS, as the lead agency responsible for family and domestic violence strategic planning in Western Australia, develops a strategy that is specifically tailored to preventing and reducing Aboriginal family violence, and is linked to, consistent with, and supported by Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022: Creating Safer Communities.

Recommendation 6: In developing a strategy tailored to preventing and reducing Aboriginal family violence, referred to at Recommendation 4, DCPFS actively invites and encourages the involvement of Aboriginal people in a full and active way at each stage and level of the process, and be comprehensively informed by Aboriginal culture.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that DCPFS had taken steps and proposed steps to be taken to give effect to these recommendations.

In 2017, the State Government released the Stopping Family and Domestic Violence Policy, which sets out 21 new initiatives for responding to family and domestic violence. This document supersedes Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Strategy to 2022 and the Freedom from Fear Action Plan 2015. Also in 2017, the first Minister for the Prevention of Family and Domestic Violence was appointed. In 2018, Communities has convened a family and domestic violence policy consortium, comprising representatives from government, community sector services, Aboriginal Community Controlled Organisations and academia, to develop a comprehensive project plan for the development of a 10-year across-government strategy to reduce family and domestic violence. The Office, as an observer, has contributed to this policy consortium. The findings and recommendations from the Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and major motion investigations will contribute to the development of this new state strategy.
Case Study B

As part of a review of a family and domestic violence fatality, to further understand how agencies are working together, and with Aboriginal people and communities, the Office convened a roundtable in November 2017. The roundtable was attended by Aboriginal cultural consultants as well as relevant public sector agencies.

Further, the Ombudsman’s major own motion investigation, tabled in Parliament on 19 November 2015, titled Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities specifically considered issues of agency engagement with Aboriginal Family Violence. In particular, Recommendations 4, 5 and 6 which state:

**Recommendation 4**

DCPFS, as the lead agency responsible for family and domestic violence strategic planning in Western Australia, develops a strategy that is specifically tailored to preventing and reducing Aboriginal family violence, and is linked to, consistent with, and supported by Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention strategy to 2022: Creating Safer Communities.

**Recommendation 5**

DCPFS, in developing the Aboriginal family violence strategy referred to at recommendation 4, incorporates strategies that recognise and address the co-occurrence of alcohol use and Aboriginal family violence.

**Recommendation 6**

In developing a strategy tailored to preventing and reducing Aboriginal family violence, referred to at Recommendation 4, DCPFS actively invites and encourages the involvement of Aboriginal people in a full and active way at each stage and level of the process, and be comprehensively informed by Aboriginal culture.

Further again, these recommendations have been examined in A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities which was tabled in Parliament on 10 November 2016.

To further examine the implementation of these recommendations, this Office requested clarification from Communities as the lead agency responsible for family and domestic violence strategic planning in Western Australia. This Office was informed that Communities will develop a 10-year family and domestic violence strategy for Western Australia, which will include a specific plan for responding to the issue of Aboriginal Family Violence. The Office made the following recommendation:

Communities provides the Ombudsman with a report on the steps taken to give effect to Recommendations 4, 5 and 6 of the Ombudsman’s major own motion investigation report Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities by 31 December 2018.
Limited use of violence restraining orders

The Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015, identified that while Aboriginal people are significantly over-represented as victims of family and domestic violence, they are less likely than non-Aboriginal people to seek a violence restraining order. The report examined the research literature and views of stakeholders on the possible reasons for this lower use of violence restraining orders by Aboriginal people, identifying that the process for obtaining a violence restraining order is not necessarily always culturally appropriate for Aboriginal victims and that Aboriginal people in regional and remote locations face additional logistical and structural barriers in the process of obtaining a violence restraining order.

In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 23: DOTAG, in collaboration with key stakeholders, considers opportunities to address the cultural, logistical and structural barriers to Aboriginal victims seeking a violence restraining order, and ensures that Aboriginal people are involved in a full and active way at each stage and level of this process, and that this process is comprehensively informed by Aboriginal culture.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that DOTAG had taken steps and proposed steps to be taken to give effect to this recommendation. The Office will continue to monitor, and report on, the steps being taken to implement this recommendation.

The November 2015 report noted that data examined by the Office concerning the use of police orders and violence restraining orders by Aboriginal people in Western Australia indicates that Aboriginal victims are more likely to be protected by a police order than a violence restraining order. This data is consistent with information examined in the Ombudsman’s reviews of family and domestic violence fatalities involving Aboriginal people. In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 16: DCPFS considers the findings of the Ombudsman’s investigation regarding the link between the use of police orders and violence restraining orders by Aboriginal people in developing and implementing the Aboriginal family violence strategy referred to in Recommendation 4.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that DCPFS had taken steps and proposed steps to be taken to give effect to this recommendation.

The findings from the Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and the own motion investigations will contribute to the development of the 10-year across-government strategy to reduce family and domestic violence, and monitor the implementation of Recommendation 16 from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015.
Strategies to recognise and address the co-occurrence of alcohol consumption and Aboriginal family violence


In the report, the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 5: DCPFS, in developing the Aboriginal family violence strategy referred to at Recommendation 4, incorporates strategies that recognise and address the co-occurrence of alcohol use and Aboriginal family violence.

A *report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*, November 2016, identified that DCPFS had taken steps and proposed steps to be taken to give effect to this recommendation.

The findings and recommendations from the Ombudsman’s family and domestic violence fatality reviews and major own motion investigations will contribute to the development of the 10-year across-government strategy to reduce family and domestic violence.

Strategies to address the over-representation of family violence involving Aboriginal people in regional WA

Of the 29 family and domestic violence fatality reviews finalised from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018 involving Aboriginal people, 23 (79%) of the Aboriginal people who died lived in a regional or remote area of Western Australia. Twelve (41%) of the Aboriginal people who died lived in the Kimberley region, which is home to 1.4 per cent of all people and 19 per cent of Aboriginal people in the Western Australian population.

As outlined above, a *report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*, November 2016, identified that Communities had taken steps and proposed steps to be taken to give effect to Recommendations 4 and 6 of the *Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*, November 2015. These recommendations related to DCPFS developing ‘a strategy
that is specifically tailored to preventing and reducing Aboriginal family violence’ that would encompass all regions of Western Australia and Communities actively inviting and encouraging ‘the involvement of Aboriginal people in a full and active way at each stage and level of the process’ and being ‘comprehensively informed by Aboriginal culture’.

**Case Study**

**Case Study C**

The Ombudsman’s review of a family and domestic violence fatality identified limitations in data being captured, including Aboriginal status of the victim and perpetrator, to inform family violence service development and evaluation. The Office made the following recommendation:

- Communities takes steps to ensure data being captured by the Family and Domestic Violence Region Team (FDVRT) process includes Aboriginal status of the victim and perpetrator, to inform FDVRT and family violence service development and evaluation.

---

**Factors co-occurring with family and domestic violence**

Where family and domestic violence co-occurs with alcohol use, drug use and/or mental health issues, a collaborative, across service approach is needed. Treatment services may not always identify the risk of family and domestic violence and provide an appropriate response.

**Co-occurrence with alcohol and other drug use**

Consistent with the research literature discussed relating to the co-occurrence between alcohol consumption and/or drug use and incidents of family and domestic violence (as outlined in the [Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2015](#)), the National Plan (available at [www.dss.gov.au](http://www.dss.gov.au)) observes that:

> Alcohol is usually seen as a trigger, or a feature, of violence against women and their children rather than a cause. Research shows that addressing alcohol in isolation will not automatically reduce violence against women and their children. This is because alcohol does not, of itself, create the underlying attitudes that lead to controlling or violent behaviour. (National Council to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children, 2009, Background Paper to Time for Action, The National Council’s Plan for Australia to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children, 2009–2021, Australian Government, p. 29).

Integrated and coordinated service models within the AOD [alcohol and other drug] and family violence sectors in Australia are rare. Historically, the sectors have worked independently of each other despite the long-recognised association between alcohol and family violence. Part of the reason is that models of treatment for alcohol use disorders have traditionally been focused towards the needs of individuals and in particular, men. (page 36)

On the information available, relating to the 75 family and domestic violence fatalities involving alleged homicide that were finalised from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2018, the Office’s reviews identify where alcohol use and/or drug use are factors associated with the fatality, and where there may be a history of alcohol use and/or drug use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALCOHOL USE</th>
<th></th>
<th>DRUG USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Associated</td>
<td>Prior history</td>
<td>Associated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with fatal</td>
<td></td>
<td>with fatal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>event</td>
<td>event</td>
<td></td>
<td>event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person who died</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspected</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perpetrator only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both person who</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>died and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suspected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perpetrator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>39</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Ombudsman’s reviews and *Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*, November 2015, have identified that in Western Australia, the State Strategy does not mention or address alcohol and its relationship with family and domestic violence. However, the goal of the Mental Health Commission’s *Drug and Alcohol Interagency Strategic Framework for Western Australia 2011-2015* (the Framework) is to ‘prevent and reduce the adverse impacts of alcohol and other drugs in the Western Australian community’ (page 5). This Framework is currently being revised by the Mental Health Commission, in consultation with the Drug and Alcohol Strategic Senior Officers Group, as stated at [www.mhc.wa.gov.au](http://www.mhc.wa.gov.au) (and correct at the time of writing this report).

As one of these adverse impacts, the Framework highlights ‘violence and family and relationship breakdown’ as a result of ‘problematic drug and alcohol use’ (page 3). Stakeholders have suggested to the Ombudsman that programs and services for victims and perpetrators of violence in Western Australia, including family and domestic violence, do not address its co-occurrence with alcohol and other drug abuse. Specifically, this means that programs and services addressing family and domestic violence:

- May deny victims or perpetrators access to their services, particularly if they are under the influence of alcohol and other drugs; and
- Frequently do not address victims’ or perpetrators’ alcohol and other drug abuse issues.

Conversely, stakeholders have suggested programs and services which focus on alcohol and other drug use generally do not necessarily:

- Address perpetrators’ violent behaviour; or...
• Respond to the needs of victims resulting from their experience of family and domestic violence.

The concerns of stakeholders are consistent with the research literature as outlined in the report. Given the level of recorded alcohol use associated with the Ombudsman’s reviews, in the report the Ombudsman recommended that:

Recommendation 3: DCPFS, in collaboration with the Mental Health Commission and other key stakeholders, includes initiatives in Action Plans developed under the Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022: Creating Safer Communities, which recognise and address the co-occurrence of alcohol use and family and domestic violence.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities, November 2016, identified that in relation to Recommendation 3, the Mental Health Commission and DCPFS had taken steps and proposed steps to be taken to give effect to this recommendation. The Office will continue to monitor, and report on, the steps being taken to implement this recommendation. The Office will monitor the development, implementation and effectiveness of the proposed Western Australian Alcohol and Drug Interagency Strategy 2018-2022, and the 10-year across-government strategy to reduce family and domestic violence, in responding to family and domestic violence and co-occurrence with alcohol and drugs.

Co-occurrence of mental health issues

As with alcohol and drug use, it is noted that the State Strategy does not mention mental health issues and the relationship with family and domestic violence. Though it is noted that in screening for family and domestic violence, the Western Australia Family and Domestic Violence Common Risk Assessment and Risk Management Framework (Second edition) (available at www.dcp.wa.gov.au) states that:

Perpetrators often present with issues that coexist with their use of violence, for example, alcohol and drug misuse or mental health concerns. These coexisting issues are not to be blamed for the violence, but they may exacerbate the violence or act as a barrier to accessing the service system or making behavioural change.

The primary focus of referral for perpetrators of family and domestic violence should be the violence itself. Coexisting issues may be addressed simultaneously, where appropriate.

(page 53, our emphasis)

and

Family and domestic violence may be present, but undisclosed when a woman presents at a service for assistance with other issues such as health concerns, financial crisis, legal difficulties, parenting problems, mental health concerns, drug and/or alcohol misuse or homelessness.

(page 29, our emphasis)

The Communities’ Western Australia Family and Domestic Violence Common Risk Assessment and Risk Management Framework identifies mental health as a potential risk factor for family and domestic violence, and indicates that screening should be undertaken by mental health services (page 29).
Issues identified in Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews

The following are the types of issues identified when undertaking family and domestic violence fatality reviews.

It is important to note that:
- Issues are not identified in every family and domestic violence fatality review; and
- When an issue has been identified, it does not necessarily mean that the issue is related to the death.

- Not providing culturally informed practice guidance for responding to Aboriginal family violence.
- Not adequately implementing family and domestic violence policies and procedures.
- Missed opportunities to address family and domestic violence perpetrator accountability.
- Missed opportunities to address family and domestic violence victim safety.
- Missed opportunity to collate data relevant to informing the development, and evaluation, of strategies and services to reduce or prevent family and domestic violence.
- Not undertaking sufficient inter-agency communication to enable effective case management and collaborative responses.
- Inaccurate recordkeeping.

Recommendations

In response to the issues identified, the Ombudsman makes recommendations to prevent or reduce family and domestic violence fatalities. The following nine recommendations were made by the Ombudsman in 2017-18 arising from family and domestic violence fatality reviews (certain recommendations may be de-identified to ensure confidentiality).

1. DOJ develops a family and domestic violence policy to direct and co-ordinate its commitment to achieving the Primary State Outcomes of Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022. Further, the family and domestic violence policy identifies the needs of Aboriginal people and includes specifically tailored strategies for preventing and reducing Aboriginal family violence which are linked to, and consistent with, Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022.

2. DOJ develops a process to identify all offenders convicted of offences that occurred in the context of family and domestic violence entering the prison system and reports on this group in offender statistics and other relevant reports.

3. DOJ considers, as part of its current review into prisoner management, the
management of prisoners serving an effective sentence of six months or less for an offence that occurred in the context of family and domestic violence who have been identified as a high risk of re-offending and develops strategies to promote perpetrator accountability and support these prisoners to cease their violent behaviour.

4. DOJ is to provide a report to the Ombudsman within six months of the finalisation of this family and domestic violence fatality review outlining actions taken by DOJ to review its information release and sharing policies to support greater collaboration processes to promote the safety of victims of offences that occurred in the context of family and domestic violence.

5. That DOJ considers, in the context of current agency program review and resourcing provisions, what steps will be taken to improve the rate of prisoners assessed as requiring family and domestic violence treatment programs assessing these programs during their imprisonment to promote perpetrator accountability and support these prisoners to cease their violent behaviour, in accordance with DOJ’s responsibilities for offender management and rehabilitation and commitment to promoting perpetrator accountability and victim safety as outlined in the Western Australia’s Family and Domestic Violence Prevention Strategy to 2022, and provides a report to the Ombudsman by [nominated date] outlining the steps taken to address this issue.

6. DOJ provides a report to the Ombudsman, within three months of the finalisation of this review, outlining work undertaken by the Adult Justice Services Division to address 'the gap' identified by DOJ, in its letter [relevant to this family and domestic violence fatality review], regarding the assessment of 'domestic violence offenders ... in the custodial environment'.

7. DOJ considers what additional action can be taken to promote the safety of family and domestic violence victims and the community, prior to the release of all prisoners convicted of offences committed in the context of family and domestic violence and assessed as a high risk of committing further offences, irrespective of whether they are released on parole or to freedom, and provides a report to the Ombudsman by [nominated date] outlining actions to address this issue.

8. Communities takes steps to ensure data being captured by the Family and Domestic Violence Response Team process includes Aboriginal status of the victim and perpetrator, to inform Family and Domestic Violence Response Team and family violence service development and evaluation.

9. Communities provides the Ombudsman with a report on the steps taken to give effect to Recommendations 4, 5 and 6 of the Ombudsman’s major own motion investigation report Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities by [nominated date].
Steps taken to give effect to the recommendations arising from family and domestic violence fatality reviews in 2015-16

The Ombudsman made eight recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce family and domestic violence fatalities in 2015-16. The Office has requested that the relevant public authorities notify the Ombudsman regarding:

- The steps that have been taken to give effect to the recommendations;
- The steps that are proposed to be taken to give effect to the recommendations; or
- If no such steps have been, or are proposed to be taken, the reasons therefor.

Recommendation 1: That WAPOL considers whether action is required to strengthen processes associated with the receipt, assessment and verification of intelligence related to threats to kill made in family and domestic relationships, to ensure the prioritisation of victim safety and perpetrator accountability.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that WAPOL inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, WAPOL provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 9 August 2017 (the WAPOL Letter).

In the WAPOL Letter, WAPOL relevantly informed the Office that:

WA Police has implemented actions to strengthen processes associated with the receipt, assessment and verification of intelligence related to threats to kill and to ensure prioritisation of victim safety and perpetrator accountability.

Regular, formal meetings are held between WA Police and the Department of Corrective Services (DCS) Intelligence Services to review and facilitate improved operational response to intelligence gathered by DCS personnel.

Where DCS identify allegations of serious imminent, threats to life, WA Police are notified and resources tasked immediately. In circumstances where a threat is serious but not imminent, a report is recorded on the Intelligence Data Management (IDM) system and assessed by an intelligence co-ordinator for further consideration.

An Incident Report is generated on the WA Police Incident Management System (IMS) and allocated to a relevant business area for investigation.

Where family violence is identified as a factor, the Incident Report is ‘flagged’ with a marker, ensuring District Family Protection Units are notified and Family and
Domestic Violence Response Team (FDVRT) protocols are applied.

Additional advantages of recording the information on IMS include the provision of a formal trigger to place investigative accountability on business units and individual officers and a compliance mechanism to ensure timely investigation within the WA Police Investigation Framework.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

Recommendation 2: That WAPOL considers whether action is required to strengthen processes and procedures to promote that the interrogation of data systems identifies contemporaneous and relevant information upon which to inform the allocation of matters to the appropriate WAPOL division for action.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that WAPOL inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, WAPOL provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 9 August 2017.

In the WAPOL Letter, WAPOL relevantly informed the Office that:

WA Police has implemented actions to strengthen processes associated with the receipt, assessment and verification of intelligence related to threats to kill and to ensure prioritisation of victim safety and perpetrator accountability.

Regular, formal meetings are held between WA Police and the Department of Corrective Services (DCS) Intelligence Services to review and facilitate improved operational response to intelligence gathered by DCS personnel.

Where DCS identify allegations of serious imminent, threats to life, WA Police are notified and resources tasked immediately. In circumstances where a threat is serious but not imminent, a report is recorded on the Intelligence Data Management (IDM) system and assessed by an intelligence co-ordinator for further consideration.

An Incident Report is generated on the WA Police Incident Management System (IMS) and allocated to a relevant business area for investigation.

Where family violence is identified as a factor, the Incident Report is ‘flagged’ with a marker, ensuring District Family Protection Units are notified and Family and Domestic Violence Response Team (FDVRT) protocols are applied.

Additional advantages of recording the information on IMS include the provision of a formal trigger to place investigative accountability on business units and individual officers and a compliance mechanism to ensure timely investigation within the WA Police Investigation Framework.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.
**Recommendation 3:** That WAPOL considers current procedures to determine whether action is required to strengthen the timely provision of intelligence to WAPOL prosecutors to inform bail applications and associated bail conditions.

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that WAPOL inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, WAPOL provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 9 August 2017.

In the WAPOL Letter, WAPOL relevantly informed the Office that:

WA Police policy and training directs investigating officers to provide timely intelligence to prosecutors in order to inform bail conditions. This is predominately confined to intelligence held within WA Police databases due to Information Technology (IT) limitations existing across agencies, as platforms do not readily interact and have limited interoperability.

WA Police Prosecuting personnel are sometimes restricted in opportunity to engage in comprehensive intelligence gathering, particularly when applications for bail are made at the discretion of the accused person and the timing of such actions are often unknown and at short notice.

Dialogue is ongoing to explore the possibility of strengthening systems, however a number of issues exist including lack of access to intelligence held by other agencies and limitation of access to external agencies outside of office hours which may preclude this.

**Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.**

**Recommendation 4:** That WAPOL takes all reasonable steps to ensure that actions allocated to WAPOL arising from the Family and Domestic Violence Response Team (FDVRT) triage meetings are undertaken in a timely manner and consistent with the FDVRT Operating Procedures and WAPOL’s Family and Domestic Violence Policy.

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that WAPOL inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, WAPOL provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 9 August 2017.

In the WAPOL Letter, WAPOL relevantly informed the Office that:

The current WA Police policies and procedures have recently been reviewed and provide advice and accountabilities to WA Police personnel involved in the FDVRT process. All police FDVRT actions are allocated to relevant Victim Safety Unit or Family Protection Unit who are accountable for actioning tasks and record the actions on an IMS running sheet.

The implementation of a threshold for reports to the FDVRT is scheduled for tiered implementation across the state in July and August 2017. The threshold intends to focus the resources of the FDVRT on the families most in need of support, to
maximise effort.

The reduced volume of reports forwarded for FDVRT attention will provide the capacity to complete triage in a timely manner and actively engage in support actions and interventions allocated.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

**Recommendation 5:** That WAPOL takes all reasonable steps to ensure its cumulative knowledge associated with the circumstances of recidivist family and domestic violence offending, in addition to perpetrator offending histories, is utilised at FDVRT triage meetings to inform the identification of high risk cases for referral and management via multi-agency case management.

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that WAPOL inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, WAPOL provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 9 August 2017.

In the WAPOL Letter, WAPOL relevantly informed the Office that:

The implementation of a threshold for reports to the FDVRT is scheduled to be implemented across the State in July and August 2017. The threshold intends to focus the resources of the FDVRT on families most in need of support, to maximise effort.

The reduced volume of reports for FDVRT attention will afford the Family Protection and Victim Support Units capacity to interrogate WA Police databases and ‘value add’ to reports prior to publishing for FDVRT triage.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

**Recommendation 6:** That the Department of Health (DOH) considers amending and/or supplementing DOH’s *Guideline for Responding to Family and Domestic Violence 2014*, to provide its staff with guidance on implementing the outlined procedures associated with identifying and responding to family and domestic violence in circumstances where a patient presents as intoxicated.

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that DOH inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, DOH provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 6 September 2017 (*the DOH Letter*).

In the DOH Letter, DOH relevantly informed the Office that:

…on 1 July 2016, the Health Services Act 2016 commenced operation introducing a contemporary, devolved governance model for the WA Health system. The *Health Services Act 2016* clarifies roles and responsibilities at each level of the system, establishing the Director General of the Department of Health as the System Manager responsible for the overall management of the WA health system and the
Health Service Providers (Child and Adolescent Health Service, North Metropolitan Health Service, East Metropolitan Health Service, South Metropolitan Health Service and WA Country Health Service and Health Service Support) as separate statutory authorities…

Policy Governance Arrangements

The Health Services Act 2016 introduced the concept of Policy Frameworks to the WA health system. On 1 July 2016 Operational Directives, Information Circulars and other policy documents relevant to the governance of the WA health system were allocated to one of the 19 policy frameworks and issued to the WA health system by the System Manager. Policy frameworks are binding on Health Service Providers.

On 5 April 2017 the WA Health System Policy Governance Policy was issued to govern policy frameworks and the policy documents that sit within them. The WA health system is still in a transitional phase.

Operational Directives issued prior to 1 July 2016 do not reflect the new governance model therefore the roles and responsibilities of Health Service Providers and the Director General, as System Manager in some cases are not clear. However, the Department of Health is about to embark on a process of reviewing and refining each policy framework so all policy documents within each framework are reflective of the new governance model, mandatory requirements are clear, and compliance and monitoring processes well-articulated and undertaken on a regular basis.

One policy issue that has yet to be resolved is the issue of statewide policy. Statewide policy is policy that is developed by subject matter experts within Health Service Providers and is intended to apply to the entire WA health system. This is a complex issue as Health Service Providers do not have the power under the Health Services Act 2016 to:

- Issue policy frameworks;
- Monitor another Health Service Provider’s performance; or
- Monitor another Health Service Provider’s compliance with a policy.

One example of a statewide policy is Operational Directive 0523/14 Responding to Family and Domestic Violence (Guidelines and Reference Manual) (OD 0523/14). This policy is developed by the Women’s Health, Genetics and Mental Health Directorate located at the Women and Newborn Health Service within the North Metropolitan Health Service. Due to the statewide policy issue not being resolved, OD 0523/14 was not allocated to a policy framework at 1 July 2016. The OD remains in force, however, as it is not part of a policy framework it is therefore not mandated on Health Service Providers under the Health Services Act 2016. Work is currently progressing in resolving the statewide policy issue…

…Once the issues regarding statewide policy are resolved, it will become clearer as to how this policy can be mandated on the WA health system and how compliance and evaluation of the policy will occur.

In the meantime, the Women’s Health, Genetics and Mental Health Directorate are currently in the process of updating OD 0523/14 and this policy will be reviewed and amended to reflect the new governance model, along with other required process updates.

[DOH] note that family violence was discussed at the August 2017 COAG Health Council and that it is a critical issue for the WA health system to address. Therefore, [DOH] will prioritise resolving the policy governance arrangements and clarifying the roles and responsibilities of the System Manager and the Health Service Providers in
It is also noted by this Office that during the review of this family and domestic violence fatality, DOH informed the Office in a letter dated 4 June 2015 that:

Recommendation [6] is currently being considered by the Women Newborn Health Service’s (WNHS) Women’s Clinical Care Unit, as a component of the current FDV education and training sessions. Alcohol and drug use is a major risk factor for FDV, as outlined in the current assessment form. The WNHS Women’s Health Clinical Support Program FDV trainer organised a videoconference forum with the WACHS Kimberley which occurred on 18 May 2015. At least 7 sites amounting to over 50 people attended this event. The issue of people presenting intoxicated was discussed at this forum.

In the context of the introduction of the Health Services Act 2016, this Office notes the work being undertaken to clarify how Operational Directive 0523/14 Responding to Family and Domestic Violence (Guidelines and Reference Manual) can be mandated on the WA health system and how compliance and evaluation will be undertaken.

Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.

Recommendation 7: That DOH liaises with the relevant community service and Communities to clarify information relating to the criteria for referral and services available from the relevant community service, and updates the family and domestic violence Local Service Information sheet accordingly.

Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation

The Office requested that DOH inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, WACHS provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 15 June 2017 (the WACHS Letter), containing a report prepared by WACHS.

In the WACHS Letter, WACHS relevantly informed the Office that:

Family and Domestic Violence folders in each department outline:

- Information for staff
- WACHS procedures and guidelines
- Documentation – screening and assessment tools/Referral forms – FDV950, FDV951, FDV952
- Local support services
- Contact phone numbers
- Patient education – handouts/pamphlets

In its June 2017 report, WACHS further relevantly informed the Office that:

FDV951 Assessment form – comprehensive 12 page document is completed. Tick box checklist which prompts for referrals and is signed and dated.

Operational Directive 0523/14 Responding to Family and Domestic Violence (Guidelines and Reference Manual) includes a template titled Local Service Information, which can be provided to patients who attend health services when
family and domestic violence is a presenting issue.

**Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.**

**Recommendation 8: That DOH ensures the knowledge and views of clinicians, and other health services throughout the Region, are incorporated in current planning to address the issues of family and domestic violence in the Region.**

**Steps taken to give effect to the recommendation**

The Office requested that DOH inform the Office of the steps taken to give effect to the recommendation. In response, WACHS provided a range of information in a letter to this Office dated 15 June 2017, containing a report prepared by WACHS.

In the WACHS Letter, WACHS relevantly informed the Office that:

Broome Hospital nursing staff are encouraged to discuss alerting police to FDV assaults with the patient whilst in ED – if consent is not provided and FDV considered High Risk as per FDV951 the case is referred … for assessment +/- information sharing with the Kimberley FDV response team comprised of WAPOL, CPFS and Anglicare; as per MOU and Section 28B of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*.

A BHS representative attends Multi Agency Case Management meetings for identified high risk patients and place High risk alerts … in patient files which direct clinician response.

In providing information to this Office for the review, WACHS stated:

…the emergency department doctors often have to make assumptions about whether a case is FDV. There is regularly a lack of information by women about what has happened. The incidents are often without consequence and it is thought that disclosure has a potential to lead to “punishment” for the victim at home. Other victims are intoxicated (alcohol or drugs) … there is a perception of multi-system failure often due to lack of safe housing; limitations on what police can do; and shelters/refuges not answering the phone after 10.00pm; or declining to provide assistance…

It is noted by this Office that during the review of this family and domestic violence fatality, DOH informed the Office in a letter dated 4 June 2015 that:

The Kimberley region currently has a DCPFS working group. The DCPFS conducted consultations in all Kimberley towns, through local working groups and in the second half of 2014. WACHS Kimberley was represented at these consultations. The Kimberley Family Violence Regional Plan is not yet a public document, however is close to public release which addresses care for victims, and perpetrators, of FDV. The draft Kimberley Family Violence Regional Plan outlines a 2015-2016 work plan, in which WACHS Kimberley will be the lead agency to implement strategies and initiatives identified by the working group.

*Safer Families, Safer Communities Kimberley Family Violence Regional Plan 2015 - 2020* was finalised in 2015.

**Following careful consideration of the information provided, steps have been taken to give effect to this recommendation.**
Timely Handling of Notifications and Reviews

The Office places a strong emphasis on the timely review of family and domestic violence fatalities. This ensures reviews contribute, in the most timely way possible, to the prevention or reduction of future deaths. In 2017-18, timely review processes have resulted in one half of reviews being completed within three months and 67% of reviews completed within 12 months.

Major Own Motion Investigations Arising from Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews

In addition to investigations of individual family and domestic violence fatalities, the Office identifies patterns and trends arising out of reviews to inform major own motion investigations that examine the practice of public authorities that provide services to children, their families and their communities.

On 19 November 2015, the Ombudsman tabled in Parliament a report entitled *Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*. Recommendation 54 of the report is as follows:

Taking into account the findings of this investigation, DCPFS:

- conducts a review to identify barriers to the effective implementation of relevant family and domestic violence policies and practice guidance;
- develops an associated action plan to overcome identified barriers; and
- provides the resulting review report and action plan to this Office within 12 months of the tabling in the Western Australian Parliament of the report of this investigation.

Section 25(4) of the *Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971* relevantly provides as follows:

(4) If under subsection (2) the Commissioner makes recommendations to the principal officer of an authority he may request that officer to notify him, within a specified time, of the steps that have been or are proposed to be taken to give effect to the recommendations, or, if no such steps have been, or are proposed to be taken, the reasons therefor.

On 13 October 2016, the Director General of the (then) Department for Child Protection and Family Support (DCPFS) provided the Ombudsman with two documents constituting DCPFS’s response to Recommendation 54. These were the *Family and Domestic Violence Practice Guidance Review Report* and the *Family and Domestic Violence – Practice Guidance Implementation*. 
On 10 November 2016, the Ombudsman tabled in Parliament *A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities*, which, among other things, identified that:

The review report and action plan have been provided to the Office within 12 months of the tabling of the FDV Investigation Report, and will be reviewed by the Office and the results of this review reported on in the Office’s 2016-17 Annual Report.

In the Office’s *Annual Report 2016-17*, the Office identified that (the then) DCPFS’s response to Recommendation 54 had been reviewed and that the Office’s analysis would be tabled separately.

The Office has now concluded its review of the (now) Department of Communities’ *(Communities)* review report. The Office has considered the *Family and Domestic Violence Practice Guidance Review Report* and that Communities has conducted a project to review its family and domestic violence practice guidance. The focus of the review conducted by Communities was to identify and recommend amendments to Communities’ family and domestic violence practice guidance. The review did not include any actions ‘to identify barriers to the effective implementation of relevant family and domestic violence policies and practice guidance’. Further, while Communities identified several issues which potentially relate to barriers to effective implementation, a range of Communities’ ‘proposed actions’ to overcome these potential barriers were not considered to be appropriate.

Following consideration of all of the above matters, the review conducted by Communities did not constitute a review to identify barriers to the effective implementation of relevant family and domestic violence policies and practice guidance. As developing an associated action plan to overcome identified barriers was contingent on conducting a review to identify those barriers, the *Family and Domestic Violence – Practice Guidance Implementation* document did not constitute an associated action plan to overcome identified barriers.

In a pleasing response to this finding, Communities indicated the following:

Communities acknowledges this finding and confirms it is a priority for Communities to address and implement the intent of the recommendation. It was the intent of the *Family and Domestic Violence Practice Guidance Review Report* (the report) and the *Family and Domestic Violence Practice Guidance Implementation* to do so. The report did help to identify a range of issues that limit the implementation of policy and practice guidance, and Communities has undertaken numerous activities and processes to address these. These include:

- new toolkits for assessment and safety planning in cases of emotional abuse - family and domestic violence, which aim to support child protection workers to form an evidence-based professional judgement, and include practice examples of how to gather information to inform assessments, analyse the information, and practice examples of safety planning;
- mandatory training concerning family and domestic violence for new and current employees to have a focus on effectively engaging perpetrators, including assessments within the training and in the field;
- workshops and presentations with Team Leader and Senior Practice Development Officer groups to encourage strong leadership within districts of the policy and practice guidance;
• case consultation with child protection workers to provide opportunities for staff to reflect on and plan their practice;

• a centralised intake model in July 2017, including a ‘threshold tool’ to provide a consistent response to child protection referrals;

• a partnership with Curtin University, the University of Melbourne and the Safe and Together Institute in order to integrate techniques in working with perpetrators into practice; and

• a practice audit is currently being undertaken to assess the implementation to date of the family and domestic violence practice guidance, and to establish a baseline from which further audits or reviews of practice can be measured. The audit examines 50 cases (three from each district) at various stages of Communities’ Child Protection and Family Support division involvement, identifies areas for practice improvement and provides opportunities to work with districts to improve understanding of key issues in the intersection between child protection and family and domestic violence.

**Other Mechanisms to Prevent or Reduce Family and Domestic Violence Fatalities**

In addition to reviews of individual family and domestic violence fatalities and major own motion investigations, the Office uses a range of other mechanisms to improve public administration with a view to preventing or reducing family and domestic violence fatalities. These include:

• Assisting public authorities by providing information about issues that have arisen from family and domestic violence fatality reviews, and enquiries and complaints received, that may need their immediate attention, including issues relating to the safety of other parties;

• Through the Panel, and other mechanisms, working with public authorities and communities where individuals may be at risk of family and domestic violence to consider safety issues and potential areas for improvement, and to highlight the critical importance of effective liaison and communication between and within public authorities and communities;

• Exchanging information, where appropriate, with other accountability and oversight agencies including Ombudsmen and family and domestic violence fatality review bodies in other States to facilitate consistent approaches and shared learning;

• Engaging with other family and domestic violence fatality review bodies in Australia and New Zealand through meetings with the Australian Domestic and Family Violence Death Review Network;

• Undertaking or supporting research that may provide an opportunity to identify good practices that may assist in the prevention or reduction of family and domestic violence fatalities; and

• Taking up opportunities to inform service providers, other professionals and the community through presentations.
**Stakeholder Liaison**

Efficient and effective liaison has been established with WAPOL to develop and support the implementation of the process to inform the Office of family and domestic violence fatalities. Regular liaison occurs at senior officer level between the Office and WAPOL.

**The Ombudsman’s Advisory Panel**

The Panel is an advisory body established to provide independent advice to the Ombudsman on:

- Issues and trends that fall within the scope of the family and domestic violence fatality review function;
- Contemporary professional practice relating to the safety and wellbeing of people impacted by family and domestic violence; and
- Issues that impact on the capacity of public authorities to ensure the safety and wellbeing of individuals and families.

The Panel met four times in 2017-18 and during the year the following members provided a range of expertise:

- Professor Steve Allsop (National Drug Research Institute of Curtin University);
- Ms Jocelyn Jones (Health Sciences, Curtin University);
- Professor Donna Chung (Head of the Department of Social Work, Curtin University);
- Ms Dorinda Cox (Consultant);
- Ms Angela Hartwig (Women’s Council for Domestic and Family Violence Services WA);
- Ms Victoria Hovane (Consultant);
- Dr Michael Wright (Health Sciences, Curtin University)
- Mr Ralph Mogridge (Consultant); and
- Associate Professor Carolyn Johnson (Consultant).

In 2017-18, observers from Western Australia Police, the Department of Communities, the Department of Health, the Department of Education, the Department of Justice, and the Mental Health Commission also attended the meetings.

**Key stakeholder relationships**

There are a number of public authorities and other bodies that interact with or deliver services to those who are at risk of family and domestic violence or who have experienced family and domestic violence. Important stakeholders, with which the Office liaised as part of the family and domestic violence fatality review function in 2017-18, included:

- The Coroner;
• Relevant public authorities including:
  o Western Australia Police;
  o The Department of Health and Health Service Providers;
  o The Department of Education;
  o The Department of Justice;
  o The Department of Communities;
  o The Mental Health Commission; and
  o Other accountability and similar agencies including the Commissioner for
    Children and Young People;
• The Women’s Council for Domestic and Family Violence Services WA and
  relevant non-government organisations; and
• Research institutions including universities.

Aboriginal and regional communities

In 2016, the Ombudsman appointed a Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer to:

• Provide high level advice, assistance and support to the Corporate Executive
  and to staff conducting reviews and investigations of the deaths of certain
  Aboriginal children and family and domestic violence fatalities in Western
  Australia, complaint resolution involving Aboriginal people and own motion
  investigations.
• Raise awareness of, and accessibility to, the Ombudsman’s roles and services
  to Aboriginal communities and support cross cultural communication between
  Ombudsman staff and Aboriginal people.

A Senior Aboriginal Advisor was appointed in January 2018 to assist the Principal
Aboriginal Liaison Officer in this important work. Through the leadership of the
Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer, and Senior Aboriginal Advisor, the Panel and
outreach activities, work was undertaken through the year to continue to build
relationships relating to the family and domestic violence fatality review function with
Aboriginal and regional communities, including by communicating with:

• Key public authorities that work in metropolitan and regional areas;
• Non-government organisations that provide key services such as health services
to Aboriginal people; and
• Aboriginal community members and leaders to increase the awareness of the
  family and domestic violence fatality review function and its purpose.

Building on the work already undertaken by the Office, as part of its other functions,
including its child death review function, networks and contacts have been
established to support effective and efficient family and domestic violence fatality
reviews.
Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement

A key function of the Office is to improve the standard of public administration. The Office achieves positive outcomes in this area in a number of ways including:

- Improvements to public administration as a result of:
  - The investigation of complaints;
  - Reviews of child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities; and
  - Undertaking own motion investigations that are based on the patterns, trends and themes that arise from the investigation of complaints, and the review of certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities;

- Providing guidance to public authorities on good decision making and practices and complaint handling through continuous liaison, publications, presentations and workshops;

- Working collaboratively with other integrity and accountability agencies to encourage best practice and leadership in public authorities; and

- Undertaking inspection and monitoring functions.

Improvements from Complaints and Reviews

In addition to outcomes which result in some form of assistance for the complainant, the Ombudsman also achieves outcomes which are aimed at improving public administration. Among other things, this reduces the likelihood of the same or similar issues which gave rise to the complaint occurring again in the future. Further details of the improvements arising from complaint resolution are shown in the Complaint Resolution section.

Child death and family and domestic violence fatality reviews also result in improvements to public administration as a result of the review of individual child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities. Further details of the improvements arising from reviews are shown in the Child Death Review section and the Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Review section.

Own Motion Investigations

One of the ways that the Office endeavours to improve public administration is to undertake investigations of systemic and thematic patterns and trends arising from complaints made to the Ombudsman and from child death and family and domestic violence fatality reviews. These investigations are referred to as own motion investigations.
Own motion investigations are intended to result in improvements to public administration that are evidence-based, proportionate, practical and where the benefits of the improvements outweigh the costs of their implementation.

Own motion investigations that arise out of child death and family and domestic violence fatality reviews focus on the practices of agencies that interact with children and families and aim to improve the administration of these services to prevent or reduce child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities.

**Selecting topics for own motion investigations**

Topics for own motion investigations are selected based on a number of criteria that include:

- The number and nature of complaints, child death and family and domestic violence fatality reviews, and other issues brought to the attention of the Ombudsman;
- The likely public interest in the identified issue of concern;
- The number of people likely to be affected;
- Whether reviews of the issue have been done recently or are in progress by the Office or other organisations;
- The potential for the Ombudsman’s investigation to improve administration across public authorities; and
- Whether investigation of the chosen topic is the best and most efficient use of the Office’s resources.

Having identified a topic, extensive preliminary research is carried out to assist in planning the scope and objectives of the investigation. A public authority selected to be part of an own motion investigation is informed when the project commences and Ombudsman staff consult regularly with staff at all levels to ensure that the facts and understanding of the issues are correct and findings are evidence-based. The public authority is given regular progress reports on findings together with the opportunity to comment on draft conclusions and any recommendations.

**Monitoring the implementation of recommendations**

Recommendations for administrative improvements are based closely on evidence gathered during investigations and are designed to be a proportionate response to the number and type of administrative issues identified. Each of the recommendations arising from own motion investigations is actively monitored by the Office to ensure its implementation and effectiveness in relation to the observations made in the investigation.

In addition, significant work was undertaken during the year on two reports in relation to the steps taken to giving effect to the recommendations arising from own motion investigations.
Own Motion Investigations in 2017-18

In 2017-18, significant work was undertaken on:

- Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning, tabled in Parliament on 23 November 2017;
- A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning to be tabled in Parliament in 2018;
- A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people, to be tabled in Parliament in 2018-19;
- An investigation into deaths of children who drowned in a dam or river; and
- A report on giving effect to Recommendation 54 of the Investigation into issues associated with violence restraining orders and their relationship with family and domestic violence fatalities.

Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning

In November 2017, the Office tabled in Parliament the report of a major own motion investigation, Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning.

Through the review of the circumstances in which, and why, child deaths occurred, the Ombudsman identified a pattern of cases in which children appeared to have died by drowning. The Ombudsman decided to undertake an investigation into these deaths with a view to determining whether it may be appropriate to make recommendations to any local government or State Government department or authority about ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning.

To undertake the investigation, the Office conducted an extensive literature review, comprehensively considered 34 deaths of children by drowning notified to the Office over a six year investigation period, surveyed all local governments in Western Australia (to which the Office received a 99 per cent response rate), selected five local governments for further investigation, collected and analysed comprehensive information regarding the number of private swimming pools in local government districts and the quality of the swimming pool barrier inspection process, engaged with the Department of Commerce, the Building Commissioner, the Department of Health, the Department of Local Government and Communities and relevant non-government and not-for-profit organisations.

The Office also collected and analysed de-identified information regarding the number of children admitted to a hospital or who attended an emergency department at a hospital following a non-fatal drowning incident. The Office found that 258
children were admitted to a hospital and 2,310 children attended an emergency department at a hospital following a non-fatal drowning incident.

The Ombudsman found that a range of work has been undertaken by the Department of Commerce and the Building Commissioner to administer their respective responsibilities in relation to swimming pool safety.

The Ombudsman also found that there is important further work that should be done. This work is detailed in the findings of this report. It will be critical that this work is undertaken with strong cooperation between the Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, the Building Commissioner, local governments and other key stakeholders, including intra-agency, inter-agency and cross sectoral arrangements – this is the most efficient and effective way to achieve positive change.

Arising from the findings, the Ombudsman made 25 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning. The Ombudsman is very pleased that the Department of Commerce and the Building Commissioner have agreed to these recommendations.

In keeping with the Ombudsman’s commitment to Parliament to ensure Parliament is informed about the implementation of investigations, the Office will actively examine the steps taken to give effect to the recommendations and report the results of this examination to Parliament in 2018.

The full report, *Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning* is available at www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/drowningsreport.

A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the *Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*

Through the review of the circumstances in which and why child deaths occurred, the Ombudsman identified a pattern of cases in which young people appeared to have died by suicide. Of the child death notifications received by the Office since the child death review function commenced, nearly a third related to children aged 13 to 17 years old. Of these children, suicide was the most common circumstance of death, accounting for nearly forty per cent of deaths. Furthermore, and of serious concern, Aboriginal children were very significantly over-represented in the number of young people who died by suicide. For these reasons, the Ombudsman decided to undertake a major own motion investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people.

The report of the findings and recommendations arising from that investigation, titled *Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, was tabled in Parliament on 9 April 2014. The report made 22 recommendations to four government agencies about ways to prevent or reduce suicide by young people. Each agency agreed to these recommendations.

During 2017-18, significant work was undertaken on a report by the Office on the steps taken to give effect to the 22 recommendations arising from the findings of this report. The report will be tabled in Parliament in 2018-19.
**Continuous Administrative Improvement**

The Office maintains regular contact with staff from public authorities to inform them of trends and issues identified in individual complaints and the Ombudsman’s own motion investigations with a view to assisting them to improve their administrative practices. This contact seeks to encourage thinking around the foundations of good administration and to identify opportunities for administrative improvements.

Where relevant, these discussions concern internal investigations and complaint processes that authorities have conducted themselves. The information gathered demonstrates to the Ombudsman whether these internal investigations have been conducted appropriately and in a manner that is consistent with the standards and practices of the Ombudsman’s own investigations.

**Guidance for Public Authorities**

The Office provides publications, workshops, assistance and advice to public authorities regarding their decision making and administrative practices and their complaint handling systems. This educative function assists with building the capacity of public authorities and subsequently improving the standard of administration.

**Publications**

The Ombudsman has a range of guidelines available for public authorities in the areas of effective complaint handling, conducting administrative investigations and administrative decision making. These guidelines aim to assist public authorities in strengthening their administrative and decision making practices. For a full listing of the Office’s publications, see Appendix 3.

**Workshops for public authorities**

During the year, the Office continued to proactively engage with public authorities through presentations and workshops.

Workshops are targeted at people responsible for making decisions or handling complaints as well as customer service staff. The workshops are also relevant for supervisors, managers, senior decision and policy makers as well as integrity and governance officers who are responsible for implementing and maintaining complaint handling systems or making key decisions within a public authority.

The workshops are tailored to the organisation or sector by using case studies and practical exercises. Details of workshops conducted during the year are provided in the Collaboration and Access to Services section.

**Working collaboratively**

The Office works collaboratively with other integrity and accountability agencies to encourage best practice and leadership in public authorities. Improvements to public administration are supported by the collaborative development of products and forums to promote integrity in decision making, practices and conduct. Details are provided in the Collaboration and Access to Services section.
Inspection and Monitoring Functions

Telecommunications interception records

The Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Western Australia Act 1996, the Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Western Australia Regulations 1996 and the Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Act 1979 (Commonwealth) permit designated ‘eligible authorities’ to carry out telecommunications interceptions. The Western Australia Police (WAPOL) and the Corruption and Crime Commission are eligible authorities in Western Australia. The Ombudsman is appointed as the Principal Inspector to inspect and report on the extent of compliance with the legislation.

In 2017-18, significant work was undertaken on A report on the monitoring of the infringement notices provisions of The Criminal Code, tabled in Parliament on 30 November 2017 by the Minister for Police as required by section 723 (6) of the Criminal Code Amendment (Infringement Notices) Act 2011.

A report on the monitoring of the infringement notices provisions of The Criminal Code

In 2017-18, the Office provided A report on the monitoring of the infringement notices provisions of The Criminal Code to the Minister for Police and the Commissioner of Police and the report was tabled in Parliament by the Minister for Police on 30 November 2017.

In accordance with the relevant provisions of The Criminal Code, Parliament gave the Ombudsman an important function to keep under scrutiny the operation of the infringement notices provisions of The Criminal Code, relevant regulations made under The Criminal Code and the relevant provisions of the Criminal Investigation (Identifying People) Act 2002 in relation to infringement notices (Criminal Code infringement notices). Importantly, this scrutiny included review of the impact of the operation of the provisions on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities.

The infringement notices provisions of The Criminal Code and the relevant regulations allow authorised officers to issue Criminal Code infringement notices for two prescribed offences, with a modified penalty of $500.

The report found that considerable positive work has been undertaken by WAPOL to implement Criminal Code infringement notices effectively and identified opportunities for further work to be undertaken by WAPOL.

The report also found that the key economic objectives arising from the introduction of Criminal Code infringement notices have been achieved, including anticipated outcomes relating to reducing administrative demands on police officers and avoided court appearances for alleged offenders.
The report identified a range of impacts of the introduction of Criminal Code infringement notices on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities (and in doing so also identified impacts for other people and communities experiencing vulnerability). The report identifies a range of measures to address these impacts (and concomitantly makes recommendations about these measures).

While certain of these recommended measures are specific to Criminal Code infringement notices, mostly these recommended measures are applicable to the impact of the broader criminal justice system on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people (particularly the overrepresentation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in the criminal justice system, including as recipients of Criminal Code infringement notices).

The report makes 34 recommendations relating to proposed amendments to the relevant regulations made under *The Criminal Code* as well as the proposed introduction of, or amendments to, other legislation, schemes, policies, procedures and other measures. The Ombudsman is very pleased that WAPOL has accepted each of the recommendations directed to them.


**Criminal organisations control**

Under the *Criminal Organisations Control Act 2012*, the Ombudsman scrutinises and reports on the exercise of certain powers by WAPOL, for a five year period commencing in November 2013.

In accordance with the *Criminal Organisations Control Act 2012*, a report was prepared by the Ombudsman for the monitoring period ending 1 November 2017. A copy of this report was provided to the Minister for Police and the Commissioner of Police in accordance with the *Criminal Organisations Control Act 2012*. 
Collaboration and Access to Services

Engagement with key stakeholders is essential to the Office’s achievement of the most efficient and effective outcomes. The Office does this through:

- Working collaboratively with other integrity and accountability bodies – locally, nationally and internationally – to encourage best practice, efficiency and leadership;
- Ensuring ongoing accountability to Parliament as well as accessibility to its services for public authorities and the community; and
- Developing, maintaining and supporting relationships with public authorities and community groups.

Working Collaboratively

The Office works collaboratively with local, national and international integrity and accountability bodies to promote best practice, efficiency and leadership. Working collaboratively also provides an opportunity for the Office to benchmark its performance and stakeholder communication activities against other similar agencies, and to identify areas for improvement through the experiences of others.

Integrity Coordinating Group

**Members:** Western Australian Ombudsman; Public Sector Commissioner; Corruption and Crime Commissioner; Auditor General; and Information Commissioner.

**Background:**

The Integrity Coordinating Group (ICG) was formed to promote and strengthen integrity in Western Australian public bodies.

**The Office’s involvement:**

The Ombudsman participates as a member of the ICG and the Office has nominated senior representatives who sit on the ICG’s joint working party.

**2017-18 initiatives:**

The Office was involved in the ICG’s graduate program, which involves a graduate working in each of the member agencies over a two year period in total.
Background:
The International Ombudsman Institute (IOI), established in 1978, is the only global organisation for the cooperation of more than 190 independent Ombudsman institutions from more than 100 countries worldwide. The IOI is organised in six regional chapters (Africa, Asia, Australasia & Pacific, Europe, the Caribbean & Latin America and North America). The IOI is governed by a World Board of which the Western Australian Ombudsman is the 2nd Vice-President.

The Office’s involvement:
The Office is a member of the IOI. The IOI is governed by a World Board, of which the Ombudsman was elected 2nd Vice-President of the IOI in November 2016. The Ombudsman previously served as the Treasurer of the IOI from March 2014 to November 2016 and President of the Australasian and Pacific Ombudsman Region (APOR) of the IOI from November 2012 to March 2014.

2017-18 initiatives:
In November 2017, the Office hosted the 29th APOR Conference, Connections in our Australasian-Pacific Region. Further details about the Conference are provided later in this section.

In January 2018, the Ombudsman undertook an official visit to the Office of the Chief Ombudsman of Thailand in Bangkok and also undertook investigation field visits in the Phuket province including participating in, and addressing meetings in relation to, two major Ombudsman investigations regarding the delivery of significantly cheaper electricity for the residents of Panyee Island and the provision of more effective and efficient transport for the people of Phuket and its many visitors.

In April 2018, the Ombudsman attended a meeting of the World Board of the IOI in Toronto, Canada.

Immediately prior to the meeting of the World Board of the IOI in Toronto, the Ombudsman attended the United Nations (UN) in New York to participate in a formal event between the IOI and the UN hosted by Ambassador Jan Kickert, Permanent Representative of Austria to the UN and Ambassador Geraldine Byrne Nason, Permanent Representative of Ireland to the UN.

The event also included recognition of the 40th anniversary of the IOI and was followed by IOI delegates being received at the Austrian Ambassador’s residence, attended by a number of Permanent Representatives to the UN, including Her Excellency Gillian Bird, Permanent Representative of Australia to the UN. The Ombudsman also attended the offices of the Australian Mission to the UN and met with Her Excellency to discuss IOI work with the UN.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information sharing with Ombudsmen from other jurisdictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Background:</strong> Where appropriate, the Office shares information and insights about its work with Ombudsmen from other jurisdictions, as well as with other accountability and integrity bodies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2017-18 initiatives:</strong> The Office exchanged information with a number of Parliamentary Ombudsmen and industry-based Ombudsmen during the year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Australia and New Zealand Ombudsman Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Members:</strong> Parliamentary and industry-based Ombudsmen from Australia and New Zealand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Background:** The Australia and New Zealand Ombudsman Association (ANZOA) is the peak body for Parliamentary and industry-based Ombudsmen from Australia and New Zealand. |
| **The Office’s involvement:** The Office is a member of ANZOA. The Office periodically provides general updates on its activities and also has nominated representatives who participate in interest groups in the areas of Aboriginal complaints handling, first contact, business improvement, policy and research, and public relations and communications. In 2017-18, ANZOA held their biennial conference in Wellington, New Zealand. In addition to staff attendance as delegates, the Acting Assistant Ombudsman Monitoring participated in the ‘Innovation Showcase’ panel session of the Conference, presenting on the Office’s commitment to the Western Australian Parliament to examine and report to Parliament on the steps taken by government to give effect to recommendations arising from major own motion investigations not more than 12 months after the tabling of the investigation. |
29th Australasian and Pacific Ombudsman Region Conference

In November 2017, the Office hosted the 29th APOR Conference Connections in our Australasian-Pacific Region. Participants included the Ombudsman and senior staff of Ombudsman offices from Australia, New Zealand, Hong Kong, Taiwan and the Pacific Island nations of the Cook Islands, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, the Solomon Islands, the Kingdom of Tonga and Vanuatu.

The theme of the APOR Conference explored the present and future challenges and opportunities for the institution of the Ombudsman in the Australasian and Pacific Region in enhancing citizens’ redress, undertaking major investigations intended to improve the administration of the laws of Parliament, supporting the rule of law as well as working collaboratively with developing nations in our region.

The APOR Conference was opened by Her Excellency the Honourable Kerry Sanderson AC, Governor of Western Australia. APOR President and Ombudsman, Hong Kong, Ms Connie Lau JP provided an Opening Address, followed by an Opening Address by 2nd Vice-President of the IOI and Western Australian Ombudsman, Chris Field.

Importantly, the APOR Conference included a session on Engagement with First Peoples. This session considered the criticality of service delivery and engagement by Ombudsman offices that acknowledges, respects and supports the history, culture, and practice of First Peoples.

The Conference was part of a three day program, commencing with delegates being welcomed to Western Australia at a Reception at Parliament House hosted by the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, the Honourable Peter Watson MLA on Monday 27 November 2017, followed by the Conference on Tuesday 28 November and a business meeting, an optional investigations training program and a tour of Kings Park for interstate and international delegates on Wednesday 29 November.

Copies of speeches and presentations, and photos of the event are available on the Ombudsman’s website.
Providing Access to the Community

Communicating with complainants

The Office provides a range of information and services to assist specific groups, and the public more generally, to understand the role of the Ombudsman and the complaint process. Many people find the Office’s enquiry service and complaint clinics held during regional visits assist them to make their complaint. Other initiatives in 2017-18 include:

- Regular updating and simplification of the Ombudsman’s publications and website to provide easy access to information for people wishing to make a complaint and those undertaking the complaint process;
- Ongoing promotion of the role of the Office and the type of complaints the Office handles through ‘Ask the Ombudsman’ on 6PR’s Perth Tonight program; and
- The Office’s Youth Awareness and Accessibility Program and Prison Program.

Access to the Ombudsman’s services

The Office continues to implement a number of strategies to ensure its complaint services are accessible to all Western Australians. These include access through online facilities as well as more traditional approaches by letter and through visits to the Office. The Office also holds complaint clinics and delivers presentations to
community groups, particularly through the Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program. Initiatives to make services accessible include:

- Access to the Office through a Freecall number, which is free from landline phones;
- Access to the Office through email and online services. The importance of email and online access is demonstrated by its use this year in 66% of all complaints received;
- Information on how to make a complaint to the Ombudsman is available in 15 languages and features on the homepage of the Ombudsman's website. People may also contact the Office with the assistance of an interpreter by using the Translating and Interpreting Service;
- The Office's accommodation, building and facilities provide access for people with disability, including lifts that accommodate wheelchairs and feature braille on the access buttons and people with hearing and speech impairments can contact the Office using the National Relay Service;
- The Office's Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program and Youth Awareness and Accessibility Program target awareness and accessibility for regional and Aboriginal Western Australians as well as children and young people;
- The Office attends events to raise community awareness of, and access to, its service, such as the Financial Counsellors’ Association of WA conference in October 2017, and Homeless Connect in November 2017; and
- The Office’s visits to adult prisons and the juvenile detention centre provide an opportunity for adult prisoners and juvenile detainees to meet with representatives of the Office and lodge complaints in person.

Ombudsman website

The Ombudsman's website provides a wide range of information and resources for:

- Members of the public on the complaint handling services provided by the Office as well as links to other complaint bodies for issues outside the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction;
- Public authorities on decision making, complaint handling and conducting investigations;
- Children and young people as well as information for non-government organisations and government agencies that assist children and young people, including downloadable print material tailored for children and young people. The
youth pages can be accessed at www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/youth;

- Access to the Ombudsman’s reports such as the **Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning**;
- The latest news on events and collaborative initiatives such as the Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program; and
- Links to other key functions undertaken by the Office such as the Energy and Water Ombudsman website and other related bodies including other Ombudsmen and other Western Australian accountability agencies.

The website continues to be a valuable resource for the community and public sector as shown by the increased use of the website this year. In 2017-18:

- The total number of visits to the website has increased by 27% to 233,340 page visits compared to 184,221 page visits in 2016-17, and more than doubled since 2015-16;
- The top two most visited pages (besides the homepage and the Contact Us page) on the site were **The role of the Ombudsman** and **What you can complain about**; and
- The Office’s **Effective Handling of Complaints Made to Your Organisation Guidelines** and **Procedural Fairness Guidelines** were the two most viewed documents. The Office’s most recent reports also regularly featured in the top 10 most downloaded documents each month.

The website content and functionality are continually reviewed and improved to ensure there is maximum accessibility to all members of the diverse Western Australian community. The site provides information in a wide range of **community languages** and is accessible to people with disability.

‘Ask the Ombudsman’ on 6PR’s Perth Tonight

The Office continues to provide access to its services through the Ombudsman’s regular appearances on Radio 6PR’s Perth Tonight program. Listeners who have complaints about public authorities or want to make enquiries have the opportunity to call in and speak with the Ombudsman live on air.

The segment allows the public to communicate a range of concerns with the Ombudsman. The segment also allows the Office to communicate key messages about the Ombudsman and Energy and Water Ombudsman jurisdictions, the outcomes that can be achieved for members of the public and how public administration can be improved. The Ombudsman appeared on the ‘Ask the Ombudsman’ segment in August and October 2017 and February, May and June 2018.

Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program

The Office continued the Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program (the Program) during 2017-18. Two regional visits were conducted, to Bunbury, Busselton, Collie and Harvey in the South West Region in February and March 2018 and to Geraldton in the Mid West Region in June 2018. The visits included activities such as:

- Complaint clinics, which provided an opportunity for members of the local community to raise their concerns face-to-face with the staff of the Office;
- Meetings with the Aboriginal community to discuss government service delivery and where the agencies may be able to assist;
- Liaison with community, advocacy and consumer groups; and
- Liaison with public authorities, including meetings with senior officers and workshops for public officers on Good Decision Making and Effective Complaint Handling.

The Program is an important way for the Office to raise awareness of, access to, and use of, its services for regional and Aboriginal Western Australians.

The Program enables the Office to:

- Deliver key services directly to regional communities, particularly through complaint clinics;
- Increase awareness and accessibility among regional and Aboriginal Western Australians (who were historically under-represented in complaints to the Office); and
- Deliver key messages about the Office’s work and services.

The Program also provides a valuable opportunity for staff to strengthen their understanding of the issues affecting people in regional and Aboriginal communities.
Aboriginal engagement

In 2016-17, the Office developed the *Aboriginal Action Plan*, a comprehensive whole-of-office plan to address the significant disadvantage faced by Aboriginal people in Western Australia. The plan contributes to an overall goal of developing an organisation that is welcoming and culturally safe for Aboriginal people and meets the unique needs of the Aboriginal community it serves.

In 2018, the Office appointed two additional Aboriginal staff: a Senior Aboriginal Advisor that reports to the Office’s Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer and an Aboriginal Enquiry and Investigating Officer (both of which are identified s. 50(d) positions under the *Equal Opportunity Act 1984*). The Office also engaged an Aboriginal artist to produce an artwork for the Office. The artwork is featured on the cover of this report and will be used as a theme for the Office’s publications (see inside back cover for further details).

The Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer and Senior Aboriginal Advisor attended events and meetings with government and non-government service providers to discuss particular issues affecting the Aboriginal community and raise awareness of the Office’s role.

The Office also continued its engagement with the Aboriginal community through:

- Aboriginal community information sessions as part of its Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program;
- Visits to prisons and detention centres accompanied by Aboriginal staff and Aboriginal consultants, as part of its Prison Program; and
- Consultation with the Aboriginal community for major investigations and reports, including in relation to its function to monitor the Infringement Notices provisions of *The Criminal Code*. See further details in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section.

The Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer also coordinated cultural awareness information and events throughout the year, including a smoking ceremony attended by staff of the Office and offices of co-located agencies, and information to staff about culturally important dates and events being held in the community.
Smoking Ceremony

Staff attending the Smoking Ceremony at Albert Facey House

From left: Alison Gibson, Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer; Dr Richard Walley OAM; Nicole Casley, Senior Aboriginal Advisor; and Merinda Willis, Aboriginal Enquiry and Investigating Officer.

Youth Awareness and Accessibility Program

The Office has a dedicated youth space on the Ombudsman Western Australia website with information about the Office specifically tailored for children and young people, as well as information for non-government organisations and government agencies that assist children and young people, and a suite of promotional materials targeted at, and tailored for, children and young people.

The Office continued its proactive visiting program to vulnerable groups of children in the child protection system. During 2017-18, the Office visited:

- The Kath French Secure Care Centre in June 2018;
- One residential group home in the Perth metropolitan area in June 2018; and
- Two family group homes and one residential group home in the South West Region in February and March 2018 and one residential group home in the Mid West Region in June 2018.
The Ombudsman has also continued regular visits to the Banksia Hill Detention Centre and engagement with community sector youth organisations in regional Western Australia under the Ombudsman’s Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program.

The children and young people section of the Ombudsman’s website can be found at www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/youth.

Prison Program

The Office continued the Prison Program during 2017-18. Eight visits were made to prisons and the juvenile detention centre to raise awareness of the role of the Ombudsman and enhance accessibility to the Office for adult prisoners and juvenile detainees in Western Australia.

Speeches and Presentations

The Ombudsman and other staff delivered speeches and presentations throughout the year at local, national and international conferences and events.

Ombudsman’s speeches and presentations

- *Ethics, Professionalism and Confidentiality*, presented to University of Western Australia Legal Internship Students in August 2017;
- *The Ombudsman*, presented to University of Western Australia Foundation of Public Law Students in October 2017;
- *29th Australasian and Pacific Ombudsman Region Conference*, Opening Address, in November 2017;

Speeches by the Ombudsman are available on the Ombudsman’s website.
Speeches and presentations by other staff

- Ombudsman Western Australia, to East Metropolitan Health Service Aboriginal Health Forum in July 2017;
- The Western Australian Ombudsman to members of the community in sessions held in collaboration with the Public Sector Commission and the Office of the Information Commissioner titled ‘Building public trust: Integrity, accountability and transparency in the WA public sector’, held in July, October, and November 2017;
- The Role and Functions of the Ombudsman, to the Independent Visitors Service Conference in October 2017;
- The Role of the Ombudsman, to the Aboriginal Legal Service Justice Conference in November 2017;
- The Role and Functions of the Ombudsman, to Melaleuca Remand and Reintegration Facility staff in July 2017, Casuarina Prison staff in December 2017 and Acacia Prison staff in June 2018;
- The Role of the Ombudsman, to University of Western Australia administrative law students in February 2018;
- The Role and Functions of the Ombudsman, to staff at the City of Joondalup and the City of Stirling in April 2018; and
- The Role and Functions of the Ombudsman to Edith Cowan University administrative law students in April 2018.

Liaison with Public Authorities

Liaison relating to complaint resolution

The Office liaised with a range of bodies in relation to complaint resolution in 2017-18, including:

- The Department of Justice;
- The Department of Transport;
- The Department of Education;
- The Department of Communities;
- Western Australia Police;
- The Office of the Inspector of Custodial Services;
- The Commissioner for Children and Young People;
- The Corruption and Crime Commission; and
- Various local governments.
Liaison relating to reviews and own motion investigations

The Office undertook a range of liaison activities in relation to its reviews of child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities and its own motion investigations.

See further details in the Child Death Review section, the Family and Domestic Violence Fatality Review section, and the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section.

Liaison relating to inspection and monitoring functions

The Office undertook a range of liaison activities in relation to its inspection and monitoring functions.

See further details in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section.

Publications

The Office has a comprehensive range of publications about the role of the Ombudsman to assist complainants and public authorities, which are available on the Ombudsman’s website. For a full listing of the Office’s publications, see Appendix 3.
Significant Issues
Impacting the Office

This section provides information on the significant issues impacting the Office.

- **Timely Complaint Resolution**
- **Own Motion Investigations**
- **Providing Awareness of, and Access to, Ombudsman Services**
- **Diversity of Functions**
Significant Issues Impacting the Office

The significant issues impacting the Office are:

- Timely investigation and resolution of complaints is a significant factor in providing effective and efficient services to complainants and improvements to the standard of public administration. In 2017-18, 94% of complaints were resolved within three months and, as at 30 June 2018, the average age of complaints was 33 days (compared to 173 days at 30 June 2007). In 2017-18, timely processes for child death and family and domestic violence reviews have resulted in nearly two-thirds of all reviews being completed within six months.

- In 2017-18 the Office tabled in Parliament a major own motion investigation report *Investigation into ways to prevent or reduce deaths of children by drowning* that contained 25 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning. The Office also undertook significant work on *A report on giving effect to the recommendations arising from the Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*, to be tabled in Parliament in 2018-19.

- The Office has continued programs to enhance awareness of, and accessibility to, its services, particularly by Aboriginal and regional Western Australians and children and young people.

- The Office also undertakes a range of additional functions, including the inspection of telecommunication interception records, review of overseas student appeals, monitoring and reporting under the *Criminal Organisations Control Act 2012* and in relation to the Infringement Notices provisions of *The Criminal Code*. In 2017-18 the Office provided *A report on the monitoring of the infringement notices provisions of The Criminal Code* to the Minister for Police and the Commissioner of Police and the report was tabled in Parliament by the Minister for Police on 30 November 2017.

Timely Complaint Resolution

A principal function of the Ombudsman is to provide a means by which Western Australians can resolve their complaints about the actions of public authorities. Critical principles for the Ombudsman in undertaking complaint resolution are to provide timely, inexpensive and informal resolution processes that provide, where appropriate, remedies for complainants and identify and investigate systemic issues and create improvements in public administration.

In 2007-08, the Office introduced a major complaint handling improvement program with an initial focus on the elimination of aged complaints. Building on the program, the Office developed and commenced a new organisational structure and processes in 2011-12 to support the early resolution of complaints.
As a result of the program, the Office has reduced the average age of complaints from 173 days at 30 June 2007 to 33 days at 30 June 2017. At the same time, the average cost per finalised allegation has reduced by a total of 36% from $2,941 in 2007-08 to $1,879 in 2017-18.

**Own Motion Investigations**

One of the ways that the Office endeavours to improve public administration is to undertake investigations of systemic and thematic patterns and trends arising from complaints made to the Ombudsman and from child death and family and domestic violence fatality reviews. These investigations are referred to as own motion investigations.

Own motion investigations are intended to result in improvements to public administration that are evidence-based, proportionate, practical and where the benefits of the improvements outweigh the costs of their implementation. The Office is currently undertaking a number of investigations as shown in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section of the report.

Each of the recommendations arising from own motion investigations is actively monitored by the Office to ensure its implementation and effectiveness in relation to the observations made in the investigation.

**Providing Awareness of, and Access to, Ombudsman Services**

The Office continues to seek to ensure its services are accessible to all Western Australians, with a particular focus on regional and Aboriginal Western Australians, through a range of strategies including the Office’s Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program and the Aboriginal Action Plan. In addition, in 2017-18, the Office has continued work on a program to enhance awareness of, and accessibility to, its services for children and young people. The Office also has a number of other strategies to promote awareness of, and access to, the Ombudsman’s services, as shown in the Collaboration and Access to Services section of the report.

The Office is continuing to undertake a range of strategies to engage effectively with public authorities to strengthen their capacity in complaint handling and decision making through a range of mechanisms, as shown in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section of the report.

**Diversity of Functions**

In addition to investigating complaints, reviewing certain child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities, and undertaking own motion investigations, the Office undertakes a range of additional functions, including inspection of telecommunications interception records, overseas student appeals and undertaking the role of the Western Australian Energy and Water Ombudsman.

In recent years, there has been an increased diversity of statutory inspection and monitoring functions, including:

- Monitoring and reporting under the Criminal Organisations Control Act 2012; and
• Monitoring and reporting in relation to the Infringement Notices provisions of *The Criminal Code*.

See further details in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section.
Disclosures and Legal Compliance

This section provides details of the Office’s audited financial statements and key performance indicators, along with information on other mandatory disclosures and legal compliance.

- Independent Audit Opinion
- Financial Statements
- Key Performance Indicators
- Other Disclosures and Legal Compliance
  - Ministerial Directions
  - Other Financial Disclosures
  - Employment of staff
  - Governance Disclosures
  - Other Legal Requirements
  - Government Policy Requirements
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT

To the Parliament of Western Australia

PARLIAMENTARY COMMISSIONER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS

Report on the Financial Statements

Opinion

In my opinion, the financial statements are based on proper accounts and present fairly, in all material respects, the operating results and cash flows of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations for the year ended 30 June 2018 and the financial position at the end of that period. They are in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards, the Financial Management Act 2006 and the Treasurer’s Instructions.

Basis for Opinion
I conducted my audit in accordance with the Australian Auditing Standards. My responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of my report. I am independent of the Parliamentary Commissioner in accordance with the Auditor General Act 2006 and the relevant ethical requirements of the Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board’s APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (the Code) that are relevant to my audit of the financial statements. I have also fulfilled my other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the Code. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion.

Responsibility of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Financial Statements
The Parliamentary Commissioner is responsible for keeping proper accounts, and the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards, the Financial Management Act 2006 and the Treasurer’s Instructions, and for such internal control as the Parliamentary Commissioner determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, the Parliamentary Commissioner is responsible for assessing the agency’s ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Western Australian Government has made policy or funding decisions affecting the continued existence of the Parliamentary Commissioner.
Auditor’s Responsibility for the Audit of the Financial Statements

As required by the Auditor General Act 2006, my responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements. The objectives of my audit are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor’s report that includes my opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards, I exercise professional judgment and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit. I also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.

- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the agency’s internal control.

- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the Parliamentary Commissioner.

- Conclude on the appropriateness of the Parliamentary Commissioner’s use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the agency’s ability to continue as a going concern. If I conclude that a material uncertainty exists, I am required to draw attention in my auditor’s report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify my opinion. My conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of my auditor’s report.

- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

I communicate with the Parliamentary Commissioner regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that I identify during my audit.

Report on Controls

Opinion

I have undertaken a reasonable assurance engagement on the design and implementation of controls exercised by the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations. The controls exercised by the Parliamentary Commissioner are those policies and procedures established by the Parliamentary Commissioner to ensure that the receipt, expenditure and investment of money, the acquisition and disposal of property, and the incurring of liabilities have been in accordance with legislative provisions (the overall control objectives).
My opinion has been formed on the basis of the matters outlined in this report.

In my opinion, in all material respects, the controls exercised by the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations are sufficiently adequate to provide reasonable assurance that the receipt, expenditure and investment of money, the acquisition and disposal of property and the incurring of liabilities have been in accordance with legislative provisions during the year ended 30 June 2018.

The Parliamentary Commissioner’s Responsibilities
The Parliamentary Commissioner is responsible for designing, implementing and maintaining controls to ensure that the receipt, expenditure and investment of money, the acquisition and disposal of property, and the incurring of liabilities are in accordance with the Financial Management Act 2006, the Treasurer’s Instructions and other relevant written law.

Auditor General’s Responsibilities
As required by the Auditor General Act 2006, my responsibility as an assurance practitioner is to express an opinion on the suitability of the design of the controls to achieve the overall control objectives and the implementation of the controls as designed. I conducted my engagement in accordance with Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3150 Assurance Engagements on Controls issued by the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. That standard requires that I comply with relevant ethical requirements and plan and perform my procedures to obtain reasonable assurance about whether, in all material respects, the controls are suitably designed to achieve the overall control objectives and the controls, necessary to achieve the overall control objectives, were implemented as designed.

An assurance engagement to report on the design and implementation of controls involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the suitability of the design of controls to achieve the overall control objectives and the implementation of those controls. The procedures selected depend on my judgement, including the assessment of the risks that controls are not suitably designed or implemented as designed. My procedures included testing the implementation of those controls that I consider necessary to achieve the overall control objectives.

I believe that the evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion.

Limitations of Controls
Because of the inherent limitations of any internal control structure it is possible that, even if the controls are suitably designed and implemented as designed, once the controls are in operation, the overall control objectives may not be achieved so that fraud, error, or noncompliance with laws and regulations may occur and not be detected. Any projection of the outcome of the evaluation of the suitability of the design of controls to future periods is subject to the risk that the controls may become unsuitable because of changes in conditions.

Report on the Key Performance Indicators

Opinion
I have undertaken a reasonable assurance engagement on the key performance indicators of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations for the year ended 30 June 2018. The key performance indicators are the key effectiveness indicators and the key efficiency indicators that provide performance information about achieving outcomes and delivering services.
In my opinion, in all material respects, the key performance indicators of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations are relevant and appropriate to assist users to assess the Parliamentary Commissioner’s performance and fairly represent indicated performance for the year ended 30 June 2018.

The Parliamentary Commissioner’s Responsibility for the Key Performance Indicators
The Parliamentary Commissioner is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the key performance indicators in accordance with the Financial Management Act 2006 and the Treasurer’s Instructions and for such internal control as the Parliamentary Commissioner determines necessary to enable the preparation of key performance indicators that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the key performance indicators, the Parliamentary Commissioner is responsible for identifying key performance indicators that are relevant and appropriate having regard to their purpose in accordance with Treasurer’s Instruction 904 Key Performance Indicators.

Auditor General’s Responsibility
As required by the Auditor General Act 2006, my responsibility as an assurance practitioner is to express an opinion on the key performance indicators. The objectives of my engagement are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the key performance indicators are relevant and appropriate to assist users to assess the agency’s performance and whether the key performance indicators are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor’s report that includes my opinion. I conducted my engagement in accordance with Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3000 Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information issued by the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. That standard requires that I comply with relevant ethical requirements relating to assurance engagements.

An assurance engagement involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the key performance indicators. It also involves evaluating the relevance and appropriateness of the key performance indicators against the criteria and guidance in Treasurer’s Instruction 904 for measuring the extent of outcome achievement and the efficiency of service delivery. The procedures selected depend on my judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the key performance indicators. In making these risk assessments I obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the engagement in order to design procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances.

I believe that the evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion.

My Independence and Quality Control Relating to the Reports on Controls and Key Performance Indicators
I have complied with the independence requirements of the Auditor General Act 2006 and the relevant ethical requirements relating to assurance engagements. In accordance with ASQC 1 Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, and Other Assurance Engagements, the Office of the Auditor General maintains a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.
Matters Relating to the Electronic Publication of the Audited Financial Statements and Key Performance Indicators

This auditor's report relates to the financial statements and key performance indicators of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations for the year ended 30 June 2018 included on the Parliamentary Commissioner's website. The Parliamentary Commissioner's management is responsible for the integrity of the Parliamentary Commissioner's website. This audit does not provide assurance on the integrity of the Parliamentary Commissioner's website. The auditor's report refers only to the financial statements and key performance indicators described above. It does not provide an opinion on any other information which may have been hyperlinked to/from these financial statements or key performance indicators. If users of the financial statements and key performance indicators are concerned with the inherent risks arising from publication on a website, they are advised to refer to the hard copy of the audited financial statements and key performance indicators to confirm the information contained in this website version of the financial statements and key performance indicators.

SANDRA LABUSCHAGNE
ACTING DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL
Delegate of the Auditor General for Western Australia
Perth, Western Australia
21 August 2018
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Financial Statements

For the reporting period ended 30 June 2018

The accompanying financial statements of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations have been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the Financial Management Act 2006 from proper accounts and records to present fairly the financial transactions for the financial year ended 30 June 2018 and the financial position as at 30 June 2018.

At the date of signing we are not aware of any circumstances which would render the particulars included in the financial statements misleading or inaccurate.

Alan Shaw
Chief Finance Officer
21 August 2018

Chris Field
Accountable Authority
21 August 2018
## Statement of Comprehensive Income

For the year ended 30 June 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COST OF SERVICES

**Expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits expense</td>
<td>$9,191,283</td>
<td>$8,431,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies and services</td>
<td>$1,072,897</td>
<td>$1,029,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortisation expense</td>
<td>$203,730</td>
<td>$177,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation expenses</td>
<td>$1,391,978</td>
<td>$1,427,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>$70,792</td>
<td>$39,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total cost of services</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,930,680</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,106,335</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income**

**Revenue**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue</td>
<td>$2,213,574</td>
<td>$2,055,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,213,574</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,055,313</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total income other than income from State Government**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET COST OF SERVICES</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,717,106</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,051,022</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income from State Government**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service appropriation</td>
<td>$7,859,000</td>
<td>$8,166,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services received free of charge</td>
<td>$452,391</td>
<td>$484,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total income from State Government</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,311,391</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,650,292</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEFICIT FOR THE PERIOD</strong></td>
<td><strong>(1,405,715)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(400,729)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE PERIOD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE PERIOD</strong></td>
<td><strong>(1,405,715)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(400,729)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Statement of Comprehensive Income should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.
## Statement of Financial Position

As at 30 June 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>468,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other current assets</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>95,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>receivables</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>78,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amounts receivable for services</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>208,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total current assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>852,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>non-current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>63,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amounts receivable for services</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1,948,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plant and equipment</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>64,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intangible assets</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>235,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total non-current assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,312,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,164,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payables</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>110,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provisions</td>
<td>3.1(b)</td>
<td>1,537,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other current liabilities</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>48,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total current liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,696,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>non-current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provisions</td>
<td>3.1(b)</td>
<td>437,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other non-current liabilities</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total non-current liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>437,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,134,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>net assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>net assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,030,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contributed equity</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accumulated surplus/(deficit)</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>(175,311)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,030,689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Statement of Financial Position should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.
# Statement of Changes in Equity

For the year ended 30 June 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Contributed equity</th>
<th>Reserves</th>
<th>Accumulated surplus/(deficit)</th>
<th>Total equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at 1 July 2016</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,631,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(400,729)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total comprehensive income for the year</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(400,729)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at 30 June 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,230,404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Balance at 1 July 2017 |  | 1,206,000 | - | 1,230,404 | 2,436,404 |
| Deficit | | - | - | (1,405,715) | (1,405,715) |
| Total comprehensive income for the year | | - | - | (1,405,715) | (1,405,715) |
| Balance at 30 June 2018 | | 1,206,000 | - | (175,311) | 1,030,689 |

The Statement of Changes in Equity should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.
Statement of Cash Flows
For the year ended 30 June 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018 $</th>
<th>2017 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASH FLOWS FROM STATE GOVERNMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service appropriation</td>
<td>7,644,000</td>
<td>7,945,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital appropriations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holding account drawdown</td>
<td>238,000</td>
<td>208,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by State Government</td>
<td>7,882,000</td>
<td>8,153,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Utilised as follows:

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Payments

Employee benefits | (9,180,715) | (8,385,880) |
Supplies and services | (941,107) | (866,505) |
Accommodation | (1,111,860) | (1,112,101) |
GST payments on purchases | (219,896) | (230,234) |
GST payments to taxation authority | (44,557) | - |
Other payments | (25,400) | (25,100) |

Receipts

GST receipts on sales | 212,559 | 197,407 |
GST receipts from taxation authority | 55,206 | 48,736 |
Other receipts | 2,212,879 | 2,061,435 |

Net cash used in operating activities | 9,042,892 | 8,312,241 |

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Payments

Purchase of non-current assets | (189,782) | (298,480) |

Net cash used in investing activities | (189,782) | (298,480) |

Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents | (1,350,675) | (457,721) |

Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period | 1,884,334 | 2,342,055 |

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE END OF THE PERIOD | 7.1.1 | 533,659 | 1,884,334 |

The Statement of Cash Flows should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.
## Statement of Consolidated Account Appropriations and Income Estimates

For the year ended 30 June 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 Estimate</th>
<th>2018 Actual</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>2018 Actual</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>2017 Actual</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivery Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 4 Net amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appropriated to deliver services</td>
<td>7,060,000</td>
<td>7,200,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>7,200,000</td>
<td>7,507,000</td>
<td>(307,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Authorised by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Statutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Parliamentary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Act 1971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>659,000</td>
<td>659,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>659,000</td>
<td>659,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Total appropriations</td>
<td>7,719,000</td>
<td>7,859,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>7,859,000</td>
<td>8,166,000</td>
<td>(307,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 118 Capital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appropriations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>7,719,000</td>
<td>7,859,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>7,859,000</td>
<td>8,166,000</td>
<td>(307,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Details of Expenses by Service

Resolving complaints about decision making of public authorities and improving the standard of public administration |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost of Services</strong></td>
<td>10,148,000</td>
<td>11,930,680</td>
<td>1,782,680</td>
<td>11,930,680</td>
<td>11,106,335</td>
<td>824,345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Total Income</td>
<td>(1,989,000)</td>
<td>(2,213,574)</td>
<td>(224,574)</td>
<td>(2,213,574)</td>
<td>(2,055,313)</td>
<td>(158,261)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Cost of Services</strong></td>
<td>8,159,000</td>
<td>9,717,106</td>
<td>1,558,106</td>
<td>9,717,106</td>
<td>9,051,022</td>
<td>666,084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments</td>
<td>(440,000)</td>
<td>(1,858,106)</td>
<td>(1,418,106)</td>
<td>(1,858,106)</td>
<td>(885,022)</td>
<td>(973,084)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total appropriations provided to deliver services</strong></td>
<td>7,719,000</td>
<td>7,859,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>7,859,000</td>
<td>8,166,000</td>
<td>(307,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Capital Expenditure

Purchase of non-current assets |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purchase of non-current assets</strong></td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td>189,782</td>
<td>(18,218)</td>
<td>189,782</td>
<td>298,480</td>
<td>(108,698)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments for other funding sources</td>
<td>(208,000)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(298,480)</td>
<td>298,480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjustments comprise movements in cash balances and other accrual items such as receivables, payables and superannuation.

Note 9.9 'Explanatory statement' provides details of any significant variations between estimates and actual results for 2018 and between the actual results for 2018 and 2017.
1. Basis of preparation

The Office is a WA Government entity and is controlled by the State of Western Australia, which is the ultimate parent. The Office is a not-for-profit entity (as profit is not its principal objective).

A description of the nature of its operations and its principal activities have been included in the ‘Overview’ which does not form part of these financial statements.

These annual financial statements were authorised for issue by the Accountable Authority of the Office on 21 August 2018.

Statement of compliance

These general purpose financial statements are prepared in accordance with:

(1) The Financial Management Act 2006 (FMA);
(2) The Treasurer’s Instructions (the Instructions or TI);
(3) Australian Accounting Standards (AAS) including applicable interpretations; and
(4) Where appropriate, those AAS paragraphs applicable for not-for-profit entities have been applied.

The Financial Management Act 2006 and the Treasurer’s Instructions (the Instructions) take precedence over AAS. Several AAS are modified by the Instructions to vary application, disclosure format and wording. Where modification is required and has had a material or significant financial effect upon the reported results, details of that modification and the resulting financial effect are disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Basis of preparation

These financial statements are presented in Australian dollars applying the accrual basis of accounting and using the historical cost convention. Certain balances will apply a different measurement basis (such as the fair value basis). Where this is the case the different measurement basis is disclosed in the associated note.

Judgements and estimates

Judgements, estimates and assumptions are required to be made about financial information being presented. The significant judgements and estimates made in the preparation of these financial statements are disclosed in the notes where amounts affected by those judgements and/or estimates are disclosed. Estimates and associated assumptions are based on professional judgements derived from historical experience and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.
Contributed equity

AASB Interpretation 1038 *Contributions by Owners Made to Wholly-Owned Public Sector Entities* requires transfers in the nature of equity contributions, other than as a result of a restructure of administrative arrangements, to be designated by the Government (the owner) as contributions by owners (at the time of, or prior to, transfer) before such transfers can be recognised as equity contributions. Capital appropriations have been designated as contributions by owners by TI 955 *Contributions by Owners made to Wholly Owned Public Sector Entities* and have been credited directly to Contributed Equity.

The transfers of net assets to/from other agencies, other than as a result of a restructure of administrative arrangements, are designated as contributions by owners where the transfers are non-discretionary and non-reciprocal.

2. Agency outputs

How the Office operates

This section includes information regarding the nature of funding the Office receives and how this funding is utilised to achieve the Office's objectives. This note also provides the distinction between controlled funding and administered funding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency objectives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Agency objectives

Mission

The mission of the Ombudsman Western Australia is to serve Parliament and Western Australians by:

- Resolving complaints about decision making of public authorities; and
- Improving the standard of public administration.

The Office is predominantly funded by Parliamentary appropriation. The Ombudsman Western Australia also performs the functions of the Energy and Water Ombudsman Western Australia (EWOWA) under a services agreement with the Board of Energy and Water Industry Ombudsman (Western Australia) Limited, the governing body of EWOWA. The Office recoups the costs for EWOWA from the Board. The financial statements encompass all funds through which the Office controls resources to carry on its functions.

Services

The Office provides the following service:

Service 1: Resolving complaints about decision making of public authorities and improving the standard of public administration
Investigating and resolving complaints from members of the public about Western Australian public authorities and improving the standard of public administration by identifying and investigating concerns that affect the broader community, making recommendations for improvement and identifying and promoting good decision making and practices.

The Office does not administer assets, liabilities, income and expenses on behalf of Government which are not controlled by, nor integral to, the function of the Office.

3. Use of our funding

Expenses incurred in the delivery of services

This section provides additional information about how the Office’s funding is applied and the accounting policies that are relevant for an understanding of the items recognised in the financial statements. The primary expenses incurred by the Office in achieving its objectives and the relevant notes are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits expenses</td>
<td>3.1(a)</td>
<td>9,191,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee related provisions</td>
<td>3.1(b)</td>
<td>1,975,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenditure</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2,535,667</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1(a) Employee benefits expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages and salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,676,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td>688,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superannuation - defined contribution plans(^{(a)})</td>
<td></td>
<td>759,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other related expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>67,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.1(a)</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,191,283</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(a)}\) Defined contribution plans include West State Superannuation Scheme (WSS), Gold State Superannuation Scheme (GSS), Government Employees Superannuation Board Schemes (GESBs) and other eligible funds.

Wages and salaries: Employee expenses include all costs related to employment including wages and salaries, fringe benefits tax, and leave entitlements.

Termination benefits: Payable when employment is terminated before normal retirement date, or when an employee accepts an offer of benefits in exchange for the termination of employment. Termination benefits are recognised when the Office is demonstrably committed to terminating the employment of current employees according to a detailed formal plan without possibility of withdrawal or providing termination benefits as a result of an offer made to encourage voluntary redundancy. Benefits falling due more than 12 months after the end of the reporting period are discounted to present value.
**Superannuation:** The amount recognised in profit or loss of the Statement of Comprehensive Income comprises employer contributions paid to the GSS (concurrent contributions), the WSS, the GESBs, or other superannuation funds. The employer contribution paid to the Government Employees Superannuation Board (GESB) in respect of the GSS is paid back into the Consolidated Account by the GESB.

GSS (concurrent contributions) is a defined benefit scheme for the purposes of employees and whole-of-government reporting. It is however a defined contribution plan for Office purposes because the concurrent contributions (defined contributions) made by the Office to GESB extinguishes the Office’s obligations to the related superannuation liability.

The Office does not recognise any defined benefit liabilities because it has no legal or constructive obligation to pay future benefits relating to its employees. The Liabilities for the unfunded Pension Scheme and the unfunded GSS transfer benefits attributable to members who transferred from the Pension Scheme, are assumed by the Treasurer. All other GSS obligations are funded by concurrent contributions made by the Office to the GESB.

The GESB and other fund providers administer public sector superannuation arrangements in Western Australia in accordance with legislative requirements. Eligibility criteria for membership in particular schemes for public sector employees vary according to commencement and implementation dates.

**Note 3.1(b) Employee related provisions**

Provision is made for benefits accruing to employees in respect of wages and salaries, annual leave and long service leave for services rendered up to the reporting date and recorded as an expense during the period the services are delivered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Employee benefits provision</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual leave(^{(a)})</td>
<td>527,658</td>
<td>524,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long service leave(^{(b)})</td>
<td>992,653</td>
<td>931,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased leave scheme(^{(c)})</td>
<td>10,300</td>
<td>16,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current employee related provisions</strong></td>
<td>1,530,611</td>
<td>1,473,302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Other provisions</strong></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment on-costs(^{(d)})</td>
<td>6,977</td>
<td>6,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current employee related provisions</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,537,588</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,479,979</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long service leave liabilities:

Unconditional long service leave provisions are classified as current liabilities as the Office does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least 12 months after the end of the reporting period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employee benefits provision</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Long service leave  
  ($^a$) | 435,547      | 462,574       |
| **Total non-current employee related provisions** | 437,524      | 464,679       |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other provisions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Employment on-costs  
  ($^a$) | 1,977        | 2,105         |
| **Total non-current employee related provisions** | 1,975,112    | 1,944,658     |

**Total employee related provisions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,975,112</td>
<td>$1,944,658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) **Annual leave liabilities:** Classified as current as there is no unconditional right to defer settlement for at least 12 months after the end of the reporting period. Assessments indicate that actual settlement of the liabilities is expected to occur as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 12 months of the end of the reporting period</td>
<td>428,034</td>
<td>403,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 12 months after the end of the reporting period</td>
<td>99,624</td>
<td>121,107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>527,658</strong></td>
<td><strong>524,936</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The provision for annual leave is calculated at the present value of expected payments to be made in relation to services provided by employees up to the reporting date.

(b) **Long service leave liabilities:** Unconditional long service leave provisions are classified as current liabilities as the Office does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least 12 months after the end of the reporting period.

Pre-conditional and conditional long service leave provisions are classified as non-current liabilities because the Office has an unconditional right to defer the settlement of the liability until the employee has completed the requisite years of service.

Assessments indicate that actual settlement of the liabilities is expected to occur as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 12 months of the end of the reporting period</td>
<td>405,273</td>
<td>351,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 12 months after the end of the reporting period</td>
<td>1,022,927</td>
<td>1,042,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,428,200</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,393,948</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The provision for long service leave are calculated at present value as the Office does not expect to wholly settle the amounts within 12 months. The present value is measured taking into account the present value of expected future payments to be made in relation to services provided by employees up to the reporting date. These payments are estimated using the remuneration rate expected to apply at the time of settlement, and discounted using market yields at the end of the reporting period on national government bonds with terms to maturity that match, as closely as possible, the estimated future cash outflows.

(c) Purchased leave liabilities: Purchased leave liabilities have been classified as current as they must be cleared or paid out within 12 months.

(d) Employment on-costs: The settlement of annual and long service leave liabilities gives rise to the payment of employment on-costs including workers’ compensation insurance. The provision is the present value of expected future payments.

Employment on-costs, including workers’ compensation insurance, are not employee benefits and are recognised separately as liabilities and expenses when the employment to which they relate has occurred. Employment on-costs are included as part of ‘Other expenditure', Note 3.2 (apart from the unwinding of the discount (finance cost)), and are not included as part of the Office’s ‘employee benefits expense’. The related liability is included in ‘Employment on-costs provision’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment on-cost provision</th>
<th>2018 ($8,954)</th>
<th>2017 ($8,782)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount at start of period</td>
<td>8,782</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional provisions recognised</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carrying amount at end of period</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,954</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,782</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key sources of estimation uncertainty – long service leave

Key estimates and assumptions concerning the future are based on historical experience and various other factors that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amount of assets and liabilities within the next financial year.

Several estimates and assumptions are used in calculating the Office’s long service leave provision. These include:

- Expected future salary rates;
- Discount rates;
- Employee retention rates; and
- Expected future payments.

Changes in these estimations and assumptions may impact on the carrying amount of the long service leave provision. Any gain or loss following revaluation of the present value of long service leave liabilities is recognised as employee benefits expense.
### 3.2 Other expenditure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplies and services</th>
<th>2018 $</th>
<th>2017 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>87,892</td>
<td>69,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumables</td>
<td>84,024</td>
<td>106,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services and contracts</td>
<td>377,138</td>
<td>378,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services received free of charge</td>
<td>171,526</td>
<td>169,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>49,299</td>
<td>53,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>68,412</td>
<td>39,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (a)</td>
<td>234,605</td>
<td>212,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total supplies and services expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,072,897</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,029,470</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accommodation expenses</th>
<th>2018 $</th>
<th>2017 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease rentals</td>
<td>1,111,113</td>
<td>1,111,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs and maintenance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services received free of charge (b)</td>
<td>280,865</td>
<td>315,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total accommodation expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,391,978</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,427,269</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other</th>
<th>2018 $</th>
<th>2017 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment on-costs</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit fee</td>
<td>25,700</td>
<td>25,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad debts (c)</td>
<td>25,981</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>18,939</td>
<td>6,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total other expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>70,792</strong></td>
<td><strong>39,877</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total other expenditure</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,535,667</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,496,616</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Includes expenses relating to motor vehicles, parking and utilities.

(b) Relates to the notional value of the depreciation of the fit-out of office accommodation provided through Building Management and Works (Department of Finance Note 4.1).

(c) Relates to the write-off of irrecoverable salary overpayments.

**Supplies and services:**

Supplies and services are recognised as an expense in the reporting period in which they are incurred. The carrying amounts of any materials held for distribution are expensed when the materials are distributed.

**Accommodation expenses:**

Operating lease payments are recognised on a straight line basis over the lease term, except where another systematic basis is more representative of the time pattern of the benefits derived from the use of the leased asset.

Repairs, maintenance and cleaning costs are recognised as expenses as incurred.

**Other:**

**Employee on-cost** includes workers’ compensation insurance and other employment on-costs.
The on-costs liability associated with the recognition of annual and long service leave liabilities is included at 3.1(b) Employee related provisions. Superannuation contributions accrued as part of the provision for leave are employee benefits and are not included in employment on-costs.

4. Our funding sources

How we obtain our funding

This section provides additional information about how the Office obtains its funding and the relevant accounting policy notes that govern the recognition and measurement of this funding. The primary income received by the Office and the relevant notes are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from State Government</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>8,311,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2,213,574</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 Income from State Government

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation received during the period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service appropriation(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Recurrent</td>
<td>7,200,000</td>
<td>7,507,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Acts</td>
<td>659,000</td>
<td>659,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total services received</td>
<td>7,859,000</td>
<td>8,166,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Services received free of charge from other State government agencies during the period:

| State Solicitor’s Office | -  | 13,271 |
| Department of the Premier and Cabinet | 171,526 | 155,965 |
| Department of Finance    | 280,865 | 315,057 |
| Total services received  | 452,391 | 484,292 |
| Total income from State Government | 8,311,391 | 8,650,292 |

(a) Service Appropriations are recognised as revenues at fair value in the period in which the Office gains control of the appropriated funds. The Office gains control of appropriated funds at the time those funds are deposited in the bank account or credited to the ‘Amounts receivable for services’ (holding account) held at Treasury.

Service appropriations fund the net cost of services delivered (as set out in note 2). Appropriation revenue comprises the following:

- Cash component; and
- A receivable (asset).

The ‘Amounts receivable for services (Holding Account) (Note 6.2) comprises the following:

- The budgeted depreciation expense for the year; and
- Any agreed increase in leave liabilities during the year.
4.2 Other revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue - general</td>
<td>66,960</td>
<td>104,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other recoup&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2,146,614</td>
<td>1,950,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,213,574</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,055,313</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Includes recoup for the costs of the functions of the Energy and Water Ombudsman Western Australia (see Note 2.1) and services of the Office in relation to complaints involving the Indian Ocean Territories (see Note 9.8).

Revenue is recognised and measured at the fair value of consideration received or receivable.

5. Key assets

Assets the Office utilises for economic benefit or service potential

This section includes information regarding the key assets the Office utilises to gain economic benefits or provide service potential. The section sets out both the key accounting policies and financial information about the performance of these assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant and equipment</td>
<td>64,740</td>
<td>96,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangibles</td>
<td>235,916</td>
<td>267,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total key assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>300,656</strong></td>
<td><strong>363,851</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Plant and equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended 30 June 2017</th>
<th>Furniture and Fittings</th>
<th>Computer Hardware</th>
<th>Office Equipment</th>
<th>Communications</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 July 2016</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross carrying amount</td>
<td>6,814</td>
<td>244,771</td>
<td>56,844</td>
<td>213,050</td>
<td>521,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>(2,895)</td>
<td>(183,705)</td>
<td>(27,793)</td>
<td>(213,050)</td>
<td>(427,444)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount at start of period</td>
<td>3,919</td>
<td>61,066</td>
<td>29,051</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58,292</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>(681)</td>
<td>(43,829)</td>
<td>(11,245)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(55,755)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carrying amount at 30 June 2017</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,237</strong></td>
<td><strong>75,529</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,806</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>96,572</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As at 30 June 2018 there were no indications of impairment to plant and equipment.

All surplus assets at 30 June 2018 have either been classified as assets held for sale or have been written-off.

### 5.1.1 Depreciation and impairment

#### Charge for the period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furniture fixtures and fittings</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer hardware</td>
<td>40,063</td>
<td>43,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office equipment</td>
<td>9,509</td>
<td>11,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total depreciation for the period</strong></td>
<td><strong>50,253</strong></td>
<td><strong>55,755</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As at 30 June 2018 there were no indications of impairment to plant and equipment.
Please refer to note 5.2 for guidance in relation to the impairment assessment that has been performed for intangible assets.

**Finite useful lives**

All plant and equipment having a limited useful life are systematically depreciated over their estimated useful lives in a manner that reflects the consumption of their future economic benefits. The exceptions to this rule include assets held for sale, land and investment properties.

Depreciation is generally calculated on a straight line basis, at rates that allocate the asset’s value, less any estimated residual value, over its estimated useful life. Typical estimated useful lives for the different asset classes for current and prior years are included in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Useful life: years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and fittings</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant and machinery</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer hardware</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office equipment</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software (a)</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Software that is integral to the operation of related hardware.

The estimated useful lives, residual values and depreciation method are reviewed at the end of each annual reporting period, and adjustments should be made where appropriate.

**Impairment**

Non-financial assets, including items of plant and equipment, are tested for impairment whenever there is an indication that the asset may be impaired. Where there is an indication of impairment, the recoverable amount is estimated. Where the recoverable amount is less than the carrying amount, the asset is considered impaired and is written down to the recoverable amount and an impairment loss is recognised.

Where an asset measured at cost is written down to its recoverable amount, an impairment loss is recognised through profit or loss.

If there is an indication that there has been a reversal in impairment, the carrying amount shall be increased to its recoverable amount. However this reversal should not increase the asset’s carrying amount above what would have been determined, net of depreciation or amortisation, if no impairment loss had been recognised in prior years.
The risk of impairment is generally limited to circumstances where an asset’s depreciation is materially understated, where the replacement cost is falling or where there is a significant change in useful life. Each relevant class of assets is reviewed annually to verify that the accumulated depreciation/amortisation reflects the level of consumption or expiration of the asset’s future economic benefits and to evaluate any impairment risk from declining replacement costs.

### 5.2 Intangible assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended 30 June 2017</th>
<th>Computer Software</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 July 2016</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross carrying amount</td>
<td>1,195,540</td>
<td>1,195,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated amortisation</td>
<td>(1,148,280)</td>
<td>(1,148,280)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carrying amount at start of period</strong></td>
<td><strong>47,260</strong></td>
<td><strong>47,260</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>342,170</td>
<td>342,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortisation</td>
<td>(122,151)</td>
<td>(122,151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carrying amount at 30 June 2017</strong></td>
<td><strong>267,279</strong></td>
<td><strong>267,279</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended 30 June 2018</th>
<th>Computer Software</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 July 2017</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross carrying amount</td>
<td>1,537,710</td>
<td>1,537,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated amortisation</td>
<td>(1,270,431)</td>
<td>(1,270,431)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carrying amount at start of period</strong></td>
<td><strong>267,279</strong></td>
<td><strong>267,279</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>122,115</td>
<td>122,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortisation</td>
<td>(153,478)</td>
<td>(153,478)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carrying amount at 30 June 2018</strong></td>
<td><strong>235,916</strong></td>
<td><strong>235,916</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Initial recognition**

Acquisitions of intangible assets costing $5,000 or more and internally generated intangible assets costing $50,000 or more that comply with the recognition criteria as per AASB 138.57 (as noted below), are capitalised.
Costs incurred below these thresholds are immediately expensed directly to the Statement of Comprehensive Income.

Intangible assets are initially recognised at cost. For assets acquired at no cost or for nominal cost, the cost is their fair value at the date of acquisition.

An internally generated intangible asset arising from development (or from the development phase of an internal project) is recognised if, and only if, all of the following are demonstrated:

(a) the technical feasibility of completing the intangible asset so that it will be available for use or sale;
(b) an intention to complete the intangible asset, and use or sell it;
(c) the ability to use or sell the intangible asset;
(d) the intangible asset will generate probable future economic benefit;
(e) the availability of adequate technical, financial and other resources to complete the development and to use or sell the intangible asset; and
(f) the ability to measure reliably the expenditure attributable to the intangible asset during its development.

Costs incurred in the research phase of a project are immediately expensed.

### Subsequent measurement

The cost model is applied for subsequent measurement of intangible assets, requiring the asset to be carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment losses.

#### 5.2.1 Amortisation and impairment

### Charge for the period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer software</td>
<td>$153,478</td>
<td>$122,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total amortisation for the period</strong></td>
<td><strong>$153,478</strong></td>
<td><strong>$122,151</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As at 30 June 2018 there were no indications of impairment to intangible assets.

The Office held no goodwill or intangible assets with an indefinite useful life during the reporting period. At the end of the reporting period there were no intangible assets not yet available for use.

Amortisation of finite life intangible assets is calculated on a straight line basis at rates that allocate the asset’s value over its estimated useful life. All intangible assets controlled by the Office have a finite useful life and zero residual value. Estimated useful lives are reviewed annually.

The estimated useful lives for each class of intangible asset are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Useful life: years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer software(^{(a)})</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Software that is not integral to the operation of any related hardware.
Impairment of intangible assets

Intangible assets with finite useful lives are tested for impairment annually or when an indication of impairment is identified.

The policy in connection with testing for impairment is outlined in note 5.1.1.

6. Other assets and liabilities

This section sets out those assets and liabilities that arose from the Office's controlled operations and includes other assets utilised for economic benefits and liabilities incurred during normal operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts receivable for services</td>
<td>2,156,000</td>
<td>2,179,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>95,923</td>
<td>95,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables</td>
<td>110,776</td>
<td>83,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>48,184</td>
<td>101,982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1 Receivables

| | 2018  | 2017  |
| | $     | $     |
| Current |       |       |
| Receivables | 59,543 | 30,959 |
| GST receivable | - | 5,390 |
| Purchased leave receivable | 18,978 | 8,055 |
| Total current | **78,522** | **44,404** |

The Office does not hold any collateral or other credit enhancements as security for receivables.

Receivables are recognised at original invoice amount less any allowances for uncollectible amounts (i.e. impairment). The carrying amount of net trade receivables is equivalent to fair value as it is due for settlement within 30 days.

6.2 Amounts receivable for services (Holding Account)

| | 2018  | 2017  |
| | $     | $     |
| Current | 208,000 | 208,000 |
| Non-current | 1,948,000 | 1,971,000 |
| Balance at end of period | **2,156,000** | **2,179,000** |

Amounts receivable for services represent the non-cash component of service appropriations. It is restricted in that it can only be used for asset replacement or payment of leave liability.
The Office receives funding on an accrual basis. The appropriations are paid partly in cash and partly as an asset (holding account receivable). The accrued amount receivable is accessible on the emergence of the cash funding requirement to cover leave entitlements and asset replacement.

### 6.3 Other assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepayments</td>
<td>95,923</td>
<td>95,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current</strong></td>
<td>95,923</td>
<td>95,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at end of period</strong></td>
<td>95,923</td>
<td>95,169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other non-financial assets include prepayments which represent payments in advance of receipt of goods or services or that part of expenditure made in one accounting period covering a term extending beyond that period.

### 6.4 Payables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade payables</td>
<td>27,584</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses</td>
<td>48,566</td>
<td>50,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued salaries</td>
<td>27,378</td>
<td>29,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued superannuation</td>
<td>2,717</td>
<td>2,956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST payable</td>
<td>1,529</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other payables</td>
<td>3,002</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current</strong></td>
<td>110,776</td>
<td>83,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at end of period</strong></td>
<td>110,776</td>
<td>83,715</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Payables** are recognised at the amounts payable when the Office becomes obliged to make future payments as a result of a purchase of assets or services. The carrying amount is equivalent to fair value, as settlement is generally within 30 days.

**Accrued salaries** represent the amount due to staff but unpaid at the end of the reporting period. Accrued salaries are settled within a fortnight after the reporting period. The Office considers the carrying amount of accrued salaries to be equivalent to its fair value.

The accrued salaries suspense account (See Note 7.1 ‘Cash and cash equivalents’) consists of amounts paid annually, from Office appropriations for salaries expense, into a Treasury suspense account to meet the additional cash outflow for employee salary payments in reporting periods with 27 pay days instead of the normal 26. No interest is received on this account.
6.5 Other liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software contracts</td>
<td>48,184</td>
<td>48,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current</strong></td>
<td>48,184</td>
<td>48,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td>53,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total non-current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>53,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at end of period</strong></td>
<td>48,184</td>
<td>101,982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Contract for right-of-use software for the Complaints Management System.

7. Financing

This section sets out the material balances and disclosures associated with the financing and cashflows of the Office.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation of cash</td>
<td>7.1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation of operating activities</td>
<td>7.1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitments</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cancellable operating lease commitments</td>
<td>7.2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital commitments</td>
<td>7.2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenditure commitments</td>
<td>7.2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.1 Cash and cash equivalents

7.1.1 Reconciliation of cash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>468,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Indian Ocean Territories</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1,783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Accrued salaries suspense account</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>63,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at end of period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>533,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Funds held in the suspense account for the purpose of meeting the 27th pay in a reporting period that occurs every 11th year. This account is classified as non-current for 10 out of 11 years.

For the purpose of the statement of cash flows, cash and cash equivalent (and restricted cash and cash equivalent) assets comprise cash on hand and short-term deposits with original maturities of three months or less that are readily convertible to a known amount of cash and which are subject to insignificant risk of changes in value.
7.1.2 Reconciliation of net cost of services to net cash flows provided by/(used in) operating activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net cost of services</td>
<td>(9,717,106)</td>
<td>(9,051,022)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Non-cash items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortisation expense</td>
<td>5.1.5.2</td>
<td>203,730</td>
<td>177,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services received free of charge</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>452,391</td>
<td>484,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangibles work in progress</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(4,552)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(Increase)/decrease in assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current receivables</td>
<td>(39,507)</td>
<td>20,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>(754)</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Increase/(decrease) in liabilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accrued salaries</td>
<td>(2,458)</td>
<td>29,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued superannuation</td>
<td>(239)</td>
<td>2,956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses</td>
<td>(2,347)</td>
<td>(15,177)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current payables</td>
<td>30,576</td>
<td>(1,339)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current provisions</td>
<td>57,609</td>
<td>(78,577)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current provisions</td>
<td>(27,155)</td>
<td>103,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net GST (payments)/receipts</td>
<td>49,828</td>
<td>14,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in GST in receivables/payables</td>
<td>(42,909)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities**

(9,042,892) (8,312,242)

(a) Note that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) receivable/payable in respect of Good and Services Tax (GST) and the receivable/payable in respect of the sale/purchase of non-current assets are not included in these items as they do not form part of the reconciling items.

(b) This is the net GST paid/received, i.e. cash transactions.

(c) This reverses out the GST in receivables and payables.

The mandatory application of AASB 2016-2 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Disclosure Initiative: Amendments to AASB 107 imposed disclosure impacts only. The Office is not exposed to changes in liabilities arising from financing activities, including both changes arising from cash flows and non-cash changes.
7.2. Commitments

All commitments are presented inclusive of GST.

7.2.1 Non-cancellable operating lease commitments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitments for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimum lease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payments are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payable as follows:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 1 year</td>
<td>1,286,814</td>
<td>984,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later than 1 year</td>
<td>5,115,648</td>
<td>35,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and not later than</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later than 5 years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,402,462</td>
<td>1,020,114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operating leases are expensed on a straight line basis over the lease term as this represents the pattern of benefits derived from the leased properties.

The Office has entered into a memorandum of understanding with the Department of Finance’s Building Management and Works division for the lease of floor space at Albert Facey House. The memorandum of understanding is not a legally binding agreement, however, it has been agreed that all parties will comply with the terms and conditions as if they were legally enforceable obligations. The memorandum of understanding covers a five year occupancy period from 2018-23. Rent is payable monthly. Contingent rent provisions within the memorandum of understanding require that the lease payments shall be subject to market indices each financial year. A motor vehicle lease is a non-cancellable lease with a three to five year term, with lease payments payable monthly. New vehicle leases are negotiated at the end of this period, the number of vehicle leases being subject to the Office’s operational needs.

7.2.2 Capital commitments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commitments, being</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contracted capital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expenditure additional to the amounts reported in the financial statements, are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 1 year</td>
<td>14,105</td>
<td>4,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later than 1 year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and not later than</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14,105</td>
<td>4,143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Due to the timing of the replacement of Office assets, some intangible assets were committed in 2017-18 but not paid until 2018-19.
7.2.3 Other expenditure commitments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other expenditure commitments contracted for at the end of the reporting period but not recognised as liabilities, are payable as follows:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 1 year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later than 1 year and not later than 5 years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later than 5 years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Judgements made by management in applying accounting policies – operating lease commitments

The Office has entered into a number of leases for buildings for branch office accommodation. Some of these leases relate to buildings of a temporary nature and it has been determined that the lessor retains substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership. Accordingly, these leases have been classified as operating leases.

8. Risks and Contingencies

This note sets out the key risk management policies and measurement techniques of the Office.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial risk management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent assets and liabilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.1 Financial risk management

Financial instruments held by the Office are cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and cash equivalents, receivables and payables. The Office has limited exposure to financial risks. The Office's overall risk management program focuses on managing the risks identified below.

(a) Summary of risks and risk management

Credit risk

Credit risk arises when there is the possibility of the Office's receivables defaulting on their contractual obligations resulting in financial loss to the Office.

The maximum exposure to credit risk at the end of the reporting period in relation to each class of recognised financial assets is the gross carrying amount of those assets inclusive of any allowance for impairment, as shown in the table at Note 8.1(c) 'Ageing analysis of financial assets' and Note 6.1 'Receivables'.
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Credit risk associated with the Office’s financial assets is minimal because the main receivable other than government, the Office trades only with recognised, creditworthy third parties. The Office has policies in place to ensure that services are made to customers with an appropriate credit history. In addition, receivable balances are monitored on an ongoing basis with the result that the Office’s exposure to bad debts is minimal. At the end of the reporting period there were no significant concentrations of credit risk.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk arises when the Office is unable to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Office is exposed to liquidity risk through its trading in the normal course of business. The Office has appropriate procedures to manage cash flows including drawdowns of appropriations by monitoring forecast cash flows to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet its commitments.

Market risk

Market risk is the risk that changes in market prices such as foreign exchange rates and interest rates will affect the Office’s income or the value of its holdings of financial instruments. The Office does not trade in foreign currency and is not materially exposed to other price risks.

(b) Categories of financial instruments

The carrying amounts of each of the following categories of financial assets and financial liabilities at the end of the reporting period are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2018 $</th>
<th>2017 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>468,134</td>
<td>1,849,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>65,526</td>
<td>35,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables(^{(a)})</td>
<td>78,522</td>
<td>39,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount receivable for services</td>
<td>2,156,000</td>
<td>2,179,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables(^{(a)})</td>
<td>109,247</td>
<td>83,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>48,184</td>
<td>101,982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(a)}\) The amount of receivables/payables excludes GST recoverable from and payable to the ATO.
### (c) Ageing analysis of financial assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Carrying amount</th>
<th>Not past due and not impaired</th>
<th>Past due but not impaired</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>1,849,259</td>
<td>468,134</td>
<td>2,179,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>35,075</td>
<td>65,526</td>
<td>19,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables (a)</td>
<td>39,014</td>
<td>78,522</td>
<td>2,156,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount receivable for services</td>
<td>2,179,000</td>
<td>2,156,000</td>
<td>2,768,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,102,348</td>
<td>4,082,715</td>
<td>9,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,426</td>
<td>9,207</td>
<td>10,426</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The amount of receivables excludes GST recoverable from the ATO (statutory receivable).
(d) Liquidity Risk and Interest Rate Exposure

The following table details the Office’s interest rate exposure and the contractual maturity analysis of financial assets and financial liabilities. The maturity analysis section includes interest and principal cash flow. The interest rate exposure section analyses only the carrying amounts of each item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest rate exposure and maturity analysis of financial assets and financial liabilities</th>
<th>Interest rate exposure</th>
<th>Maturity date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carrying Amount</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>468,134</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>65,526</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>78,522</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount receivable for service</td>
<td>2,156,000</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,768,182</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>2,768,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>109,247</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>48,184</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157,431</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>157,431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The amount of receivables and payables excludes GST recoverable from and payable to the ATO.
The Office is not aware of any contingent liabilities or contingent assets at the end of the reporting period.

Contingent assets and contingent liabilities are not recognised in the statement of financial position but are disclosed and, if quantifiable, are measured at nominal value.

The Office is not aware of any contingent liabilities or contingent assets at the end of the reporting period.
9. Other disclosures

This section includes additional material disclosures required by accounting standards or other pronouncements, for the understanding of this financial report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Events occurring after the end of the reporting period</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future impact of Australian standards issued not yet operative</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key management personnel</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related party transactions</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration of auditors</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplementary financial information</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Ocean Territories</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanatory statement</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.1 Events occurring after the end of the reporting period

The Office is not aware of any event after the end of the reporting period that may have an impact on the financial statements.

9.2. Future impact of Australian Accounting Standards not yet operative

The Office cannot early adopt an Australian Accounting Standard unless specifically permitted by TI 1101 ‘Application of Australian Accounting Standards and Other Pronouncements’ or by an exemption from TI 1101. Where applicable, the Office plans to apply the following Australian Accounting Standards from their application date.

**Operative for reporting periods beginning on/after**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AASB 9</th>
<th>Financial Instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Jan 2018</td>
<td>This Standard supersedes AASB 139 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement, introducing a number of changes to accounting treatments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Office has determined that the Standard has no financial impact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AASB 15  
*Revenue from Contracts with Customers*  
1 Jan 2019

This Standard establishes the principles that the Office shall apply to report useful information to users of financial statements about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from a contract with a customer. The mandatory application date of this Standard is currently 1 January 2019 after being amended by AASB 2016-7.

The Office has determined that the Standard has no financial impact.

AASB 16  
*Leases*  
1 Jan 2019

This Standard introduces a single lessee accounting model and requires a lessee to recognise assets and liabilities for all leases with a term of more than 12 months, unless the underlying asset is of low value.

Whilst the impact of AASB 16 has not yet been quantified, the Office currently has operating lease commitments for $4,847,195. The Office anticipates this amount will be brought onto the Statement of Financial Position, excepting amounts pertinent to short-term or low-value leases. Interest and amortisation expense will increase and rental expense will decrease.

AASB 1058  
*Income of Not-for-Profit Entities*  
1 Jan 2019

This Standard clarifies and simplifies the income recognition requirements that apply to not-for-profit (NFP) entities, more closely reflecting the economic reality of NFP entity transactions that are not contracts with customers. Timing of income recognition is dependent on whether such a transaction gives rise to a liability, a performance obligation (a promise to transfer a good or service), or, an obligation to acquire an asset (such as cash or another asset) received by the Office. The Office anticipates that the application will not materially impact appropriation or untied grant revenues.
AASB 2010-7 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from AASB 9 (December 2010) [AASB 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 101, 102, 108, 112, 118, 120, 121, 127, 128, 131, 132, 136, 137, 139, 1023 & 1038 and Int 2, 5, 10, 12, 19 & 127]

This Standard makes consequential amendments to other Australian Accounting Standards and Interpretations as a result of issuing AASB 9 in December 2010.

The mandatory application date of this Standard has been amended by AASB 2012-6 and AASB 2014-1 to 1 January 2018. Other than the exposures to AASB9 noted above, the Office is only insignificantly impacted by the application of the Standard.

AASB 2014-1 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards

Part E of this Standard makes amendments to AASB 9 and consequential amendments to other Standards. These changes have no impact as Appendix E has been superseded and the Office was not permitted to early adopt AASB 9.

AASB 2014-5 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from AASB 15

This Standard gives effect to consequential amendments to Australian Accounting Standards (including Interpretations) arising from the issuance of AASB 15. The mandatory application date of this Standard has been amended by AASB 2015-8 to 1 January 2018. The Office has not yet determined the application or the potential impact of the Standard.

AASB 2014-7 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from AASB 9 (December 2014)

This Standard gives effect to the consequential amendments to Australian Accounting Standards (including Interpretations) arising from the issuance of AASB 9 (December 2014). The Office has not yet determined the application or the potential impact of the Standard.
**AASB 2015-8**  
Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards  
– Effective Date of AASB 15  
1 Jan 2018

This Standard amends the mandatory effective date (application date) of AASB 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* so that AASB 15 is required to be applied for annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2018 instead of 1 January 2017. For Not-For-Profit entities, the mandatory effective date has subsequently been amended to 1 January 2019 by AASB 2016-7. The Office has not yet determined the application or the potential impact of AASB 15.

**AASB 2016-3**  
Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards  
– Clarifications to AASB 15  
1 Jan 2018

This Standard clarifies identifying performance obligations, principal versus agent considerations, timing of recognising revenue from granting a licence, and, provides further transitional provisions to AASB 15. The Office has not yet determined the application or the potential impact when the deferred AASB 15 becomes effective from 1 January 2019.

**AASB 2016-7**  
Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards  
– Deferral of AASB 15 for Not for Profit Entities  
1 Jan 2018

This Standard, defers, not-for-profit-entities, the standard application date of AASB15 to 1 January 2019, and the consequential amendments that were originally set out in AASV 2014-5. There is no financial impact arising from this standard.

**AASB 2016-8**  
Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards  
– Australian Implementation Guidance for Not for Profit Entities  
1 Jan 2019

This Standard inserts Australian requirements and authoritative implementation guidance for not-for-profit entities into AASB 9 and AASB 15. This guidance assists not-for-profit entities in applying those Standards to particular transactions and other events. There is no financial impact.
9.3 Key management personnel

The Office has determined key management personnel to include cabinet ministers and senior officers of the Office. The Office does not incur expenditures to compensate Ministers and those disclosures may be found in the Annual Report on State Finances.

The total fees, salaries, superannuation, non-monetary benefits and other benefits for senior officers of the Office for the reporting period are presented within the following bands:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compensation band ($)</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40,001 - 50,000</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60,001 - 70,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120,001 - 130,000</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160,001 - 170,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180,001 - 190,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190,001 - 200,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200,001 - 210,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210,001 - 220,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220,001 - 230,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270,001 - 280,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280,001 - 290,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420,001 - 430,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430,001 - 440,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term employee benefits</td>
<td>1,678,545</td>
<td>1,471,107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-employment benefits</td>
<td>190,151</td>
<td>170,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other long-term benefits</td>
<td>189,825</td>
<td>166,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total compensation of senior officers</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,058,521</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,807,896</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The senior officer within the 40,001 - 50,000 band for 2018 commenced in April 2018.

(b) The senior officer within the 120,001 - 130,000 band for 2018 was part-time prior to March 2018.

Total compensation includes the superannuation expense incurred by the Office in respect of senior officers.

9.4 Related party transactions

The Office is a wholly owned public sector entity that is controlled by the State of Western Australia.

Related parties of the Office include:

- All cabinet ministers and their close family members, and their controlled or jointly controlled entities;
• The Ombudsman and all senior officers and their close family members, and their controlled or jointly controlled entities;

• Other departments and statutory authorities, including related bodies, that are included in the whole of government consolidated financial statements (i.e. wholly-owned public sector entities);

• Associates and joint ventures of a wholly-owned public sector entity; and

• The Government Employees Superannuation Board (GESB).

**Significant transactions with Government-related entities**

In conducting its activities, the Office is required to transact with the State and entities related to the State. These transactions are generally based on the standard terms and conditions that apply to all agencies. Such transactions include:

• Income from State Government (Note 4.1);

• Services received free of charge from the Department of Finance, State Solicitor’s Office, and the Department of the Premier and Cabinet (Note 4.1);

• Lease rentals and ICT services payments to the Department of Finance (Government Office Accommodation and State Fleet) and related outstanding balances (Note 7.2.1);

• Insurance payments to the Insurance Commission and Riskcover fund (Note 3.2);

• Payments for leave liabilities for staff transferred to the Department of Health and Mental Health Commission (Note 3.1(a)); and

• Remuneration for services provided by the Auditor General (Note 9.5).

**Material transactions with other related parties**

Significant transactions include:

• Superannuation payments to GESB (Note 3.1(a));

Outside of normal citizen type transactions with the Office there were no other related party transactions that involved key management personnel and/or their close family members and/or their controlled (or jointly controlled) entities.

**9.5 Remuneration of auditors**

Remuneration paid or payable to the Auditor General in respect of the audit for the current financial year is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditing the accounts, financial statements, controls, and key performance indicators</td>
<td>25,700</td>
<td>25,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>25,700</strong></td>
<td><strong>25,400</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9.6 Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contributed equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at start of period</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contributions by owners</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital appropriation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total contributions by owners</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at end of period</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated surplus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at start of period</td>
<td>1,230,404</td>
<td>1,631,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result for the period</td>
<td>(1,405,715)</td>
<td>(400,729)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at end of period</strong></td>
<td>(175,311)</td>
<td>1,230,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total equity at end of period</strong></td>
<td>1,030,689</td>
<td>2,436,404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.7 Supplementary financial information

(a) **Write-offs**

During the financial year, $25,981 (2017: nil) was written off under the authority of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Accountable Authority</td>
<td>25,981</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>25,981</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) **Losses through theft, defaults and other causes**

There were no losses of public money and public and other property during the period.

(c) **Gifts of public property**

There were no gifts of public property provided by the Office during the period.
9.8 Indian Ocean Territories

The Indian Ocean Territories Reimbursement Fund (the Fund) was established in March 1996 and became operational in July 1996. The purpose of the Fund is to meet the cost of the services of the Office in relation to complaints involving the Indian Ocean Territories. Any balance of the Fund at the end of the financial year is included in the Office's Operating Account. Any under or over expenditure at the end of the reporting period, for example, due to fluctuations in complaint numbers, is refunded or recouped from the Commonwealth Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities (DIRD) in the subsequent reporting period. Where, by agreement with DIRD, any funds are retained for expenditure in the next year, this is treated as restricted cash. The figures presented below for the Fund have been prepared on a cash basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 $</th>
<th>2017 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening Balance</td>
<td>2,873</td>
<td>(14,798)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts</td>
<td>30,108</td>
<td>47,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>(31,198)</td>
<td>(29,425)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing Balance</td>
<td>1,783</td>
<td>2,873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.9 Explanatory statement

All variances between estimates (original budget) and actual results for 2018, and between the actual results for 2018 and 2017 are shown below. Narratives are provided for key major variances, which are generally greater than:

- 5% and $202,000 for the Statements of Comprehensive Income and Cash Flows; and
- 5% and $91,000 for the Statement of Financial Position.
9.9.1 Statement of Comprehensive Income Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variances</th>
<th>Variance Note</th>
<th>Estimate 2018</th>
<th>Actual 2018</th>
<th>Actual 2017</th>
<th>Variance between estimate and actual 2018 and 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits expense</td>
<td>1, A</td>
<td>6,887,000</td>
<td>9,191,283</td>
<td>8,431,813</td>
<td>2,304,283 759,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies and services</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,216,000</td>
<td>1,072,897</td>
<td>1,029,470</td>
<td>(143,103) 43,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortisation expense</td>
<td></td>
<td>215,000</td>
<td>203,730</td>
<td>177,906</td>
<td>(11,270) 25,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,542,000</td>
<td>1,391,978</td>
<td>1,427,269</td>
<td>(35,291) (31,901)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>288,000</td>
<td>70,792</td>
<td>39,877</td>
<td>(217,208) 30,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of services</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,148,000</td>
<td>11,930,680</td>
<td>11,106,335</td>
<td>1,782,680 824,345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Income

| Revenue                                        |               |              |             |             |                                                  |
|                                                | Other revenue | 1,989,000    | 2,213,574   | 2,055,313   | 224,574 158,261                                  |
| Total revenue                                 |               | 1,989,000    | 2,213,574   | 2,055,313   | 224,574 158,261                                  |

Total income other than income from State

| Government                                    |               |              |             |             |                                                  |
|                                                | 1,989,000     | 2,213,574    | 2,055,313   | 224,574     | 158,261                                         |
| NET COST OF SERVICES                          |               | 8,159,000    | 9,717,106   | 9,051,022   | 1,558,106 666,084                               |

Income from State Government

| Service appropriation                         | B             | 7,719,000    | 7,859,000   | 8,166,000   | 140,000 (307,000)                               |
| Services received free of charge             |               | 440,000      | 452,391     | 484,292     | 12,391 (3,1901)                                 |
| Total income from State Government           |               | 8,159,000    | 8,311,391   | 8,650,392   | 152,391 (338,901)                               |
| DEFICIT FOR THE PERIOD                       |               | - (1,405,715)| (400,729)   | (1,405,715) | (1,004,986)                                    |

OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE PERIOD

| TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE PERIOD    |               | - (1,405,715)| (400,729)   | (1,405,715) | (1,004,986)                                    |

Major Estimate and Actual (2018) Variance Narratives

1) The variance in employee benefits expense is primarily due to additional staffing required to enable the Office to manage the workload associated with an increase in complaints to the Ombudsman and one-off costs associated with voluntary separations to enable changes to further enhance the efficiency of complaint resolution services.

2) The variance in other expenses is primarily due to some expenses, included in other expenses for the estimate, being included in supplies and services for the actual.

3) The variance in other revenue is primarily due to additional funding approved by the Board of the Energy and Water Ombudsman (Western Australia) to enable the Office to meet the workload associated with an increase in complaints to the Energy and Water Ombudsman and to provide further capacity for activities such as building awareness.

Major Actual (2018) and Comparative (2017) Variance Narratives

A) The variance in employee benefits expense is primarily due to additional staffing expenses in 2017-18 as set out in Variance Note 1, partially offset by a reduction in staffing expenses due to 2016-17 being the final year of the three year function to monitor the Infringement Notices provisions of The Criminal Code.
B) The variance in service appropriation is primarily due to 2016-17 being the final year of funding for the function to monitor the Infringement Notices provisions of *The Criminal Code*, in line with the approved funding over the three years of the function.

9.9.2 Statement of Financial Position Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement of Financial Position</th>
<th>Variance Note Estimate 2018</th>
<th>Actual 2018</th>
<th>Actual 2017</th>
<th>Variance between estimate and actual</th>
<th>Variance between actual results for 2018 and 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>4, C</td>
<td>1,939,000</td>
<td>468,134</td>
<td>1,849,259</td>
<td>(1,470,866)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,783</td>
<td>1,783</td>
<td>(1,090)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>96,923</td>
<td>95,169</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,407)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td>114,000</td>
<td>78,522</td>
<td>44,404</td>
<td></td>
<td>(35,478)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts receivable for services</td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current Assets</strong></td>
<td>2,371,000</td>
<td>852,362</td>
<td>2,199,706</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,518,838)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Current Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>63,743</td>
<td>32,202</td>
<td>23,743</td>
<td>31,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts receivable for services</td>
<td>1,978,000</td>
<td>1,948,000</td>
<td>1,971,000</td>
<td>(30,000)</td>
<td>(23,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant and equipment</td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>64,740</td>
<td>96,572</td>
<td>(32,260)</td>
<td>(31,832)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>235,916</td>
<td>110,916</td>
<td>(31,363)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-Current Assets</strong></td>
<td>2,240,000</td>
<td>2,312,400</td>
<td>2,367,053</td>
<td>72,400</td>
<td>(54,653)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>4,611,000</td>
<td>3,164,762</td>
<td>4,566,759</td>
<td>(1,446,238)</td>
<td>(1,490,997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>110,776</td>
<td>83,715</td>
<td>58,776</td>
<td>27,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>1,563,000</td>
<td>1,537,588</td>
<td>1,479,079</td>
<td>(25,412)</td>
<td>57,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current liabilities</td>
<td>108,000</td>
<td>48,184</td>
<td>48,184</td>
<td>(59,816)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>1,723,000</td>
<td>1,696,548</td>
<td>1,611,877</td>
<td></td>
<td>(26,452)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Current Liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>437,524</td>
<td>464,679</td>
<td>77,524</td>
<td>(27,155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-current liabilities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53,798</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(27,718)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-Current Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>437,524</td>
<td>518,477</td>
<td>77,524</td>
<td>(80,953)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td>2,083,000</td>
<td>2,134,072</td>
<td>2,130,354</td>
<td>51,072</td>
<td>3,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>2,528,000</td>
<td>1,030,689</td>
<td>2,436,404</td>
<td>(1,497,311)</td>
<td>(1,405,715)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQUITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributed equity</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
<td>1,206,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surplus/(deficit)</td>
<td>1,322,000</td>
<td>(175,311)</td>
<td>1,230,404</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EQUITY</strong></td>
<td>2,528,000</td>
<td>1,030,689</td>
<td>2,436,404</td>
<td>(1,497,311)</td>
<td>(1,405,715)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major Estimate and Actual (2018) Variance Narratives

4) The variance in cash and cash equivalents is primarily due to the use of cash balances, approved by Parliament through the budget papers, to meet the additional employee benefits expense set out in Variance Note 1.

5) The variance in intangible assets is primarily due to asset purchases committed in 2017-18 but paid in 2018-19 and fluctuations in the value and timing of the amortisation of assets.

Major Actual (2018) and Comparative (2017) Variance Narratives

C) The variance in cash and cash equivalents is primarily due to the approved use of cash balances to meet the additional employee benefits expense set out in Variance Note 1.
9.9.3 Statement of Cash Flows Variances

The following table shows the variance in cash flows for the year ended 30 June 2018 compared to the estimate and the results for the year ended 30 June 2017. The variance between estimate and actual for 2018 and 2017 is also shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance Note</th>
<th>Estimate 2018</th>
<th>Actual 2018</th>
<th>Actual 2017</th>
<th>Variance between estimate and actual</th>
<th>Variance between actual results for 2018 and 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service appropriation</td>
<td>7,504,000</td>
<td>7,644,000</td>
<td>7,945,000</td>
<td>140,000 (301,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital appropriations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holding account drawdown</td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td>238,000</td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td>30,000 (30,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by State Government</td>
<td>7,712,000</td>
<td>7,882,000</td>
<td>8,153,000</td>
<td>170,000 (271,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES**

**Payments**

- **Employee benefits** 6, D
  - 6,867,000 (6,160,715) (8,385,880) (2,313,715) (794,835)
- **Supplies and services**
  - (1,075,000) (941,107) (866,505) 133,893 (74,602)
- **Accommodation**
  - (1,163,000) (1,111,860) (1,112,101) 51,140 241
- **GST payments on purchases**
  - 7 (219,896) (230,234) (219,896) 10,338
- **GST payments to taxation authority**
  - 7 (44,557) (44,557) (44,557)
- **Other payments**
  - 7 (639,000) (25,400) (25,100) 613,600 (300)

**Receipts**

- **GST receipts on sales**
  - 271,000 212,559 197,407 (58,441) 15,152
- **GST receipts from taxation authority**
  - - 55,206 48,736 55,206 6,470
- **Other receipts**
  - 8 1,989,000 2,212,879 2,061,435 223,879 151,444

**Net cash used in operating activities**

- (7,484,000) (9,042,892) (8,312,241) (1,558,892) (730,651)

**CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES**

**Payments**

- **Purchase of non-current assets**
  - (208,000) (189,782) (296,480) 18,218 108,698

**Net cash used in investing activities**

- (208,000) (189,782) (296,480) 18,218 108,698

**Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents**

- 20,000 (1,350,675) (457,721) (1,370,675) (892,954)

**Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period**

- 1,959,000 1,884,334 2,342,055 (74,666) (457,721)

**CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE END OF THE PERIOD**

- 1,979,000 533,659 1,884,334 (1,445,341) (1,350,675)

**Major Estimate and Actual (2018) Variance Narratives**

6) The variance in employee benefits payments is primarily due to additional staffing costs as set out in Variance Note 1.

7) The variance in GST payments on purchases, GST payments to the taxation authority and other payments is primarily due to some payments, included as other payments for the estimate, being included in GST payments on purchases and GST payments to the taxation authority in the actual.

8) The variance in other receipts is primarily due to additional funding approved by the Board of the Energy and Water Ombudsman (Western Australia) as set out in Variance Note 3.

**Major Actual (2018) and Comparative (2017) Variance Narratives**

D) The variance in employee benefits payments is primarily due to changes in staffing costs as set out in Variance Note A.
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Key Performance Indicators

Certification of Key Performance Indicators

For year ended 30 June 2018

We hereby certify that the key performance indicators are based on proper records, are relevant and appropriate for assisting users to assess the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations’ performance, and fairly represent the performance of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations for the financial year ended 30 June 2018.

Alan Shaw
Chief Finance Officer
21 August 2018

Chris Field
Accountable Authority
21 August 2018
The desired outcome for the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations (*the Ombudsman*) is:

*The public sector of Western Australia is accountable for, and is improving the standard of, administrative decision making, practices and conduct.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Where the Ombudsman made recommendations to improve practices or procedures, the percentage of recommendations accepted by agencies (a)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of improvements to practices or procedures as a result of Ombudsman action (b)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) For public authority responses each year, the percentage of recommendations and suggestions relating to improved practices and procedures that were accepted by the public authority.

(b) For public authority responses each year, the number of recommendations and suggestions relating to improved practices and procedures that were accepted by the public authority.

**Comparison of Actual Results and Budget Targets**

Public authorities have accepted every recommendation made by the Ombudsman, matching the actual results of the past four years and meeting the 2017-18 target.

In 2007-08, the office of the Ombudsman (*the Office*) commenced a program to ensure that its work increasingly contributed to improvements to public administration. Consistent with this program, the 2017-18 actual number of improvements to practices and procedures of public authorities as a result of Ombudsman action (173) has exceeded the 2017-18 target (100). There may, however, be fluctuations from year to year, related to the number and nature of investigations finalised by the Office in any given year.
### Key Efficiency Indicators

The Ombudsman’s Key Efficiency Indicators relate to the following service:

> Resolving complaints about decision making of public authorities and improving the standard of public administration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of allegations finalised within three months</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of allegations finalised within 12 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of allegations on hand at 30 June less than three months old</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of allegations on hand at 30 June less than 12 months old</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average cost per finalised allegation (a)</td>
<td>$1,858</td>
<td>$1,857</td>
<td>$1,886</td>
<td>$1,889</td>
<td>$1,890</td>
<td>$1,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average cost per finalised notification of death (b)</td>
<td>$18,407</td>
<td>$18,983</td>
<td>$18,597</td>
<td>$16,731</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
<td>$17,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of monitoring and inspection functions (c)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$413,821</td>
<td>$412,129</td>
<td>$415,000</td>
<td>$414,311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) This is the net cost of complaint resolution services divided by the number of allegations finalised.

(b) This is the net cost of undertaking the death review function divided by the number of notifications finalised.

(c) This is the net cost of monitoring and inspection functions under relevant legislation.

### Comparison of Actual Results and Budget Targets

The 2017-18 actual results for the Key Efficiency Indicators met, or were comparable to, the 2017-18 target. Overall, 2017-18 actual results represent sustained efficiency of complaint resolution over the last five years.

The average cost per finalised allegation in 2017-18 ($1,879) met the 2017-18 target ($1,890). Since 2007-08, the efficiency of complaint resolution has improved significantly with the average cost per finalised allegation reduced by a total of 36% from $2,941 in 2007-08 to $1,879 in 2017-18.

The average cost per finalised notification of death ($17,438) met the 2017-18 target ($17,500), reflecting continuous improvement of the finalisation of notifications.

The cost of monitoring and inspection functions ($414,311) met the 2017-18 target ($415,000).
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Other Disclosures and Legal Compliance

Ministerial Directions

The Ombudsman reports directly to the Western Australian Parliament rather than to the government of the day, or a particular Minister, and Ministers cannot issue directives to the Ombudsman.

Other Financial Disclosures

Pricing policies of services provided

The Office currently receives revenue for the following functions:

- Costs for the Energy and Water Ombudsman functions are recouped from the Energy and Water Ombudsman (Western Australia) Limited on a full cost recovery basis. These costs are determined by the actual staffing costs involved in delivering the service plus an allowance for overheads and costs of particular operational expenses;

- Under an arrangement with the Australian Government, the Office handles enquiries and complaints from the Indian Ocean Territories about local government and Western Australian public authorities delivering services to the Indian Ocean Territories. Each year the Office recoups costs from the Australian Government for any complaints received from the Indian Ocean Territories. Cost recovery is based on the average cost per complaint in the last two years as published in the Office’s annual reports. Administrative costs and the costs of any travel to the Indian Ocean Territories by the Ombudsman or staff and any promotional materials are also recouped in full; and

- The Office is involved in a program, the principal goal of which is to provide greater access across Indonesia to more effective and sustainable Ombudsman services. The Office recoups costs for its participation in the program from the Commonwealth Ombudsman’s Office.

Capital works

There were no major capital projects undertaken during 2017-18.

Employment of staff

As at 30 June 2018 there were 75 people (70.0 full-time equivalent positions (FTEs)) directly employed by the Office, including 61 full-time employees and 14 part-time employees. This includes people on unpaid leave, contract staff providing short term expertise and backfilling staff during extended leave periods and people seconded out of the Office.
All employees are public sector employees operating in executive, policy, enquiry, investigation and administrative roles. The following table provides a breakdown of the categories of employment for staff directly employed by the Office as at 30 June in 2016-17 and 2017-18.

**Staff numbers as at 30 June 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Category</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
<th>2017-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full-time permanent</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time contract</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time permanent</td>
<td>13 (7.6 FTEs)</td>
<td>13 (8.6 FTEs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time contract</td>
<td>2 (1.3 FTEs)</td>
<td>1 (0.4 FTEs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>79 (72.9 FTEs)</strong></td>
<td><strong>75 (70.0 FTEs)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After adjusting for people seconded into and out of the Office, staff on unpaid leave, and people employed through a recruitment agency to cover short term vacancies, there were 64 staff (60.8 FTEs) undertaking the work of the Office at 30 June 2018. Over the full 2017-18 year, the average staffing was 65.6 FTEs.

**Human resources strategies**

The Office continued with the implementation of its human resources strategies during the year. These strategies aim to support the attraction and retention of staff and staff development through continuous professional development and performance management, through:

- **Recruitment, retention and engagement of high quality staff**

  Recruitment practices continue to prove successful in attracting staff to apply for positions with the Office, with high numbers of quality applications received for positions advertised during the year. The Office provides benefits for staff such as flexible work options and part-time arrangements and this is promoted in all job advertisements. Staff have access to flexible work options, including part-time or purchased leave arrangements and work from home arrangements. In 2017-18, the Office continued implementation of the Office’s *Aboriginal Action Plan* which includes a range of strategies to enhance the Office’s services for, and engagement with, Aboriginal Western Australians. Employment was recognised as a key area of focus, and actions in the *Aboriginal Action Plan* related to employment include recruitment, retention and professional development for Aboriginal staff.

- **Accounting for performance**

  The Office’s performance management system includes identifying expectations as well as performance-based recognition. Managers and staff annually formalise a performance agreement that provides a framework to:

  - Identify and acknowledge the contribution employees make in the achievement of the Office’s operational and strategic goals; and
  - Develop and retain skilled employees and assist employees to achieve their professional and personal career goals.
Continual learning
The Office is committed to providing a high quality Induction Program for new employees to the Office. The Online Induction mini-site and the Induction Reference Book are provided to all new employees to the Office. They contain useful information on the Office’s strategic direction, structure and roles, policies and procedures and facilities.

New staff have provided feedback that the induction process is welcoming and useful in assisting new employees to understand the Office’s direction, expectations and processes. The product has also proved valuable for existing staff members to keep them informed and updated about policy and governance issues within the Office.

The Office also provides continual learning for staff through a range of training sessions and the Continuous Professional Development Program. During 2017-18, staff participated in a range of training sessions including sessions on Aboriginal cultural awareness, disability awareness, accountable and ethical decision making, family and domestic violence leave and support and engaging with youth from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. Where appropriate, the sessions use the expertise of senior staff of the Office to deliver the material. To supplement this in-house development, staff are encouraged to attend external training, conferences and seminars to improve their skills and knowledge in areas relevant to their work. These opportunities are facilitated through development plans as part of staff annual performance reviews and the continual learning assists with positioning the Office as an employer of choice.

As well as the key human resource strategies outlined above, the Office’s people management framework establishes the conduct and ethical behaviour expected of staff and the appropriate response to unethical behaviour.

Workforce and Diversity Plan
In 2014-15, the Office developed its Workforce and Diversity Plan 2015-2020 in accordance with the Public Sector Commissioner’s Circular 2011-02: Workforce Planning and Diversity in the Public Sector, Part IX of the Equal Opportunity Act 1984, and Strategic Directions for the Public Sector Workforce 2009-14. The Office’s key focus areas for 2015-20 are to continue to:

• Implement effective practices to recruit high quality staff, in particular for new functions;
• Attract and retain high quality staff, including by providing innovative flexible working arrangements and through graduate, intern and seasonal clerk programs;
• Provide staff development through quality induction, performance management, our Continuous Professional Development Program, training and study assistance;
• Implement strategies to improve diversity in the workforce for people from diverse cultural backgrounds;
• Implement the strategies in the Office’s Disability Access and Inclusion Plan 2015-2020; and
• Provide Corporate Executive with workforce reporting to support evaluation and ongoing review of the strategies in the Workforce and Diversity Plan.

**Human resource policies**

The Office has a broad range of human resource policies that are regularly updated in line with the Office’s strategies, guidance provided by external agencies and staff feedback processes. They include policies in the key areas of:

• Classification, filling positions and employee movements;
• Payroll, conditions of employment and leave;
• Performance management, training and development;
• Employee relations, grievances and discipline; and
• Occupational safety and health.

**Unauthorised use of credit cards**

Staff of the Office hold corporate credit cards where their functions warrant the use of this facility.

The Office has robust policies and procedures regulating credit card use, and the use of a credit card for personal purposes is prohibited. During 2017-18, there was no use of a credit card for personal purposes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal Use of Credit Cards</th>
<th>2017-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate amount of personal use expenditure.</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate amount of personal use expenditure settled by the due date (within 5 working days).</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate amount of personal use expenditure settled after the due date (after 5 working days).</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate amount of personal use expenditure outstanding at 30 June 2018.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Governance Disclosures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shares in statutory authorities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This is not relevant as the Office is not a statutory authority and does not have shares.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shares in subsidiary bodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This is not relevant as the Office does not have any subsidiary bodies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interests in contracts by senior officers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Office’s <em>Code of Conduct</em> and <em>Conflict of Interest Policy</em> define conflict of interest and appropriate action to take where a conflict arises between the employee’s public duty and their private interests, including during tender and purchasing processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees are aware through the <em>Code of Conduct</em> and <em>Accountable and Ethical Decision Making</em> training that they have an obligation to disclose interests that could reasonably create a perception of bias, or an actual conflict of interest, and members of the Executive Management Group and Corporate Executive are asked to declare any interests at each meeting of these Groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Office’s policy on identifying and addressing conflicts of interest includes any interest of a senior officer, or an organisation of which a senior officer is a member, or an entity in which the senior officer has a substantial financial interest, in any existing or proposed contract made with the Office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There have been no declarations of an interest in any existing or proposed contracts by senior officers and, at the date of reporting, other than normal contracts of employment, no senior officers or firms of which a senior officer is a member, or entities in which a senior officer has any substantial interests, had any interests in existing or proposed contracts or related party transactions with the Office.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits to senior officers through contracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This is not applicable as no senior officers have received any benefits.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insurance paid to indemnify directors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This is not applicable as the Office does not have any directors as defined in Part 3 of the <em>Statutory Corporations (Liability of Directors) Act 1996</em>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other Legal Requirements

Expenditure on advertising, market research, polling and direct mail and media advertising

During 2017-18, the Office incurred the following expenditure in relation to advertising, market research, polling, direct mail and media advertising that requires disclosure under section 175ZE of the Electoral Act 1907.

Total expenditure for 2017-18 was $4,997 for state-wide advertising for advertising vacant positions and promoting regional visits, and was incurred in the following areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of expenditure</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advertising agencies</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media advertising orgs.</td>
<td>$3,997</td>
<td>Adcorp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>National Indigenous Times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market research orgs.</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polling orgs.</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct mail orgs.</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disability Access and Inclusion Plan outcomes

The Office is committed to providing optimum access and service to people with disability, their families and carers. In 2017-18, the Office continued to implement the strategies under its Disability Access and Inclusion Plan 2015-2020 (DAIP). Current initiatives to address desired DAIP outcomes are shown below.

Outcome 1: People with disability have the same opportunities as other people to access the services of, and any events organised by, the Office.

People can access the complaint handling services provided by the Office by lodging a complaint in various ways including by post, email, online and in person. The online option is available through the Office’s website, which meets the website accessibility requirements set out in the Accessibility and Inclusivity Standard under the Western Australia Whole of Government Digital Services Policy.

The Office is accessible for people with disability who attend in person, and enquiries can be made by telephone using the National Relay Service for people with voice or hearing impairments. Venues for events and meetings are assessed for suitable access for people with disability. Organisations that provide information and support to people with disability are specifically informed about the Office’s activities as part of its Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program. A notice regarding disclosure of ‘special access or dietary requirements’ is added to all invitations for events coordinated by the Office.
Outcome 2: People with disability have the same opportunities as other people to access the buildings and other facilities of the Office.

The Office’s accommodation, building and facilities provide access for people with disability, including lifts that accommodate wheelchairs and feature braille on the access buttons. Accessible and ambulant toilets are located on all floors used by the Office (the Ground Floor, Level 2 and Level 3), and a low reception desk on Level 2 accommodates wheelchair access. The building also includes electronic doors at the entrance and through to the lifts, a ramp at the front of the building, and a disabled parking bay beneath the building.

Outcome 3: People with disability receive information from the Office in a format that will enable them to access the information as readily as other people are able to access it.

All Office documents are in plain English and publications are available in alternative formats on request. The Office’s website meets the website accessibility requirements set out in the Accessibility and Inclusivity Standard under the Western Australia Whole of Government Digital Services Policy. Information published on the website can be viewed in alternative sizes. Online documents are published in PDF format, and written correspondence can be scanned with Optical Character Recognition and sent electronically in PDF format, compatible with screen readers.

Phone access is available through the National Relay Service for people with voice or hearing impairments calling the Office, and signs are provided in the reception area to assist visitors who have a hearing impairment. The Office also provides suitable equipment to enable employees with vision impairments to access electronic information.

Outcome 4: People with disability receive the same level and quality of service from the staff of the Office as other people receive from the staff of the Office.

The services provided by the Office have been adapted to reduce access barriers for people with disability and information is available in various formats on request. The Office has an internal guideline for staff on Assisting complainants with vision, hearing or speech impairments. The document is part of the internal Complaint Handling Toolkit and provides useful information, contacts and procedures for all staff when dealing with a complainant with disability.

Information about the Office’s DAIP and a video on providing services to people with disability, Make a Difference produced by the (then) Disability Services Commission, is included in induction training for all new staff. In 2017-18, disability awareness training was provided to all staff.
**Outcome 5: People with disability have the same opportunities as other people to make complaints to the Office.**

A key role of the Office is to handle complaints about public authorities and anyone with disability has an equal opportunity to make a complaint. Where necessary, the complaint process is modified to meet the needs of a person with disability. This includes meeting people outside the Office and modifying communication strategies, for example, by using a translator (such as the National Relay Service or Auslan interpreter) where required.

Information on reviews of decisions in relation to complaints to the Ombudsman and making a complaint about the Ombudsman’s other services is accessible from the website and is available in alternative formats.

**Outcome 6: People with disability have the same opportunities as other people to participate in any public consultation by the Office.**

Staff and members of the public with disability have an equal opportunity to participate in any consultation process. Any public consultation conducted by the Office and promoted on the website meets disability access requirements. Documents released for public consultation can also be made available in alternative formats to meet the needs of people with disability.

**Outcome 7: People with disability have the same opportunities as other people to obtain and maintain employment with the Office.**

The Office’s accommodation, building and facilities provide access for people with disability, including lifts and walkways that accommodate wheelchairs and feature braille on the access buttons. Accessible and ambulant toilets are located on all floors used by the Office. The Office also provides suitable equipment to enable employees with vision impairments to access electronic information.

People with disability are encouraged to apply for positions in the Office and recruitment processes are modified as required to enable people with disability to have the same opportunity as other people to compete on merit for advertised positions. The Office monitors the proportion of applicants with disability to ensure its recruitment processes are accessible. Appropriate modifications are made to the duties undertaken, hours of work and/or equipment required to enable employees with disability, or who acquire disability, to maintain productive employment with the Office.
Compliance with Public Sector Standards and Ethical Codes

In the administration of the Office, the Ombudsman has complied with the Public Sector Standards in Human Resource Management, the Code of Ethics and the Office’s Code of Conduct.

Procedures designed to ensure such compliance have been put in place and appropriate internal assessments are conducted to satisfy the Ombudsman that the above statement is correct.

The following table identifies action taken to monitor and ensure compliance with public sector standards and ethical codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant action to monitor and ensure compliance with Western Australian Public Sector Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managers and staff are aware of, and are required to comply with, the Public Sector Standards in Human Resource Management (the Standards). This is supported by policies and procedures relating to the Standards, regular professional development for managers and staff about the Standards and related policies, and the inclusion of the policies in the induction process. Monitoring provisions include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For recruitment, selection and appointment, an individual review of each process is undertaken prior to the final decision to ensure compliance with the Employment Standard;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A review process to ensure that, for acting opportunities and secondments, a merit-based process is used and there are no inadvertent extensions that result in long-term opportunities without expressions of interest or a full merit selection process;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A monitoring process to ensure there are current performance management processes in place for all employees; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The continuous development of policies and procedures in accordance with the Standards to ensure compliance and relevancy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance issues: Internal reviews have shown compliance with the Standards is achieved before any final decision is made. There have been no breaches found of the public sector standards.
Significant action to monitor and ensure compliance with the Code of Ethics and the Office’s Code of Conduct

The *Code of Ethics* and the Office’s *Code of Conduct* (Ethical Codes) are available on the Office’s intranet and are part of the Online Induction for new staff. *Guidelines for Ethical and Accountable Decision Making* have been developed as a ready reference for staff when dealing with a difficult situation related to the Ethical Codes. The Guidelines are based on the *Accountable and Ethical Decision Making in the WA Public Sector* training materials provided by the Public Sector Commissioner. In 2017-18, staff completed Accountable and Ethical Decision Making training as part of the Office’s Continuous Professional Development Program.

The Office’s *Code of Conduct* supports the *Code of Ethics* and links the Office’s corporate values with expected standards of personal conduct. All staff, contractors and consultants who carry out work for, or on behalf of, the Office are required to comply with the spirit of the *Code of Conduct*. On appointment, all staff sign the *Code of Conduct* to confirm their understanding of its application in the workplace and swear an oath or make an affirmation about maintaining appropriate confidentiality.

Ethics and conduct related policies have been developed, including policies and procedures for declaring and managing conflicts of interest and gifts. The Ethical Codes and related policies are included in the induction process and there is regular professional development for managers and staff about the Ethical Codes and related policies.

The Office has procedures in place for reporting unethical behaviour and misconduct. The Office also has a policy and internal procedures relating to *Public Interest Disclosures* and strongly supports disclosures being made by staff.

Monitoring provisions for Ethical Codes include:

- High level review, and Ombudsman or Deputy Ombudsman sign off, for management of conflicts of interest and gifts, benefits and hospitality, as well as reviews each year by the Deputy Ombudsman of the registers of conflicts of interest and gifts, benefits and hospitality to determine if there are any patterns or trends that need action by the Office;

- High level consideration and sign off of requests for review of the Office’s handling of a complaint and any complaints about the conduct of staff; and

- Seeking opportunities to improve current practices through internal audits and reviewing policies and procedures to ensure compliance and relevancy. Internal audits conducted each year are referred to the Office’s Internal Audit and Risk Management Committee.

**Compliance issues:** There has been no evidence of non-compliance with the Ethical Codes.
Corporate governance framework

The Office’s corporate governance framework is based on the Public Sector Commissioner’s Good Governance Guide for Public Sector Agencies.

| Principle 1: Government and public sector relationship  
(The organisation’s relationship with the government is clear) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|

The Ombudsman is an independent officer appointed by the Governor of Western Australia. The Ombudsman is responsible directly to the Parliament rather than to the government of the day or a particular Minister. The Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971 regulates the operations of the Office.

Delegations for communication and interaction between Ministers and other Parliamentary representatives are identified in the Office’s instruments of delegation, in particular those relating to external communications, and staff are aware of these delegations.

| Principle 2: Management and oversight  
(The organisation’s management and oversight are accountable and have clearly defined responsibilities) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

The Office’s Strategic Plan 2016-18 (Strategic Plan) provides a framework for the strategic direction of the Office with identifiable key measures of success. The Office’s operational planning identifies how the key strategies in the Strategic Plan will be achieved through a detailed list of key projects, measures and targets.

Chief Executive Officer delegations are set out in the Office’s Instrument of Delegation – Chief Executive Officer Functions. Statutory delegations under the Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971 and administrative arrangements for statutory roles are set out in the Ombudsman Western Australia, Statutory Delegations and Administrative Arrangements document.

The Office has a strong organisational policy framework covering governance, conduct, communications, information technology, human resources, finance and procurement. Policies and guidelines are available to staff through the Office’s intranet and as part of the Online Induction.

The Office has an Internal Audit and Risk Management Charter and Committee. An external quality assurance review of the Office’s internal audit function has been undertaken to ensure the Office conforms with the Institute of Internal Auditors international standards for the professional practice of internal auditing.
**Principle 3: Organisational structure**
*(The organisation’s structure services its operations)*

Decision making responsibilities for the Office lie with the Corporate Executive, comprising the Ombudsman, Deputy Ombudsman, the Principal Assistant Ombudsman Investigations and Legal Services, the Principal Assistant Ombudsman Executive Services, and the leaders of the Complaint Resolution, Investigations, Reviews, Administrative Improvement, Monitoring and Energy and Water teams.

The Office’s organisational structure has been created in line with its operations and reflects its key strategic direction. The Office undertakes continuous improvement to the structure to ensure it remains relevant and effective with changes linked to the Strategic Plan and redirection of resources within the structure to respond to workload priorities. A detailed organisational chart provides a reference for staff on the intranet.

**Principle 4: Operations**
*(The organisation plans its operations to achieve its goals)*

The organisational structure, operational planning, business processes and key performance indicators are linked to the strategic goals and outcomes in the Strategic Plan. Progress toward key performance indicators and major strategic projects is monitored through reports to the Corporate Executive and is reported in the Annual Report each year.

Effective achievement of goals is supported by an online Complaint Handling Toolkit, available to all enquiry and investigating staff for the purpose of achieving consistent, efficient and effective complaint handling. In addition, a Panel provides independent advice to the Ombudsman on matters relevant to child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities. For the role of Energy and Water Ombudsman, the Office prepares a Business Plan and Budget for approval by the Board of the governing body each year.
### Principle 5: Ethics and integrity

(Ethics and integrity are embedded in the organisation’s values and operations)

The Office’s values are to be fair, independent and accountable (including being rigorous, responsible and efficient). In line with these values, the Ombudsman observes an independent and impartial approach to the conduct of investigations as well as observing procedural fairness at all times. Ethics and integrity are contained within the Code of Conduct and Guidelines for Accountable and Ethical Decision Making. Staff are required to sign a Conduct Agreement to confirm their understanding of the application of the Code.

Staff are made aware of the Public Interest Disclosure Act 2003, the Office’s Public Interest Disclosure Officers and the protections that apply, during induction and through the Office’s intranet and noticeboards. Staff are also made aware of the Office’s Conflict of Interest Policy and Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality Policy and registers and how they should be declared and managed. When declarations are made, a senior manager assesses the appropriate action to be taken.

### Principle 6: People

(The organisation’s leadership in people management contributes to individual and organisational achievements)

It is a strategic direction of the Office to attract, develop and retain a skilled and valued workforce with a culture that supports high quality, responsive and efficient service; and to treat people professionally, courteously and with appropriate sensitivity.

The Office continues to implement human resource strategies which focus on the recruitment, retention and engagement of high quality staff; accounting for individual performance and development; and continual learning. The Workforce and Diversity Plan 2015-2020 provides a strong workforce planning framework to support the achievement of these strategies.

The Office has a strong human resources policy framework covering employment of staff, conditions of employment, flexible work arrangements, staff development, study assistance, employee relations (including grievance resolution) and occupational safety and health. In 2017-18, the Office developed a Family and Domestic Violence Workplace Leave and Support Policy to support employees of the Office in situations of family and domestic violence through the compassionate administration of leave and working arrangements.
**Principle 7: Finance**  
*(The organisation safeguards financial integrity and accountability)*

The Office produces an annual budget which is approved by the Ombudsman. The monitoring of actual versus budget along with financial integrity and accountability is secured through reporting to the Corporate Executive. The Office also has a *Financial Management Manual (the Manual)*, designed to assist employees to perform their tasks efficiently and effectively. The processes in the Manual are consistent with relevant Treasurer’s Instructions and State Supply Commission policies.

An Internal Audit and Risk Management Committee reviews an audit of financial management, including procurement, each year against the policies and procedures in the Manual. The 2017-18 audit concluded that good controls exist to ensure compliance with relevant legislation and policy requirements.

**Principle 8: Communication**  
*(The organisation communicates with all parties in a way that is accessible, open and responsive)*

To ensure services are accessible, open and responsive, the Office communicates with its key stakeholders using a range of communication channels, adapted to suit the audience. Further information is included in the [Collaboration and Access to Services section](#) of the report. The Office also provides guidance and training for dealing with Aboriginal people, children and young people, people with disability and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.

Policies covering recordkeeping, records management and communications ensure the Office safeguards the confidentiality and integrity of information, preventing unauthorised or false disclosure. Staff meetings and separate team meetings provide a forum for sharing information internally and the Staff Consultative Committee has input into Office policies and procedures that affect staff. The Committee is made up of management and staff representatives from all teams in the Office, the Occupational Safety and Health representatives, the union representative and the Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer.
Principle 9: Risk management
(The organisation identifies and manages its risks)

The Office identifies and manages its risk through a Risk Management Plan that is considered by the Office’s Internal Audit and Risk Management Committee as part of the Committee’s regular meetings. The Risk Management Plan continues to be relevant and consistent with the Office’s Strategic Plan. The Office also has a Business Continuity Plan to ensure it can respond to, and recover from, any business disruption.

Under the Risk Management Plan, controls have been identified for significant risks and any action required is assigned to a relevant member of Corporate Executive. The internal Strategic Audit Plan is based on the areas of risk identified in the Risk Management Plan and the Internal Audit and Risk Management Committee oversees the audit plan and audits for each year. In 2017-18, the Internal Audit and Risk Management Committee established the Strategic Audit Plan for 2018-19, and updated the Risk Management Plan and the Internal Audit and Risk Management Charter.

In 2017-18, internal audits were conducted of the Office’s information security, financial systems workflow, financial management and procurement practices. The audits showed internal controls are being maintained to ensure compliance with relevant legislation and policies.

Recordkeeping Plans

The Office is committed to maintaining a strong records management framework and aims for best practice recordkeeping practices. The Office is continuously improving recordkeeping practices to ensure they are consistent with the requirements of the State Records Act 2000 and meet the needs of the Office for high quality recordkeeping. The Office’s framework includes:

- A Recordkeeping Plan, a Retention and Disposal Schedule, a Records Management Policy, a Records File Classification Plan and Security Framework and a Records Disaster Recovery Plan;
- An electronic document records management system (EDRMS) called HP Records Manager was implemented in 2005 and subsequently upgraded in 2011-12. A further major upgrade to the EDRMS occurred in 2015-16;
- The Office’s case management databases; and
- A series of guidelines and a user manual, together with an online training module, are made available to staff.

During 2015-16, the Office conducted a review of its General Disposal Schedule for functional records. The revised Schedule was submitted to the State Records Commission for approval in June 2016 and was approved by the State Records Commission on 23 December 2016.
Electronic Document Records Management System

All incoming, outgoing and significant internal documents are saved electronically into the EDRMS. Staff are required to save their final electronic documents and correspondence, including electronic mail and facsimiles directly into the EDRMS.

The Office utilises an electronic case management system (RESOLVE) for the management of complaints in the Ombudsman and Energy and Water Ombudsman jurisdictions, and in the review of child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities. RESOLVE is directly integrated with the EDRMS, allowing records and related cases to be accessed and updated through RESOLVE.

Evaluation and review of efficiency and effectiveness of systems and training

The Office’s recordkeeping processes, policies and guidelines were reviewed in 2017-18 to ensure compliance with the Records Management Framework and promote best practice recordkeeping.

The efficiency and effectiveness of the recordkeeping training program is reviewed regularly through monitoring staff use of the EDRMS to ensure that staff are following the recordkeeping requirements of the Office. As part of a program of regular reviews of the effectiveness of the Office’s recordkeeping systems, a survey was developed and distributed to all staff in June 2016. The results of the survey were progressed during 2016-17 to develop targeted training and other programs to address common themes across the Office. A new survey was distributed to staff in June 2017, and surveys will continue to be distributed on an annual basis as part of the program of regular reviews of the effectiveness of the Office’s recordkeeping systems.

Induction and training

All records related plans, policies, guidelines and manuals are available on the Office’s intranet to assist staff to comply with their recordkeeping requirements and include user friendly guides for training staff.

The Office’s Online Induction mini-site, developed in 2010-11, includes a section on recordkeeping. This is part of the induction process for new staff and is also available as a resource for existing staff members. The induction process also includes individual training sessions with new staff members conducted by the Customer Service and Records Manager soon after appointment. Follow up training and help desk assistance are provided as required. Recordkeeping roles and responsibilities are also included in Accountable and Ethical Decision Making training and the Office’s Code of Conduct, which is signed by all staff on appointment.

The Office has an online training module to further strengthen and maintain staff recordkeeping practices.
Government Policy Requirements

Substantive equality

The Office does not currently have obligations under the Framework for Substantive Equality. However, the Office is committed to the intent and substance of the policy, including the elimination of systemic racial discrimination in the delivery of public services, and the promotion of sensitivity to the different needs of key stakeholders.

Needs assessment

The Office is committed to understanding the needs of Aboriginal people and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds and setting objectives to overcome barriers in service delivery for these groups. The Office regularly assesses the impact of our service delivery practices on Aboriginal people and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.

In 2017-18, the Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer continued work to raise awareness and improve accessibility to the Office for Aboriginal people as well as providing expert advice and support relating to the needs of Aboriginal people for staff undertaking the Office’s functions.

In 2017-18, the Office continued implementation of the Aboriginal Action Plan, a comprehensive whole-of-office plan to address the significant disadvantage faced by Aboriginal people in Western Australia. The plan contributes to an overall goal of developing an organisation that is welcoming and culturally safe for Aboriginal people and meets the unique needs of the Aboriginal community it serves.

In addition to the Aboriginal Action Plan, the Office continued with its Regional Awareness and Accessibility Program in 2017-18. The Program recognises the historical under-representation of Aboriginal people accessing the Office’s services and focuses on access for Aboriginal and regional Western Australians. This Program is an important way for the Office to:

- Ensure awareness of, and accessibility to, its services for Aboriginal Western Australians in regional and remote locations; and
- Provide a valuable opportunity for the Office to strengthen its understanding of the issues affecting Aboriginal people.

The Office has also identified a range of other strategies to overcome barriers to service delivery, including:

- Involvement in outreach activities in metropolitan areas to raise community awareness of, and access to, the Office’s services, such as Homeless Connect in November 2017;
- Attending adult prisons and Banksia Hill Detention Centre to meet with prisoners and juvenile detainees, and prisoner representative groups, to understand their specific needs and be available to take complaints. An Aboriginal consultant and/or the Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer attends these meetings to assist staff to understand the issues involved and to facilitate cross cultural communication;
- Providing information on our services in 15 languages on our website, through translated information sheets for the general community and translated simplified information sheets tailored for children and young people. All publications are available in alternative formats and can be translated into other languages on request;
- Involving the Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer in complaint clinics and complaints involving Aboriginal people;
- Promoting details for Translating and Interpreting Services on the website and in publications for people with English as a second language. Interpreters and translators are regularly used when resolving complaints;
- Complaints can be written in the person’s first language and the Office arranges translators for the incoming complaint and outgoing response and staff use interpreters, either face to face or by telephone, when discussing complaints;
- Involving the Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer and Aboriginal consultants in relevant own motion investigations and as part of the Ombudsman’s Advisory Panel to provide independent advice on issues and trends and contemporary professional practice within the scope of the child death and family and domestic violence fatality review functions; and
- Consultation activities specifically targeted to Aboriginal and culturally and linguistically diverse communities.

### Monitoring

The Office monitors whether services respond to the different needs of Aboriginal people and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, including:

- Seeking demographic information from people who make complaints to enable the Office to monitor whether its services are used by all of the Western Australian community, particularly those who may find it difficult to access services;
- Collecting demographic data relating to reviews of child deaths and family and domestic violence fatalities to identify patterns and trends in relation to these deaths; and
- Seeking advice of specialist consultants in relation to the relevance and appropriateness of reports relating to own motion investigations.
**Organisational performance appraisal**

The Office undertakes ongoing performance appraisal of access to services and appropriate service delivery for Aboriginal people and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.

In 2017-18, the Office’s complaint resolution services were accessed by people from a diverse range of backgrounds, comparable to the Western Australian population. In particular, for people whose complaints were received in 2017-18:

- 10.4% of people identified as Aboriginal, compared to 3.3% of the population;
- 30.6% of people were born overseas compared to 34.8% of the population; and
- 16.5% of people were born in a country where English is not the main language, compared to 18% of the population.

**Learning and development**

The Office promotes learning and development to ensure that its employees are equipped with the skills and knowledge necessary to understand and meet the needs of Aboriginal people and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, including:

- Aboriginal cross-cultural awareness training, including engaging an Aboriginal cultural consultant to conduct customised training for all staff of the Office and utilising the Public Sector Commission’s *Sharing Culture*, an online Aboriginal cultural awareness training module;
- Training staff in identifying language related barriers to communication, including utilising the Office of Multicultural Interests’ *Diverse WA* cultural competency training module; and
- Appropriately engaging with interpreters and telephone translators to ensure equitable access to our services.

**Occupational safety, health and injury management**

**Commitment to occupational safety, health and injury management**

The Office is committed to ensuring a safe and healthy workplace. The goal is for a workplace that is free from work-related injuries and diseases by developing and implementing safe systems of work and by continuing to identify hazards and control risks as far as practicable.

The Office maintains an Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) framework that includes:

- Safe work practices;
- Managing and reporting workplace hazards, incidents and injuries;
- Injury management, including a Return to Work Program that extends to non-work related injuries;
• Emergency procedures;
• Trained first aid officers and regular checks of first aid supplies; and
• General employee health and wellbeing, including an Employee Assistance Program.

All employees and contractors are made aware of their OSH responsibilities through an Online Induction that includes a component on OSH as well as safe work practices in an office environment. This is also used as an information source for existing staff. The Office’s policies and guidelines are also accessible to employees through the Office’s intranet.

There is a strong executive commitment to the health and safety of staff. Hazards and other issues relating to health and safety can be raised with elected OSH representatives or directly with the Deputy Ombudsman, and key issues are brought to the attention of the Ombudsman, who is committed to their prompt and effective resolution.

**Consultation**

The Office promotes a consultative environment in which management, staff and other stakeholders work together to continually improve OSH practices. Formal mechanisms for consultation with employees and others on OSH matters include:

• The Office has OSH responsibilities within its tenancy and also works closely with the building management at Albert Facey House to ensure a safe working environment is maintained;
• The Office has two elected OSH Representatives who act as an important link between management and staff, so that they can work together and arrive at solutions to make the workplace safe;
• The Staff Consultative Committee has OSH responsibilities and the Office’s OSH Representatives are standing members of the Committee. OSH matters are a standing item on the agenda to allow Committee members to refer matters raised by staff to the Committee for resolution and inform their team of issues and safe working practices raised at Committee meetings;
• There is dissemination of OSH information and discussion at regular staff and team meetings; and
• There is regular training on OSH matters for both management and staff. In 2017-18, an OSH session was held for Managers in which they were briefed on relevant Office policies, the OSH roles and responsibilities of all staff and managers, and common themes related to Office health and safety.

**Statement of compliance**

The Office complies with the injury management requirements of the *Workers’ Compensation and Injury Management Act 1981* and is committed to providing injury management support to all workers who sustain a work related injury or illness with a focus on a safe and early return to their pre-injury/illness position. Rehabilitation support is also provided to employees with non-work related injuries or when recovering from a protracted illness.
As part of this approach, the Office encourages early intervention in injury management, and ensures there is early and accurate medical assessment and management of each injury, work related or not.

**Assessment of OSH systems**

The Office has an OSH Management Plan and guidelines detailing OSH roles and responsibilities within the Office and outlining the approach to identify, assess and control hazards and the associated risks. The Office’s OSH systems are included in the Internal Audit and Risk Management Program and an internal audit of the OSH system against the elements of the WorkSafe Plan was last undertaken in June 2016. All recommendations were accepted and the actions, arising from the audit, have been completed.

Internal evaluation of the accommodation at Albert Facey House is ongoing and workplace inspections are undertaken regularly by the Office’s elected OSH Representatives. Any OSH changes identified are promptly addressed.

There is ongoing review of the Office’s emergency procedures for dealing with unreasonable conduct by visitors to the Office and, during 2017-18, there was a trial evacuation of Albert Facey House, where fire alarms were activated and all staff within the building were evacuated for drill purposes, and there was a trial security incident to test the internal security systems and processes of the Office.

**Annual performance**

During 2017-18, one new workers’ compensation claim was recorded. The Office’s OSH and injury management statistics for 2017-18 are shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2015-16 Actual</th>
<th>2016-17 Actual</th>
<th>2017-18 Actual</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>Comment on Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of fatalities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Target achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost time injury/disease (LTI/D) incidence rate</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Target achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost time injury/disease severity rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Target achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of injured workers returned to work within</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) 13 weeks; and</td>
<td>i) 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Greater than or equal to 80% return to work within 26 weeks</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) 26 weeks.</td>
<td>ii) 100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of managers and supervisors trained in occupational safety,</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>&gt;80%</td>
<td>Target exceeded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Appendix 1 - Complaints Received and Finalised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaints finalised at assessment</th>
<th>Complaints finalised at investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Complaints Received in 2017-18</td>
<td>Total Complaints Finalised in 2017-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue not in jurisdiction</td>
<td>More appropriate body to handle complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More appropriate body to handle complaint</td>
<td>Referred back to the public authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referred back to the public authority</td>
<td>Investigation not warranted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation not warranted</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td>Not sustained, cannot be determined, or discontinued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sustained, cannot be determined, or discontinued</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PUBLIC SECTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Authority</th>
<th>Issue not in jurisdiction</th>
<th>More appropriate body to handle complaint</th>
<th>Referred back to the public authority</th>
<th>Investigation not warranted</th>
<th>Resolved</th>
<th>Not sustained, cannot be determined, or discontinued</th>
<th>Withdrawn</th>
<th>Total Complaints Finalised in 2017-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Produce Commission</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Department of</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities, Department of</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Metropolitan Health Service</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, Department of</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electoral Commission</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Licensing Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, Department of</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Products Commission</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight and Logistics Council of Western Australia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Corporation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Employees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superannuation Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Disability Services Complaints Office</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health, Department of</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizon Power</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Commission of Western Australia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation, Department of</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice, Department of</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep Australia Beautiful Council (Western Australia)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Surveyors Licensing Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landcorp (WA Land Authority)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landgate</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid WA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Practice Board</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Profession Complaints Committee</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries, Department of</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 1 – Complaints Received and Finalised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Complaints Received in 2017-18</th>
<th>Complaints finalised at assessment</th>
<th>Complaints finalised at investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Issue not in jurisdiction</td>
<td>More appropriate body to handle complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotteries Commission</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Roads Western Australia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Advocacy Service</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Cemeteries Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, Department of</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Trust of Australia (WA)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Metropolitan Health Service</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Metropolitan TAFE</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning, Lands and Heritage, Department of</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Industries and Regional Development, Department of</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoners Review Board</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Sector Commission</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transport Authority</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERCO - Acacia Prison</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodexo - Melaleuca Remand and Reintegration Facility</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Metropolitan Health Service</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Metropolitan TAFE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Regional TAFE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and Workforce Development, Department of</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport, Department of</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Surgeons’ Board</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA Country Health Service</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water and Environmental Regulation, Department of</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Corporation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia Police</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workcover</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PUBLIC SECTOR COMPLAINTS</strong></td>
<td><strong>1241</strong></td>
<td><strong>112</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1 – Complaints Received and Finalised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government</th>
<th>Total Complaints Received in 2017-18</th>
<th>Complaints finalised at assessment</th>
<th>Complaints finalised at investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Issue not in jurisdiction</td>
<td>More appropriate body to handle complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Albany, City of</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Armadale, City of</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ashburton, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Augusta / Margaret River, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bassendean, Town of</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bayswater, City of</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Belmont, City of</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boddington, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bridgetown / Greenbushes, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Broome, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Broomehill, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bunbury, City of</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Busselton, City of</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cambridge, Town of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canning, City of</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capel, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carnamah, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carnarvon, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chittering, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Claremont, Town of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cockburn, City of</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cocos (Keeling) Islands, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collie, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coorow, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cottesloe, Town of</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cranbrook, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cuballing, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cunderdin, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dandaragan, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dardanup, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** Denmark, Shire of**</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derby / West Kimberley, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>East Pilbara, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Esperance, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fremantle, City of</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gingin, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gosnells, City of</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greater Geraldton, City of</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Harvey, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Irwin, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jerramungup, Shire of</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joondalup, City of</strong></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kalamunda, City of</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kalgoorlie / Boulder, City of</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 1 – Complaints Received and Finalised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government Area</th>
<th>Total Complaints Received in 2017-18</th>
<th>Issue not in jurisdiction</th>
<th>More appropriate body to handle complaint</th>
<th>Referred back to the public authority</th>
<th>Investigation not warranted</th>
<th>Not sustained, cannot be determined, or discontinued</th>
<th>Withdrawn</th>
<th>Total Complaints Finalised in 2017-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karratha, City of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwinana, City of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandurah, City of</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melville, City of</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menzies, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moora, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosman Park, Town of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Marshall, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mundaring, Shire of</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray, Shire of</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrogin, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nedlands, City of</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngaanyatjarraku, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northam, Shire of</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton, Shire of</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nungarin, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perth, City of</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pingelly, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantagenet, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Hedland, Town of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quairading, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockingham, City of</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpentine / Jarrahdale, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Perth, City of</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stirling, City of</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subiaco, City of</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swan, City of</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toodyay, Shire of</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Park, Town of</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Plains, Shire of</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent, City of</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanneroo, City of</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waroona, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wongan / Ballidu, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyalkatchem, Shire of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyndham / East Kimberley, Shire of</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York, Shire of</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **TOTAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPLAINTS** | **361**              | **22**                   | **87**                                    | **26**                                | **48**                       | **156**                                           | **25**    | **8**                                | **372**
## UNIVERSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Total Complaints Received in 2017-18</th>
<th>Issue not in jurisdiction</th>
<th>More appropriate body to handle complaint</th>
<th>Referred back to the public authority</th>
<th>Investigation not warranted</th>
<th>Resolved</th>
<th>Not sustained, cannot be determined, or discontinued</th>
<th>Withdrawn</th>
<th>Total Complaints Finalised in 2017-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curtin University</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith Cowan University</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murdoch University</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Notre Dame</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Western Australia</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL UNIVERSITIES</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## AGENCIES OUT OF JURISDICTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation not identified</th>
<th>Total Complaints Finalised in 2017-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agencies out of jurisdiction</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL AGENCIES OUT OF JURISDICTION</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TOTAL COMPLAINTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total complaints about agencies in jurisdiction</th>
<th>1715</th>
<th>139</th>
<th>276</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>288</th>
<th>632</th>
<th>199</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>1832</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total complaints about agencies out of jurisdiction</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>526</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAND TOTAL</td>
<td>2235</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2 - Legislation

### Principal Legislation
- Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971

### Legislation and Other Instruments Governing Other Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complaints and appeals by overseas students</td>
<td>National Code of Practice for Providers of Education and Training to Overseas Students 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Interest Disclosures</td>
<td>Public Interest Disclosure Act 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints from residents of the Indian Ocean Territories</td>
<td>Indian Ocean Territories (Administration of Laws) Act 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Christmas Island Act 1958 (Commonwealth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cocos (Keeling) Islands Act 1955 (Commonwealth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints from persons detained under terrorism legislation</td>
<td>Terrorism (Preventative Detention) Act 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of Telecommunications Interception records</td>
<td>Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Act 1979 (Commonwealth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Western Australia Act 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Western Australia Regulations 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring functions under the Criminal Organisations Control Act</td>
<td>Criminal Organisations Control Act 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Criminal Code Amendment (Infringement Notices) Act 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Criminal Code (Infringement Notices) Regulations 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Energy and Water Ombudsman

- *Economic Regulation Authority Act 2003*
- *Electricity Industry Act 2004*
- *Energy Coordination Act 1994*
- *Water Services Act 2012*
- *Constitution of the Energy and Water Ombudsman (Western Australia) Limited*
- *Charter of the Energy and Water Ombudsman (Western Australia) Limited*

## Other Key Legislation Impacting on the Office’s Activities

- Auditor General Act 2006;
- Children and Community Services Act 2004;
- Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003;
- Disability Services Act 1993;
- Equal Opportunity Act 1984;
- Financial Management Act 2006;
- Industrial Relations Act 1979;
- Minimum Conditions of Employment Act 1993;
- Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984;
- Public Sector Management Act 1994;
- Royal Commissions Act 1968;
- Salaries and Allowances Act 1975;
- State Records Act 2000; and
### Appendix 3 - Publications

The following publications are available electronically on the Ombudsman’s website at [www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au) and in hard copy by request to mail@ombudsman.wa.gov.au. Publications can also be made available in alternative formats to meet the needs of people with disability.

#### Brochures and Posters

**About the Ombudsman**

- Ombudsman Western Australia Brochure
- Ombudsman Western Australia Summary Poster
- Ombudsman Western Australia Summary Flyer
- It’s OK to complain – Poster for Young People aged 5 – 10
- It’s OK to complain – Poster for Young People aged 10+
- Children and Young People Information Sheet
- ‘Have you got a problem?’ Information Sheet for Young People aged 5-10
- ‘Have you got a problem?’ Information Sheet for Young People aged 10+ (translated into 15 community languages)
- It’s OK to complain – Postcard for Young People aged 5 – 10
- It’s OK to complain – Postcard for Young People aged 10+

#### Guidelines and Information Sheets for Members of the Public

**Making a Complaint**

- How to complain to the Ombudsman (translated into 15 community languages)
- Making a complaint to the Ombudsman (summary information sheet)
- Complaining to the Ombudsman - Information for prisoners
- Complaints by overseas students
- Making a complaint to a State Government agency

**How Complaints are Handled**

- Overview of the complaint resolution process - Information for complainants
- How we assess complaints
- Assessment of complaints checklist
- Being interviewed by the office of the Ombudsman
- Requesting a review of a decision about a complaint to the Ombudsman
## Guidelines and Information Sheets for Public Authorities

### General Information
- Overview of the complaint resolution process - Information for public authorities
- Information for boards and tribunals

### Information Packages for Public Authorities
The following publications are available as individual documents and as a suite of documents under the headings listed:

#### Decision Making
- Exercise of discretion in administrative decision making
- Procedural fairness (natural justice)
- Giving reasons for decisions
- Good record keeping

#### Effective Complaint Handling
- The principles of effective complaint handling
- Effective handling of complaints made to your organisation
- Complaint handling systems checklist
- Making your complaint handling system accessible
- Guidance for Complaint Handling Officers
- Investigation of complaints
- Procedural fairness (natural justice)
- Good record keeping
- Remedies and redress
- Dealing with unreasonable complainant conduct
- Managing unreasonable complainant conduct: Practice manual

#### Conducting Investigations
- Conducting administrative investigations
- Investigation of complaints
- Procedural fairness (natural justice)
- Giving reasons for decisions
- Good record keeping

#### Management of Personal Information
- Management of Personal Information
- Checklist - Management of Personal Information
- Good practice principles for the management of personal information
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integrity Coordinating Group Publications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The following publications have been produced by the Integrity Coordinating Group and are available at <a href="http://www.icg.wa.gov.au">www.icg.wa.gov.au</a> and via links from the Ombudsman’s website:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Integrity in decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conflicts of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gifts, benefits and hospitality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Taking action on integrity issues – a guide for public officers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2017-18, Ombudsman Western Australia commissioned Aboriginal artist, Barbara Bynder, to create an artwork to be reproduced by the Office in its publications, including this Annual Report.

This initiative is part of the Office’s *Aboriginal Action Plan*, a comprehensive whole-of-office plan that has been guided by the Office’s Aboriginal staff led by its Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer.

The Office is committed to working in a collaborative and transparent manner and by respecting Aboriginal people’s right to self-determination. The Office is committed to working with, and for, Aboriginal Western Australians to build understanding of the unique vulnerability and disadvantage faced by Aboriginal people due to past wrongs.

By incorporating the artwork into publications and communications with Aboriginal people, the Office aims to further facilitate this understanding, as well as enhance accessibility to, and awareness of, the Office for Aboriginal Western Australians.

**Artist’s Statement**

This painting represents the idea of fairness, mediation and accessible services where just decision making is promoted and founded on unbiased outcomes for all parties as well as promoting development of sustainable relationships with Aboriginal people and their communities.

The Ombudsman Western Australia aims to develop and maintain sustainable relationships with Aboriginal communities and people of Western Australia.

To understand how relationships are developed and maintained in contemporary Aboriginal society, I have researched the topic to develop and create an artwork that represents the idea of relationship building, mediation and fair decision making between the Ombudsman Western Australia, Government Departments and Aboriginal people. During our discussions we came to an agreement that this would be best represented showing three specific elements in the painting thus representing the Ombudsman, agency and Aboriginal people. I have represented these three elements equally, as hills that come to a point where they meet with a river flowing between them representing independence.

In Noongar and other Aboriginal cultures research demonstrates that there is similarity in the way that building and maintaining strong relationships occur. Following the processes of historical cultural practice and relationship building and how this is developed through the idea of kinship law is embedded in the background of this painting. Although this practice has adapted, changed and evolved due to the impact of colonization, relationships remain core elements of contemporary Aboriginal culture and is maintained through understanding of and through the idea
of culture. The linear work in the painting is representative of contemporary Aboriginal culture and the idea of songlines that traverse the Australian continent connecting Aboriginal people to each other. Although the songlines appear invisible if you look closely you can see that the linear work beneath the surface is visible. Relationship protocols In Aboriginal cultures today, continue to influence cultural values and protocols of contemporary Aboriginal society.

In more traditional areas of Australia, decision making is applied through senior men and women who come together to discuss conflict and disputes within their communities. Basil Sansom, Anthropologist (The Camp at Wallaby Cross: Aboriginal fringe dwellers in Darwin, 1979), studied conflict resolution in the Northern Territory. Sansom observed dispute resolution in three different camps who lived in a neighbourhood that shared the same area of land. Each camp was managed by senior men separately, yet they came together to discuss the rules for sharing the same space and how outsiders would be managed whilst staying or visiting the camps because they wanted to maintain good relationships with fellow country men and women and because they wanted to keep the peace in the camps. Sansom sketched a drawing of his understanding for the mediation of dispute process which has influenced and informed this painting because the protocols that Sansom talks about in his research remains prevalent in today’s Aboriginal society.

Research also determines that the best practice for mediation and fair decision making in today’s Aboriginal society is driven by ‘insider knowledge’ therefore being a primary method in resolving conflict and disputes and is found to be the most effective approach to resolving disputes (Turner-Walker, 2010, Clash of the Paradigms: Night Patrols in remote central Australia). The results of Turner-Walker’s (2010) research concurs with Sansom’s (1997) research and highlights the importance of understanding the relationships that exist between Aboriginal people and how this is relational with the idea of culture therefore maintaining cultural values through practicing culture.

To promote the vision of the Ombudsman Western Australia the painting represents the following characteristics; fairness, transparency, acting independently, providing accessible services and promoting fair decision-making processes. The process for implementing this vision of the Ombudsman Western Australia is to develop, maintain and sustain relationships between the Ombudsman, agency and Aboriginal community and people.

Barbara Bynder
Karda Designs

Ombudsman Western Australia acknowledges Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people of Australia as the traditional custodians of this land. We recognise and respect the long history and ongoing cultural connection Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people have to Australia, recognise the strength, resilience and capacity of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and pay respect to Elders past, present and future.